

VOL  
4

# ATLAS of



CREATION

رسول الله  
محمد

HARUN YAHYA  
(ADNAN OKTAR)



## **ABOUT THE AUTHOR**


**Adnan Oktar, who writes under the pen-name Harun Yahya, was born in Ankara in 1956. Since the 1980s, the author has published many books on faith-related, scientific and political issues. He is well-known as the author of important works disclosing the imposture of evolutionists, their invalid claims, and the dark liaisons between Darwinism and such bloody ideologies as fascism and communism.**

**All of the author's works share one single goal: to convey the Qur'an's message, encourage readers to consider basic faith-related issues such as Allah's existence and unity and the Hereafter; and to expose irreligious systems' feeble foundations and perverted ideologies. His more than 300 works, translated into 73 different languages, enjoy a wide readership across the world.**

**By the will of Allah, the books of Harun Yahya will be a means through which people in the twenty-first century will attain the peace, justice, and happiness promised in the Qur'an.**



بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ



اللَّهُ  
رَسُولُ  
مُحَمَّدٍ

## TO THE READER

- A special chapter is assigned to the collapse of the theory of evolution because this theory constitutes the basis of all anti-spiritual philosophies. Since Darwinism rejects the fact of creation—and therefore, Allah's existence—over the last 150 years it has caused many people to abandon their faith or fall into doubt. It is therefore an imperative service, a very important duty to show everyone that this theory is a deception. Since some readers may find the opportunity to read only one of our books, we think it appropriate to devote a chapter to summarize this subject.
- All the author's books explain faith-related issues in light of Qur'anic verses, and invite readers to learn Allah's words and to live by them. All the subjects concerning Allah's verses are explained so as to leave no doubt or room for questions in the reader's mind. The books' sincere, plain, and fluent style ensures that everyone of every age and from every social group can easily understand them. Thanks to their effective, lucid narrative, they can be read at one sitting. Even those who rigorously reject spirituality are influenced by the facts these books document and cannot refute the truthfulness of their contents.
- This and all the other books by the author can be read individually, or discussed in a group. Readers eager to profit from the books will find discussion very useful, letting them relate their reflections and experiences to one another.
- In addition, it will be a great service to Islam to contribute to the publication and reading of these books, written solely for the pleasure of Allah. The author's books are all extremely convincing. For this reason, to communicate true religion to others, one of the most effective methods is encouraging them to read these books.
- We hope the reader will look through the reviews of his other books at the back of this book. His rich source material on faith-related issues is very useful, and a pleasure to read.
- In these books, unlike some other books, you will not find the author's personal views, explanations based on dubious sources, styles that are unobservant of the respect and reverence due to sacred subjects, nor hopeless, pessimistic arguments that create doubts in the mind and deviations in the heart.

November, 2012

Translated by: Carl Nino Rossini

Edited by: Ronald Lee Mastro

Published by: **GLOBAL PUBLISHING**

Kayışdağı Mah. Değirmen Sok. No: 3  
Ataşehir / İstanbul / Turkey - Tel: (+90 216) 660 00 59

Printed and bound by: **Ertem Matbaası**

Nasuh Akar Mahallesi 25. Sokak No: 19  
Balgat / Ankara / Turkey - Tel: (+90 312) 284 18 14

All translations from the Qur'an are from  
*The Noble Qur'an: a New Rendering of its Meaning in English*  
by Hajj Abdalhaqq and Aisha Bewley, published by Bookwork,  
Norwich, UK. 1420 CE/1999 AH.

Abbreviation used:

(*pbuh*): Peace be upon him (following a reference to the prophets)

[www.harunyahya.com](http://www.harunyahya.com) / [www.harunyahyaapps.com](http://www.harunyahyaapps.com)  
[www.a9.com.tr](http://www.a9.com.tr) - <http://en.harunyahya.tv>

HARUN YAHYA  
(ADNAN OKTAR)

Volume - IV

*Atlas of  
Creation*





## ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Now writing under the pen-name of HARUN YAHYA, Adnan Otkar was born in Ankara in 1956. Having completed his primary and secondary education in Ankara, he studied fine arts at Istanbul's Mimar Sinan University and philosophy at Istanbul University. Since the 1980s, he has published many books on political, scientific, and faith-related issues. Harun Yahya is well-known as the author of important works disclosing the imposture of evolutionists, their invalid claims, and the dark liaisons between Darwinism and such bloody ideologies as fascism and communism.

Harun Yahya's works, translated into 73 different languages, constitute a collection for a total of more than 55,000 pages with 40,000 illustrations.

His pen-name is a composite of the names Harun (Aaron) and Yahya (John), in memory of the two esteemed Prophets who fought against their peoples' lack of faith. The Prophet's seal on his books' covers is symbolic and is linked to their contents. It represents the Qur'an (the Final Scripture) and Prophet Muhammad (saas), last of the prophets. Under the guidance of the Qur'an and the Sunnah (teachings of the Prophet [saas]), the author makes it his purpose to disprove each fundamental tenet of irreligious ideologies and to have the "last word," so as to completely silence the objections raised against religion. He uses the seal of the final Prophet (saas), who attained ultimate wisdom and moral perfection, as a sign of his intention to offer the last word.

All of Harun Yahya's works share one single goal: to convey the Qur'an's message, encourage readers to consider basic faith-related issues such as Allah's existence and unity and the Hereafter; and to expose irreligious systems' feeble foundations and perverted ideologies.

Harun Yahya enjoys a wide readership in many countries, from India to America, England to Indonesia, Poland to Bosnia, Spain to Brazil, Malaysia to Italy, France to Bulgaria and Russia. Some of his books are available in English, French, German, Spanish, Italian, Portuguese,

Urdu, Arabic, Albanian, Chinese, Swahili, Hausa, Dhivehi (spoken in Maldives), Russian, Serbo-Croat (Bosnian), Polish, Malay, Uygur Turkish, Indonesian, Bengali, Danish and Swedish.

Greatly appreciated all around the world, these works have been instrumental in many people recovering faith in Allah and gaining deeper insights into their faith. His books' wisdom and sincerity, together with a distinct style that's easy to understand, directly affect anyone who reads them. Those who seriously consider these books, can no longer advocate atheism or any other perverted ideology or materialistic philosophy, since these books are characterized by rapid effectiveness, definite results, and irrefutability. Even if they continue to do so, it will be only a sentimental insistence, since these books refute such ideologies from their very foundations. All contemporary movements of denial are now ideologically defeated, thanks to the books written by Harun Yahya.


This is no doubt a result of the Qur'an's wisdom and lucidity. The author modestly intends to serve as a means in humanity's search for Allah's right path. No material gain is sought in the publication of these works.

Those who encourage others to read these books, to open their minds and hearts and guide them to become more devoted servants of Allah, render an invaluable service.

Meanwhile, it would only be a waste of time and energy to propagate other books that create confusion in people's minds, lead them into ideological confusion, and that clearly have no strong and precise effects in removing the doubts in people's hearts, as also verified from previous experience. It is impossible for books devised to emphasize the author's literary power rather than the noble goal of saving people from loss of faith, to have such a great effect. Those who doubt this can readily see that the sole aim of Harun Yahya's books is to overcome disbelief and to disseminate the Qur'an's moral values. The success and impact of this service are manifested in the readers' conviction.

One point should be kept in mind: The main reason for the continuing cruelty, conflict, and other ordeals endured by the vast majority of people is the ideological prevalence of disbelief. This can be ended only with the ideological defeat of disbelief and by conveying the wonders of creation and Qur'anic morality so that people can live by it. Considering the state of the world today, leading into a downward spiral of violence, corruption and conflict, clearly this service must be provided speedily and effectively, or it may be too late.

In this effort, the books of Harun Yahya assume a leading role. By the will of Allah, these books will be a means through which people in the twenty-first century will attain the peace, justice, and happiness promised in the Qur'an.







HARUN YAHYA  
(ADNAN OKTAR)

Volume - IV



*Atlas of  
Creation*





# Contents

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| <b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>  | <b>14</b> |
| Darwinism is not science .....   | 18        |
| The error of underestimating the scientific struggle<br>against Darwinism .....  | 19        |
| Darwinism is officially supported by many states .....   | 20        |
| The prophets destroyed all idols erected against<br>Belief in Allah .....  | 22        |
| The Atlas of Creation is an intellectual blow to<br>the greatest idols of our age .....  | 24        |
| <br>   |           |
| <b>STROMATOLITES: 3.9-million-year-old living marvels that<br/>refute the theory of evolution .....</b>                              | <b>26</b> |
| Fossil Stromatolite .....  | 34        |
| Fossil Stromatolite .....  | 36        |
| Fossil Stromatolite .....  | 38        |
| <br>   |           |
| <b>TRILOBITES: Marvelous life forms that lived 530 million years<br/>ago refute evolution .....</b>                                  | <b>40</b> |
| Fossil Trilobite .....   | 44        |
| Fossil Trilobite .....   | 46        |
| Fossil Trilobite .....   | 48        |
| Fossil Trilobite .....   | 50        |
| <br>   |           |
| <b>LUMINOUS LIFE FORMS: Marvelous life forms that have been<br/>manufacturing light for millions of years refute evolution .....</b> | <b>52</b> |
| <br>   |           |
| <b>FLYING INSECTS: Marvelous life forms with perfect wings that<br/>lived millions of years ago refute evolution.....</b>            | <b>60</b> |



**SPECIMENS OF TERRESTRIAL ANIMAL  
AND BIRD FOSSILS ..... 68**

Turtle ..... 70  
 Golden Monkey Skull ..... 74  
 Penguin Skull ..... 76  
 Pallas Cat Skull ..... 78  
 Tasmanian Devil Skull ..... 80  
 Jaguar Skull ..... 82  
 Red Panda Skull ..... 84  
 Pelican Skull ..... 86  
 Seal Skull ..... 88  
 Panda Skull ..... 90  
 Leopard Skull ..... 92  
 Wild Cat Skull (Felis Silvestris) ..... 94  
 Confuciusornis Sanctus ..... 96  
 The Darwinist deception on the subject of  
 birds and the origin of flight..... 100  
 The marvelous characteristics we see in  
 birds refute evolution..... 102  
 Confuciusornis Sanctus ..... 104  
 Red Wolf Skull ..... 108  
 Lion Skull ..... 110  
 Brown Bear Skull ..... 112  
 Wild Dog Skull..... 114  
 Alopex (Arctic fox) Skull ..... 116  
 Jungle Cat Skull..... 118  
 Foal Skull ..... 120  
 Grizzly Bear Skull ..... 122  
 Monkey Skull ..... 124  
 Skunk Skull ..... 126  
 Hyena Skull ..... 128  
 Horse Skull ..... 130  
 Horse Skull ..... 132  
 Small Indian Civet Skull ..... 134  
 Giraffe Skull ..... 136  
 Wolverine Skull ..... 138  
 Seal Skull ..... 140

Bear Skull ..... 142  
 Wolf Skull ..... 144  
 Marten Skull ..... 146  
 Antelope Skull ..... 148  
 Lynx Skull ..... 150  
 Red Wolf Skull ..... 152  
 Black Bear Skull ..... 154  
 Maned Wolf Skull ..... 156  
 Aard Wolf Skull ..... 158  
 Jackal Skull ..... 160  
 Zebra Skull ..... 162  
 Bat Eared Fox Skull ..... 164  
 Striped Jackal Skull ..... 166  
 Sun Bear Skull ..... 168  
 Hyena Skull ..... 170  
 Boar Skull ..... 172

**MARINE FOSSIL SPECIMENS .....174**

Catfish ..... 176  
 Hatchefish ..... 178  
 Jellyfish ..... 180  
 Opalescent Squid ..... 182  
 Halfbeak ..... 184  
 Trumpet Coral (Caulastrea curvata) ..... 186  
 Lobster ..... 188  
 Horn Shark ..... 190  
 Coelacanth ..... 192  
 Brittlestar ..... 196  
 Sardine ..... 198  
 Sockeye Salmon ..... 200  
 Comb Jelly ..... 202  
 Bivalve ..... 204  
 Moon Coral ..... 205  
 Tiger Shark ..... 206  
 Opalescent Squid ..... 210



|                                    |     |   |            |
|------------------------------------|-----|---|------------|
| Barracuda .....                    | 212 | Sea spider .....                          | 284        |
| Mantis Shrimp .....                | 214 | Coelacanth .....                          | 286        |
| Mene Maculata (Moonfish) .....     | 216 | Bristle-mouth fish (Gonostomatidae) ..... | 288        |
| Octopus .....                      | 218 | Cod (Bregmaceros) .....                   | 290        |
| File Fish or Slender Fish .....    | 220 | Moon Coral .....                          | 292        |
| Paddle fish .....                  | 222 | Herring .....                             | 294        |
| Thornback Ray (Raja Clavata) ..... | 224 | See bass .....                            | 296        |
| Tarpon .....                       | 226 | Moon Coral .....                          | 298        |
| Needle Fish (Belone Belone) .....  | 228 | Sea horse .....                           | 299        |
| Ranila crab .....                  | 230 | Atlantic argentine (Argentinidae).....    | 300        |
| Bowfin (Amia Calva) .....          | 232 | Flashlight Fish .....                     | 302        |
| Capros Fish (Boar Fish) .....      | 234 | Guitarfish (Rhinobatuss) .....            | 304        |
| Herring.....                       | 236 | Dwarf sawfish (Pristis clavata) .....     | 306        |
| Shrimp .....                       | 238 | Eel.....                                  | 308        |
| Atlantic Cod .....                 | 241 | Crab .....                                | 310        |
| Crayfish .....                     | 242 | Pipefish .....                            | 312        |
| Dentex .....                       | 244 | Boxfish .....                             | 314        |
| Chimaera .....                     | 246 | Ladyfish .....                            | 316        |
| Grinner Fish.....                  | 249 |   |            |
| Sturgeon .....                     | 250 | <b>INSECT FOSSIL SPECIMENS .....</b>      | <b>318</b> |
| Herring .....                      | 253 | Mantis .....                              | 320        |
| John Dory .....                    | 254 | Brown lacewing .....                      | 322        |
| Minnow.....                        | 256 | Caddisfly .....                           | 324        |
| Sand Lances (Ammodytes).....       | 258 | Winged ant .....                          | 326        |
| Sawfish .....                      | 260 | Spider mite (Acarina) .....               | 327        |
| Catshark.....                      | 262 | False click beetle (Eucnemidae).....      | 328        |
| Stingray .....                     | 264 | Leafhopper .....                          | 330        |
| Lanternfish .....                  | 266 | Humpbacked fly, Wasp .....                | 332        |
| Pipefish .....                     | 268 | Mymarid wasp (Mymaridae) .....            | 334        |
| Bivalve .....                      | 270 | Skin Beetle .....                         | 335        |
| Moon Coral .....                   | 271 | Grasshopper .....                         | 336        |
| Catshark .....                     | 272 | Dance fly .....                           | 338        |
| Sardine .....                      | 274 | Springtail .....                          | 339        |
| Sturgeon .....                     | 276 | Cockroach .....                           | 340        |
| Sea horse .....                    | 278 | True Bug .....                            | 341        |
| Eel .....                          | 280 | Cockroach .....                           | 342        |
| Bowfin (Amia Calva) .....          | 282 |   |            |

|  |     |  |     |
|--|-----|--|-----|
| Sow Bug (Isopod) .....                   | 344 | Anobium .....                                  | 406 |
| Spider .....                             | 346 | Crane fly .....                                | 407 |
| Dustwing .....                           | 348 | Wasp .....                                     | 408 |
| Aphid .....                              | 349 | White fly .....                                | 410 |
| Lynx Spider .....                        | 350 | Ant .....                                      | 411 |
| Springtail .....                         | 351 | Aphid and termite wing .....                   | 412 |
| Whitefly .....                           | 352 | Psocid .....                                   | 414 |
| Spider .....                             | 354 | Fungus gnat .....                              | 415 |
| Midge .....                              | 356 | Minute black scavenger fly (Scatopsidae) ..... | 416 |
| Wasp .....                               | 358 | Humpbacked fly .....                           | 418 |
| Snakefly .....                           | 360 | Crane fly .....                                | 420 |
| Whip Scorpion .....                      | 362 | Gall midge .....                               | 422 |
| Waterbug (Gyrinidae) .....               | 364 | Short horned fly (Brachycera) .....            | 423 |
| Water strider .....                      | 366 | Click beetle .....                             | 424 |
| Long Legged Fly .....                    | 368 | Thrips .....                                   | 425 |
| A Beetle from the Order Coleoptera ..... | 370 | Termite .....                                  | 426 |
| Cockroach .....                          | 371 | Centipede .....                                | 427 |
| Ground Cricket .....                     | 372 | Lightning bug .....                            | 428 |
| Coleoptera .....                         | 373 | Sow bug (Isopod) .....                         | 430 |
| Lacewing (Chrysopa) .....                | 374 | Harvestman (Opiliones) .....                   | 431 |
| Darkling beetle (Tenebrionidae) .....    | 376 | Mayfly .....                                   | 432 |
| Spider mite (Acarina) .....              | 378 | Humpbacked fly .....                           | 434 |
| Flat Bark Beetle .....                   | 379 | Scelionid wasp .....                           | 435 |
| Bee (Hymenopteran) .....                 | 380 | Stonefly .....                                 | 436 |
| Spittlebug .....                         | 382 | Spider .....                                   | 438 |
| Pseudoscorpion .....                     | 384 | Harvestman (Opiliones) .....                   | 440 |
| Cephalotes ant .....                     | 386 | Spider, Ant .....                              | 442 |
| Scelionid Wasp (Scelionidae) .....       | 388 | Scorpion .....                                 | 444 |
| Lady bug .....                           | 390 | Fungus Gnat .....                              | 446 |
| Spider .....                             | 392 | Snout beetle .....                             | 448 |
| Lightning Bug .....                      | 394 | Dragonfly larva .....                          | 449 |
| Mayfly larva .....                       | 398 | Ironclad beetle (Zopheridae) and termite ..... | 450 |
| Assassin bug .....                       | 400 | Bee (Halictidae) .....                         | 452 |
| Spider mite (Acarina) .....              | 401 | Snout beetle .....                             | 453 |
| Snipe fly .....                          | 402 | Hoverfly .....                                 | 454 |
| Assassin bug .....                       | 404 | Mosquito .....                                 | 456 |
| Thrips .....                             | 405 | Cricket .....                                  | 458 |



|                            |     |                           |     |
|----------------------------|-----|---------------------------|-----|
| Stone centipede .....      | 459 | Poplar Leaf .....         | 530 |
| Fairyfly (Mymaridae) ..... | 460 | Katsura Tree Leaf .....   | 532 |
| Dragonfly .....            | 462 | Pacific Willow Leaf ..... | 534 |
| Scale insect.....          | 464 | Leguminosae Leaf .....    | 536 |
| Scorpion .....             | 466 | Serviceberry Leaf .....   | 538 |
| Rove Beetle .....          | 468 | Poplar Leaf .....         | 540 |
| Scorpion .....             | 470 | Aspen Leaf .....          | 542 |

**PLANT FOSSIL SPECIMENS.....472**

|  |     |                                     |     |
|--|-----|-------------------------------------|-----|
| Astronium Flower .....                   | 474 | Beech Leaf .....                    | 546 |
| Sassafras Leaf .....                     | 476 | Serviceberry Leaf .....             | 548 |
| Mountain Ash Leaf (Sorbus Aucuparia) ... | 478 | Seaweed .....                       | 550 |
| Acacia Flower .....                      | 480 | Magnolia Leaf.....                  | 552 |
| Katsura Tree Leaf .....                  | 482 | Wild Cherry Leaf.....               | 554 |
| Auracarian Cone .....                    | 484 | Fern .....                          | 556 |
| Conifer Twig .....                       | 486 | Walnut.....                         | 558 |
| Currant Leaf .....                       | 488 | Ficus Leaf .....                    | 560 |
| American Sweet Gum Fruit .....           | 490 | Birch Leaf .....                    | 562 |
| Apricot Leaf .....                       | 492 | Cassia Senna .....                  | 564 |
| Rhus Leaf .....                          | 494 | Magnolia Leaf .....                 | 566 |
| Dipterocarpaceae Leaf .....              | 496 | American Holly Leaf .....           | 568 |
| Sumac Leaf .....                         | 498 | Hop Tree Leaves .....               | 570 |
| Araucaria Cone .....                     | 500 | Fern .....                          | 572 |
| Redbud Leaf.....                         | 502 | Oak Leaf .....                      | 574 |
| Basswood Leaf .....                      | 504 | Hornbeam Leaf; Sequoia Leaves ..... | 576 |
| Conifer Cone .....                       | 506 | Hackberry Leaf .....                | 578 |
| Mahogany Leaf .....                      | 508 | Persea Leaf .....                   | 580 |
| Conifer Cone .....                       | 510 | Clubmoss .....                      | 582 |
| Turkey Oak Leaf .....                    | 512 | Pine .....                          | 584 |
| Astonium, Leguminosae,                   |     | Distylium Leaf.....                 | 586 |
| Oreopanax Leaves .....                   | 514 | Gingko Leaf .....                   | 588 |
| American Sweet Gum Fruit .....           | 516 | Hornbeam Leaf.....                  | 590 |
| Elm, Sequoia and Oak Leaves .....        | 518 | Zelkova (Elm) Leaf .....            | 592 |
| White Cedar Leaf .....                   | 520 | Fir Seed.....                       | 594 |
| Ribes Leaf .....                         | 522 | Allophylus Leaf.....                | 596 |
| Palm Leaf .....                          | 524 | Mushroom .....                      | 598 |
| Sequoia Branch .....                     | 526 | Mushroom .....                      | 602 |
| Rock Elm Leaf.....                       | 528 | Sycamore .....                      | 606 |
|  |     | Pacific Willow Leaf .....           | 608 |

**APPENDIX - I**

**DARWIN'S DILEMMA:  
THE SOUL .....610**

**INTRODUCTION .....612**

MATERIALISM HAS COLLAPSED AND  
DISAPPEARED .....616

THE EXTERNAL WORLD BEHIND  
QUANTUM PHYSICS .....634

THE BRAIN IS NOT THE SOURCE OF  
PERSONAL IDENTITY .....684

DARWIN'S DILEMMA: THE SOUL .....708

THE PERCEPTION OF TIME AND  
THE FACT OF DESTINY .....718

CONCLUSION .....736

**APPENDIX - II**

**HOW DID THE ANTICHRIST DIE? .....740**

**"The end of 150 years of  
Darwinist deception"**

**INTRODUCTION:  
THE GLAD TIDINGS OF  
THE GOLDEN AGE .....742**

**PART 1**

ANTICHRIST: THE GREATEST TRIAL  
OF THE END TIMES .....744

**PART 2**

DARWINISTS HAVE DECEIVED THE  
WHOLE WORLD WITH FRAUDS .....754

**PART 3**


DARWINISTS' METHODS OF DECEPTION  
OF THE WORLD .....804

**PART 4**

THE DARWINIST DECEPTION HAS  
FINALLY COME TO AN END .....852

**CONCLUSION**

ALMIGHTY ALLAH IS THE CREATOR  
OF LIFE .....866









# *Introduction*



## FOREWORD

Darwinist ideology is based on the imposition of a preconception on uninformed people. It makes use of society's general lack of knowledge on scientific matters. The method employed consists of abundant repetition, mass hypnosis and the literal casting of a magic spell.

The effect of this Darwinist mass hypnosis, based on lies and deception, has swamped almost the entire world; so much so that in the 20th century the great majority of people were turned into enthusiastic proponents of "evolution," about which they actually knew nothing at all. Most of them did not even know what they were supporting. They simply fell under the influence of pictures of series of mythical ape-men gradually beginning to walk upright or reports along the lines of "our forebearer was a worm" that appeared in the daily papers. Such indoctrination made this mass hypnosis successful. And because of that false success, almost nobody ever thought to enquire, "Is there any supporting scientific evidence?" And indeed there was no supporting scientific evidence for Darwinists to point to. For the people subjected to this indoctrination, evolution has become a false theory that they have encountered in almost every area of life and came across in the major dailies and magazine, a spurious theory that was officially taught in schools and protected and encouraged by state institutions in many countries and espoused by world-famous scientists. Despite being an obvious fraud, it was literally imposed as the truth through indoctrination, hypnotism and deception.

The hypnosis was disseminated through this Darwinist propaganda in which many groups were involved together, to the extent that some people became the unwitting proponents of an exceedingly dangerous theory that has inflicted terrible disasters on the world and that constituted the foundation of all the repressive systems that drowned the 20th century in blood. But that age has now come to an end, because a large number of works, and particularly the Atlas of Creation, which you are now holding, has revealed that science has totally demolished evolution.

In this fourth volume of the Atlas of Creation, in addition to fossil specimens dating back hundreds of millions of years that refute the theory of evolution and prove the fact of creation, you will also see scientific evidence showing how Darwinists misused science to carry out their mass hypnosis.

The book you are holding uses incontrovertible scientific evidence to prove that Darwinism is a fraud and it shows, again with incontrovertible evidence, that more than 350 million fossils completely refute evolution and declares to the entire world that not even a single protein can ever come into being by chance. This book exposes the mendacity and the audacity of the Darwinist dictatorship that has imposed the theory of evolution on the world using false evidence. It contains the scientific evidence that refutes Darwinism. Demagoguery will no longer gain the Darwinists anything.

The theory of evolution was developed under the outdated and exceedingly primitive scientific understanding of the 19<sup>th</sup> century.



# FICTITIOUS DRAWING

The theory of evolution is unable to account for the emergence of the first living cell, or even a single protein. The more than 350 million fossils unearthed to date contain not one transitional form showing that life forms are descended from one another. If fairy tales are to be taught in schools, the facts revealed by science should be taught alongside them. Children and students need to be taught, not with pagan teachings left over from ancient Sumerian times, but with the scientific realities of the 21<sup>st</sup> century.

## Darwinism is not science

With the distribution in Europe of the first three volumes of the *Atlas of Creation*, European societies subjected to Darwinist and materialist indoctrination for the previous century and a half for the first time had the opportunity to see the true facts. This work, which revealed that the theory of evolution is of no scientific value and is merely kept propped up for ideological reasons, has led to a major change of belief in Europe. Polls in different countries have revealed a major decline in the numbers of people believing in Darwinism, and that belief in creation has now begun to predominate in Europe.

Yet despite all the scientific evidence against it, Darwinism is still taught in schools, and particularly in universities, as if it were a scientific fact. It is espoused as an official ideology of state in many countries of the world and taught on a compulsory basis. This shows that the scientific struggle against Darwinism needs to be accelerated on a daily basis and that all societies need to be made aware of the Darwinist deception.

The way to enhance all believers' enthusiasm and determination on this subject is to show that the theory of evolution is a fraud and that science utterly rejects evolution, to reveal the sufferings, scourges and tribulations inflicted by Darwinism on the whole world, and to demonstrate that Darwinist and materialist beliefs lie at the root of all wars, genocide, slaughter, terror, oppression, violence and unhappiness and of the factors that most trouble society, from rising crime rates to increased levels of suicide.



People who lack sufficient knowledge of Darwinism, or who have not studied the subject in detail, may not be aware of what a grave danger Darwinism is. They may not be able to see how the theory of evolution underlies the social problems and moral degeneration that oppresses and distresses people today. Ignorance of this fact represents a major threat.

Since they are unaware of the harm that Darwinist thinking has inflicted on mankind over the last century and a half or so, these people are generally unable to grasp how vitally important the intellectual struggle against Darwinism is. But the fact remains that Darwinist ideology strives to deny the existence of Allah and the fact of creation (surely Allah is beyond that). It seeks to make people forget that they have a responsibility to our Lord. It inculcates the fraudulent idea that human beings are supposedly the work of blind chance and encourages them to live by the law of the jungle. It therefore gives rise to societies made up of people that are unaware of their responsibilities to Allah and the reason for their presence in this world, that think solely of their own self-interest, and that live in a state of ruthlessness, disloyalty, lovelessness, ingratitude and cruelty.

Darwinism, the falsehood that the immaculate equilibrium in the universe and all life came into being by chance, also represents the backbone of materialism. This unscientific and pernicious belief regards human beings as entities with no souls or responsibility to Allah and as merely a slightly more advanced species of animal. It maintains that relationships between people should be ruthless, selfish and based on conflict, rather than loving, compassionate and affectionate. Therefore, conflict is inevitable wherever the ideological structure of Darwinism prevails because this dangerous ideology regards such feelings as compassion, love, sympathy, loyalty and respect as elements that supposedly hold back the evolutionary process and that therefore need to be eradicated.

### **The error of underestimating the scientific struggle against Darwinism**

It is a grave error to imagine that Darwinism, propped up for ideological reasons even though it has collapsed scientifically, is harmless. The idea that life formed by chance, which goes back to the ancient Sumerians, consists of a failed theory that seeks to account for the origin of life in purely materialistic terms. This pagan religion, the real aim of which is to deny the existence of a Creator (surely Almighty Allah is beyond that), is a trigger that seeks to turn people away from belief in Allah, indoctrinates them with the idea that they are ruthless entities and leads to the shedding of the blood of the innocent. This bloody impact of Darwinism still persists today, and acts of terror and violence all over the world are nourished by this perverse theory. Therefore, the intellectual struggle to be waged against Darwinism is of the most vital importance if peace is to be brought to society and the moral values of the Qur'an are to reign over the world.



Conflict inevitably prevails where the ideological structure of Darwinism predominates. This dangerous ideology regards feelings such as compassion, love, sympathy, affection, altruism and respect as hindrances that slow down the supposed process of evolution, and that therefore need to be eliminated.



## **Darwinism is officially supported by many states**

Almost all the states of the world have adopted Darwinism as an official ideology and support this perverse ideology by a variety of means. In addition to encouraging atheism, this ideology has also officially banned discussion of belief in Allah. Professors who do not advocate Darwinism are suddenly removed from their posts and are unable to find positions in almost any other institution. Almost the entire world is under the dominion of the Darwinist dictatorship. It is literally impossible for someone who does not support the theory of evolution to acquire the title of scientist. A Darwinist professor will select the assistant he appoints from among Darwinist and materialist applicants and will never hire someone who is not a Darwinist. Indeed, the professor will seek to have them removed from the university entirely.

But despite all these facts, some people still fail to properly grasp the importance of anti-Darwinist activities and do not treat them sufficiently seriously. These people incorrectly regard it as impossible for a communistic, materialistic and irreligious system to develop in Muslim societies and thus ignore the danger inherent in allowing Darwinist ideologies to spread. But this is a serious error. The fate of peoples that have fallen into this error once again emphasizes the importance of anti-Darwinist, anti-materialist activities.

Everyone in just about all countries is well aware that evolution is an outdated myth and an unscientific ideology, and yet all students, teachers, academics and politicians are "forced to sign up to evolution."



## The prophets destroyed all idols erected against belief in Allah

History shows that the prophets never turned a blind eye to the idols in their communities. For example, the Prophet Abraham (pbuh) smashed the idols to stop his people from worshipping them. Allah reveals this as follows in the Qur'an:

**He broke them in pieces, except for the biggest one, so that they would have it to consult! They said, 'Who has done this to our gods? (Qur'an, 21:58-59)**

**They said, 'Did you do this to our gods, Abraham?' He said, 'No, this one, the biggest of them, did it. Ask them if they are able to speak!' They consulted among themselves and said, 'It is you yourselves who are wrongdoers.' But then they relapsed back into their disbelief: 'You know full well these idols cannot talk.' He said, 'Do you then worship, instead of Allah, what cannot help or harm you in any way? Shame on you and what you worship besides Allah! Will you not use your intellect?' (Qur'an, 21:62-67)**

As the above verses show, the prophet Abraham (pbuh) did not just shatter the idols. He chose a very clever way of doing so that would allow the people to realize that their idols had no power. By setting their consciences in motion, he allowed them to think in a direction that they had perhaps never gone down before.

In the same way, the Prophet Moses (pbuh) also smashed the idols of his people who again fell under the influence of their own perverse beliefs at a time when he was away from them. The Prophet Moses (pbuh) burned the golden calf, the idol of his tribe, and then scattered its ashes into the sea. In this way, the Prophet Moses (pbuh) made sure that his people would never again be able to worship their idols. Allah reveals this as follows in the Qur'an:

**He [Moses] said, 'What do you think you were doing, Samaritan?' He said, 'I saw what they did not see. So I gathered up a handful from the Messenger's footprints and threw it in. That is what my inner self urged me to do.' He said, 'Go! In the world you will have to say, "Untouchable!" And you have an appointment**



This jaguar skull fossil dates back to the Cretaceous Period and is 87 million years old.

Life forms that have remained unchanged for hundreds of millions of years are proof that living things never evolved. That is why fossils are the evidence that deals the greatest blow to the theory of evolution.





**which you will not fail to keep. Look at your god to which you devoted so much time. We will burn it up and then scatter it as dust into the sea. Your god is Allah alone, there is no god but Him. He encompasses all things in His knowledge.’ (Qur’an, 20:95-98)**

Our Prophet (saas) also warned his people against their idols and told them that these were quite powerless. Almighty Allah describes the situation thus in the Qur’an:

**Have you really considered al-Lat and al-‘Uzza and Manat, the third, the other one? (Qur’an, 53:19-20)**

**They are nothing but names which you yourselves have given, you and your forefathers. Allah has sent down no authority for them. They are following nothing but conjecture and what their own selves desire. And that when guidance has reached them from their Lord! (Qur’an, 53:23)**


As can be seen from these verses, what a believer has to do in order for the moral values of Islam to spread is first to intellectually destroy the false gods adopted by a community, definitively and irrevocably. The idol that today represents the foundation of the global threat of irreligiousness is the Darwinist, materialist ideology. It must not be forgotten that closing one’s eyes in the dark does not relieve that darkness. Pretending the darkness does not exist does not do away with it. In order to eliminate the darkness, one has to turn on the light. The light that needs to be lit now is an intensive anti-Darwinist, anti-materialist intellectual struggle.

## The *Atlas of Creation* is an intellectual blow to the greatest idols of our age

The fourth volume of the *Atlas of Creation* you are holding is the continuation of a major scientific blow to Darwinist, materialist ideology, because this work reveals fossils that were carefully kept hidden away by Darwinists and shows everyone that evolution never happened. Every one of the fossils in this book declares that "living things never underwent evolution." More than 350 million fossils, of which we can only include a very small number of specimens here, is concrete evidence that the evolutionary process is a myth, a mere fantasy. Hundreds of millions of fossils show that life forms never changed, that they never went through intermediate stages and they emerged in a single moment, with all their body parts. Hundreds of millions of fossils pointing to creation respond "not one single transitional form fossil exists." The meaning of this is clear; evolution does not exist, but rather it is creation that is a scientific fact. Darwinists have no evidence they can point to and no response to make in the face of this reality. That is why the *Atlas of Creation* represents such a lethal intellectual blow to Darwinism.

All power belongs to Allah, and all hearts are in His hands. It is of course Almighty Allah Who will change people's minds and eliminate perverse ideologies from the world and bring about the reign of Qur'anic moral values. The duty of a sincere believer is to trust in and rely on Allah and embrace the intellectual struggle by doing all in his power to further it. It is to strive against all idols, ideologies and false powers opposed to Allah, to advocate the Qur'an, proper moral values, peace and tranquility, using knowledge, science, reason and logic, and to bring about the reign of love and peace.

As Almighty Allah reveals in the verse, "**Rather We hurl the truth against falsehood and it cuts right through it and it vanishes clean away!..**" (Qur'an, 21:18), all perverse opinions and superstitious beliefs developed against Allah will inevitably be defeated, and all such superstitious beliefs will disappear.





# **STROMATOLITES:** 3.9-million-year-old living marvels that refute the theory of evolution

The oldest known fossils belong to bacteria that lived some 3.9 billion years ago. That is why evolutionists have claimed that the first single-celled bacterium emerged spontaneously, by chance, from inanimate matter. In order to be able to corroborate this myth, Darwinists refer to the first bacterium in question as a “simple” organism. In their eyes, this life form with supposedly simple characteristics, must have been influenced at random by various environmental circumstances and conditions and have undergone changes, always positive, and must have acquired various miraculous characteristics – such as producing its own nutrients and starting to replicate by mitosis from meiosis – again all by chance. But in order for this to transpire that unconscious bacterium would have to go even further and start thinking, it would have to have made various decisions and established colonies. It would also have to be capable of biochemical communications with other bacteria and eventually become the complex life form it is now. This illogical and irrational claim made by Darwinists was widely accepted due to the ignorance of the time, when science had not made much progress, and was heralded as a scientific fact. But this has all changed in the 21st century.

The major scientific advances taking place today have totally undermined the idea of a “primitive first bacterium” because current research in the light of advanced technology has revealed that these, the smallest life forms on earth, are not at all simple, as Darwinists maintain, that they have highly important and complex attributes, and that they could only have been created in a single moment by a sublime Mind and with an incomparable Knowledge. The fact revealed by science is that Allah has created bacteria, like all other living things.

## **Bacteria are essential for life on earth**


A chemical laboratory made up of elements we cannot see with the naked eye, that works non-stop and supports our survival, is present in all of nature. The bacteria we are considering in this chapter, each one of which is a miracle of creation, are expert chemists that make use of nature as a laboratory. A great many of the features of bacteria are still unknown because the size of these life forms, around 0.001 millimeters ( $3.93700787 \times 10^{-5}$  inches), generally makes it impossible to study

their internal structures properly. However, the available data still describe the systems in these life forms to us.

Bacteria are not primitive, as evolutionists like to claim. On the contrary, they possess complex systems that prove that they could not have come into being through a gradual process of evolution. There are many species of bacteria in nature, all with different characteristics.

Cyanobacteria represent the most complex group of bacteria, which exhibit these different features. However, this description is still far from describing cyanobacteria's characteristics and the wonderful functions they perform. Cyanobacteria possess very special cellular architectures and complex intra-cellular communications systems.

Even 3.9 billion years ago, cyanobacteria were marvelous and fully equipped life forms capable of making photosynthesis, carrying out nitrogen fixation (nitrogen that cannot be used in a gaseous form assuming the form of usable compounds), capable of movement without the bacterial whip (flagella) and using a string of proteins in order to make such motion possible.



The pictures show three types of cyanobacteria. (a: Nostoc, b: Oscillatoria, and c: Gleocapsa). These bacteria, which live in clean waters, have exceptionally complex chlorophyll. By means of these systems, which are almost as complex as plant chloroplasts, cyanobacteria perform the process of photosynthesis in nature. Nostoc cyanobacteria also play an important role in nitrogen transformation and the "fixing" of atmospheric nitrogen.

## The glorious characteristics of cyanobacteria that refute evolution

Cyanobacteria, also known as blue-green algae, are the oldest known prokaryotes, single-celled organisms, obtaining their energy through photosynthesis.<sup>1</sup> These life forms can manufacture the carbon they use for processes within the cell from the carbon dioxide in the atmosphere. At the same time, they are able to fix nitrogen gas in molecular form. The fact that these processes take place in the same place requires careful reflection and totally refutes the evolutionists idea that these life forms are “primitive.”

The enzyme nitrogenase, which is responsible for nitrogen fixation, is exceedingly sensitive to oxygen. For that reason, nitrogen fixation and the process of photosynthesis, which requires oxygen, should not be able to take place at the same time in cyanobacteria cells. In order to overcome this difficulty, the filaments in some cyanobacteria restrict the nitrogen fixation process with a special kind of cell known as heterocysts.

With their unique structure, heterocyst cells enable these two incompatible processes to be performed by the cyanobacteria.

In order to restrict the entry of oxygen, heterocyst cells are covered with a special sheath containing glycolipid and polysaccharide, a protective homogeneous envelope. A layer four nanometers thick develops through the interaction of waterproof chains, and this restricts the permeability of dissolved substances. Heterocysts have four separate membranes consisting of double-layer lipids, and these have different structures and functions.

In this way, carbon dioxide fixing through photosynthesis and nitrogen fixation in an oxygen-free environment, which cannot happen at the same time, are separated from one another, and cyanobacteria are able to discharge their functions.


## Cyanobacteria fossils: an insoluble dilemma for evolutionists

Rock strata in the Apex Chert region of Australia contain cyanobacteria fossils, or stromatolites (stromatoliths), dating back 3.9 billion years.


Stromatolites form in shallow water with the accumulation and freezing of the sediment of micro-organisms such as cyanobacteria. Stromatolites began forming in the Precambrian Period and are formed by calcium carbonate particles (limestone) carried by the sea adhering to the filaments formed by blue-green algae.<sup>2</sup> These structures are rich in chlorophyll. Over the geological ages they formed in globes or domes of various sized immediately beneath the surface of the sea.

Some of the characteristics of cyanobacteria, those marvelous micro-organisms, that refute the claim of the theory of evolution that life forms came into beings by chance, may be summarized as follows:

**Fossil remains of the world's oldest micro-organism, dating back 3.9 billion years, have been found in Australia's Apex Chert region. The cyanobacteria fossils at the top and left were discovered in Apex Chert and are 3.5 billion years old.**



Human beings and animals possess no mechanism allowing them to make direct use of solar energy. That energy can only be obtained by being synthesized as a result of the process of photosynthesis performed by green plants and micro-organisms. Cyanobacteria species that make photosynthesis produce more than half the oxygen in the atmosphere. The energy that these organisms manufacture with solar energy is stored in the form of simple sugars. This sugar is needed for the biochemical reactions essential for the survival and growth of living things on Earth, and also for respiration.



One in every ten cells in the filament-like cyanobacteria in the picture above is known as a heterocyst, and it is these cells, which are completely insulated from oxygen, that perform the task of fixing nitrogen.

As well as oxygen and carbon dioxide, living things also need nitrogen (N<sub>2</sub>) in order to survive. Nitrogen represents one of the fundamental building blocks in the living body, especially in the structure of nucleic acids, proteins and vitamins. The Earth's atmosphere consists of approximately 78% nitrogen. However, despite their needing it, living things cannot absorb this nitrogen in the air into their bodies. This gas has to be converted in some way into a form that living things can make use of and then recycled back into the atmosphere if it is not to run out. That need is again met by microscopic bacteria.

The living things that must first absorb nitrogen from the air are plants. Plants cannot use nitrogen in gas form. Nitrogen is converted by nitrite bacteria into nitrite, and nitrate bacteria then convert that nitrite into nitrate, a form that plants can use.

In carrying out the nitrogen cycle, the bacteria that evolutionists describe as "simple" actually function like living chemical laboratories, as with photosynthesis, and have been performing complex chemical reactions, beyond the comprehension of anyone without a close knowledge of chemistry, since the day they were first created.



The stromatolite fossil record is exceedingly rich, and they have been around in various environments on Earth for some four billion years. They were initially discovered in Shark Bay, Australia in 1956. They are also found in the Bahamas, the Indian Ocean, Africa and the USA.

### **The giant activities of cyanobacteria, those marvelous micro-organisms:**

- Cyanobacteria by themselves produce 30% of the Earth's annual amount of oxygen<sup>3</sup>; that figure is equivalent to the amount produced by all the green plants on Earth.
- These bacteria are very small in size, but their production is enormous. There are more than 100 of them in a liter of water, and they account for 10% to 20% of the productivity of the oceans. Despite being invisible, they predominate over a large part of the Earth.
- This form of bacterium is capable of performing very different processes (such as photosynthesis, nitrogen fixation (the process whereby nitrogen is turned into a form usable by living things) and respiration, within a single cell. This requires a matchless cell membrane system, as outlined above. For example, the bacteria need an oxygen-free environment for nitrogen fixation, but an oxygen-rich environment for all the other processes, and these require very different cell membranes. This architecture makes cyanobacteria one of the most complex members of the bacterial kingdom.<sup>4</sup>
- In 1980, cyanobacteria were observed to possess a "circadian" clock.<sup>5</sup> A circadian clock is the automatic regulation of the body according to its external environment, seen in multi-celled organisms. Cyanobacteria have been observed to engage in photosynthesis and respiration by absorbing oxygen into their cells for 12 hours a day, while they perform nitrogen fixation for the other 12 hours.






Stromatolites have a bacteria population of 3 billion per square meter. When these combine with sediments and organic materials and gradually grow to 10 million times their own size, they build structures up to 1.5 meters (5 feet) in size. Since they grow very slowly, a one meter stromatolite will be around 2,000 years old.<sup>8</sup>

- Cyanobacteria are able to move in water without using flagellae (the bacterial whip). The secret behind the way these organisms can move without using any body part has still not been adequately explained, despite ongoing research for the last 20 years. The hexagonal layer known as the "S layer," which makes up the most external layer of the cell, is three-dimensional and symmetrical. This layer is thought to play a role in cell movement. Because when the gene "swm6," which expresses that symmetry, is subjected to mutation, the cell has been observed to be unable to move.<sup>6</sup>

- Cyanobacteria are capable of manufacturing anticarcinogenic, antiviral and UV-protective substances. These characteristics mean they are used in genetic studies intended to benefit human beings. For example, the antiviral protein *Cyaniovirin-N* produced by the Cyanobacterium species *Nostoc ellipsosporum* neutralizes the HIV virus. This protein possesses the ability to adhere to the oligosaccharide structures that enable the HIV virus to attach to the cells. Studies on this subject are still being planned.<sup>7</sup>



The picture above shows images of the hexagonal and symmetrical "S layer" in cyanobacteria from above and from the side. The theory of evolution has no meaningful answer to the highly esthetic appearance and symmetry in the architecture of these living things. It is impossible for this symmetry and flawless system to come about by chance. The flawlessness in these tiny organisms clearly shows the creation of our Almighty Lord, the sublime Creator.



Bacteria are not only found in the seas or water sources. They are also agents present in all living things, from the human body to termites living underground to the root hairs on a plant. These organisms have spread everywhere on Earth and have been discharging their functions with the same perfection for billions of years. All this of course points to one single truth: Creation.

The proponents of the theory of evolution are in fact perfectly well aware that these organisms are not simple at all. That is why they are in a constant state of difficulty and doubt when they try to describe the characteristics and mechanisms possessed by the perfect organisms in question. Evolution is an unscientific theory unable even to account for the existence of a micro-organism.

The science and technology that have made such advances in the 21st century have revealed, under the scanning electron microscope, a brand new world that again refutes the theory of evolution. Yet another has been added to the increasingly lengthy list of questions for Darwinists about life on Earth. Every piece of research, every new finding, every characteristic we encounter in living things, is a means whereby we can discover the flawless artistry created by Allah in invisible things and whereby we can properly appreciate it.

The stromatolites on this page have been living in the Hamelin Pool Basin of Shark Bay, Australia for 4,000 years.

Stromatolite fossils dating back 3.9 billion years can be found in other regions of Australia. There is absolutely no difference between these 3.9-billion-year-old fossil stromatolites and those living today. These organisms have never evolved.



Bacterial strata gradually darken because of their sediments and move upward in order to reach the sunlight. As seen in the picture, one layer is added onto another and they eventually produce very large towers.



These fossil stromatolites in the Pilbara region of Australia date back to the Precambrian Era. The gray, convoluted layers show how stromatolites grow upward.

This stromatolite, one of the oldest-known microfossils in the fossil records, was found in Michigan in the United States of America.

This stromatolite fossil, some 2.4 billion years old, is a member of the cyanobacteria colonies, the oldest-known micro-organisms of Earth. Examination of stromatolites provides valuable information about the climate, geology, biodiversity and geography of the Earth billions of years in the past.

---

## **Fossil Stromatolite**

Period: Precambrian

Age: 2.4 - 2.2 billion years

Region: Michigan, USA



Layers gradually form as calcium carbonate (limestone) builds up on the fibers of growing bacteria. Bacteria initiate this build-up by making photosynthesis using carbon dioxide from the water around.

Particles and mineral dissolved in the water are trapped in the adhesive fluid

surrounding the bacteria and continue to produce new strata by growing upward. This process is repeated over and over again, and sedimentary layers form. The process is still continuing today.

Fossilized stromatolites dating back 3.9 billion years can be found in the sedimentary layers on all continents.



This fossil was unearthed in the state of Michigan, USA, and is an example resembling marble of stromatolitic materials belonging to when life first appeared on Earth.

Marble is a metamorphosed form of limestone. The green color so attractive to the eye is the result of serpentine, which forms when there is a high level of magnesium in the limestone. <sup>9</sup>



---

## Fossil Stromatolite

Period: Precambrian


Age: 2.4 - 2.2 billion years

Region: Michigan, USA

Fossil stromatolites are colonies of cyanobacteria, the oldest known organisms. These bacteria that lived billions of years ago, seen fossilized among rock strata, have highly complex structures and are capable of manufacturing oxygen. The processes that these organisms carry out in their micro-bodies, too small to be seen with the naked eye, exhibit a perfection that today's advanced technology is nowhere near approaching. Cyanobacteria, which Darwinists claim are "primitive early life forms," make photosynthesis using sunlight and convert water in-


to oxygen molecules as well as protons and electrons. The structures known as thylakoids they use for photosynthesis are located within the folds of the cell membrane. Thylakoids have special antennae known as phycobilisomes that collect light for photosystems. It is clear that such an architecture is not at all "primitive."

Considering both their structures and the processes they perform, these organisms, which date back 3.9 billion years, are not at all primitive, but have been highly complex ever since the moment they were first created.



This 2.4-billion-year Precambrian fossil stromatolite possesses striking colors and patterns. This species' red color, known as *Tiger iron*, is the result of jasper and black hematite (mineral iron). The contrasting bands of color form a striking pattern. It is generally used in jewelry and for decoration. Tiger iron essentially consists of silicon dioxide and is colored by iron oxide.

100



---

## Fossil Stromatolite

---

Period: Precambrian

Age: 2.4 billion years

Region: USA




Cyanobacteria's moving mechanism involves highly detailed and unique processes.

In the daytime, cyanobacteria remain close to the surface for photosynthesis, and at night they sink to the bottom to gather nutrients. They use gas vacuoles (empty spaces) to do this and constantly change their locations in the water.

In contrast to other bacteria, cyanobacteria employ a slow glide in order to move; but since they

have no whips, the mechanisms by which these organisms move is still not fully understood.

Complex proteins play a role in the slow, gliding motion that enables the cells to change location, but the structure of the "engine" and how the chemical compounds work is still a mystery. Nonetheless this mechanism has remained unchanged for billions of years, and has never altered.



# TRILOBITES:

Marvelous life forms that lived 530 million years ago refute evolution

Research in various regions of the world has revealed the different characteristics of all life forms from the Cambrian Period. These life forms have heads, bodies and digestive systems consisting of various complex components. Some have dozens of feet. Some have shells, some have antennae and others have gills. In short, every feature possessed by living things today, and more, existed together with all their complex structures in the oceans of the Cambrian Period.



## What Is a complex system?

Many of the organs that appeared in Cambrian life forms, such as eyes, antennae, limbs, mouth and gut are all complex systems that exhibit a number of basic features.

A complex system can be summarized as follows:

A complex system arises from a large number of smaller components that are all interrelated to one another. At the same time, this system is in a constant exchange and interface with surrounding structures. It is not enough for a single component to be operating in order for the complex system to function. All of its components need to work together at the same time, in harmony, and in a flawless manner.

The eye is an example of one such complex structure, consisting of a large number of components, each of which is linked to other equally complex structures. Individually, the substructures of the eye cannot make vision possible. In order for the eye to see, all the components have to perform their own individual functions together, and flawlessly.

The complexity encapsulated within any single eye manifests itself similarly in a single cell of a living thing, or even at the fundamental level of a single protein within that cell. This interrelated sophistication is the greatest obstacle facing the Darwinist claim of “small, random changes” as an explanation for the development of species. As we know, random effects are almost always destructive. Any random mutation affecting a complex system will cause the collapse of that system, even if it affects only one of its components. Therefore, a mutation in the optic nerve will cause blindness in the eye.

Excessive current—as in a power surge—will cause damage to a radio. No one can imagine that a power surge could turn that radio into a television. Therefore, any random effect impacting on the eye, a structure far more complex than any radio, will inevitably damage it.

With their complex structures, the Cambrian Period life forms we shall be looking at in this chapter expose the invalidity of the claims of evolution and show that these life forms were created.

## Cambrian life forms, with far more complex structures than those of many life forms living today, cause evolutionists to despair

According to evolutionary claims, the Cambrian Period is very early for the high level of biological complexity it exhibits. Darwinists claim that the complex structures possessed by living things are acquired gradually, over long periods of time. Therefore, species must have possessed rudimentary, primitive characteristics at the start of their supposed evolutionary histories and acquired more complex features only at the end of a very lengthy evolutionary process.

In fact, however, the history of life presents the exact opposite picture. The first creatures shared the same basic body structures as those living today; and the same complex organs such as eyes, antennae, limbs, mouths and guts. Therefore, complexity is a characteristic that came “in the first moment” in the history of life, rather than late. It has been in existence since they first appeared. This, no doubt, is a great impasse for evolutionists. The evolutionist researchers Marshall Kay and Edwin H. Colbert state that this state of affairs is an insoluble dilemma for Darwinists:

“The introduction of a variety of organisms in the early Cambrian, including such complex forms of the arthropods as the trilobites, is surprising . . . The introduction of abundant organisms in the record would not be so surprising if they were simple. Why should such complex organic forms be in rocks about 600 million years old and be absent or unrecognized in the records of the preceding two billion years? . . . If there has been evolution of life, the absence of the requisite fossils in the rocks older than the Cambrian is puzzling.”<sup>11</sup>

By hiding behind the concept of a “puzzle,” these evolutionist researchers are trying to gloss over the fact that all these complex systems emerged suddenly, hundreds of millions of years ago—a fact that by itself is enough to completely repudiate Darwinism. To show why this early complexity has such a devastating effect on Darwinism, it will be useful to examine the trilobite and the perfect and highly sophisticated structure of its eye.

Richard Fortey, an evolutionist paleontologist from London’s Natural History Museum, comments on the great number of lenses in some trilobite eyes:

“One of the most difficult jobs I ever attempted was to count the number of lenses in a large trilobite eye. I took several photographs of the eye from the different angles and then made enormous prints magnified large enough to see individual lenses. I started counting as one might, “One, two, three, four. . .” and so on, to a hundred or two. The trouble was that you had only to look away for an instant, or sneeze, to forget exactly where you were, so it was back again to “One, two, three. . .” . . . I got to a total of more than three thousand before I vowed that, in future, I would simply estimate the number of lenses in a bit of an eye, and use my best arithmetic to estimate the whole number.”<sup>12</sup>

More than 3,000 lenses means that the animal in question received more than 3,000 images. This clearly shows the degree of the complexity in the eye and brain structure of a creature that lived 530 million years ago. This flawless structure cannot have come into existence through evolution, as is set out by David Raup, a professor of geology from Harvard, Rochester and Chicago universities:

“Thus the trilobites 450 million years ago used an optimal design which would require a well trained and imaginative optical engineer to develop today—or one who was familiar with the seventeenth-century optical literature.”<sup>13</sup>





This fossil trilobite, dating back to the Devonian Period, 417 to 354 million years ago, was found in Morocco. The amazing architecture of the eye has been preserved in all its detail.

One characteristic of Cambrian fossils is that they've been so very well preserved. During fossilization under normal conditions, the hard part of the outer shells, consisting of chitin and calcium, should be decayed or dispersed. Yet in the great majority of specimens collected from Cambrian rocks, the exoskeletons maintain nearly all their original forms, and their owners' main internal systems can also be seen.



The body of a trilobite consisted of various segmented sections, all joined together. Each was connected to the adjoining ones with a hinge, forming a linked system much like modern railway carriages. By means of this segmented body, these creatures could easily move over obstacles, flex and turn.<sup>14</sup>




Each wide, hemispherical eye may have had hundreds or even thousands of lenses, each of which perceived an image from a different direction. Some faced straight forwards, others to the side, and some even faced backward. Each lens focused on an area determined for it. The trilobite was thus able to perceive danger approaching from any direction, and also possessed a great advantage when hunting.



One of the most important characteristics of the trilobite eye is its "calcite crystals." Calcite crystal, or calcium carbonate, is the building block of pyramids, amphitheatres and historic columns that have survived the centuries down to the present day. And this gave rise to the compound eyes in these life forms. <sup>15</sup>



The trilobite had the same compound eye structure as that of the present-day housefly, shown above. Some species of trilobite had thousands of lenses in their eyes. Every lens perceived a separate image of the region it focused on. The greater the number of lenses, the greater the resolution of the image. Perfect trilobite eyes, dating back half a billion years, are truly a marvel of creation.



## Fossil Trilobite

Period: Devonian

Age: 417 - 354 million years

Region: Morocco



---

## Fossil Trilobite

---

Period: Ordovician

Age: 480 million years

Region: Russia

The way that all a living thing's characteristics remain preserved in stone is a great miracle worked by Allah. A living thing that should decay and become part of the surrounding soil after it dies can sometimes leave behind all its details, from the suckers it possesses to its digestive system, and sometimes even its nerve endings. In this way, the evidence of its existence is exhibited in rock dating back millions of years. Allah's glorious creation and amazing artistry are there for all to see, in the manner that Allah chooses, despite the passage of millions of years. One of the greatest proofs of this immaculate artistry is that of the Precambrian life forms.

Another reason for concentrating on these life forms is to enable evolutionists, who are astonished by the way Precambrian life forms appeared approximately 500 million years ago, to see the true facts and to enlighten people who blindly believe in evolution. The scientific evidence clearly shows that no process of evolution ever took place in the course of natural history. All life forms appeared in one moment, with no evolutionary forebearers, and came into being possessing all of their complex characteristics; in other words, they were created.

Our Lord, the Creator of all, reveals the creation of living things in the following verse:

Allah created every animal from water. Some of them go on their bellies, some of them on two legs, and some on four. Allah creates whatever He wills. Allah has power over all things. (Qur'an, 24:45)





This fossil trilobite is 480 million years old and dates back to the Ordovician Period. It was unearthed in Russia.

The antennae on the upper part of the trilobite's head are thought to both serve as a nose or olfactory organ and also enabled the animal to perceive what was going on around it.



The trilobite was a flawless life form with exceedingly complex characteristics that lived in the Cambrian Period. It was able to see the world of that time through perfect eyes, and it spread across the world with its perfect architecture. The eyes, one of any living things' most complex organs, appeared at once with no supposed "primitive form" behind it. The perfect eyes possessed by this life form had no evolutionary origins, because its perfect eyes never evolved.

All these perfections possessed by the trilobite, all its complex structures, its amazing eyes and the colors we cannot currently see, were all created 530 million years ago.





It is Allah Who created trilobites from nothing, Who fully knows the features they possessed, how they lived and how they saw.

Allah reveals in the following verse that:

There is no creature on the earth which is not dependent upon Allah for its provision. He knows where it lives and where it dies. They are all in a Clear Book. (Qur'an, 11:6)

---


## Fossil Trilobite

---

Period: Devonian

Age: 350 million years

Region: Atlas Mountains, Morocco



## Complex, Cambrian Period life forms that have left remains behind and the evolutionist panic

The organisms that appear in Cambrian strata, and the research into them, clearly and definitely reveal that no process of evolution ever took place. This fact has led to terrible panic among all evolutionists, Darwin included, and obliged them to review all the claims they had made so far.

Some Darwinists who hadn't expected this sudden appearance in the Cambrian Period began to doubt the very theory they had advocated. However, others stepped up their endeavors to keep alive the theory, which had been definitively repudiated by the evidence. As the result of this, they produced highly exaggerated and nonsensical theories, totally inconsistent and all very different from one another, based on no scientific evidence, and wholly incompatible with logic and reason. Their objective was to try to introduce some factor of evolution into the Cambrian explosion, which they had ignored for many years but which the overwhelming weight of the evidence had finally forced them to accept.

Dr. Raymond G. Bohlin, a scientist and an expert in the fields of zoology, population genetics, and molecular and cellular biology—and who espouses creation—describes these astonishing and fruitless efforts:




“So, where is the documentation for the long history of the [so-called] evolution of these creatures? The usual answer is that the necessary fossil layers prior to the Cambrian period have not been discovered yet. The fossils are just missing! How convenient! This, after all, was Darwin’s excuse and many evolutionists after him followed suit. Well, recent discoveries from Canada, Greenland, China, Siberia, and Namibia document quite clearly that this period of biological creativity occurred in a geological instant virtually all around the globe. So, the usual excuse no longer holds water. . . . [According to evolutionists] Darwinism has always been characterized by slow gradual change that is imperceptible in our time frame. Major evolutionary change was only visible as we looked to the fossils to reveal the number and type of intermediates between species and major groups. But the Cambrian explosion is anything but gradual, and identifiable intermediates are totally absent.”<sup>16</sup>

All the scientific evidence shows that the first living things to appear on Earth did not develop through evolution, and that new species did not develop by their turning into another species. Cambrian life forms appeared on the stage of history with no supposed primitive forebear behind them. Evolutionists have been unable to produce “one single piece of evidence” from the fossil record, which should represent the most important source of evidence and greatest witness for the supposed “process of evolution” they have been talking about for the last 150 years. Not one of the mechanisms proposed by the theory of evolution has been seen to bring about evolution. No branch of science supports the theory of evolution in any way. On the contrary, they constantly produce new evidence to refute it.

Cambrian life forms from 530 million years ago, in which some 50 phyla containing all the basic structures of the animal world were alive, have radically undermined the theory of evolution. Evolutionists are still trying to recover from this surprise and to gloss over this extraordinary phenomenon. Yet the Cambrian explosion remains a fact, in the face of which evolution has melted away.

Darwinism will appear as whimsical to future generations as the stories about the Earth resting on the back of a giant turtle that people in past centuries believed in so passionately. People will fail to understand how Nobel Prize-winning professors, members of academic staffs and societies came to believe in such a farce and lined up wholeheartedly behind such nonsense. The 20<sup>th</sup> century will go down as a century of shame for all Darwinist scientific circles.

He is Allah – the Creator, the Maker, the Giver of Form. To Him belong the Most Beautiful Names. Everything in the heavens and earth glorifies Him. He is the Almighty, the All-Wise. (Qur’an, 59:24)



**Fossil Trilobite**  
**Period: Ordovician**  
**Age: 480 million years**  
**Region: Russia**

# LUMINOUS LIFE FORMS:

**Marvelous life forms that have been manufacturing light for millions of years refute evolution**

500 meters  
(1,640 feet)



## Hatchetfish

The hatchetfish uses the light it manufactures for camouflage. There is a light strip on the lower part of its body, much like a double row of light bulbs.

Those parts of the sea that are deeper than 1,000 meters (3,300 feet below sea level) are known as “black depths,” and there is absolutely no light in such waters. Until very recently, it was thought that nothing could live at such depths. The fact is, however, that by means of improvements in diving technology over the last 25 years, life has been found in these depths and some 50,000 new species have been discovered.

Researchers investigating life in the ocean depths realized that these dark waters were not in fact quite so dark after all. The life forms in these dark depths were observed to make use of bright lights for a range of purposes. Scientists were amazed to discover that these life forms, which possess bioluminescent organs, manufacture light of different colors and in different frequencies and use them with great expertise.<sup>17</sup>

When we look at the fossil records, we see that these life forms, which the scientific world has only recently become acquainted with, were actually living millions of years ago. Luminous fish have left behind detailed remains in the rock strata. Fossils provide accurate scientific data dating back to millions of years in the past. The truth imparted by these petrified photographs is that these life forms were producing light in pitch black waters millions of years ago and never changed over millions of years; in other words, they never evolved at all.

Darwinists base their theory, not on the scientific data, but on a superstitious belief in chance. They are totally unable to explain how such a life form, devoid of any intelligence or consciousness and indeed unaware what light even is, could have begun manufacturing light millions of years ago.

There is a huge significance in these creatures, which remained a secret in the depths of the oceans for thousands of years, for human beings able to observe them today. This diversity is a means whereby mankind can once again see and grasp the infinite might of Almighty Allah, Who created them all.

**BIOLUMINESCENT LIFE FORMS MANUFACTURE COLD LIGHT**

Some of the life forms in the deep oceans manufacture light with their own internal organs, while using bacteria in their bodies to produce light. This production of cold light, known as bioluminescence, is the result of highly complex chemical reactions. These life forms can emit red, orange, yellow, green, blue and violet light in different shades and frequencies.

**Flashlight fish**

This fish’s light organ is in the lower part of the eye. They use that light to communicate with members of the same species and to attract other living things as prey.



**Angler fish**

The angler fish takes its name from a luminous rod on the upper part of its head. It turns a blue light at the tip of the rod on and off in order to attract its prey. As soon as the prey approaches the rod it senses it and immediately attacks with its powerful jaws.

1,000 meters (3,300 feet)

## THE LUMINOUS STRATEGY OF THE HATCHETFISH

One bioluminescent marvel living at depths of 1,000 meters (3,300 feet) is the hatchetfish. Some ten centimeters (four inches) long, this fish has large eyes, located as to be looking upward because fish living at these depths tend to hunt prey passing above them.

At the same time, they themselves have to be invisible. Their bodies have been created so as to make this possible. Their bodies are flat and silver in color, reflecting the color of the water like a mirror, which camouflages them in the darkness. Some fish that look downward can still identify them, however, because by means of their large eyes, fish at these depths are able to distinguish their prey with light filtering down from the surface.

But the hatchetfish possesses an amazingly deceptive system against this danger from two eyes looking down from above.

It has very special organs known as photophores that manufacture light on the lower part of

its abdomen. Two different chemical substances are combined together in these organs to initiate a chemical reaction, the result being biological light, or bioluminescence.

The organs in the fish's abdomen imitate light filtering down from the surface and produce light of exactly the same color. The hatchetfish thus prevents its shadow being seen from below.<sup>18</sup>


This astonishing defense system is obviously the product of a superior intelligence. The hatchetfish cannot be aware of how it is visible from beneath. Neither can it know how light is manufactured. It is impossible for such a sensitive system to have appeared in the animal's body by chance.

These marvelous characteristics of the hatchetfish are not the result of blind chance, as evolutionists maintain, but one of the countless examples in the universe showing they were created by Omniscient and Almighty Allah.

The 37 to 23-million-year-old hatchetfish on the left clearly shows that living things did not emerge as the result of an evolutionary process by going through various stages. The hatchet fish produces light in the same way today as it did millions of years in the past.








When the light goes into full operation, the fish assumes the same color as the light coming down from above. This confuses predators lurking above, so they can no longer make the hatchetfish out. This is exactly like turning a light bulb on in broad daylight. As the product of Allah's flawless creation, this tiny fish, that nobody is even aware of, uses a wonderful tactic and perfect technology to protect itself.



Just as with the firefly, this light is the result of the breakdown of a protein known as "luciferin" and the simultaneous operation of the enzyme luciferase.<sup>19</sup>



The chemical substances inside bioluminescent organs enter into reactions and emit a cold, blue light resembling that filtering down from the surface.<sup>20</sup>



The hatchetfish that lives at depths of 1,000 meters (3,300 feet) approaches the surface to hide from predators and turns on its bioluminescent organs.

Bioluminescent organs (photophores)



The hatchetfish possesses organs capable of emitting light, known as photophores. These organs are set out along the underside of the stomach.

## The advanced technology in life forms at the bottom of the sea

The electric light bulb was invented by Edison in 1879 following hundreds of experiments. Edison's technique was based on a simple logic, and of course the first light bulbs had only a very short life span. This problem has today been resolved, and some electric light bulbs can last for many years. But there is still one problem that has not been solved: normal bulbs have an efficiency level of only 10%, and some 90% of the energy is wasted. This represents a significant energy loss.

All the light in the universe is basically produced in the same way. Electrons play the main role in light production. When an electron absorbs energy, it deposits an energy packet known as a photon in an upper orbit and passes on to a lower orbit. The electrons in the Sun, or those shining in a light bulb are affected thermally. That is why light also gives off heat. While 3% of the energy turns into light, the remaining 97% is lost in the form of heat.


The solution to this problem is being sought in nature, because there are life forms in nature that manufacture light with an efficiency level of 100%. The electrons in the "cold light" or bioluminescence produced by many life forms in nature perform a chemical reaction that releases no heat. In contrast to the light bulb, almost 100% of the energy in the chemical process of bioluminescence is turned into light, and no loss of energy takes place.

One of the most striking examples of bioluminescent technology is to be found in the oceans. The light technology used by light-emitting fish, jellyfish, sea urchins and some shellfish is so perfect as to amaze scientists.


Although the techniques that all these life forms employ to emit light are similar, they are not exactly the same. However, their common feature is that, unlike human beings, they do not have to use giant power plants, generators or batteries to manufacture light. The light technology in living things at the bottom of the ocean has none of the disadvantages of the systems used by human beings: there is no noise, no power disruptions, no heat given off and no harmful waste products.

There is a highly important point to the fact that every living thing in the universe, great or small, is equipped with characteristics requiring a very high level of knowledge and intelligence. Those who seek the source of this sublime intelligence in life forms with no consciousness are making a grave error. Any such "work" obviously points to an "intelligence" that produced it. The life forms that possess such stunning characteristics are all manifestations of the omniscience and matchless power of Almighty Allah, their Creator.

Fish are not the only light-emitting life forms; some squid, jellyfish, seaweed, shrimp, plankton, lightning bugs and some species of fungi all know, through inspiration by Allah, how to manufacture their own light.



Light-emitting squid



Light-emitting jellyfish



Light-emitting fungus



Lightning bug

The manufacture of electricity requires a specialized knowledge and expertise. Whether you use a battery to obtain electricity or a giant power station, specific experience and knowledge on the subject is essential. But here we are discussing unconscious living things, not electrical engineers. These life forms certainly have no knowledge of concepts such as luciferin, luciferase and ATP. They are unaware of even a single atom involved, let alone their chemical structures, formulae and interactions.


In addition to it being impossible for the whole electrical chain to emerge in stages, it is also impossible for a single chemical involved, such as luciferin, to do so. It would be pointless for any one link in the chain of chemical reactions to emerge by itself. It is essential for all the processes involved to take place one by one, in the right sequence and order. It is Omniscient and Almighty Allah Who teaches these living things, with no consciousness or knowledge of biochemistry, to produce light.

# EXPERT BACTERIAL LIGHT PRODUCERS

The energy required in the basic reaction that takes place as bacteria manufacture light is obtained from the ATP of the bacterial cell. Oxygen binds to a molecule known as luciferin and a new molecule thus emerges. The marvelous thing here is the appearance of light as a side product of this reaction.

Evolutionist logic maintains that living species emerge in a gradual process of evolution from the primitive to the more advanced. The fact is, however, that even organisms with the very least complexity still possess marvelous features that human beings cannot replicate. For example, according to evolutionary logic, bacteria are some of the supposedly “most primitive” life forms there are. Yet these micro-organisms have been using advanced technology to manufacture light for millions of years.<sup>21</sup>

The chemical reaction bacteria use to produce light:



The formula for this chemical reaction is;



The energy needed in the basic reaction in bacteria's production of light is taken from the bacterial cell's ATP. Oxygen binds to a molecule known as luciferin and forms a new molecule. The marvelous thing here is that light is produced as a byproduct of this reaction.

This formula, that someone without a knowledge of chemistry will likely not understand, is only a brief summary of the light production system in bacteria.

The chain of reactions that take place during light production may well be compared to a clockwork mechanism functioning with a number of interconnected cog wheels. The chemical reaction, whose formula is given above, takes place where these cog wheels touch one another. However, in order for there to be constant light emission, the molecules used also have to be restored to their original forms because these molecules are consumed by being turned into other molecules, like a candle burning down. The chain reaction, functioning like cog wheels, enables molecules to return to their original forms.

The majority of processes requiring continuity in the cells take place with these cog wheels, as it were, being literally turned into one another by such molecular systems.

As we have seen, many various components need to be present together for light production. When these components are present at the right time, in the right place and perform the right processes, the result is a most superior product – the manufacture of light.

Just one flaw in the components in this system, or the absence of just one enzyme involved in the process, or the slightest error in their architecture, will halt this system of production. This is like damage to one of the clock's cog wheels impairing the functioning of it.

In the same way that it is impossible for a clock consisting of cog wheels all working together in harmony to emerge by chance, so the light produced by the chain reaction made up of biological cog wheels cannot be the work of chance, either.

The amazing miracle of light in living things is part of the artistry of Allah, and one of the proofs of His infinite might and omniscience.

Another amazing point is that all these reactions and processes take place in micro-organisms just 0.001 millimeters ( $3.93700787 \times 10^{-5}$  inches) in size. There is no doubt that the way this perfect system is packed into such an extraordinarily small space shows that these organisms are created by Omniscient and Almighty Allah.

## LIGHT: THE COMMON PRODUCT OF FISH AND BACTERIA

The white layers immediately beneath the eye in some fish is a light organ where bacteria gather. By using this light organ, which resembles the headlights of a car, the fish catches prey entering into the light and can also send signals to attract a mate.<sup>22</sup>

The fact that bacteria produce this light, not for themselves, but for the fish they inhabit is particularly striking.

Bear in mind that this light is of no use to the bacteria. The theory of evolution is totally unable to account for this. The theory of evolution maintains that those changes

arising in living species due to mutations that are useful will be selected and so evolution will take place in the species concerned. The fact is, however, that there is no possibility of random mutations being able to give rise to such a complex system as light production and, in addition, the production of light will not benefit the organism concerned, the bacterium, in any way.

The bacteria cannot conceive of and apply such a technology, and the fish certainly cannot identify such a specific characteristic in the bacteria and include it in their own bodies in such a perfect way. The way that bacteria work together to emit light with a sublime technology on behalf of another living thing is the work of Allah's flawless and incomparable creation.



## COMMUNICATION AMONG BACTERIA

Research investigating the bacterium with the scientific name of *Vibrio fischeri* have revealed some highly interesting facts. They established that these bacteria were in communication with one another. According to this research, cells encourage neighboring cells to perform various functions by sending one another special messenger molecules.

Bacteria release a messenger molecule known as AHL in order to simulate one another. This AHL molecule activates a protein known as LuxR. The activated LuxR then activates a marvelous system known as Lux Operon created for light production by binding to the DNA. This system produces both the molecules and enzymes necessary for light production and also the AHL messenger molecules. These AHLs then increase light production by stimulating other bacteria.<sup>23</sup>

One important detail here is the way the bacteria are present in large numbers and the aggregation of the AHL molecules. In this way, the bacteria are able to emit a large amount of bright light for the fish to use. Indeed, when these bacteria are alone in the sea, no light production like that in the fish takes place, since there is no accumulation of AHL, and thus no light is given off.<sup>24</sup>



The 95-million-year-old fossil flashlight fish above shows that these life forms have remained unchanged for millions of years. The bacterial pouches beneath the fish's eye was fossilized in the same form as it is today. Although Darwinists try to conceal the fact, these fossils show that 95 million years ago the fish was using light emitted by the bacteria within its body and was able to perform the same complex processes as it is today.

# FLYING INSECTS:

## Marvelous life forms with perfect wings that lived millions of years ago refute evolution

The ability to fly has been mankind's dream for thousands of years, a goal toward which numerous scientists and researchers have expended labor, time, money and occasionally, their lives. Apart from a few very primitive experiments, it became possible to make self-propelled flying vehicles only in the early years of the 20<sup>th</sup> century.

Over the last 40 years, the scientific world has made it a priority to understand insects' superior flying performance. The delicate and flawless architecture in insects' flight mechanisms amaze researchers.

Some of these tiny creatures can hover suspended in the air, fly backwards, suddenly accelerate to more than 150 kilometers per hour (90 MPH) and engage in aerobatics far superior to even the best-trained fighter pilot. Insects have highly advanced mechanisms for flight, including perfect wings, a light exoskeleton, organs which perfectly regulate and control balance, and advanced warning systems that enable them to navigate and take off. Their bodies are covered by an exoskeleton that fulfill a set of functional roles including moving their legs and wings.

Airplanes operate by using the flow of air over their wings and are propelled with the assistance of combustion engines. Insects, on the other hand, have no such engines for takeoff, yet merely beating their wings gives them a powerful lift.<sup>25</sup> In order to take off, most insects have to beat their thin wings very fast—and during flight, an average of several hundred times per second.<sup>26</sup> Some insects beat their wings 600 times per second. Such rapid movement taking place in so short a time is impossible to replicate technologically.



## 21<sup>st</sup> century researchers use insects as models for progress


In recent years, various scientific research groups and major universities have been working on manufacturing micro-flight vehicles by imitating insects' flight mechanisms. One of the most important of these is supported by the British-based Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council and is playing a key role in the new aerial vehicles' development.<sup>27</sup>

Dr. Richard Bomphrey, from the Department of Zoology at the University of Oxford, says that the findings from analysis of the architecture of insects' wings "will make it possible to aerodynamically engineer a new breed of surveillance vehicles that, because they're as small as insects and also fly like them, completely blend into their surroundings."

The research team uses cutting-edge computer modeling capabilities and the latest high-speed, high-resolution camera technology to investigate insect wing structure and performance. Key to the work is the calculation of air flow velocities around insect wings. This is achieved by placing insects in a wind tunnel, seeding the air with a light fog and illuminating the particles with pulsing laser light - a technique called Particle Image Velocimetry.<sup>28</sup>



One important characteristic of the insects that appear suddenly in the fossil record, together with all their flawless structures, is their extraordinary flight techniques. These marvelous flying insects have had the same wing architecture since they were first created as they have today, 125 million years later. They have never undergone any evolution. This beetle that lived 125 million years ago was petrified in stone together with all its fine detail.



According to Dr. Bomphery, different types of insect wing serve different purposes. "For instance," he says, "bees are load-lifters, a predator such as a dragonfly is fast and maneuverable, and creatures like locusts have to range over vast distances." Investigating the differences between insect wing structures has been a key focus in engineering micro flying robots. These ecological differences have led to a variety of wing designs depending on the task needing to be performed. Micro flying vehicles and micro-cameras installed on them will be able to be used in a great many fields.<sup>29</sup>


Despite all the specialists working on the subject and all the technological means at their disposal, it is thought that insect-sized flying machines will only become a reality in the next 20 years.

Making a robot that beats its wings is no easy matter. What really defeats scientists is the impossibility of giving that robot the intelligence that makes flight possible. The California University Electrical Engineering Faculty Professor Ron Fearing says, "The good news is we know what the wings need to do. The bad news is we don't know how to do it."<sup>30</sup> Michael Dickinson, a University of California Berkeley Professor of Biology, states that fruit flies beat their wings 200 times per second and use three different mechanisms in order to take off. This lets a fruit fly make a U-turn in the air with just eight wing movements, in as little as 40 milliseconds.<sup>31</sup> According to Dickinson, in order to achieve such a level of control, the insect robot at Berkeley can make mistakes only three times, and on the fourth one, it will drop dead. Robert Michelson, the chief engineer at Georgia Technology Research Institute, states how difficult it is to construct a robot that beats its wings for balance and control:

"Until we can do things as well as you find them in creation, you have to go to alternate techniques."<sup>32</sup>

Even though humanity possesses formidable technology, intelligence, energy, and financial backing, people still cannot imitate the systems we witness in nature. Marvels of creation that human beings are powerless to replicate show Allah's matchless creative artistry. Insects of whatever size, large or small, have been able to fly through inspiration from Allah throughout the 250 to 300 million years of their existence on Earth up to the present day. Many insect species we see in nature today even have a flying ability superior to that of birds. The perfection in insects' wings is one of the proofs of Allah's immaculate creation.





The dragonfly flight system is a marvel of creation. It has two pair of wings located diagonally on its body. The wings work in counterpoint; as the two front wings are rising, the two wings in the rear are falling. Wing movement is established by two opposite groups of muscles. One end of the muscles is attached to an extension in the body in the form of a winch. One muscle group contracts to enable one pair of wings to rise, while the other muscle group relaxes to an equivalent degree to allow the second pair of wings to fall.

Dragonflies can reach speeds of between 30 to 60 kilometers per hour (18 to 37 MPH) in a very short space of time. The dragonfly also possesses tremendous maneuverability. No matter at what speed or what direction it may be flying in, it can stop momentarily and start moving in the opposite direction. Or it can hover in the air, waiting in a suitable position.

Sikorsky, one of the world's leading helicopter manufacturers, based the model it produced to carry troops and material on the dragonfly. Photographs representing the dragonfly's flight techniques were loaded onto a IBM 3081, and 2,000 special illustrations based on the way the insect moves in the air were produced.

Thinking of how many factors involved in order for an aircraft to fly gives a much better idea of the actions performed by insects such as the dragonfly, based on exceedingly complex calculations:

The length of an aircraft, its height, wing span, wing surface area, maximum take-off weight, maximum landing weight, number of engines and power, fuel capacity, maximum range, travel speed, take-off distance; these all need to be exactly right.

This long list of calculations continues to be performed during flight: the altitude at which the vehicle is to fly, the maneuvers to be made, to descend or to maintain course without any unsteadiness, the rate of fuel consumption, the measures to be taken in the event of difficult air conditions. The list is long and quite complex. Insects, on the other hand, make none of these calculations. From the moment they hatch, they act like flawless miniature flying machines applying all these delicate calculations in the most perfect way. Their flight is highly controlled, balanced and expert.



One hundred and twenty-five million years ago, at a time when evolutionists maintain the world was a primitive place, dragonflies possessed a flight system that today's technology simply cannot match.



## Darwinists must abandon their errors before it is too late

While the scientific world is still unable to fully account for insects' bodily structures and flight techniques, it is the height of sophistry to claim that these came about by chance. The mutations that Darwinism points to as the supposed architects of these systems are in reality harmful effects that merely cripple a life form, cause permanent injury to its organs and even result in its death. It is impossible to maintain that mutations that take place solely on the basis of chance can bring into being an insect's wings, muscles, nerves and antennae and complex systems such as respiration and digestion. It is a terrible error to attach any credence to such nonsense.

No coincidence can create a flying machine, such as a helicopter. Even if all the components of a helicopter are left scattered about in a large field, natural events will still never be able to produce a helicopter from them. It is as illogical to propose this as it is to suggest that an insect's wings or any other organ or system in its body could emerge as the result of blind chance. It is obvious that these marvelous systems in living things can only fully function, and the organism can only survive, when they are all present together.

It is impossible for an insect with no knowledge of the mechanisms by which it might fly to design them and for unconscious cells to carry out such complex functions.

The conscious systems we see in insects clearly indicate creation. We particularly see this when we look at fossils: dragonflies, various species of flies and beetles appear suddenly in the fossil record with all the marvelous properties they possess today and with no evolutionary ancestors preceding them. Fossils show us, quite simply, that evolution never happened.

The facts revealed by the scientific data and fossil records conflict with both the claims that Charles Darwin first made 150 years ago and with all the present-day versions and variations of the theory of evolution.

For anyone to still espouse the theory of evolution in the face of all these facts is of course highly thought-provoking. Such people still follow along in Darwinism's wake and turn their backs on the true facts; but this is merely a short-term deception. The facts are much clearer now than they were in Darwin's time and also much easier to establish. The number of people seeing and accepting the facts is growing, and there is a rapid decline in the numbers of people who believe unquestioningly in evolutionary fairy tales. The scientific proof cannot be ignored and hidden as easily as it was in Darwin's day. Research is uncovering new and marvelous properties in living things every day and invalidating the evolutionist concept of chance. Every new scientific finding shows that the universe was created by an Intelligence superior to all things. Disciplines such as genetics, microbiology, paleontology, geology and all other branches of science constantly and clearly reveal the fact of creation.

There is no doubt that this scientific progress will continue to take place and that science will become one of the finest means of describing the creative artistry of Allah; this is because all knowledge belongs to Allah. Darwinism, on the other hand, will go down in history as an unscientific theory condemned to obsolescence, much like the geocentric model of the universe or alchemy.

People will be amazed how they ever believed in such a theory.

There is no evidence that living things capable of flight evolved from other life forms. On the contrary, their complex structures and the way they appear suddenly in the fossil record show that all these life forms were created at once, together with their necessary flight systems.

The way that ladybugs, which also possessed a flawless wing structure millions of years in the past, prepare their twin wings for flight is a complete miracle of creation.

Outer wings containing chitin surround the elastic, membranous flying wings like a sheath. When the insects wish to fly it opens its outer wings, literally like a hydraulic gate, and the larger flight wings folded underneath emerge. These crumpled flight wings become smooth and elastic almost instantly. This attractive insect then takes off and flies away.



One environment in which fossils form is amber. The amber from trees flows down and covers a living thing, preserving it entirely and creating a fossil. These fossils represent an important truth, in the same way as other fossils. This ladybug was fossilized together with all its soft tissues some 25 million years ago. There is not the slightest difference between the ladybug in the amber and those living today. Ladybugs were created in their present form.





The above photograph of a fossil lightning bug provides countless scientific data dating back some 50 million years. We first see that the insect's antennae, legs, joint structure, compound eyes, wing structure and everything else is all perfectly formed, regular and immaculate. Second, we see no half-formed organs in the process of evolution, as evolutionists would have us believe. This insect has never changed over the intervening 50 million years. With their marvelous wing architecture, the systems that allow them to produce light in their own bodies and their complex compound eyes, lightning bugs are a perfect marvel of creation. In short, this glorious life form tells us that "there is no such thing as evolution."

No rational and logical person would ever look at the design in an airplane and claim that all the components came together by chance to produce a vehicle capable of flying. On the contrary, people looking at the design in an airplane will think that there is very fine and detailed planning involved in every stage, and that a large number of engineers and technicians pooled their knowledge and experience together and put in a great deal of hard work and time. Life forms that fly have been equipped with features superior to those of airplanes. It would be a total violation of logic and reason to look at these living things, created with the ability to fly, and to say that they are the product of mere chance. Classic evolutionary scenarios cannot explain how such delicate calculations work with such superior technology in these life forms devoid of any consciousness. Indeed, Darwinists have no answer to give at all because no such thing as evolution ever happened. Almighty Allah created all living things.



#### References:

- 1- <http://www.newworldencyclopedia.org/entry/Cyanobacteria>
- 2- [http://www.fossilmuseum.net/Tree\\_of\\_Life/Stromatolites.htm](http://www.fossilmuseum.net/Tree_of_Life/Stromatolites.htm)
- 3- The Cyanobacteria. Molecular Biology, Genetics and Evolution. Edited by Antonia Herrero & Enrique Flores. p. 217
- 4- The Cyanobacteria. Molecular Biology, Genetics and Evolution. Edited by Antonia Herrero & Enrique Flores. p. 271
- 5- The Cyanobacteria. Molecular Biology, Genetics and Evolution. Edited by Antonia Herrero & Enrique Flores. p. 200
- 6- The Cyanobacteria. Molecular Biology, Genetics and Evolution. Edited by Antonia Herrero & Enrique Flores. p. 273-275
- 7- The Cyanobacteria. Molecular Biology, Genetics and Evolution. Edited by Antonia Herrero & Enrique Flores. p. 166
- 8- "Stromatolites", David L. Alles, Western Washington University, <http://fire.biol.wvu.edu/trent/alles/Stromatolites.pdf>
- 9- [http://www.fossilmall.com/EDCOPE\\_Enterprises/stromatolite/str91/Stromatolites91.htm](http://www.fossilmall.com/EDCOPE_Enterprises/stromatolite/str91/Stromatolites91.htm)
- [http://www.fossilmuseum.net/Fossil\\_Galleries/StromatolitesAmerica.htm](http://www.fossilmuseum.net/Fossil_Galleries/StromatolitesAmerica.htm)
- 10- <http://www.indiana9fossils.com/Stromatolite/MichiganBandedIron.htm>
- 11- Marshall Kay and Edwin H. Colbert, Stratigraphy and Life History, 1965, No. 736, p. 102-103
- 12- Richard Fortey, Trilobite, "Eyewitness to Evolution", Vintage Books, 2000, p. 98
- 13- David Raup, "Conflicts Between Darwin and Paleontology", Bulletin, Field Museum of Natural History, Vol. 50, January 1979, p. 24
- 14- Richard Fortey, Trilobite, "Eyewitness to Evolution", Vintage Books, 2000, p. 30-31
- 15- Richard Fortey, Trilobite, "Eyewitness to Evolution", Vintage Books, 2000, p. 30-31
- 16- <http://www.leaderu.com/orgs/probe/docs/bigbang.html>
- 17- [http://www.bbc.co.uk/nature/habitats/deep\\_sea#p006v478](http://www.bbc.co.uk/nature/habitats/deep_sea#p006v478)
- 18- BBC, "Deep Sea Creatures", documentary film.
- 19- <http://www.lifesci.ucsb.edu/~biolum/chem/>
- 20- Anita Ganeri, "Creatures That Glow in The Dark", p. 22
- 21- <http://www.lifesci.ucsb.edu/~biolum/chem/>
- 22- Neil A. Campbell, Jane B. Reece, Biology, Translated from the 6th edition, p. 540 / (Anita Ganeri, Creatures That Glow in The Dark, p. 12-13)
- 23- <http://www.bio.cmu.edu/Courses/03441/TermPapers/97TermPapers/lux/bioluminescence.html>
- Saraçlı M. "Quorum sensing": Mikro-organizmalar iletişim mi kuruyor? Gülhane Medical Journal 2006; 48: 244-250.
- Devine, J.H. et al. "Identification of the operator of the lux regulon from *Vibrio fischeri* strain ATCC 7744," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86:5688-5692 (1989).
- 24- Hastings, J. W. and Greenberg, E. P. (1999) "Quorum Sensing: The explanation of a curious phenomenon reveals a common characteristic of bacteria". J. Bacteriol. 181: 2667-2668
- 25- "How Flies Fly", Nature, Jeremy Thomson, 22 August 2001; [http://www.nature.com/nsu/nsu\\_pf/010823/010823-10.html](http://www.nature.com/nsu/nsu_pf/010823/010823-10.html)
- 26- Michael Dickinson, "Solving the Mystery of Insect Flight", Scientific American, June 2001; <http://www.sciam.com/2001/0601issue/0601dickinson.html>
- 27- [http://www.biomimicrynews.com/research/Tiny\\_flying\\_machines\\_inspired\\_by\\_nature\\_will\\_revolutionize\\_surveillance\\_work.asp](http://www.biomimicrynews.com/research/Tiny_flying_machines_inspired_by_nature_will_revolutionize_surveillance_work.asp)
- 28- [http://www.biomimicrynews.com/research/Tiny\\_flying\\_machines\\_inspired\\_by\\_nature\\_will\\_revolutionize\\_surveillance\\_work.asp](http://www.biomimicrynews.com/research/Tiny_flying_machines_inspired_by_nature_will_revolutionize_surveillance_work.asp)
- 29- [http://www.biomimicrynews.com/research/Tiny\\_flying\\_machines\\_inspired\\_by\\_nature\\_will\\_revolutionize\\_surveillance\\_work.asp](http://www.biomimicrynews.com/research/Tiny_flying_machines_inspired_by_nature_will_revolutionize_surveillance_work.asp)
- 30- Andrew Bridges, Associated Press, 28 July 2002; [http://www.augustachronicle.com/stories/072802/tec\\_124-3110.shtml](http://www.augustachronicle.com/stories/072802/tec_124-3110.shtml)
- 31- Andrew Bridges, Associated Press, 28 July 2002; [http://www.augustachronicle.com/stories/072802/tec\\_124-3110.shtml](http://www.augustachronicle.com/stories/072802/tec_124-3110.shtml)
- 32- Andrew Bridges, Associated Press, 28 July 2002; [http://www.augustachronicle.com/stories/072802/tec\\_124-3110.shtml](http://www.augustachronicle.com/stories/072802/tec_124-3110.shtml)




LIVING



# FOSSILS

*Specimens of terrestrial animal and  
bird fossils*







## Turtle

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 140 million years

Region: China

Fossils are the most important scientific evidence showing us how living things looked millions of years ago. The way that rocks reveal so many fine details is a marvel of Allah.

The skeletal structure, shell shape, tail and skull, everything right down to the finest detail of this 140-million-year-old turtle can clearly be seen and it possesses exactly the same features as turtles living today. These living things have never changed over millions of years. No new organs have ever been added to them, and no new appendages have emerged. These turtles are the same now as when they were first created. As every new fossil proves, evolution never happened at any time.







A 140-million-year-old fossil turtle and one living today





---

## Golden Monkey Skull

---

Period: Oligocene

Age: 36 million years

Region: Si Chuan region, China

One of the pieces of information that Darwinists try to use to corroborate their claim that human beings and monkeys are descended from a common ancestor is the imitative ability of some monkey species. Monkeys possess the ability to copy movements and behavior they see around them. But this does not enable them one day to turn into human beings. If that were so, then other well-known species should also gradually turn into humans. In addition to monkeys, parrots, for example, also possess the ability to imitate speech. Therefore, according to the Darwinists illogical claims, there should be a high probability of parrots turning into human beings.

Countless findings, like the 36-million-year-old golden monkey skull in the picture, prove that living things have always remained the same and never changed and turned into any other life form, and it is quite pointless to persist with illogical evolutionist claims.





## Penguin Skull

Period: Miocene

Age: 10 million years

Region: Chile

Penguins, certainly the most well-known marine birds, represent the most numerous community living on the polar ice. These living things have to live in temperatures of around  $-40^{\circ}\text{C}$ , but with the special characteristics they possess they survive under those difficult conditions with no difficulty. Penguins are protected from the cold by waterproof feathers that cover most of their bodies. These and a thick layer of fat beneath their skin provide them with excellent thermal insulation. Fossil penguins show that these animals have had exactly the same bodily structure for millions of years. This 10-million-year-old fossil penguin is one such piece of evidence.

Birds that fly through the air have to be very light; that is why they are created with hollow bones. But penguins need to be heavy in order to dive down deep into the sea. They are therefore created rather differently: their bones are not hollow. Thus they can easily dive into deep water in pursuit of fish.

This characteristic was also present in penguins millions of years ago. Fossils are the most important form of evidence to show this. The 10-million-year fossil penguin shown here proves that these life forms have come down to the present day completely unchanged.



Any rational and logical person who has not conditioned himself with Darwinist preconceptions will easily see that the proofs of creation in living things cannot be the work of unconscious natural mechanisms. It is Allah Who creates all living things. And this evidence reveals the infinite power and artistry of Almighty Allah.





---

## **Pallas Cat Skull**

---

Period: Cretaceous


Age: 73 million years

Region: Xian, Shan Xi, China

The pallas cat is a member of the cat family, about the size of a domestic cat. The features seen in a 73-million-year pallas cat skull show that the pallas cats living today have remained unchanged for millions of years. It is impossible to find a single piece of evidence in the skull to show that this life form evolved. Pallas cats are one of the countless species refuting evolution.







With all the details of its jaw and tooth structure, the fossil in the picture is identical to members of the same species living today.





---

## Tasmanian Devil Skull

---

Period: Oligocene

Age: 31 million years

Region: Jiang Xi, China

Hoimar Von Ditfurth, the evolutionist author of the book *The Silent Night of the Dinosaurs*, says:

"When we look back, we see that we need not be surprised that we have been unable to find those transitional forms so almost painfully sought. Because in all likelihood, no such intermediate stage ever happened." (*Wasserstoff, "Secret Night of the Dinosaurs", Vol. 2 (pp. 22-23 in the Turkish edition)*)

Although Von Ditfurth resorts to the phrase "in all likelihood" to try to rescue evolutionists from the position in which they find themselves, it is a clear fact that no process such as intermediate stages ever happened. Natural history is full of the remains of perfect life forms that did not come into being by stages, but appeared suddenly and fully formed in all periods, with all their structures and organs, and then continued in that same form. One of these remains is the 21-million-year-old Tasmanian devil skull in the picture.





---

## Jaguar Skull

---

Period: Cretaceous


Age: 87 million years

Region: Shan Gong, China

Darwin's thesis that human beings and monkeys share a common ancestor, has never been supported by any scientific findings, either at the time he proposed it or subsequently. All the great efforts made to support the myth of human evolution since then, a period of approximately 150 years, have been in vain. The fossils unearthed have proved that monkeys have always been monkeys and human beings have always been human beings, that monkeys never turned into humans, and that monkeys and humans do not share a common ancestor. All that evolutionists have done in the face of the disappointment inflicted on them by the fossil record and their own lack of any corroborating evidence is to set skulls out in series lacking any validity over and over again and to speculate on various fossils, the hoax nature of which has long since been proven. Fossils such as this 87-million-year-old jaguar skull completely refute all such speculation. In the same way that no life forms skull has ever changed over millions of years, neither monkeys nor human beings have undergone any evolutionary changes.







---

## Red Panda Skull

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 67 million years

Region: Qing Hai, China

Allah's artistry is without equal. The site of this artistry is the Earth and sky, and it encompasses the living world. Allah's sublime and glorious creation is manifested in everything He creates from nothing.

Evolutionists, who fail to comprehend Allah's unequalled creation, have come up with various scenarios about the origin of life over the years, but these scenarios have never been confirmed by any branch of science. One of the branches to deal the most serious scientific blows to Darwinism is paleontology. The many millions of fossils unearthed bear not a single sign to suggest that evolution ever happened. The red pandas we see today and an absolutely identical 67-million-year-old red panda skull confirm this fact.





---

## Pelican Skull

---


Period: Cretaceous

Age: 75 million years

Region: China


Pelicans live in temperate climates, generally in flocks, and lay their eggs in colonies. Pelicans are able to glide in the air for long periods of time by holding their wings open, thereby expending very little energy, and have very specialized and large wings for this purpose. Every detail in the pelican body, from the structure of its feathers to its light and hollow bones, is completely flawless. These immaculate structures enable pelicans even to glide along the very thin air strata typically found above water.

The pelican fossil in the picture shows that these birds have been exactly the same for 75 million years.





The glorious structures that allow pelicans to fly inspired Boeing aircraft engineers, who thought of building a plane with giant wings, like those of the pelican. With the models He creates in nature, Allah wishes us to become acquainted with and reflect on His creative artistry.



---

## Seal Skull

---

Period: Cretaceous


Age: 68 million years

Region: Yun Nan, China

Seals have very large eye sockets and special nasal systems. To see that this animal had exactly the same appearance 68 million years ago as it has today, and has never changed over millions of years, is great evidence for the fact of creation. When the fossil is examined in detail, we see that it was living in the seas in exactly the same way 68 million years ago. This and countless other proofs document the fact that the theory of evolution is ultimately consigned to the dusty shelves of pseudo-history.



During the formation of fossils, hard tissues such as bones and teeth are preserved relatively easier than soft tissues. While the soft tissues disappear, bony structures gradually turn into inorganic ones. To put it another way, the minerals in the animal's bone structure have the same structure as inorganic minerals in rocks. In this way, living things that existed tens of millions of years ago are preserved right down to the finest details. The pictures show the structural detail in 68-million-year-old seal teeth and the internal architecture of the bone structure. Living things on Earth tens of millions of years ago had the same perfect bone tissue and structures as those living today.





---

## Panda Skull

---

Period: Pliocene

Age: 4.6 million years

Region: Gan Su, China

This fossil, which shows that pandas have always existed as pandas, refutes the idea that life forms evolve. Not one single fossil suggesting the supposed evolutionary forebearer of the panda, or proving that it went through various stages before assuming its present form, has ever been found. No matter what its age, every fossil unearthed possesses exactly the same features as pandas alive today. Darwinists have no explanation to offer in the face of this reality.







---

## Leopard Skull

---

Period: Miocene

Age: 6.3 million years

Region: China

Darwinists' traps and ways of deceiving people are now meaningless. They have seen, through books such as the *Atlas of Creation*, which clearly demonstrate the fact of creation, and countless fossils showing that life forms have never evolved at all, that all beings are created from nothing. The Darwinist deception has been completely exposed and utterly neutralized.

This 6.3-million-year-old leopard skull is sufficient evidence to show the invalidity of all myths about life forms changing.





---

## Wild Cat Skull (*Felis Silvestris*)

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 80 million years

Region: Qing Dao, Shan Dong, China

The false god of Darwinism is blind chance. Darwinists believe that blind coincidence can turn inanimate matter into living things and subsequently cause one life form to turn into another. This superstitious belief was depicted as reality for 150 years and taught very much like a religion. However, this superstitious belief has now fallen apart. The countless fossils unearthed prove that life forms were created from nothing and never evolved in any way. Nothing about the theory of evolution is in any way, shape or form, defensible.

The wild cat skull in the fossil is just one of the countless proofs of Allah's creation. This 80-million-year-old fossil declares that this life form has never changed over millions of years and that all Darwinist claims are therefore a lie.





There is no difference between the teeth of wild cats that were living 80 million years ago and those in existence today.





---

## Confuciusornis Sanctus

---

Period: Cretaceous


Age: 125 million years

Region: China

*Confuciusornis sanctus*, a frequently encountered beaked bird that lived 125 million years ago, had no teeth, but its beak and feathers had the same features as those of birds living today. Its skeletal structure was also identical to that of present-day birds, and it had claws on its wings. The organ known as the "pygostyle" that supports birds' tail feathers can also clearly be seen in this bird.

These are all characteristics found in modern-day birds.

This and similar fossils do not show that different species of birds evolved from one another. On the contrary, they prove that present-day birds and various unique species resembling *Archæopteryx* all lived together. Some of these birds, such as *Confuciusornis* and *Archæopteryx*, have since become extinct, and only a certain number of bird species have survived to the present day. Evolutionists are still continuing to speculate about extinct birds. But all Darwinists' efforts are in vain, because, as seen in detailed in the fossil record, *Confuciusornis* is no different to present-day birds.



There is no scientific evidence that birds and other flying animals evolved from terrestrial life forms. On the contrary, the way they appear suddenly in the fossil record, with all their complex structures, shows that all these life forms were created with the necessary flight systems.





A 125-million-year-old fossil bird, *Confuciusornis sanctus*

The myth of avian evolution is not a thesis that is compatible with the biological and paleontological evidence. It is a totally fantastical and unrealistic claim stemming from Darwinist preconceptions. The idea of bird evolution, that some experts like to depict as a scientific fact, is in fact a myth kept alive for philosophical reasons.

When we look at fossils, we can clearly see a concrete truth: evolution never happened. All life forms appear suddenly in the fossil record, with all their unique bodily structures and with no evolutionary ancestors preceding them. In other words, fossils clearly demonstrate that evolution never took place. Birds in particular are especially rich sources of evidence since their fossils are generally very well preserved.

The fact demonstrated by science is that the immaculate creation in birds is the product of an infinite intelligence, in other words, that they are created by Almighty Allah.





## The Darwinist deception on the subject of birds and the origin of flight

According to one of the evolution scenario's claims, some water-dwelling amphibians developed into fully terrestrial reptiles. One branch of this group evolved further, constituting the ancestors of today's birds.

According to evolutionist claims, these imaginary creatures descended from their alleged reptilian ancestors some 150 to 200 million years ago, acquiring new characteristics gradually and in stages until they emerged as fully-fledged birds. As this scenario requires, their attempts at flight also emerged in stages before taking on its presently flawless ability.


However, despite all the efforts expended over the last century and a half, not a single trace has ever been found of the half-bird, half-reptile creatures that evolutionists assume must once have lived. No transitional forms covered half

**DINO-BIRDS  
ARE SOLELY THE  
PRODUCTS OF THE  
IMAGINATION**

In order to be able to prove that birds evolved from dinosaurs, evolutionists would have had to have discovered the supposed transitional form fossils shown in the illustrations to the side. However, although many fossils of both birds and dinosaurs have been unearthed, the fossil record contains no trace of supposed dino-birds. Defective life forms with missing organs, bearing half-bird and half-reptile characteristics, which should have existed in large numbers according to evolutionist claims, appear nowhere in any of the Earth's strata. The life forms in the fossil record are all flawless and complete. None are semi-developed of the kind seen in these pictures. This fact is important evidence that evolution never happened.



(1) A fully formed dinosaur, many examples of which are seen in the fossil record.



(2)



(3)

**FICTITIOUS  
DRAWINGS**

Archaeopteryx, which evolutionists for years attempted to portray as supposed evidence for birds being descended from reptiles, is but one example of how they have tried to mislead people. Archaeopteryx is a fossil bird dating back 150 million years. It has been claimed that this bird has a number of reptilian characteristics, and that it therefore represents a “missing link” between birds and reptiles. However, scientific findings showing that Archaeopteryx was a complete flying bird have since invalidated this claim.



One of the most important pieces of evidence that *Archæopteryx* was a real flying bird is the structure of the animal’s feathers. *Archæopteryx* had a feather structure identical to that of modern-day birds, showing that it was possessed of perfect flight.

in scales and half in feathers, or with half-developed wings, have ever been found in the Earth’s geological strata. In fact, contrary to what’s been conjectured, only fossils with perfect structures—the remains of flawless, fully formed living things—have ever been discovered.

But despite the absence of any evidence to support their unscientific tales, evolutionists doggedly persist in their claims, hoping that these fictitious fossils will one day be found. Their evidence for their impossible dreams goes no further than outright distortions and grossly biased interpretations of the facts, as you shall see in detail in the following chapters.

**FICTITIOUS DRAWINGS**



(2, 3, 4) There exists not the slightest jot of evidence that such semi-formed living things ever existed.



(5) A complete bird of which we see thousands of specimens.

The evolutionists’ “transitional form predicament” also applies to the origin of birds. According to evolutionist claims, “single winged” and “half winged” entities should have existed; but had they existed, the fossil record should corroborate that. However, the subjects of these evolutionist scenarios are no more than reports and fictitious drawings based on no scientific evidence whatsoever. Life forms have always appeared suddenly at all periods of history, and have always had complete and fully functioning organs.

## The marvelous characteristics we see in birds refute evolution

There are more than 10,000 species of birds on Earth, each of which possesses its own unique features. Hawks have very sharp visual acuity, broad wings and pointed talons. Their eyesight is so keen that they can make out a baby rabbit on the ground from hundreds of meters up in the air.

Plovers, weighing just a few hundred grams, flap their wings for 88 hours non-stop on the journey of 4,000 kilometers (2,485 miles) that they make every winter, crossing the ocean without mishap.

With their ability to imitate sounds including human speech, parrots are among the cleverest of all living things. Although the anatomy of their mouths is wholly different—they have no teeth or lips, for instance—they are nonetheless able to produce sounds very similar to those they hear.

With their long beaks, the hummingbirds—the smallest known species of birds—can feed on flower nectar and the small insects they find inside flowers. In order to feed, they need to hover in the air in front of the flower, and with its specially created features, they are the only species of bird able to do so.

The owl, by means of the special creation in its soft but rounded feathers, hunts its prey at night in complete silence. Thus the owl's wing, which prevents air turbulence—and thus, noise—has taken its place among the designs that scientists are seeking to replicate.

The albatross, whose wing span of 3.5 meters (11.48 feet) is the largest in the world, spends 92% of its life over the open sea, almost never alighting on solid ground. Albatrosses' almost constant state of flight is made possible by their use of air currents, as they open their wings out as far as possible, without flapping them.


It is impossible for birds to have gradually developed the features they possess, because they would never have survived during the intermediate stages of any such process. Indeed, no creature has ever grown to perfection in stages, as evolutionists would have us believe. On the contrary, all different living groups have existed in their current perfect states ever since they first appeared in the Earth's geological strata.

This is scientific evidence that birds too were created, and this evidence represents a truth taught to human beings in the Qur'an: it is Allah, the Creator of all things, Who created these creatures with all the features they possess and the systems appropriate to them.

In verses, Allah reveals His dominion over the living world:

**“... There is no creature He does not hold by the forelock.” (Surah Hud, 56)**

The flawless features possessed by birds are examples of the knowledge and artistry of Allah, the Lord of the Earth and sky.








Whichever ornithologist you ask will tell you that a bird wing provides it with the most efficient way of flying. For example, even though a hawk achieves speeds of up to 300 kilometers per hour (186 MPH) as it dives down onto its prey, it never loses balance or misses its target, and it possesses perfect flight control. Some eagles can suddenly attack their prey at speeds of 185 kilometers per hour (115 MPH) and then spread their wings and come to a complete halt in just 6 meters (about 20 feet).

A bird chasing its prey can fly in circles above it several kilometers high and watch it with its sharp eyes. As it dives downward, it focuses on the prey and fixes on it automatically. For such flight to be possible, the eyes and wings, and therefore the brain, muscle and skeletal systems all have to work in complete harmony together. But how is this immaculate coordination possible? All these extraordinary characteristics obviously cannot be the work of blind natural forces. There is no doubt that birds fly with the superior features given to them by our Lord, the Creator of all.

The wings of flying birds consist of feathers, consist of a main shaft, barbs and barbules. The barbules at the end edges adhere together by way of hooks known as barbicels. This complex design gives the bird powerful, flexible and waterproof wings. This special creation in the barbules that attach to one another, as if with a zipper, once again reveals the invalidity of the idea of chance.





---

## Confuciusornis Sanctus

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 125 million years

Region: China

The theory of evolution maintains that birds are descended from small, carnivorous theropod dinosaurs, in other words, from a species of reptile. The fact is, however, that both anatomical comparisons between birds and reptiles and also the fossil record completely refute this claim. The fossil in the pictures belongs to an extinct species of bird known as *Confuciusornis sanctus*, first discovered in China in 1995.

This 125-million-year fossil *Confuciusornis* provides very important information about this life form. *Confuciusornis* has no teeth, and its beak, feathers and skeletal structure have the same features as present-day birds. It also has the structure needed to support the tail feathers in flight.

To summarize, *Confuciusornis*, which lived at approximately the same time as *Archæopteryx*, bears a very close resemblance to birds living today. *Confuciusornis* definitively refutes the scenario of the evolution of birds.



This fossil, which Darwinists heralded as “the oldest flying dinosaur,” in fact belongs to a commonly encountered species of beaked bird.

All the characteristics of *Confuciusornis*, which have survived from 125 million years ago to the present, show that this life form never evolved, and that it was a flying bird ever since it first appeared.

Fossils like *Confuciusornis* that have come down from millions of years in the past to the present day totally invalidate the scenarios of avian evolution.

The way the evolutionists refuse to admit this fact is highly significant in terms of revealing how the theory of evolution is a claim defended out of willful and deliberate blindness.





The fossil record shows that life forms appeared suddenly and with completely different structures, and that they then remained unchanged over the course of long geological ages. It is impossible for living things to have evolved, because there is no mechanism in nature to make them do so. As this fossil dating back some 125 million years shows, when we look at the fossil record, what we see is not a process of evolution but rather a picture that is the diametric opposite of evolution. All life forms appeared at once. Allah created them flawlessly, in the forms they still possess today.



A 125-million-year-old bird fossil, *Confuciusornis sanctus*



---

## Red Wolf Skull

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 51 million years

Region: Gao Xiong, Taiwan

The perfect detail in this 51-million-year-old red wolf skull in the picture accurately reflects the features of this life form.

Had no such fossil emerged, Darwinists would have most definitely continued to fabricate countless scenarios about the supposed evolution of red wolves. They would be proposing countless false intermediate forms and telling countless fictions. But this fossil specimen puts an end to Darwinist myths. This also applies to all other life forms and also to man. Every scenario produced by Darwinists is completely based on lies, and that is a fact now agreed on by everyone.

Like all their other physical features, red wolves' jaw and tooth structures have never changed over tens of millions of years.





This fossil's teeth have been preserved in the finest detail for millions of years.



## Lion Skull

Period: Cetaceous

Age: 82 million years

Region: He Zheng, Gan Su, China

According to Darwinist claims, this fossil, which dates back 82 million years, should be full of semi-developed organs. The fossil should have a great many pathologies in its eye sockets, its jaw and its ears. But this is not the case. The lion skull in the picture dating back millions of years has been perfectly preserved, and its details show that this animal had a flawless appearance and that it was a lion just like those living today.

One of the reasons for Darwinists' claims about the fictitious evolution of man is that they have a rather large number of monkey fossils on which to speculate. Yet the findings from the fossil record point to undeniable scientific facts, not speculation. These facts are that evolution never happened and life did not go through transitional stages. Science itself refutes the theory of evolution.





Lions' strong jaws and sharp teeth are some of their best-known characteristics. As can be seen in this 82-million-year-old lion skull, just like lions living today, those that existed millions of years in the past also had powerful teeth and jaws.



---

## Brown Bear Skull

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 74 million years

Region: Liao Yang, Liaoning, China

Just like an 82-million-year-old lion skull, a 51-million-year-old red wolf skull, a 78-million-year-old roe deer skull, a 90-million-year-old fossil hyena and many others besides, the 74-million-year-old brown bear skull in the picture is perfectly detailed and has a flawless appearance and structure. According to Darwinism, these fossils dating back millions of years should be half formed, perhaps with jaws and eye sockets in different places. Their noses should not yet have developed, and there should be serious flaws in the skulls housing their brains. But these skulls are perfectly formed, and their anatomical features are identical to those of living things today.

In fact, just one single proof, this 74-million-year-old brown bear skull, for instance, is enough to refute evolution.





---

## Wild Dog Skull

---

Period: Miocene

Age: 5.3 million years

Region: Xi An, China

Darwin expressed the dilemma facing his theory in these words:

*“Why, if species have descended from other species by insensibly fine gradations, do we not everywhere see innumerable transitional forms? Why is not all nature in confusion instead of the species being, as we see them, well defined? But, as by this theory innumerable transitional forms must have existed, why do we not find them embedded in countless numbers in the crust of the earth?” (Charles Darwin, *The Origin of Species*, p. 172, 280)*

Some 150 years after Darwin, the question facing evolutionists is ironically still the same: why has not a single transitional form been found when so many millions of fossil specimens have been unearthed? For those who do not think along Darwinist preconceptions, the answer is obvious: because “transitional forms” never existed. Life forms did not come into being by turning into one another. Almighty Allah created all live forms with all their own unique features.



The fossil record dating back millions of years totally refutes evolutionist claims, which harbor the most extraordinary inconsistencies and have no supporting evidence.





## **Alopex (Arctic fox) Skull**

Period: Paleocene

Age: 59 million years

Region: He Zheng, Gan Su, China


The fossil records have revealed a great many facts to which Darwinists can give no scientific and rational response:

- *There is not a single transitional form to show that any life form, including the Arctic fox, assumed its present form by developing in stages, in other words, by evolution.*

- *Arctic foxes which were living tens of millions of years ago possessed complete and flawless structures, in the same way as members of the species do today. They had no supposed primitive appearance or structures.*


- *There is not the slightest difference between Arctic foxes living today and those that lived 59 million years ago. This shows that the living things in question have never changed over tens of millions of years.*

All this information places Darwinists in an insoluble predicament and shows that living things have their origins in creation.





Fossils dating back millions of years reveal a most important truth; living things did not evolve. The fossils that Darwinists hoped would confirm their theories have totally given the lie to the theory of evolution and revealed that life originates from creation.





---

## Jungle Cat Skull

---

Period: Paleocene

Age: 63 million years

Region: Yun Nan, China

Fossil skulls are proof that no life form ever changed during the course of history or turned into any other life form, and that every life form came into being with all the characteristics it possessed. These proofs emphasize the poverty and illogicality of Darwinist thinking. The theory of evolution, which maintains that man is descended from monkeys, needs to explain why no other life form underwent a process resembling that supposedly experienced by monkeys as they turned into humans. It has no response to give to the questions of why a jungle cat did not one day decide to start walking on two legs, why a fox did not develop intelligence and turn into a college professor or why a panda did not become an artist producing breathtaking works. As we have seen in just a few examples, Darwinism, which is heralded as a scientific theory, is in fact an unbelievably illogical ideology.



The skull below clearly shows that this life form has not changed in the slightest over the last 63 million years, and is just one of the millions of proofs that refute evolution. No such process as evolution ever happened. Allah created all living things in a single moment, just as they are now.





---

## Foal Skull

---

Period: Pliocene

Age: 3.2 million years

Region: Yun Nan, China

“That individual kinds of fossils remain recognizably the same throughout the length of their occurrence in the fossil record had been known to paleontologists long before Darwin published his *Origin of Species*. Darwin himself prophesied that future generations of paleontologists would fill in these gaps by diligent search. One hundred and twenty years of paleontological research later, it has become abundantly clear that the fossil record will not confirm this part of Darwin's predictions. Nor is the problem a miserably poor record. The fossil record simply shows that this prediction is wrong.” (N. Eldredge, and I. Tattersall, *The Myths of Human Evolution*, Columbia University Press, 1982, pp. 45-46)

The 3.2-million-year-old foal skull shown in the picture confirms these words by the evolutionary paleontologist Niles Eldredge. This life form, that has remained unchanged for millions of years, confirms a fact that Darwinists have actually known ever since Darwin's day, and shows that evolution never happened.





---

## Grizzly Bear Skull

---

Period: Oligocene

Age: 29 million years

Region: China

Human beings have always been human beings and monkeys have always remained as monkeys at all times in natural history. Not a single transitional form exists to point to any passage between the two. This also applies to all other life forms, just as in the grizzly bear skull in the picture.

This life form has looked exactly the same ever since it was first created, and it did not evolve from any other life form. This 29-million-year-old fossil is one significant proof of this.



A 29-million-year-old bear skull is scientific proof that evolution never happened, and this fact represents an important answer to all Darwinist claims about the origin of life.





---

## Monkey Skull

---

Period: Oligocene

Age: 32 million years

Region: China

Darwinists have created countless scenarios regarding the alleged evolution of man. These scenarios are devoid of any scientific evidence and are speculation invented so that human beings will regard themselves as supposedly the chance descendants of monkeys. All the fossils that Darwinists claim to represent as transitional forms in the mythical evolution of man have actually transpired to be belonging either to assorted species of apes or else to various human races. It is a scientific fact that monkeys have always existed as monkeys and never turned into another life form. One of the pieces of evidence documenting this is the 32-million-year-old monkey skull in the picture. This fossil specimen is identical to the skulls of present-day monkeys. There is no trace in it of any passage toward human beings.





---

## Skunk Skull

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 41 million years

Region: Si Chuan, China

The history of evolution has witnessed countless hoaxes. Efforts have been made to portray fossils from extinct species or various bone fragments as evidence for a supposed transition, and fossils of life forms still in existence today have been depicted as being in the course of evolution. But a long silence has fallen over all this deceptive speculation around fossils. This is because, as we can see in the 41-million-year-old fossil skull in the picture, it has been realized that the great majority of present-day life forms looked exactly the same in the past, and so never underwent evolution. The time has now come to put an end to all the evolutionist conjecture and frauds regarding the supposed evolution of man. The 21st century will be when people will realize the falsity of the theory of evolution and when everyone will accept the fact of creation.





The fossil record has revealed proofs of the glorious creation on Earth that cannot possibly be denied. This truth can no longer be disputed.

Darwinists are writhing in vain. The most rational thing to do is to abandon their errors now they have seen the truth, to cease chasing after a phantom and to stop wasting their time in this world, which we come to in order to be tested, on nothing.





---

## Hyena Skull

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 42 million years

Region: Gui Lin, China

Hyena skulls go back a very long way in the fossil record. None of the fossils unearthed is any different to those of present-day hyenas. In order to corroborate its claims, the theory of evolution needs to produce a sign, a single example, a transitional form showing that these animals are descended from some other life form. But this is impossible. The evidence revealed by paleontology is fossils belonging to present-day life forms that have never changed, and every new finding represents significant evidence against the theory of evolution. The 42-million-year-old hyena skull in the picture is one of the proofs that refute evolution and shows that hyenas have never changed in any way.







---

## Horse Skull

---

Period: Pliocene

Age: 2.8 million years

Region: He Zheng, China

The fossil picture on this page reveals yet another piece of evidence refuting the scenario of the evolution of the horse, a scenario that Darwinists have been using as their greatest propaganda tool for many decades. This 2.8-million-year-old horse skull is identical to those of modern-day horses. It therefore shows that the false illustrations of the evolution of the horse that still appear in museums of natural history are of no scientific value at all.

It is therefore meaningless in the face of this scientific fact for Darwinists to add representative illustrations to their books, to foolishly try to bring this supposed evolution to life by way of reconstructions or to exhibit various false evolutionary sequences in museums of natural history because the scenario of the evolution of the horse has been demolished with incontrovertible evidence.





---

## Horse Skull

---

Period: Pliocene

Age: 4.3 million years

Region: Ji Lin, China

The history of Darwinism is full of examples of fossils disproving evolution being hidden away out of sight in museum store rooms for many years. Pictures of the first Cambrian fossils discovered were hidden away in the basement of the Smithsonian Museum for 70 years because they demolished the very foundations of evolution.

With such a dark history behind it, the theory of evolution also of course sought to conceal the countless living fossils that would be discovered in later years. But events did not transpire as Darwinists had planned. Darwinists badly miscalculated when they did not think such a large number of living fossil specimens would be found. More than 350 million fossil specimens have been discovered to date, and these all belong to perfect and fully complete life forms. One example of these is a horse skull dating back 4.3 million years. There is no difference between the fossil and present-day horses.





---

## Small Indian Civet Skull

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 39 million years


Region: Shan Dong, China

“Instead of filling the gaps in the fossil record with so-called missing links, most paleontologists found themselves facing a situation in which there were only gaps in the fossil record, with no evidence of transformational intermediates between documented fossil species.” (Jeffrey H. Schwartz, *Sudden Origins*, 1999, p. 89)

The 39-million-year-old small Indian civet skull in the picture confirms Jeffrey H. Schwartz's words. The fossil record has unearthed none of the transitional forms expected by evolutionists, but has revealed, as in the case of this 39-million-year-old life form, examples of present-day life forms with highly complex structures.







---

## Giraffe Skull


---

Period: Eocene

Age: 38 million years

Region: Xi An, China

With their long necks, giraffes are very striking animals. Darwinists hypothesized that this extraordinary long neck gradually emerged as the result of reaching up into high branches. This idea, one of the best known evolutionist deceptions, has been eliminated by the fossil evidence in this photograph; giraffes 38 million years ago were the same as they are now. There is no trace in the fossil record or imaginary giraffe specimens whose necks gradually began growing in order for them to reach higher branches. The giraffe was the same 38 million years ago as it is today.





## Wolverine Skull

Period: Paleocene

Age: 62 million years

Region: Ma Jia, Gan Su, China

Wolverines living 62 million years ago had exactly the same anatomy and appearance as members of the species living today. They lived, fed and reproduced in the same way as members of the species do today. This is because it is Almighty Allah, the Lord of all, Who created them 62 million years ago and Who creates them today. It is Allah Who gives them life and appoints a lifestyle for them. Like all other life forms, the wolverine is not the product of blind chance.





---

## Seal Skull

---


Period: Cretaceous

Age: 84 million years

Region: Xi Ma La Ya Mountaion, China

A ginkgo leaf that Darwin discovered in 1859, the earliest specimens of which go back 250 million years, threw him into a panic. Darwin described this discovery as a “living fossil” and regarded it as a significant problem for his theory. Had Darwin been alive today, he might have abandoned his theory entirely in the face of the size of the problem. In the absence of so much as a single fossil over the years that might have corroborated his theory, Darwin essentially passed the buck to those who came after him and hoped that a transitional form would be found one day.

Yet neither Darwin nor his successors ever found what they hoped for. As can be seen in the 84-million-year seal skull in the picture, every day reveals a new fossil specimen dating back millions of years of a life form in existence today. The fossil record has given the lie to Darwin and revealed that creation is an indisputable fact.





---

## Bear Skull

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 78 million years

Region: Gan Su, China

Darwinists have always offered false evidence in order to give the scenario of human evolution credibility, at least in their own eyes. These include the notorious Piltdown Man, which was displayed for 40 years and only then realized to be a hoax, Nebraska Man, whose whole life story was fabricated on the basis of a single wild pig molar, *Ramapithecus*, depicted as a “transitional form” for 50 years, and of course Lucy, which is still portrayed as an intermediate form even though scientists are well aware of the invalidity of this. Darwinists seek to conjure “human evolution” from a fictitious process. But all their efforts based on falsehood have always ended in failure.

In contrast, paleontological research has revealed fossil specimens of life forms that have not changed over millions of years. A 78-million-year-old bear skull is one of these. Since life forms have never changed and there is not one single piece of evidence to support evolution, all the speculation about the evolution of man is invalid. It is Allah Who creates human beings.








## Wolf Skull

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 75 million years

Region: Xin Jiang, China

Evolutionists claim that the first cell, the like of which scientists are still unable to replicate, emerged from a puddle of muddy water over the course of millions of years. It is certainly not at all difficult for a mentality that maintains that such a complex structure as the cell developed by chance to also maintain that human beings who found civilizations, rule states, perform operations and develop ideas also formed by chance. The logic of Darwinism is exceedingly primitive. With that logic it is of course not hard to make the impossible possible. Behaving as if there were evidence available, when in fact there is none at all, is no problem. With such a primitive logic it is hard to find intelligence and understanding with which to appreciate Allah's creative artistry, even though this is so plain to see. But for people who have escaped this primitive logic it is easy to see the obvious proofs of Allah's existence. A 75-million-year-old wolf skull is one such proof.





---

## Marten Skull

---

Period: Oligocene

Age: 36 million years

Region: Si Chuan, China

According to Darwinism, the fossil skulls and other remains discovered should be very different from those of present-day life forms. All the organisms in the fossil record should appear in their evolving transitional forms. For example, there should be many remains of half-fish and half-reptile creatures, or half-reptile and half-mammal. Martens should not always be the same; there should be fossils of strange animals resembling martens, but that have not yet become complete martens. For example, we should encounter marten fossil skulls with three eye sockets, or perhaps no nasal cavity, or maybe with jaws on top of their heads. Yet all the marten fossils ever unearthed have all the complete anatomical features of present-day martens. This shows that martens have never changed since the moment they first appeared.



Darwinists have not one single piece of evidence that might prove their claims. In contrast to this lack of evidence for evolution, evidence for the fact of Creation is increasing all the time. One such example is the 36-million-year-old marten fossil on the left.



All marten fossils are fully formed and show that these animals have had the same dental, cranial and body structure for millions of years, in other words, that they never evolved.

---

## Antelope Skull

---

Period: Pliocene

Age: 4.6 million years

Region: Nei Meng Gu, China

The number of living species that have never changed over the passage of millions of years is far greater than those you see in this book. If evolution were true, there should be billions of transitional forms showing that countless species had changed. Instead of fully formed species with all their proper features, we should encounter the remains of entities that are only semi-developed or have not yet assumed their present forms. Yet Darwinists have never been able to point to a single example of an intermediate form. On the other hand, however, there are millions of fossils showing that present day life forms have survived completely unchanged for millions of years, and these can be seen in books and museums as evidence of creation.

In contrast to the lack of evidence facing Darwinists, the proofs of the fact of creation are increasing on a daily basis. One of these examples is the 4.6-million-year-old antelope skull in the picture.





---

## Lynx Skull

---

Period: Paleocene

Age: 57 million years


Region: Gan Su, China

This animal that lived 57 million years ago is identical to those living today. Like hundreds of thousands of life forms whose fossils have been unearthed, these animals have never changed. With these fossils, it is not hard to imagine what the Earth must have been like millions of years ago. It was not very different to the Earth of today. Apart from some complex life forms that have since become extinct, today's animals were living then, and looking just as they do today. The fossil record proves this. And Darwinists can say nothing in the face of this definitive proof.









---

## Red Wolf Skull

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 51 million years

Region: Gao Xiong, Taiwan

The red wolf skull in the picture, dating back 51 million years, reflects the characteristics of the animal in perfect detail.

Had such a fossil never emerged, Darwinists would no doubt still be inventing countless scenarios regarding the supposed evolution of the red wolf. They would produce a great many false intermediate forms and produced various myths. But as will all other fossils, this fossil gives Darwinists no room to maneuver. This applies to all other life forms and also to human beings. Every scenario fabricated by Darwinists continues to be discredited just as rapidly as they can invent these scenarios.



Like all their other anatomical features, red wolves' teeth and jaws have never changed for millions of years.





Details in the fossil's jaw structure are proof that black bears have never changed over millions of years.





## Black Bear Skull

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 83 million years

Region: Ning Xia, China

To know that black bears of today were living 83 million years ago is by itself enough to invalidate evolution. The existence of giant mammals with extraordinarily complex structures at a time when evolutionists suggest that everything was very primitive is more than adequate evidence to show that Darwinism is a theory needing to be consigned to the scrap heap of history. One such piece of evidence is enough by itself, yet millions of living fossils from very different species have been found, and there is no difference between the fossil specimens and today's counterparts. In short, the fossil record in which Darwin and other evolutionists had placed such great hopes has instead been instrumental in Darwinism's collapse. This is Allah's sublime creation.





---

## Maned Wolf Skull

---

Period: Miocene

Age: 7.3 million years

Region: An Hui, China



The fossil record is an insoluble dilemma for evolution. Evolutionists also express this truth from time to time. The following is an admission by the evolutionary paleontologist Mark Czarnecki that there is no evidence for the theory of evolution and that fossils all point to creation:

“A major problem in proving the [evolution] theory has been the fossil record... This record has never revealed traces of Darwin’s hypothetical intermediate variants – instead species appear and disappear abruptly, and this anomaly has fueled the creationist argument that each species was created by God.” (Mark Czarnecki, *“The Revival of the Creationist Crusade”*, *MacLean’s*, 19 January 1981, p. 56)





---

## Aard Wolf Skull

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 49 million years


Region: Xi, An, Shan Xi, China



If Darwinism were true, then the fossil record should be chock-full of intermediate species in the course of development, and not the fossils of present-day life forms dating back millions of years. But that is not so, and the scientific findings are incompatible with the Darwinist myth. The American paleontologist S.M. Stanley admits this in the words:

*"The known fossil record is not, and never has been, in accord with gradualism." (S. M. Stanley, The New Evolutionary Timetable: Fossils, Genes, and the Origin of Species, Basic Books Inc. Publishers, N.Y., 1981, p. 71)*





As with the 49-million-year-old earth wolf skull in the picture on the left, the fossil record is full of millions of species that have never changed at all. This is one of the greatest dilemmas facing evolution. With all their glorious features, all living things show that evolution never happened and say instead, "We were created."





---

## Jackal Skull

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 51 million years

Region: Gao Xiong, Taiwan

Not one single fossil showing that life forms share a common ancestor has ever been found. There is no evidence in the fossil record that life forms are in a constant state of change. So why are Darwinists so insistent on their theory? Why do they insist on saying that life forms evolve, when there is so much proof that Allah creates them? The reason is entirely ideological. Defending the theory of evolution is vitally important for materialistic and atheistic ideologies.

Darwinists can persist with the theory of evolution all they like, but the fossil record will continue to demolish evolution with new evidence. The 51-million-year-old fossil jackal in the picture is one example of that fact.



The teeth in the jackal fossil shown to the side are perfectly clear and show that jackals have come down unchanged to the present day. The fossil in the picture reveals that, just like tigers, foxes, lions, rhinoceroses and all other life forms, jackals have never changed.





All scientific findings, fossils for example, show that living things appeared suddenly, not gradually through evolution; in other words, they were created. Anyone with common sense who examines fossils will agree that life forms have remained exactly as they were first created. No living things have any history of evolution behind them. The sole Creator and Lord of living things is Almighty Allah.



## Zebra Skull

Period: Eocene

Age: 45 million years

Region: Xi An, China

Allah creates all living things with different appearances and forms. In the same way that life forms have different lifestyles and needs, so there are profound differences in their anatomies. That is why it is not at all hard to describe fossils that are unearthed. All the anatomical characteristics of a life form whose fossil is discovered can easily be determined from the remains. This distinction is clear in the 45-million-year-old fossil zebra in the picture. There is no difference between the characteristics of the fossil and those of present-day zebras.

There is no doubt that this is a great miracle of Allah. The scientific evidence available is too definitive to be rejected by any scientist, even an evolutionist. It is a concrete scientific fact that life forms have never changed; in other words, they have never undergone evolution. This evidence makes it much easier to understand what a deception Darwinism is. The fossil record has revealed evidence of the wondrous creation on Earth that cannot be denied. It is simply not possible to deny this truth.





---

## Bat Eared Fox Skull

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 71 million years

Region: Yun Nan, China

The bat eared fox has larger ears and smaller teeth than other species of fox. A 71-million-year-old bat eared fox skull fossil has the same typical features as members of the same species today.

Therefore, Darwinism is unable to account both for species, and for variations within species. Different species of foxes have existed in the fossil record for millions of years. This also applies to many other life forms, and not a single intermediate form showing a supposed passage from one life form to another exists. All this evidence leaves no doubt about the collapse of Darwinism, and reveals that life forms were created with the same complex structures they possess today. Allah knows how to create all species.





Millions of fossils from tens of thousands of species have been unearthed to date. As can clearly be seen from the bat eared fox skull on this page, these all belong to fully formed and complete life forms with a perfect appearance and complexity. The same applies to specimens of ants, fish, bears, spiders and tigers, which were the same millions of years ago as they are today.



---

## Striped Jackal Skull

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 82 million years

Region: Xi Jiang, China

An 82-million-year-old striped jackal skull is just one of the living things that has left remains behind to the present day. This fossil show that fully formed jackals, with all their anatomical features just as jackals of today were also living on Earth 82 million years ago. This and countless other examples tell Darwinists that when we look at the fossil skulls of jackals, monkeys, other life forms or even of now extinct human races that once lived in the past, we see that none of these have ever changed. Not a single transitional form to show that any one of these has changed has ever been found. This means that living things never evolved, and that each one is the creation of Almighty Allah.





Details such as the fossil's dental structure reveal that this life form was the same 82 million years ago as it is today.





---

## Sun Bear Skull

---

Period: Miocene

Age: 6.7 million years

Region: Shan Dong, China



In his book *In Search of Deep Time*, Henry Gee states that there is no evidence in the fossil record to support the theory of evolution, and that on the contrary, the available data are interpreted by evolutionists in the light of their own preconceptions:

"Many of the assumptions we make about evolution, especially concerning the history of life as understood from the fossil record, are, however, baseless." (*Henry Gee, In Search of Deep Time, Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1999, pp. 1-2*)

As Henry Gee says, the fossil record has shown that Darwinism's claims regarding life and its origins are completely unrealistic. Countless examples, such as the 6.7-million-year-old sun bear skull in the picture, prove that Darwinism is nothing more than a hypothesis that flies in the face of all the facts.



All fossils dating back millions of years show that today's mammals were living in exactly the same way as they were millions of years in the past and that they never evolved. This deals a very serious blow to Darwinism. And that is the reality depicted in this book.



---

## Hyena Skull

---

Period: Oligocene


Age: 33 million years

Region: He Zheng, Shan Dong, China

The way that the detailed remains of a living thing dating back millions of years appear from under the ground is truly a miracle. If Allah so wished, a living thing's bones would also vanish in the soil, in the same way as soft tissues do, and not a trace of them would be left. That would leave the way open for Darwinists to engage in speculation. Although paleontology, microbiology and microgenetics provide no evidence for their theories, they would still try to use the non-existent fossil record to fabricate scenarios. But that is not the case. Complete skeletal remains of living things are unearthed, all their details can be analyzed, they can be compared with those of present-day life forms and owing to all the countless details that are made available their resemblance to present-day life forms can be perfectly revealed. Therefore, Darwinism has collapsed in the field of paleontology, as it has in those of all other branches of science.

One of these perfect remains is this 33-million-year-old hyena skull, which is identical to those of hyenas living today.

Darwinists, who maintain that life forms are in a constant state of change, are unable to account for the obvious stasis in all living species. This 32-million-year-old hyena skull below is one example of this stasis in living things.





Not a single one of the transitional forms expected by Darwin, and that all Darwinists have hoped to find, exists. Not a single transitional form has ever been discovered anywhere in the world. There is no difference between this boar skull that dates back 58 million years and those of present-day boars. The truth revealed by the fossil record is that life forms did not evolve but were created in a moment, looking the same as they do today.



## Boar Skull

Period: Paleocene

Age: 58 million years

Region: Shan Dong, China

Darwinists were once able to attract supporters using a primitive logic. It was a simple matter at a time of scientific ignorance to convince people that proteins and eventually cells formed spontaneously and gradually from muddy water, that bears hunting in water gradually acquired fins and turned into whales and that monkeys decided to stop swinging from branch to branch and began walking upright, thus giving rise to human beings.

Since the fields of genetics and paleontology were unknown at that time, all these fictitious changes were heralded as scientific facts. But things are no longer anywhere near as simple as that for Darwinists. The science of genetics has revealed the complexity of the cell, and paleontology has revealed that life forms have never changed. Like countless of other specimens, the 58 million-year-old boar skull in the picture to the side shows that life forms dating back millions of years are identical to those living today. It is no longer possible for Darwinism to deceive people.





LIVING





# FOSSILS

*Marine fossil specimens*







---

## Catfish

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Green River, Wyoming, USA

The 50-million-year old catfish in the picture has come down to the present day completely unchanged. Like all the other millions of fish created, this species never underwent evolution. The transition from water to dry land alleged by evolutionists never happened. Like other life forms, the catfish looked and lived 50 million years ago the same as it does today.

The fossil in the picture is a double aspect, negative-positive fossil.



---

## Hatchetfish

---

Period: Oligocene

Age: 37 - 23 million years

Region: Czech Republic



Like several other deep sea fish these small, bright, silver fish resembling hatchets in appearance have light producing organs beneath their stomachs. These fish have up to 100 light organs capable of emitting light beneath their bodies. The hatchet fish, which has lived in the seas for millions of years with this perfect creation, never developed out of any other life form and has never turned into another one. The 37-to-23-million-year-old hatchet fish from the Oligocene period in the picture was found in the Czech Republic and is identical to specimens living today.





---

## Jellyfish

---

Period: Cambrian

Age: 500 million years

Region: Wisconsin, USA

The way that the 500-million-year-old jellyfish in the picture has come down to the present day is quite literally a miracle because these marine organisms have no skeletons like fish do; theirs is a completely jelly-like structure. Under normal conditions this animal should have left no fossil remain behind it but Allah allowed this animal to be fossilized in order to invalidate evolutionists' claims and has made it available as evidence from 500 million years in the past.

Jellyfish have no hearts, brains, bones, scales or eyes. They have simply a loose network of nerves. Some species of jellyfish are poisonous. Those that are not poisonous possess the ability to emit light as the result of a chemical reaction, known as bioluminescence, for self defense. Just like all other life forms, jellyfish are manifestations of Allah's glorious creative artistry.



This fossil jellyfish, which dates back 500 million years and proves that these organisms have never changed up to the present day, is just one of hundreds of millions of fossils that clearly show the invalidity of evolution.





---

## Opalescent Squid

---


Period: Jurassic


Age: 148 million years

Region: Solnhofen, Germany

Like other created like forms, this 148-million-year-old opalescent squid has come down unchanged to the present day. This is obvious proof that evolution never happened and that Allah creates all living things. The opalescent squid is one of the decapod family, and has 10 limbs protruding from its mouth region. Opalescent squids have highly sensitive senses of smell and taste. Their eyes are so powerful that with the vision they enjoy, by means of 70 million eye cells, they can immediately recognize a danger approaching from behind and perform a series of movements to protect themselves. Allah has given this animal a perfect defensive system. When attacked, the opalescent squid emits a dark-colored liquid from its ink sac, thus confusing the predator and allowing it to escape at high speed.







---

## Halfbeak

---

Period: Jurassic

Age: 152 million years

Region: Regensburg, Germany

The halfbeak has a long, beak-like jaw, conical in shape and equipped with powerful teeth. Comparison of this 150-million-year-old fossil discovered in Germany with specimens living today shows that the species has undergone no change in its appearance, nor any other changes in its bone and tail structure. Halfbeaks are one of the countless species that demonstrate the invalidity of evolution. Halfbeaks, the oldest known specimens of which date back to the Jurassic period (206-144 million years ago) have remained unchanged for millions of years.





---

## Trumpet Coral (*Caulastrea curvata*)

---

Period: Jurassic

Age: 150 million years


Region: Germany



Corals are invertebrate organisms living in the depths of the sea. There are various types, such as soft, horn and thorny corals. When coral dries and solidifies it has a stone-like structure. Corals often resemble brightly colored flower gardens at the bottom of the sea.

The 150-million-year fossil coral in the picture was petrified with all its details. As can clearly be seen from the two pictures, this coral is identical to corals living today. This means that despite the intervening 150 million years, corals have never changed; in other words, they never evolved.





The fossil in the picture is a double aspect positive-negative one.

---

## Lobster

---

Period: Carboniferous

Age: 300 million years

Region: Illinois, USA

The fossil record is exceedingly rich and its numbers are sufficient for us to comprehend the origin of life. When we examine fossils we see that they appear suddenly, separately and with all their unique structures, with no supposed “evolutionary” “transitional forms” preceding them. This is one of the proofs that Almighty Allah created all living things. One of the fossils showing that Creation is a manifest reality is the 300-million-year-old lobster fossil shown in the picture. The details of the bodies of decapods, such as crabs, shrimp and crawfish, can also be clearly seen in this 300-million-year-old fossil. Like all other living things, this life form has remained the same since the day it was first created and has undergone not even the slightest change since.








## Horn Shark

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 95 million years

Region: Lebanon

Present-day horn sharks have wide ridges on their long bodies. Examination of the 95-million-year-old fossil in the picture shows that the ridges on the body, and its head and tail structure have not changed in the least. The horn shark lives in the depths of the seas, and sometimes uses its pectoral fins to crawl over rocks. It lies in ambush for its prey in caves or reefs. It is approximately 1 meter long. The 95-million-year-old horn shark in the picture illustrates once again the defeat suffered by Darwinists and proves the fact that our Lord creates all living things.





The fossil in the picture is a double aspect positive-negative one.



## Coelacanth

Period: Triassic

Age: 210 million years

Region: Madagascar

The discovery of a living coelacanth, a 210-million-year-old fossil specimen of which is shown in the picture, came as a severe blow to evolutionists. Evolutionist biologists seeking evidence for their theory of “a passage from water to land” had made highly irrational claims on the basis of the coelacanth. They suggested that the fish had a supposedly primitive (not fully functional) lung. This was described in a great many scientific references, and illustrations representing the coelacanth moving from water onto dry land were often published. Until 1938, many evolutionary biologists hypothesized that this life form used the two double fins on its body to walk along the sea bed and was a transitional form between marine and terrestrial animals. As evidence for these claims, evolutionists pointed to the bony structures in coelacanth fossil fins.

However, an event in the Indian Ocean on December 22nd 1938, totally demolished that idea. A living member of the *Latimeria* species, one of the coelacanth family, which had been depicted as a transitional form that had become extinct 70 million years earlier, was caught in the middle of the ocean! The discovery of a “living, breathing” coelacanth came as a huge shock to evolutionists. In addition, examination of the animal, thought to have disappeared 70 million years in the past, showed that coelacanths had never changed over 400 million years. More than 200 coelacanths, a species which evolutionists had declared long extinct, were caught in the years that followed in other regions, especially in 1939 in the mouth of the Chalumna River and off the coast of Madagascar, and in the seas off the Comorra Islands in 1952 and 1953.



The catching of these fish exposed just how far the fictitious speculation by evolutionist scientists could go. Coelacanths had neither a primitive lung nor a large brain. The structure that evolutionist researchers thought was a primitive lung was nothing more than a fat sac in the fish's body. Moreover, contrary to what had been claimed, the fish did not possess a large body. While it had a large skull, there was only a small brain inside it. The idea of the only serious contender for the role of a "transitional form" between fish and amphibians was thus eliminated.

At this, the popularity of the coelacanth in evolutionist publications came to a sudden end and the coelacanth was hastily taken out of textbooks where it was presented as a supposedly transitional form.

Evolutionists' efforts have all been in vain. All the evidence refutes evolution and shows that Creation is a fact. In one verse Allah says:

**Say: 'Truth has come and falsehood has vanished. Falsehood is always bound to vanish.'  
(Qur'an, 17:81)**



A 210-million-year coelacanth fossil







---

## Brittlestar

---

Period: Devonian

Age: 400-380 million years

Region: Germany

The body of the brittlestar is different to that of other starfish. The brittlestar's thin arms emerge from a body resembling a small flower, and this differentiates it from other starfish. One of the fossils proving that, contrary to what evolutionists maintain, gradual evolution never took place is the 380 to 400-million-year-old brittlestar fossil in the picture. The brittlestar was a brittlestar 400 million years ago just as it is today, and it has never undergone even the slightest change. Norman Macbeth, a well-known evolutionist, admits the invalidity of the theory of evolution, that has suffered a major defeat in the face of the fossil record:

“Unfortunately, in the field of evolution most explanations are not good. As a matter of fact, they hardly qualify as explanations at all; they are suggestions, hunches, pipe dreams, hardly worthy of being called hypotheses.” (*Norman Macbeth, Darwin Retried: An Appeal to Reason, Boston: Gambit, 1971, p. 147*)





---

## Sardine

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 95 million years

Region: Lebanon

Sardines are nomadic fish that travel in schools, in deep water in winter and moderately deep water in summer. They are 15 cm (6 inches) long on average, with a maximum length of 20-22 cm (8.3 inches). These fish are often found in the Black Sea, Marmara, Dardanelles and the northern Aegean. The picture shows a 95-million-year-old fossil. As with all other living things created by Allah, this life form has never changed since it was first created and deals a severe blow to the theory of evolution.







---

## Sockeye Salmon

---


Period: Cretaceous


Age: 110 million years

Region: Brazil

The picture shows a 110-million-year-old Cretaceous period sockeye salmon fossil discovered in Brazil. Paleontology has proved that there is no difference between life forms that existed millions of years ago and living specimens today. Millions of fossils proving creation have now made it impossible for scientists to defend the theory of evolution. Salmon are specially created by Allah. As soon as they hatch, salmon embark on a long migration to the sea. Chum salmon reach the sea after feeding for a few weeks, while Kin and Atlantic salmon reach it after completing their development over a period of one to three years.

On their first migration, young salmon travel along the river they find themselves in; as they mature on this journey to the sea, they face dangers such as polluted water and larger fish waiting to catch them. Those that escape these dangers and reach the sea complete their migrations. After spending a few years in the sea, they grow and their reproductive organs reach maturity; they then embark on an amazing journey. The first amazing fact on this journey is the length of the migration that fish undertakes. Salmon in the open sea have to swim thousands of miles in order to reach their objectives. For example, when the time comes for a Chum salmon to lay its eggs in the fall, it swims for more than 3,200 miles (5,150 km) along the Yukon River. Like all other living things, salmon behave as they are inspired by Allah and reveal the majesty of His creation.





---

## Comb Jelly

---

Period: Cambrian

Age: 525 million years

Region: Utah, USA

The picture shows a 525-million-year-old Cambrian period comb jelly. The reason why these animals, whose scientific name is *ctenophores*, are known as comb jellies is that they possess eight lines of cilia.

What differentiates comb jellies from other species of jellyfish is that they lack the venom-filled organ known as the *nematocyst*, which resembles a curved tube. The biological phenomenon known as bioluminescence can be seen in the majority of jellyfish and ctenophores.

This enables the organism to put on a wonderful sound and light show in the depths of the sea. There is no doubt that this characteristic has been given to this animal by Allah since it was first created by Him. This fossil, showing the appearance of this life form 525 million years ago, proves the invalidity of the theory of evolution.



The fossil in the picture is a double aspect, negative-positive one.





---

## Bivalve

---

Period: Jurassic


Age: 206-144 million years

Region: Majunga Basin, Madagascar

A look at this 206 to 144-million-year-old bivalve fossil found on the island of Madagascar shows that it is identical to specimens of the life form living today.

Bivalves can be observed in fossils right down to the finest details and the stripes running down them. As you can see, not the slightest change has taken place in this life form, despite the passage of 144 million years, and it has never evolved or turned into another life form.





## Moon Coral

Period: Devonian

Age: 350 million years

Region: Atlas Mountains, Morocco

The famous Nobel Prize-winning evolutionist Dr. Robert Milikan expresses the invalidity of the theory of evolution as follows:

*“The pathetic thing is that we have scientists who are trying to prove evolution, which no scientist can ever prove.” (SBS Vital Topics, David B. Loughran, April 1996, Stewarton Bible School, Stewarton, Scotland)*

The fossil science and paleontology that made such advances in the 21st century have completely demolished the theory of evolution and revealed the fact that life forms do not gradually turn into one another. A lobster, an octopus, a squid and millions of other such species looked exactly the same 150 million years ago as they do today. The coral in the picture has never changed, despite the passing of 350 million years.

There are various types of coral, such as soft, hard and horn. Sea anemones are also a member of this class. Coral is the name given to the rocky skeletons of these life forms mixed with mineral substances. As a result of coral skeletons collecting in a specific region over thousands of years, coral reefs come into being. This exceedingly beautiful life form reflects the artistry of Allah.



---

## Tiger Shark

---


Period: Cretaceous


Age: 95 million years

Region: Lebanon

Tiger sharks have a plump, cylindrical appearance and are some 3.5 meters (11.5 feet) long. They live alone and hunt many marine creatures. They even attack other species of sharks. The tiger shark takes its name from the dark stripes on its back, which disappear with age. The details of the skull and fins and the skeletal structure can clearly be seen in this 95-million-year-old fossil. As tiger shark fossils prove, living things never evolved. Allah created all living things.









A 95-million-year-old tiger shark fossil

These living fossils are identical to specimens living today and are therefore evidence holding up a mirror to the fact that species have never evolved over many millions of years. This means they deal a lethal blow to the theory of evolution.

The theory of evolution claims that only living things that can adapt to changing environmental conditions will ultimately survive, and that during this process, which takes place under the effect of various fictitious random changes, they evolve into other life forms. Living fossils, however, reveal that the theory's idea that species will change over the course of time in line with changing conditions consists of a groundless myth. There are living fossils going back hundreds of millions of years. Living fossils such as the tiger shark, which have never changed despite going back some 400 million years, and the coelacanth, which evolutionists portrayed as an extinct transitional form, but which was later realized to be a bottom dwelling fish still living today, represent a very striking picture that totally discredit the scenario of change in the theory of evolution.



## Opalescent Squid

Period: Jurassic

Age: 150 million years

Region: Solnhofen, Germany

The opalescent squid is a member of the *Cephalopoda* class, from the *Decapodiformes* group. It lives in the sea and has survived right down to the present day. The opalescent squid has always existed as the opalescent squid. It is not descended from any other life form and never turned into another one. Fossil findings that confirm this say that, like all other life forms, opalescent squid have never evolved. Opalescent squid protect themselves by squirting out ink that Allah has bestowed in their bodies at moments of danger, and they also exhibit a perfect camouflage technique.





---

## Barracuda

---

Period: Miocene

Age: 5 million years

Region: Marecchia River Formation, Italy

The barracuda is a carnivorous fish that lives at depths of up to 100 meters (300 feet) in all hot and warm seas. They travel in schools and are exceedingly aggressive and voracious. They are even known to attack sharks. A Miocene period barracuda fossil dating back five million years and discovered in Italy clearly exhibits the small fins immediately beneath the head and on the tail, its delicate and long skull structure and its long body. All these details prove that despite the intervening five million years, the barracuda has never changed; in other words, that it never evolved.





---

## Mantis Shrimp

---

Period: Cretaceous


Age: 95 million years


Region: Lebanon

Mantis shrimps, which have come down unchanged ever since the Carboniferous Period (354 to 290 million years), are another life form that refutes the theory of evolution. The fact that a life form never changes over 300 million years is not something the evolutionist logic can explain. The mantis shrimp fossil in the picture is 95 million years old and is identical both to mantis shrimps that lived 300 million years ago and also to those living today.

The mantis shrimp can reach up to 30 cm (12 inches) in length and has a shell of different colors. Divers refer to them as “finger snappers” because of their dangerous claws, and they possess one of the most complex eyes in the animal kingdom. The mantis shrimp can see twelve main colors, four times as many as human beings, and can also identify the direction of the vibrations occurring in different light waves.







The fossil in the picture is a double aspect, negative-positive one.

---

## ***Mene Maculata (Moonfish)***

---


Period: Eocene

Age: 54 – 37 million years

Region: Monte Bolca, Italy

The *mene maculata* fish, a member of the *Menidae* family, is mainly found in the waters of the Pacific Ocean. Many fossil remains of this life form from the Cenozoic period (65 million years to the present) have been found. The 54 to 37-million-year-old fossil in the photograph from the Eocene period is important evidence that this life form has never changed in any way. The fossil is identical in every way to members of the species living today, with its broad body, small tail, skeletal structure and the two long fins extending from beneath its body. The fossil record literally reflects a picture of how living things were millions of years in the past. If a life form has not altered in the slightest over tens of millions of years, then it is impossible to speak of these living things evolving.

Its broad body, small tail, skeletal structure and the two long fins extending from beneath its body: These are some of the typical and identifying characteristics of the *Mene maculata*, which has never changed over millions of years. The fossil record is literally a picture of how these fish looked millions of years in the past, and shows that they have never changed.





The fossil in the picture is a double aspect, negative-positive one.



## Octopus

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 95 million years

Region: Lebanon



The way that animals with no shells or vertebrae appear in the fossil record, together with all their details, is truly a great miracle of Allah's. These animals' heads, arms and eye sockets can clearly be seen in fossils. In this way, people can witness the fact that no life forms ever change by seeing the fossil records for all living things created by Allah. The octopus is a member of the cephalopod class. Their bodies are short and round. They have a pair of highly developed eyes. Eight arms emerge from around their heads. These arms are all of the same length and are connected to one another at the bottom by a thin membrane. There are two rows of suckers on each arm. Different species vary in size from two to three cm to 10 meters (one inch to 30 feet) in length. They move across the sea bottom by crawling along on their arms, or can also move much faster using a jet system to emit the water they absorb into their bodies at high speed. Octopi also have an advanced ability to change color, which enables them to conceal themselves with ease. They open mussel and oyster shells, place a stone inside to prevent the shell from closing again, and consume the contents. Since they have no shells, they can flatten their bodies and arms and squeeze into very tight spaces. Like other life forms, the octopus is the work of Allah's magnificent creation.



---

## File Fish or Slender Fish

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 95 million years

Region: Hakel, Lebanon

Evolutionists claim that fish evolved from invertebrate marine organisms, amphibians and modern-day fish from a supposed “ancestral” fish, reptiles from amphibians, birds and mammals from separate reptiles and, finally, human beings and monkeys from a common ancestor. However, not one single transitional form that might corroborate that claim has ever been found in the fossil record.

The 95-million-year-old fossil file fish shown on this page is identical in every respect to specimens alive today. Therefore, like all other species, this life form has never changed in the least over millions of years, and never evolved. Every fossil discovery proves that the theory of evolution never happened and that all living things are created by Allah in all their perfect forms.





---

## Paddle Fish

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Green River Formation, Wyoming, USA

The paddle fish's highly pronounced spatula-like mouth, its nose structure and the small fins along most of the length of its body can be clearly seen in this 50-million-year-old fossil. The animal has never changed in the intervening 50 million years, and never evolved. When he launched his theory of evolution, Charles Darwin claimed that life forms evolved from one another by way of mutations; yet the millions of fossils that have been unearthed show that life forms are not descended from one another, and that they have remained the same since they were first created to the present day. The scientist Stephen Jay Gould states how no passage between species is possible through mutations:

*"A mutation doesn't produce major new raw material [DNA]. You don't make new species by mutating the species." (Stephen Jay Gould, "Is a New and General Theory of Evolution Emerging?", Lecture at Hobart & William Smith Colleges, 4 February 1980)*







There is no difference between the Eocene Period, 50-million-year - old paddle fish in the pictures and a present-day specimen. The fish's paddle-like mouth and unique fins remain just as they always have. Fossils of this kind show that life forms did not evolve, but that Allah created them all, perfectly and in a single moment.





## Thornback Ray (*Raja clavata*)

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 95 million years

Region: Lebanon

The thornback ray is a member of the family *Dasyatidae* and is unique to the northeast Atlantic and the Mediterranean. It inhabits a very wide region, from Madeira and Morocco to the British Isles, from the south of Norway to the Baltic, and from the Atlantic to the Mediterranean and the Black Sea. Its nose is short and broad. The front part of its body is quite smooth. The rear part, on the other hand, is curved slightly upward. The tail is on average 1.4 times the length of the body. There is a poisonous spike on its tail.

Examination of this fossil that lived 95 million years ago shows that it is physiologically identical to a specimen alive today. Despite the passage of millions of years, the fish has preserved its long, thin tail structure and body that opens out to the side in two wings, and it has never altered in the slightest. A 95-million-year-old thornback ray proves that living things did not evolve and that Allah creates all life forms.



---

## Tarpon

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 110 million years

Region: Santana Formation, Brazil

Tarpons are large fish covered in thick, silvery scales that can grow to five to eight feet long. Their upward curving lower jaw makes it easy to distinguish them. They swim very well, by means of their shuttle-shaped body and powerful tail. The tarpon is most commonly found in the warm waters of the American Atlantic coast. It sometimes comes to the western shores of West Africa. The Atlantic tarpon (*Tarpon atlanticus*) can reach 2.5 meters (eight feet) in length and a weight of 130 kg (287 pounds).

The tarpon reproduces in open waters and can lay up to 12 million eggs, but the young migrate to the shore and live in muddy shallows and river mouths. Adults may also approach shallow shores to hunt small fish and even enter rivers. Tarpons are popular with amateur fishermen because they are very strong, put up a fierce resistance after being hooked and can jump several meters into the air. Just as with other species, this fossil tarpon proves that these living things have never changed and never underwent evolution.





The fact revealed by fossil findings is that life forms appear suddenly in the fossil record together with all their features, and that they never change so long as that particular species survives. All living things are created by Allah telling them to "Be!"





---

## Needlefish (*Belone belone*)


---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 95 million years

Region: Lebanon

The fossil record refutes the theory of evolution in all aspects. A 95-million-old Mesozoic era fossil needlefish is one of those that deal a severe blow to the theory of evolution. Despite the passage of 95 million years, this life form has never changed, and it has preserved its long mouth and thin body since the day it was first created. The needle fish, a member of the *Belonidae* family with a long and thin body, can attain lengths of up to one meter (3.2 feet) and lives for 18 years on average. The needle fish feeds on small fish such as sprats, whitebait and sardines. A comparison of a living needle fish specimen with a 95-million-year-old fossil clearly shows that these living things never evolved and that Almighty and Omniscient Allah creates all life forms.



---

## Ranila Crab

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Tuscany, Italy

Like all created life forms, the Ranila crab has not changed at all over millions of years. Allah has preserved them just as they always are. This is evidence that evolution never happened and that Almighty Allah creates all living things. The fact the Ranila crab has remained unchanged despite the intervening 50 million years invalidates evolutionists' claims and proves to the entire scientific world that evolution never happened.

This life form has a much smaller body than that of other crabs and possesses five pairs of legs, with no claws. It constantly moves backward. They bury themselves in the sand when the tides are coming in and out for protection against predatory birds. Allah certainly bestowed all these characteristics on them. The fact that this life form has remained unchanged despite the passage of 50 million years show that evolutionists are not telling the truth and that theirs is a totally imaginary scenario.







This species of crab, with a much smaller body than that of other crabs, has five pairs of legs but no claws. This typical characteristic was also present in Ranila crabs 50 million years ago.

What fossils show us is that life forms have never changed, in other words, that they never evolved.



---

## **Bowfin (*Amia calva*)**

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Messel Formation, Germany

Since the theory of evolution is not based on any scientific evidence and is kept propped up with fabricated scenarios and propaganda techniques, it is impossible to find any fossil to corroborate this fictitious theory. Darwinists have written a fictitious natural history and have sought to make fossils fit that theory; but the exact opposite has happened, and every new fossil discovery places the theory of evolution in an even more impossible position.

The bowfin fossil in the picture dates back 50 million years. The animal's sharp teeth, jaw structure, the fins beneath its body, the large, long fins on top of the body and the oyster-like tail have all been perfectly preserved and it has been realized that there is no difference between this specimen's skeletal system and that of present-day bowfins. The bowfin lives in the muddy, sandy or reedy bottoms of lakes and rivers in Central and Eastern Anatolia. It feeds on small animals, insects and larvae from the mud it sucks up on the bottom. It can withstand the high temperatures of shallow waters. A 50-million-year-old fossil specimen of this life form reveals the invalidity of the theory of evolution.



The sharp teeth, jaw structure, fins and detail in the tail have all been preserved in this bowfin fossil dating back 50 million years. This fossil shows that the fish has never changed and reveals the invalidity of the theory of evolution.





---

## Capros Fish (*Boar Fish*)

---

Period: Oligocene

Age: 37 – 23 million years

Region: Poland

Paleontological research over the last 150 years or so has never unearthed a single fossil that might serve as evidence for the theory of evolution. All the fossils unearthed show that life forms never evolved.

A comparison of a living capros fish with a fossil form dating back 37 to 23 million years clearly shows that the fins emerging from the animal's back, the structure of its skull and its tail have never changed. The fish is almost as wide as it is long. Six species of this fish live in the depths of the Atlantic, Pacific and Indian Oceans. Allah created this species of fish as He did all other living things, and the animal has come down to us completely unchanged to the present day.

The 37 to 23-million-year-old capros fish in the photograph is identical to the present-day specimen on the right and is one of the most important pieces of evidence that evolution is a deception.





The fossil in the picture is a double aspect, negative-positive one.

---

## Herring

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Monte Bolca, Italy

Herrings are fish approximately 30 cm (12 inches) long that live in northern seas. Its body is flattened at the sides, its back is blue-green in color and its stomach silver. They travel in large schools and feed on plankton. The fish has a life span of approximately 18 years. There are two species of herring; one never leaves the sea, while the other comes to river mouths to breed.

Herring travel for long distances as plankton change location. At breeding time, they form schools consisting of millions of members. The eggs that attach to stones and seaweed form a layer 1 cm (0.39 inches) thick in some places. The baby herring that hatch from the eggs are hunted by other animals. Therefore, although few grow to full size they again form large schools. The fact that a herring fossil dating back 50 million years is identical to a herring living today proves to the scientific world that evolution never happened.



Herring, which move in large schools and feed on plankton, travel very great distances. As can be seen in detail in this 50-million-year-old fossil herring, not the slightest alteration has taken place in its body.





---

## Shrimp

---

Period: Jurassic


Age: 150 million years


Region: Germany

The fact that a fossil of a living thing that existed 150 million years ago can be preserved so perfectly, and that as a result we have the possibility of observing every detail in its body, has dealt a severe blow to the theory of evolution. The anatomy of the shrimp in the picture, that lived 150 million years ago, the legs emerging from its body and the structure of its head, can all be seen quite clearly. Shrimps have survived completely unchanged for 150 million years and clearly show that Darwinists, who maintain that living things are in a constant state of change from the supposedly primitive to the more advanced, are not telling the truth.

The shrimp lives in European seas and the shores of North America, and belongs to the crustacean class. It has a cylindrical body and long antennae. It is covered in armor made of calcium carbonate. Its body is jointed. It swims backward by waving its fin-like tail. Its tail is pronounced and resembles a fan in appearance. Its two pairs of antennae are very long and forked. At least one of these forks curves backward, enabling the shrimp to edge backward into cracks and crevices and warning it of danger approaching from behind. The shrimp's most distinctive action in the face of danger is to seek to protect itself by a sudden bending of the body. It can adapt its body color to blend in with its surroundings. Some species have large claws and feed on small fish, while others scavenge on food particles among the sand. Some of these species have brush-like hairs on their claws to help them gather up food particles.







## Atlantic Cod


Period: Oligocene

Age: 37-23 million years

Region: Poland

Darwinists claim that all life forms change and evolve from one another; that is why fossil specimens that lived millions of years ago are so important. Life forms have remained unchanged despite the passage of millions of years. One single living fossil is by itself sufficient to prove this, though the Earth is full of countless living fossils.

One specimen that invalidates the theory of evolution is a 37 to 23-million-year-old Atlantic cod fossil. Examination of the animal's skeletal structure, head, tail and fins shows that its anatomical features are identical to those of specimens living today. Cod are migratory fish that live in temperate and cool seas at depths of between 30 to 300 meters (100 to 1,000 feet). They have long, thin bodies and vary in size between 20 to 50 cm (eight to twenty inches), depending on the species. They may weigh up to one to two kg (two to five pounds). Cod travel in schools and feed on small fish and crustaceans. A female cod lays around 200,000 eggs. As with fossil remains of other species, this Atlantic cod fossil proves that evolution never happened.





---

## Crayfish

---

Period: Jurassic

Age: 160 million years

Region: Yixian Formation,  
Liaoning, China

An average adult crayfish is 10 to 15 cm (four to six inches) long, two to three 2-3 cm (0.75 to one inch) wide and weighs 40 to 50 grams (1.4 to 1.7 ounces). They generally inhabit muddy and sandy areas. By day, they conceal themselves in holes they dig in these areas or under rocks among plants. At night, they come out to feed. They consume both animal and vegetable foodstuffs. Crayfish change their shells eight times during their youth and one to two times a year as adults.

Not one of the transitional forms evolutionists have been talking about for 150 years has ever been found in the fossil record. The 160-million-year-old crayfish in the photograph has never changed in any way. Different living species appear separately, in a single moment and with all their particular structures, with no so-called evolutionary “transitional forms” between them. This once again proves that Allah creates all living things.



The large claws on the front of the 160-million-year-old crayfish fossil in the picture, the structure of its head and feet, and its slightly backward curving body are identical to those of present-day specimens and have never changed in any way.



The fossil in the picture is a double aspect, negative-positive one.





---

## Dentex

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Monte Bolca, Italy




The head structure, the short fins on the body, the tail divided in two parts and the small fins beneath the body can all be clearly seen in this 50-million-year-old dentex fossil from the Eocene period.

With all their details, fossils preserved in stone totally discredit all evolutionary scenarios. Allah has preserved the fossil remains of things that lived millions of years ago in stone, literally like photographs. The scientific world has thus been able to observe that life forms have never changed in the slightest and that they never evolved. Darwin admitted in the chapter "Difficulties on Theory" in his book *The Origin of Species* that this represented a major dilemma for his theory:

"... Why, if species have descended from other species by insensibly fine gradations, do we not everywhere see innumerable transitional forms? Why is not all nature in confusion instead of the species being, as we see them, well defined?... But, as by this theory innumerable transitional forms must have existed, why do we not find them embedded in countless numbers in the crust of the earth?... Why then is not every geological formation and every stratum full of such intermediate links? Geology assuredly does not reveal any such finely graduated organic chain; and this, perhaps, is the most obvious and gravest objection which can be urged against my theory." (*Charles Darwin, The Origin of Species, pp. 172, 280*)





---

## Chimaera

---

Period: Carboniferous

Age: 320 million years


Region: Montana, USA

The picture shows a 320-million-year-old fossil chimaera, perfectly preserved with its fins, head and tail. These fish, with splendid teeth, fins on either side, eyes resembling those of human beings and a 30 cm (12 inch) long whip-like tail, have never changed over the course of 320 million years. The fossil shows all the animal's details, as well as the fact that it has never changed. The invalidity of the theory of evolution is becoming clearer with every passing day due to the evidence provided by the fossil record. Allah creates all living things with His infinite knowledge and artistry.



There is not one single piece of evidence that evolution ever happened. Millions of fossils from tens of thousands of species have been discovered to date. But all of these belong to complete, fully formed and complex life forms with a perfect appearance. This chimaera with its unique appearance was swimming in the seas 320 million years ago and has come down to us unchanged to the present day.





## Grinner Fish

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 95 million years

Region: Lebanon

Evolutionists cannot point to a single transitional form they could use as evidence against the fact of creation. Were the evolutionists' claims true, then the fossil record should contain millions of transitional forms evolved from millions of species in the universe. But there exists not one transitional form in the fossil record, and all the fossils that have been discovered prove that life forms have never changed, that they never evolved. This 95-million-year-old grinner fish fossil from the Cretaceous period is one specimen showing that this life form has never changed despite the intervening millions of years. The evolutionist scientist Gordon Rattray Taylor admits to these dilemmas facing the theory of evolution as follows:

"It is a striking, but not much mentioned fact that, though geneticists have been breeding fruit-flies for sixty years or more in labs all around the world—flies which produce a new generation every eleven days—they have never yet seen the emergence of a new species or even a new enzyme." (*Gordon R. Taylor, The Great Evolution Mystery, New York: Harper & Row, 1983, p. 48.*)





---

## Sturgeon

---


Period: Jurassic

Age: 150 million years

Region: China

The sturgeon is a freshwater fish comprising the *Acipenseridae* family, up to eight meters (25 feet) long and weighing up to 1.6 tons. Their skeletons are only partly cartilaginous. They have five rows of large scales along their flanks. Their tails are asymmetrical, their mouths point downward and they can make their mouths protrude when necessary. They have four whiskers, which enable them to seek food on the bottom. Most species of sturgeon only come to fresh water to breed and generally live in salt water. The largest species of sturgeon, the beluga sturgeon, lives almost exclusively in salt water and comes from the sea to fresh water to lay its eggs. The 150-million-year-old sturgeon fossil in the picture completely refutes the evolutionist idea that life forms are descended from one another. The fossil proves that, as with specimens living today, the fish's tail structure, the fins right to the side of the head and the other fins on its body have never changed. Like all other living things in the universe, the sturgeon was created by Allah with infinite wisdom.





## Herring

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 144-65 million years


Region: Morocco

Robert Shapiro, a professor of chemistry and expert on DNA from the University of New York has calculated the probability of the 2,000 types of protein in just one simple bacterium emerging by chance. The figure he obtained was a probability of 1 in 10 plus 40,000 zeroes. Prof. Chandra Wickramasinghe comments:

"It [one to a number with 40,000 naughts after it] is big enough to bury Darwin and the whole theory of evolution. There was no primeval soup, neither on this planet nor on any other, and if the beginnings of life were not random, they must therefore have been the product of purposeful intelligence." (Sir Fred Hoyle, Chandra Wickramasinghe, *Evolution from Space*, p. 148)

The 144 to 65-million-year-old herring fossil in the photograph clearly proves that fish are created to always be fish, and that they never evolved into any other life form. The millions of fossils extracted from the Earth's rock strata have dealt a severe blow to the theory of evolution and expose its invalidity.





---

## John Dory

---

Period: Oligocene

Age: 37 - 23 million years

Region: Carpathian Basin, Poland

The fossil record shows that all fish species exist with their own unique characteristics, that they have no evolutionary relationships with other species and that the idea of a "common ancestor of fish" is a completely imaginary one. The Turkish evolutionist Ali Demirsoy admits that the claims regarding different species of fish turning into one another are "based on hypothesis:"

"There are merely assumptions regarding the division of boned fish from the earliest known Paleozoic jawed fish." (*Ali Demirsoy, The Basic Laws of Life, Vol. III, Part I, p. 248*)

Just like its counterparts living today, the spiny fins protruding from the upper and lower body of John Dory in the picture and its tail structure are very clear. This fossil also proves that all the Darwinists' claims are untrue. All living things in the universe are the work of our Lord Allah and they are all created with an unequalled artistry.



John Dory has a high, flat body and a wide mouth, and is covered in small scales. The fact that this fish which lives close to the bottom, has not changed for 37 to 23 million years invalidates all evolutionary claims about the origin of life and shows that Allah creates fish and all other living things.





---

## Minnow

---

Period: Miocene

Age: 23 – 5 million years

Region: Shang Dong Region, China

Minnows are freshwater fish belonging to the family *Leuciscinae*. This is a large family consisting of 48 species. Minnows are very small and live for only three to four years. Larger species live for between seven and ten years.

A 23 to 5-million-year-old fossil minnow clearly demonstrates that the fossil record refutes the theory of evolution. There is not the slightest difference between this 23 to 5-million-year minnow fossil and minnows living today. Fossil findings give the lie to the theory of evolution and prove the fact of creation.





---

## Sand Lances (*Ammodytes*)

---

Period: Oligocene

Age: 37 - 23 million years

Region: Poland

Sand lances belong to the family *Ammodytes* and have a long, needle-like body and a small, forked tail. These fish are around 15 cm (6 inches) long. They bury their long, thin bodies in the sand to hide from predators. Thousands of these fish swim along together, looking for food. According to the theory of evolution, species supposedly evolved from one another gradually, in stages, by undergoing minute changes. But the long thin body, the head and tail of the living fossil in the picture have never changed at all. Evolutionists have been deceiving people with imaginary scenarios for many years. But, just like other species, the sand lance was created as a fish by Allah and has survived down to the present day as exactly the same fish.





The fossil in the picture is a double aspect, negative-positive one.



---

## Sawfish

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 95 million years

Region: Lebanon


Sawfish belong to the family *Pristidae*. Their nose resembles a long saw, and they have two dorsal fins. They live in the Atlantic Ocean, the Mediterranean, the Pacific and northern Australia. These fish reach a maximum of 7.5 meters (25 feet) in length and generally live for up to 30 years. Examination of sawfish living today shows that they have the same characteristic saw-like nose and fins on the edges of their bodies as in this 95-million-year-old fossil.

Life forms living today also appearing in the fossil record deals a heavy blow to the theory of evolution because this 95-million-year-old fossil is identical to members of the species living today. The sawfish once again proves that living species have preserved their features up to the present day.



The sawfish, which can be seen in detail as it appeared 95 million years ago, has survived just as it was then, despite the intervening millions of years. Its two dorsal fins and all other details show us that these living things have remained unchanged for millions of years. Furthermore, the stasis in life forms, the way they remain unchanged, shows that Allah, the Almighty and Merciful, creates all life forms in a single moment.





---

## Catshark

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 95 million years

Region: Lebanon

The catshark is a member of the shark family. These fish live in warm seas at depths from 3 to 1,000 meters (appr. 10 to 10,000 feet) and do not make long migrations. They feed on crustaceans, invertebrates and small fish. Their length ranges from 50 to 150 cm (20 to 60 inches). This species lays its eggs in the fall in a sac it deposits among the rocks and can lay eggs several times a year.

The head of the catshark, resembling that of a small shark, and its small fins can clearly be seen in this 95-million-year-old fossil. The fossil shows that, despite the passage of millions of years, catsharks have remained the same since the day they were first created. Allah has created millions of species living beneath the sea, and they are all the work of His sublime artistry.







---

## Stingray

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 95 million years

Region: Lebanon

Stingrays, with their flat bodies, belong to the order *Rajiformes*. They are particularly common in the shallow seas of Europe and bury themselves in the sand. The male is approximately 70 cm (27 inches) long, and the female 125 cm (50 inches). There are as many as 180 individual species. The skin is thin and slippery. Their backs are brown and their stomachs silvery. They have large chest fins attached to both sides of the body. The electric organs in their tails are used solely for defensive purposes. These fish hunt at night and feed on small fish, crustacean and plankton.

The 95-million-year-old fossil stingray in the picture proves that this life form has never changed, that it never evolved.



The most distinguishing feature of the 180 species of ray is their long tail. Stingrays use their electricity generating tails solely for defensive purposes. All the details in the body of this 95-million-year-old stingray fossil are clear and show that this life form has remained the same for millions of years.





---

## Lanternfish

---

Period: Miocene


Age: 8 million years

Region: Italy

Lanternfish live in deep waters in various oceans. These small fish have light-emitting organs in their bodies. These light emitting organs are generally in their abdominal regions. Since they inhabit deep, dark waters they use their light both to illuminate their surroundings and also to warn off predators. Evolutionists have no explanation whatsoever for how these fish came to possess a highly complex system capable of emitting light from their bodies many millions of years ago because evolutionists claim that living things evolve from the primitive to the more advanced.

Allah created the eight-million-year-old fossil lanternfish in the picture together with all its complex systems.





---

## Pipefish

---

Period: Pliocene

Age: 4 million years

Region: Italy

When he proposed his theory of evolution, Darwin never imagined that fossils dating back millions of years would be preserved, and that these formerly living things would literally survive down to the present day as if they have been photographed. However, the millions of fossils that have been unearthed show that life forms have never changed and that they are the same today as the day they were first created.

The four-million-year-old fossil pipefish in the picture shows that this life form has never altered in the slightest. The fossil record reveals that living things never evolved and that Almighty Allah created them all.





---

## Bivalve

---

Period: Jurassic

Age: 206-144 million years


Region: Majunga Basin, Madagascar

These organisms feed by filtering food particles from water. Their bodies consist of two symmetrical shells joined by a hinge. They live in fresh water. Sand mussels and oysters are also members of this class.

The fossil shows that not the slightest change has taken place in this life form despite the passage of between 206 to 144 million years. If, like other life forms, this one has not undergone the slightest alteration over 206 million years, then it is impossible to speak of an evolutionary process. Bivalves were created with all the features they possess today.







## Moon Coral

Period: Devonian

Age: 350 million years

Region: Atlas Mountains, Morocco

Moon corals inhabit the Caribbean and Red Seas and the Pacific and Indian oceans. They feed on phytoplankton, sediment and wastes. Although moon corals are invertebrates, their fossils have nonetheless been very well preserved. A 350-million-year fossil shows that this life form has come down to the present day with all its characteristics and that it has never changed. Despite the passage of 350 million years, this coral never turned into an amphibian, and then a reptile, and then into a bird or a mammal. It has come down to the present day exactly as it was created so many millions of years ago.

Prof. Chandra Wickramasinghe admits the following facts regarding the theory of evolution:

“From my earliest training as a scientist, I was very strongly brainwashed to believe that science cannot be consistent with any kind of deliberate creation. That notion has had to be painfully shed. At the moment, I can't find any rational argument to knock down the view which argues for conversion to God. We used to have an open mind; now we realize that the only logical answer to life is creation—and not accidental random shuffling.” (*Chandra Wickramasinghe, Interview in London's The Daily Express, August 14, 1981*)



---

## Catshark

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 95 million years

Region: Lebanon



This fossil, which shows that an approximately 95-million-year-old catshark was identical to those living today, invalidates all evolutionist claims. If a living thing has undergone not the slightest change over tens of millions of years, then there can be no question of living things evolving.

No evolutionary process of the kind alleged by evolutionists ever took place. There is no difference between the 95-million-year-old catshark in the photograph and specimens alive today. Allah creates all the living things in the universe.





---

## Sardine

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 54-37 million years

Region: Green River Formation, Wyoming, USA

Sardines live in shoals. Several sardines have been petrified at the same time in this 54 to 37-million-year fossil. The fossil is evidence that sardines were created in a single moment, together with all their characteristics, and that they have not altered over tens of millions of years.

The head structure, the small fins on top of and underneath its body and the forked tail can all be seen very clearly in the fossil. Like all life forms in the universe, sardines are the work of our Omniscient and Almighty Lord.





---

## Sturgeon

---

Period: Cretaceous


Age: 125 million years

Region: Yixian, Liaoning, China



Sturgeon, which comprise the family *Acipenseridae*, are the world's largest freshwater fish, but also live in the sea. Some of them reach up to five meters (17 feet) in length. Sturgeon can weigh over a ton. These fish have two fins on both sides of their head and body, four whiskers and long, thin jaws.

The 125-million-year-old fossil remains of this life form are identical to specimens living today. With its structure that has remained unchanged for millions of years, this Cretaceous period fossil sturgeon defies the theory of evolution.





---

## Sea Horse

---

Period: Pliocene

Age: 3 million years

Region: Marecchia River Formation, Italy

Students in schools around the world are taught a myth of evolution that never happened. According to this myth of evolution, life forms developed into one another through a process lasting millions of years. Yet the fossil record dating back millions of years refutes all these claims. A comparison of the fossil strata and specimens living today shows us that fish have always remained fish, reptiles have remained reptiles, birds have remained as birds and monkeys have remained as monkeys. Indeed, the fossils of living things are so clear in the rock strata, with all their details, their tail structures, their heads, and fins or wings, that evolutionists no longer have the means with which to defend the theory of evolution.

The unique appearance of the sea horse, from the family *Syngnathidae* and the genus *Hippocampus*, can be seen very clearly in this three-million-year-old fossil. This living thing proves creation by having remained unchanged over millions of years.







---

## Eel

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 95 million years

Region: Lebanon

Eels, from the family *Anguillidae*, live in rivers and come to the sea to lay their eggs. These animals, which weigh approximately 4 kg (nine pounds) and are 1.5 meters (seven feet) long, live for some 10 to 15 years.

Several species of eel have no scales on their hard and slippery skin. These animals have very strong tails, which they use like digging tools. Some eels are carnivorous, and thus have powerful teeth and jaws.

Despite the passage of 95 million years, eels have preserved all the characteristics they were first created with. They have never evolved and turned into any other species, but have existed for tens of millions of years with the same complex features. All fossils prove that Allah creates living things and that life forms never evolved.





---

## **Bowfin (*Amia Calva*)**

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Messel Formation, Germany

Bowfins generally live in North America. They are part of the order *Amiiformes* and are just one of the life forms that have remained the same for millions of years. The 50-million-year-old Eocene Period fossil bowfin you see in the picture defies evolution and shows that despite the intervening millions of years, this life form has not altered in the slightest.

The animal's forward curving head, the tail stuck to its body, its upper and lower fins and its skeletal structure can be seen in all their fine detail. A 50-million-year-old bowfin fossil invalidates Darwinists' claims and proves that evolution never, ever happened.





---

## Sea Spider

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 95 million years

Region: Lebanon

Sea spiders (*Pantopoda*) are a class from the arthropod phylum. They are mainly found in the Mediterranean, the Caribbean, Antarctica and the Arctic, though they can be seen everywhere in the world. There are some 1,000 species of sea spider, which can live at depths of up to 7,000 meters (23,000 feet). The long legs emerging from the sea spider's body are its main distinguishing feature. The 95-million-year-old Cretaceous Period sea spider fossil shown pictured shows, in the clearest way, that this life form never evolved.





The fossil in the picture is a double aspect, negative-positive one.



## Coelacanth

Period: Permian

Age: 290-248 million years

Region: Madagascar

The theory of evolution is not a truth backed up by scientific evidence, but a superstitious belief adopted in the absence of any evidence at all. Evolutionists fabricate brand new scenarios for the sake of imposing the theory on others, and use the media to try and convince people that these scenarios are true. However the scientific evidence demolishes these carefully invested evolutionist scenarios on every occasion because these claims are all false and imaginary. For example, evolutionists made the most outrageous claims on the basis of coelacanth fossils. But then an unexpected event literally stunned evolutionists.


In 1938, a living member of the species *Latimeria* from the coelacanth family, described as “a transitional form that became extinct 70 million years in the past,” was caught in the open waters of the Indian Ocean. This event nicely served to expose the evolutionist fraud. The coelacanth fossil in the picture, which dates back 290 to 249 million years, has not changed in the least since the day the animal was first created. In addition, between 1952 and 1953, more than 200 other living coelacanths were caught in other regions, particularly in the Comorra Islands. In this way, the scenario of the coelacanth, which evolutionists had sought to depict as an intermediate form, was consigned to the waste basket.





The discovery of a living coelacanth, which Darwinists had for years tried to use as evidence of evolution, stunned the evolutionists. Moreover, examination of the species, which had been thought to have vanished from the face of the Earth at least 70 million years ago, showed that coelacanths have not changed over the course of 400 million years.





---

## **Bristlemouth (*Gonostomatidae*)**

---

Period: Oligocene

Age: 37-23 million years

Region: Poland

These are small fish that live in the depths of the oceans and possess light-emitting organs in their bodies. These light-emitting organs are generally in their abdominal regions. The light they emit to illuminate their deep and dark surroundings also serves to warn off predators.

The picture shows a fossil specimen of this life form dating back to the Oligocene Period, 37-23 million years ago. There is no difference between this fossil and a living specimen. No matter how much evolutionists try to keep materialism and evolution propped up, all the living fossils in the universe point to creation and the Lord of that universe. Allah created all the universe and all the living things in it from nothing, and gave rise to the Earth and sky. Our Lord Allah is infinitely mightier than everything people try to portray as equal to Him.

The deep sea bristle-moth has never changed in the fossil record. The fact that these fish possessed exceedingly complex systems allowing them to produce light millions of years ago is something that evolutionists cannot explain.





---

## Cod (*Bregmaceros*)

---

Period: Pliocene

Age: 5.3-1.8 million years

Region: Marecchio River Formation, Italy

D. S. Woodroff from the University of California expresses the evolutionists' disappointment at the absence of even a single transitional form in *Science* magazine:

“But fossil species remain unchanged throughout most of their history and the record fails to contain a single example of a significant transition.” (D.S. Woodroff, *Science*, Vol. 208, 1980, p. 716)

This fossil shows there is no difference between cod fish living 5.3 to 1.8 million years ago and those alive today. It invalidates the evolutionists' claims. As can be seen from this photograph, despite the passage of between 5.3 to 1.8 million years, this life form has all the same characteristics it did when it was first created. Not the slightest change has occurred in either its head, fins or tail. This fossil cod reveals in all clarity that evolution is an imaginary scenario.





---

## Moon Coral

---

Period: Devonian Period

Age: 350 million years


Region: Atlas Mountains, Morocco

Evolutionists have constantly had to issue apologies over the last 150 years; this is because every scenario they have proposed has collapsed under the weight of scientific evidence.

The 350-million-year-old Paleozoic Period moon coral in the picture proves that this life form has never changed, despite the intervening 350 million years. This 350-million-year-old moon coral is identical to a present-day moon coral and once again proves the fact of creation.

Observing that species remain astonishingly stable and unchanged over very long periods of time has forced the evolutionists into a tight corner. Paleontologists facing the reality of fossil records that have consistently and insistently refuted the myth of evolution proposed by Darwin were no longer in a position to conceal these facts. Every branch of science clearly shows us that life forms did not evolve, but were created in a single moment.

While there exists not one fossil record to show us that one life form is descended from another, the layers of the Earth are packed with evidence showing that living things have always had exactly the same features. The reality demonstrated by the fossil record is plain to see: life forms have never changed since the moment they were first created, and corals have always existed as corals, and fish as fish.





---

## Herring

---

Period: Eocene


Age: 54 - 37 million years

Region: Green River Formation, Wyoming, USA

The fossil record refutes the theory of evolution in every way. The fossil herring in the picture is between 54 to 37 million years old. There is no difference between herrings that lived 54 to 37 million years ago and those living today. Examination of this 54 to 37-million-year-old fossil shows that its head, fins and tail have not altered in the least. The fact revealed by herring fossils is that life forms do not evolve, and that Almighty Allah created them all.







## Sea Bass

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Green River Formation, Wyoming, USA

The 50-million-year-old sea bass fossil in the picture once again reveals that the theory of evolution is nothing but nonsense. The animal's general structure, fins and skeleton can clearly be seen in the fossil and have not changed over millions of years.

Millions of fossils show that Darwinists are not telling the truth when they say that life forms constantly change, progressing from the more primitive to the more advanced.



The wonderfully preserved details in the 50-million-year-old fossil below are most striking. As with hundreds of millions of other fossil specimens, this sea bass once again reveals that living things never change.



---

## Moon Coral

---


Period: Devonian

Age: 350 million years

Region: Atlas Mountains, Morocco

Evolutionists have never tired of making new claims over the years, yet they had to subsequently retract these claims on each and every occasion. They sometimes elected to keep fossils deliberately hidden away, and sometimes they went for imaginary reconstructions. They published the transitional form fossils they drew using the power of their imaginations on the covers of various scientific magazines, but would later have to retract these in subsequent issues of the same publications because the fossil records unearthed subsequently demolished the evolutionists' claims, one after the other.

The 350-million-year-old moon coral in the picture has never changed since the day it was first created. Just like millions of others, this fossil proves that Darwinism is merely a perverse ideology that has nothing at all to do with science.





## Sea horse

Period: Pliocene

Age: 3 million years

Region: Marecchio River Formation, Italy

Sea horses are very striking with their external appearance and special structures. They vary between 4 and 30 cm (1.5 to 12 inches) in length and generally live among seaweeds and other plants along coastal strips. Their protective armor keeps these animals safe from danger. That armor is so strong that it is almost impossible to break a dead sea horse with one's bare hands. The three-million-year-old sea horse in the picture says that "life forms do not evolve," and that Allah creates them all.



---

## Atlantic Argentine (*Argentinidae*)

---

Period: Oligocene

Age: 35 million years

Region: Poland

Atlantic argentines from the family *Argentinidae* are fish that live in the depths of the Atlantic and Pacific oceans. They are approximately 45 cm (1.5 feet) long and live at depths of 145 to 545 meters (475 to 1,800 feet). The great variety in the fossil record, from the smallest terrestrial and marine organisms to the largest, and life forms from the period being preserved literally as if in a photograph, has led to the utter collapse of the theory of evolution.

The 35-million-year-old Atlantic argentine in the picture shows that these fish have always remained the same, despite the passage of millions of years.







## Flashlight Fish

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 95 million years

Region: Lebanon

Flashlight fish from the family *Anomalopidae* live in tropical waters. This species takes its name from the bioluminescence organ in its body. Light-emitting bacteria live in an area beneath the fish's eyes. The fish uses this light to flee from predators, as well as for hunting and communicating with other fish.

All the details in this 95-million-year-old fish fossil have been preserved. The presence of such a complex system in a life form existing 95 million years ago is an insoluble dilemma for evolutionists, who put forward the scenario that animals develop gradually from the primitive to the more advanced.

These complex structures in the bodies of living things show that life forms came into being, not through evolution, but through Allah's wondrous creative artistry.





The guitarfish is just one example of life forms that have not changed over tens of millions of years. Millions of fossils preserved with all their details show that evolution is a base deception and creation the truth, and tell us that the perfection in the universe cannot have come about by chance. By Allah's leave, the 21<sup>st</sup> century will be when the Darwinist deception is definitively defeated.

---

## Guitarfish (*Rhinobatuss*)

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 95 million years

Region: Lebanon

Like present-day specimens, guitarfish living 95 million years ago had long, thin tails, small fins protruding from their bodies, and a conical head tapering to a point.

So many animals have been fossilized with their unique characteristics that scientists examining the fossil record have had to accept that the theory of evolution has been consigned to the pages of history. Fossils, the remains of Allah's sublime creation, expose evolutionists' lies in a more powerful manner with every passing day.





---

## Dwarf Sawfish (*Pristis clavata*)

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 95 million years

Region: Lebanon

Dwarf sawfish can be encountered in all the warm seas of the world. They generally live in shallow waters and sometimes travel to the upper reaches of rivers. The young enter the world together with their saws; but they do not harm the mother because they are sheathed in a membrane during birth. Sawfish use these organs as a defensive weapon, and also use them for hunting by waving them to the right and left when they enter among shoals of fish. They also use their saws to dig about in the muddy sea bed.

There is no difference between the sawfish in a 95-million-year-old fossil and a sawfish living today. The long, saw-like nose, its pronounced skull shape and the broad fins on the sides of its body can all be made out clearly. Sawfish, which have remained unchanged for millions of years, once again reveal the extent to which the evolutionists are in error.



The 95-million-year-old Cretaceous period sawfish fossil in the picture is an example of a living fossil. This fossil, with characteristics identical to those of sawfish living today, is just one of the millions of fossils that prove creation.





---

## Eel

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 95 million years

Region: Lebanon

Fossils from the same species exhibit no changes throughout the time they appear in the fossil record. That fact was well-known to paleontologists even before Darwin published his *Origin of Species*. Darwin prophesied that subsequent generations would find new fossils to fill the gaps; but following all the paleontological research that has taken place over the last 120 years or so, it has become clear the fossil record will not confirm that prediction.

Despite the passage of 95 million years, the eel in the picture has not altered in the slightest. It has never evolved or turned into any other life form.





---

## Crab

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 23 - 5 million years

Region: Waiapara, New Zealand

With the claws on the front of its body and thick outer shell, the 23 to 5-million-year-old fossil crab in the picture proves that the animal has not changed despite the passage of millions of years. Evolutionists have no scientific answer in the face of these facts. The theory of evolution has completely collapsed in the face of fossils that prove creation.





Crabs generally have a thick outer skeleton and a pair of claws. The claws that are typical features of crabs appear in exactly the same form in this 23 to 5-million-year-old fossil. Crabs are life forms that appeared suddenly millions of years ago with the same features they possess today. All the crab fossils discovered have entirely crab-like characteristics. No fossils with missing organs or half-crab, half-other life forms have ever been found. Crabs have always been crabs in all periods of history. The stasis in living things is one of the main proofs refuting the theory of evolution.





---

## Pipefish

---

Period: Miocene

Age: 23 - 5 million years

Region: California, USA

Pipefish are a species of bony fish from the family *Syngnathidae*, and have a long, thin tail. This fish lives in the Atlantic Ocean and the Mediterranean and is approximately 20 cm (8 inches) long. There are no scales on its body. There are no fins on its chest or abdomen. They live among oyster-like crustaceans or in the digestive cavities of sea cucumbers.

The fish's long, tubular mouth, long, thin body and long tail can all be made out very clearly in this 23 to 5-million-year-old fossil. The fish's skeletal structure has even been completely preserved, despite the intervening millions of years. The 23 to 5-million-year-old fossil pipefish in the picture proves that this life form has existed as a pipefish since the day it was first created and has never evolved into any other living entity.





---

## Boxfish

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 95 million years

Region: Haqel, Lebanon

The boxfish's different anatomical structure makes it highly maneuverable. Scientists were struck by the way that it manages to turn sudden turbulence which occasionally occur under the sea to its own advantage. Researchers produced computerized models of the boxfish. And the resulting findings were a source of inspiration in the manufacture of submarine robots. (<http://news.sciencemag.org/sciencenow/2003/01/23-02.html>)

The fact that this tiny fish is equipped with engineering principles that make it a marvelous swimmer is an expression of the glory of Allah's creation. This once again shows that the creation of Almighty Allah, Lord of the Worlds, is flawless, and every new life form investigated reveals that perfection once again.

This life form has never changed or evolved, despite the passage of 95 million years. Allah is He Who best knows His creations:

**The seven heavens and the earth and everyone in them glorify Him. There is nothing which does not glorify Him with praise but you do not understand their glorification... (Qur'an, 17:44)**

There is absolutely no difference between this 95-million-year fossil and the living boxfish shown beside it. This shows that evolutionists' claims are a deception, and that creation is a fact.





## Ladyfish


Period: Cretaceous

Age: 95 million years

Region: Haqel, Lebanon

The fossil of this living thing proves, like the fossils of other life forms on Earth, the invalidity of the theory of evolution.

The fact that ladyfish that lived 95million years in the past are identical to ladyfish living today is something that evolutionists can never explain. Fossils once again reveal that Allah creates all living things.





# LIVING





# FOSSILS

*Insect fossil specimens*





---

## Mantis

---


Period: Cretaceous

Age: 100 million years

Region: Burma

There are 2,340 known species of these insects from the order *Mantodea*. The majority live in tropical and subtropical regions. Depending on the species, they camouflage themselves as either green or dry leaves, brightly colored flowers, thin branches or lichens. Mantises are the only known species of insect able to turn their heads from side to side.





---

## Brown Lacewing

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 100 million years

Region: Burma

The brown lacewing belongs to the family *Chrysopidae*, from the order *Neuroptera*. This life form is widely seen in North America and Europe, and has survived for hundreds of millions of years completely unchanged. Evolutionists claim that life forms gradually turn into other life forms through a series of gradual changes. But, as can be seen from this example, life forms have never changed since the moment they were first created.





---

## Caddisfly

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 100 million years

Region: Burma

Caddisflies belong to the order *Trichoptera* and their larvae are frequently used as bait by fishermen. This life form has never changed over 100 million years. The stasis in the fossil record, which Darwinists try to ignore, is once again confirmed in this fossil. This 100-million-year-old caddisfly never changed. It came into being, meaning it was created, in a single moment, and had the same features 100 million years ago as it does today.





---

## Winged Ant

---

Period: Oligocene

Age: 25 million years

Region: Dominican Republic

The picture shows a winged ant that lived 25 million years ago. There are some 20,000 known species of ant. Winged ants have two antennae on the top their hard heads for communication. They have powerful jaws on the lower part of their heads, with sharp mandibles they use to cut plant leaves. The ant's neck and waist, through which many of its organs pass, are very narrow. It has three pairs of legs on its thorax, but none on its abdomen. Ants are found everywhere in the world and have preserved all these characteristics unchanged for millions of years. The winged ant fossil in the picture was preserved exactly as it was when the ant was covered in amber that poured over it. This fossil proves that, like all other life forms, winged ants have never changed, in other words, they have never evolved.







## Spider Mite (*Acarina*)

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 100 million years

Region: Burma

All the fossils unearthed clearly reveal that life forms did not gradually develop from one another, and that they never evolved. The fossil record reveals not one single example of a transitional form. This 100-million-year-old spider mite preserved in amber is just one of more than 350 million unchanged fossils and shows that Allah created it.



---

## False Click Beetle (*Eucnemidae*)

---

Period: Oligocene

Age: 25 million years


Region: Dominican Republic

These insects, members of the family *Eucnemidae*, resemble true click beetles, but are distinguished from them by various anatomical differences. Like true click beetles, false click beetles are able to leap into the air, although a small group belonging to the species lack this ability.

It is of vital importance for beetles to be able to right themselves when they are turned over on their backs. This is when the click beetle's ability to leap into the air comes into play. When click beetles are turned over on their backs, they right themselves by leaping up thanks to a special organ in their thorax.

Like all other living things, beetles never evolved, but were created by Allah with all the attributes they possess today. The false click beetle in the picture is one piece of evidence proving the fact of creation.







---

## Leafhopper

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 100 million years

Region: Burma

Leafhoppers are perhaps best known for the damage they do to corn crops. They seldom exceed 1 cm (0.39 inches) in size, and are the same today as they were 100 million years ago. The 100-million-year-old fossil leafhopper shown above is proof that these living things have never changed.







Humpbacked fly



Wasp

---

## Humpbacked Fly, Wasp

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 100 million years

Region: Burma

If, as Darwinists maintain, living things were descended from a supposed common ancestor, then these 100-million-year humpbacked fly and wasp fossils preserved in amber should be very different to specimens living today. But, as the fossil shows, there is no difference between them at all. Paleontology refutes all the baseless evolutionist claims by proving that these life forms have come down to the present day unchanged.



Wasp



Humpbacked fly



---

## **Mymarid Wasp (*Mymaridae*)**

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 100 million years

Region: Burma

This 100-million-year-old mymarid wasp had the same anatomy as those living today. Allah created these animals in a flawless manner, and it has never since evolved or changed in any way.





## Skin Beetle

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 100 million years

Region: Burma

Allah has created ever more glorious systems in all living things. These systems have never changed since those life forms were first created. The 100-million-year-old skin beetle fossil in the picture is identical to skin beetles living today. Paleontology has proved without doubt that life forms do not change in any way.





---

## Grasshopper

---


Period: Oligocene


Age: 25 million years

Region: Dominican Republic

Had evolution really taken place, which it never did, then life forms should appear with gradual changes and continue to alter over time. Yet the fossil record shows the exact opposite. The 25-million-year-old cricket in this fossil has been preserved in all its detail and is exactly the same as crickets alive today.







## Dance Fly

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 100 million years

Region: Burma

Dance flies can perform very rapid and acrobatic movements as they fly, and have come down to the present day unchanged since the moment they were first created. All life forms appear suddenly in the fossil record, and have remained static and unaltered for hundreds of millions of years. This is the most important proof that evolution never happened. This 100-million-year-old fossil dance fly preserved in amber is one of the proofs of Allah's magnificent creation.



## Springtail

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Poland

The stasis in the fossil record represents the greatest problem for the evolutionists because they seek the evidence they need for their fictitious evolutionary process in the fossil record, but to date no such evidence has ever been found. This 50-million-year-old springtail fossil is one example that doubtlessly inflicts a terrible disappointment on evolutionists and confirms creation.





---

## Cockroach


---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 100 million years

Region: Burma

The fact that cockroaches, known for their ability to withstand even the most difficult conditions, have survived down to the present day unchanged over the last 100 million years is one of the proofs of the falsity of the theory of evolution. These animals, found almost everywhere in the world, are exactly the same now as they were 100 million years in the past.



## True Bug

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 125 million years

Region: Santana Formation, Araripe Basin, Brazil

Insects have remained as insects, spiders as spiders, fish as fish and birds as birds ever since the moment they were first created. The intervening millions of years have not led to any changes in life forms. Just like other life forms, this insect from the order *Heteroptera* has been created in a flawless manner. This 125-million-year-old fossil is just one of the many proofs that refute evolution.





---

## Cockroach

---

Period: Jurassic

Age: 160 million years

Region: Yixian Formation, Liaoning, China

Cockroaches can be found almost everywhere on Earth, apart from the polar regions and at altitudes greater than 2,000 meters (6,500 feet). These animals are highly resistant, and are even able to withstand radiation. Evolutionists claim that they acquired these characteristics through minute, gradual changes. But cockroaches living 160 million years in the past were exactly the same as those living today. Allah created all living things as they are today, and living things never underwent evolution.







---

## **Sow Bug (*Isopod*)**

---

Period: Oligocene


Age: 25 million years

Region: Dominican Republic

These insects, which roll up into a ball when touched, can be found in a great many parts of the world. The 25-million-year-old sow bug fossilized in amber shown on the overleaf has exactly the same features as sow bugs living today. Life forms have never changed. Omniscient Allah created them.







---

## Spider

---



Period: Jurassic

Age: 160 million years

Region: Sihetou Formation, Liaoning, China

The spiders that live just about everywhere on Earth are arthropods. They weave amazing webs with a special silk, and were created with the same perfect characteristics they possess today. The fossil in the picture clearly shows that spiders living 160 million years in the past are the same as those living today, and that they never evolved.







---

## Dustywing

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Poland

Darwinists say that all living species have a supposed common ancestor. However the facts revealed by paleontology show that species appeared suddenly, millions of years ago, exactly the same as they are today. The 50-million-year-old fossil dustywing in the picture is one of the proofs that refute evolution. Dustywings have the same features today as they did 50 million years in the past.



## Aphid

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Poland

Aphids belong to the order *Psocoptera*. The 50-million-year-old fossil illustrated proves that aphids have always existed in the same form, that they never evolved and that they are not descended from a primitive ancestor. So long as the evolutionists insist on ignoring this reality, they will continue to look for non-existent transitional forms, to deceive people with hoaxes and spend their lives chasing an empty dream. However, none of these efforts will alter the fact that living things are the work of Almighty Allah, and more and more people every day will cease believing in the myths of Darwinism.



---

## Lynx Spider

---

Period: Eocene


Age: 50 million years

Region: Poland

No species living in the world has ever changed or evolved. Neither bird, nor fish, nor terrestrial animal nor plant nor insect species have ever changed. The transitional forms that evolutionists claim must exist have never been found in the fossil record. The fossil Lynx spider in the picture is identical to Lynx spiders living today. This is one of the major blows dealt to evolution.







## Springtail

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Poland

The fact that this life form was identical 50 million years ago to how it is today is genuine evidence that evolution never took place. Fossils provide the clearest and most accurate information on this subject. Springtails have never altered, despite the passage of 50 million years, and this demolishes Darwinists' claims.



---

## Whitefly

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Poland

Whiteflies rarely exceed 2 mm (0.079 inches) in length, and at first sight the adult form resembles a small butterfly. Whiteflies are not actually flies at all, though their resemblance to them gives them their name. This life form is particularly known for the damage it does to orange trees. The fact that this tiny creature has come down to the present day unchanged over the course of 50 million years is proof that it never evolved.







---

## Spider

---

Period: Oligocene

Age: 25 million years

Region: Poland

Spiders are one of the most numerous groups in the animal kingdom and possess many miraculous properties. One of these properties is the knowledge of geometry inspired in them by Allah and the architectural marvels, their webs, which they construct using that knowledge. This 25-million-year-old spider fossil is identical to spiders living today, and this proves this life form was created in a moment with all its characteristics and that it has never changed.





---

## Midge

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Poland

Like all other living things, various species of fly appear suddenly in the fossil record, and with all their unique characteristics and they have come down to the present day completely unaltered. The 50-million-year-old fossil midge preserved in amber shows that there is no difference between midges that lived millions of years ago and those living today. This once again reveals that evolution never happened and that creation is an obvious reality.







---

## Wasp

---

Period: Cretaceous


Age: 125 million years

Region: Santana Formation, Araripe Basin, Brazil

Just this fossil alone puts an end to 150 years of evolutionist claims. This 125-million-year-old wasp fossil was created in a moment with exactly the same perfect and superior features as members of the species alive today. Life forms did not come about through the fictitious processes of the theory of evolution. Allah created all the living things on Earth that have ever been.









---

## Snakefly

---


Period: Cretaceous

Age: 125 million years

Region: Santana Formation, Araripe Basin, Brazil

There are estimated to be around 150 species of snakefly. These are one of the proofs that all life forms appeared suddenly and have survived down to the present day unchanged. This 125-million-year-old fossil snakefly has exactly the appearance and wonderful characteristics as snakeflies living today.







---

## Whip Scorpion

---


Period: Cretaceous


Age: 125 million years

Region: Santana Formation, Araripe Basin, Brazil

Whip scorpions are not poisonous but when they feel threatened they can squirt a mixture of acetic acid and octanoic acid from glands in the back of their abdomens further than 80 cm (over 2.5 feet). This substance serves as a weapon to deter enemies. Whip scorpions that possess the same features 125 million years ago as those living today are one of the proofs that refute evolution.







---

## Waterbug (*Gyrinidae*)

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 125 million years

Region: Santana Formation, Araripe Basin, Brazil

The majority of these insects from the family *Gyrinidae* live in fresh water. So long as they are not disturbed, these insects live in large groups on the surface of the water, but when alarmed they quickly dive down and swim beneath it. Like all other living species, these animals were created with all their unique properties. There is no difference between the 125-million-year-old fossil waterbug in the picture and specimens living today. Allah is the Creator of all living things.





---

## Water Strider

---

Period: Cretaceous


Age: 125 million years

Region: Santana Formation, Araripe Basin, Brazil

The changes that evolutionists maintain took place never happened at any period of history. All life forms were created in a moment and fully developed. These insects, which are able to run on water, were created with the same properties 125 million years ago as they possess today.









---

## Long Legged Fly

---


Period: Cretaceous

Age: 125 million years

Region: Santana Formation, Araripe Basin, Brazil

There are several fossil specimens of long legged flies, a commonly encountered insect. The fossils show that these creatures possessed the same anatomical structure 125 million years ago as they do today. Life forms did not evolve. Almighty Allah created them all.







---

## A Beetle from the Order *Coleoptera*


---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 125 million years

Region: Santana Formation, Araripe Basin, Brazil

*Coleoptera*, or beetles, represent the most numerous class of insects. All the *Coleoptera* fossils seen to date are identical to those living today. In the same way that no living species that has ever lived has changed in any way, the *Coleoptera* have also come down to the present day just as they were first created.



## Cockroach


Period: Cretaceous

Age: 125 million years

Region: Santana Formation, Araripe Basin, Brazil

Cockroaches are one life form that have remained utterly unchanged for hundreds of millions of years. Fossil cockroaches have been found dating back some 320 million years. These insects, which constitute the order *Blattodea*, have never changed during the course of history. The 125-million-year-old fossil cockroach in the picture is one of the proofs that these living things were created, just like all other life forms.





---

## Ground Cricket

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 125 million years

Region: Santana Formation, Araripe Basin, Brazil

The great majority of crickets, part of the family *Tettigoniidae*, live in tropical regions. There are more than 225 species in North America alone. Ground crickets have remained the same, unaltered, for millions of years. The 125-million-year-old ground cricket fossil in the picture is another significant confirmation of this fact.





## Coleoptera

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 125 million years

Region: Santana Formation, Araripe Basin, Brazil

A look at the 125-million-year-old beetle fossil in the picture and a specimen living today shows that these animals have not altered in the slightest, right down to the details in the opening of their wings. The discovery of fossil remains of insects that have never changed over the course of millions of years has come as a heavy blow to the theory of evolution. There is no difference between a cockroach or a dragonfly that lived 400 million years ago and specimens living today. Insects' eyes, defensive techniques and very different flight systems invalidate all the evolutionists' claims.



---

## Lacewing (*Chrysopa*)

---


Period: Cretaceous

Age: 125 million years

Region: Santana Formation, Araripe Basin, Brazil

This delicate life form belongs to the family *Chrysopidae*, from the order *Neuroptera*. These animals are found in North America and Europe and are able to detect the ultrasounds emitted by bats. When they detect the ultrasounds used by bats in order to locate them, they fold their wings up and fall to the ground, thus escaping the bat. Living things with this ability were created with the same features millions of years ago as they possess today.







---

## **Darkling Beetle (*Tenebrionidae*)**

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 100 million years

Region: Burma

The fossil record has proved that, like other life forms, darkling beetles never underwent any process of evolution. As can be seen from the fossil in the picture, this 100-million-year-old darkling beetle is identical in appearance and structure to darkling beetles alive today. Almighty Allah created all life forms together with all their characteristics, and fossil findings confirm that fact.





---

## Spider Mite (*Acarina*)

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 100 million years

Region: Burma

The 100-million-year-old spider mite fossils in the picture are almost too small to be seen with the naked eye. They are smaller than the head of a pin. The way that these life forms appear suddenly in the fossil record, with no supposed evolutionary ancestors, the way they possess exceedingly complex systems and, most important of all, their great diversity, all give rise to questions that it is impossible for the theory of evolution to answer. Evolutionists are unable to conceal their helplessness in the face of these details revealed by microbiology and entomology. All life forms appear suddenly in the fossil record, without undergoing any changes. And this is proof that Allah creates living things.




---

## Flat Bark Beetle


---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 100 million years

Region: Burma

Insects represent 90% of the animals described to date. They have left a great many fossils preserved in amber from millions of years ago to the present day. The 100-million-year-old flat bark beetle fossil in the picture is one of these. There is no difference between the flat bark beetle in the fossil and beetles alive today. The picture in the fossil is just one example of the more than 350 million fossils that refute Darwinists' claims.



---

## Bee (*Hymenopteran*)

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Poland

This 50-million-year-old fossil bee, which can be seen down to the finest detail under a microscope, possesses the same perfect characteristics as bees living today. Its marvelous compound eyes have also been preserved in full detail in the amber.

Bees have very specialized eyes. They contain special sections known as “*ommatidia*,” 6,900 of which perform separate sight functions. Each one of these acts like a single eye. These are arranged rather like drinking straws in a box. In addition, each one ends in a small convex and transparent lens. (*Mark L. Winston, The Biology of the Honey Bee, Harvard Univ. Press, 1991, p. 1*) These lenses form the outer surface of the eye, rather like a glass ellipse. The bee eye is superior to the human eye in two ways: the bee eye can distinguish ultraviolet rays and it is highly sensitive to polarized light. Bees, with their glorious eyes that have remained unchanged for millions of years, refute evolution. (*Encyc. Americana, 1993, USA, Vol.3, Int. Headquarters, Danbury, Connecticut, p. 439*)







---

## Spittlebug

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Poland

Spittlebugs, members of the family *Cercopidae*, are known for the foam they produce during the larval stage.

The larvae manufacture a foam-like substance using the sap of the leaves they live on and cocoon themselves with it. This foam, or 'spit,' benefits the larvae in a number of ways, and protects them from predators, parasites and the effects of excessive hot and cold. The foam also prevents the larvae from drying out by providing a constantly moist environment.

Adult spittlebugs jump from one plant to another and can leap up to 100 times their own body length.

As with all living things, beetles have different and incomparable ways of protecting themselves, finding food and reproducing. That is Allah's creation in line with every living thing's needs. No insect ever acquired the characteristics it has by experimenting with different stages and adapting its own biological structure. It would have neither the intelligence or power to do so. The 50-million-year-old spittlebug in the picture had the same features as spittlebugs alive today. Indeed, the spittlebug can clearly be seen to have been fossilized in amber together with its surrounding foam.





This larval-stage spittlebug was fossilized in amber with its surrounding foam and has come down to the present day in a state of perfect preservation. (below)

Foam surrounding the larvae



---

## Pseudoscorpion

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 100 million years

Region: Burma

These insects are known as pseudoscorpions because their claws resemble those of true scorpions. Despite their small size, they can still sometimes be seen with the naked eye.

Allah has created many details and characteristics in this tiny creature. Just as there are no deficiencies, pathologies or abnormal structures in another life form on Earth, there are also none in this 100-million-year-old fossil pseudoscorpion. The insect's every organ is perfectly proportioned and symmetrical. Such symmetry and perfection can never come about by chance. The glorious symmetry and proportion in all living things is Almighty Allah's creation.





---

## Cephalotes Ant

---

Period: Miocene

Age: 20 million years

Region: Dominican Republic

What we see when we examine natural history is not that life forms “evolve into different anatomical structures,” but that they remain unchanged for hundreds of millions of years. This permanence in the fossil record is referred to as “stasis” by scientists. Living fossils and living things that have survived to the present day, but have left behind fossil remains from different periods of prehistory, are concrete evidence of the stasis in the fossil record. And this stasis in the fossil record showed there was never any process of gradual evolution.

This fossilized and literally armored ant is identical to those that lived 20 million years ago. The ant has never undergone any change in its physical structure, and never evolved.







---

## **Scelionid Wasp (*Scelionidae*)**

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Poland

Large or small, all living things possess rather superior characteristics and wide-ranging forms of behavior. This clearly shows that living things cannot come into being by chance, and that they are specially inspired and created entities. It is Allah Who brings all things into being from nothing, Who creates the separately functioning systems in every one of their cells and Who inspires their ways of behavior based on a most superior intelligence. These characteristics were coded into wasps created 50 million years ago and, just like hundreds of millions of other life forms, they have never changed and never evolved.



---

## Ladybug

---

Period: Miocene

Age: 25 - 17 million years


Region: Dominican Republic

Ladybugs are attractive insects, generally yellow, orange or red in color, and are very well-known to everyone.


The wings of these *coleoptera*, or winged insects, are actually underneath visible red-colored sheathes. When the insect wishes to fly, it first opens up its outer wings and then, with great care, opens up the membrane-like wings underneath. The folded

wings inside quickly become tense and flexible. These wings are unexpectedly large for the size of the insect, but they are so carefully folded and installed in their sheaths that the insect is able to expertly unfold them and fly away. It then folds them up again and packs them away under their red covers.

Allah shows us the greatness and matchless nature of His artistry in these living things. They have been doing things we cannot do for many millions of years. They behaved in the way inspired in them by Allah. The stasis we can observe in the fossilized living things is one of the greatest proofs that evolution never happened. Just as with this 25-million-year fossil ladybug...









---

## Spider

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 125 million years

Region: China

There are around 30,000 species of spider, living just about all over the world. These employ a variety of hunting techniques. Not all spiders use fixed webs to catch their prey. Some chase after their prey or leap onto it. The picture shows a 125-million-year-old fossil spider. Like all life forms, spiders have remained unchanged despite the passage of tens of millions of years.







---

## Lightning Bug

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Russia

This 50-million-year-old fossil is proof of a most important truth. Life forms have had immaculate anatomies ever since they were first created. Close inspection of the fossil shows that all its organs are perfectly formed and in the right place. The compound eye in particular can be seen down to the finest detail. Eyes that were able to see perfectly 50 million years ago exhibit a flawless structure.

We have no modern technology or knowledge capable of installing thousands of lenses in a space the size of a few millimeters and of giving each lens the ability to see. It is impossible for us to build a nervous system allowing these to perceive light and produce a flawless image from it. The idea that such an immaculate structure, which is beyond human beings' ability to construct even with all our accumulated knowledge and experience, being formed by chance is, of course, totally lacking in any credibility.

Darwinists' nonsensical ideas regarding chance and their hypotheses devoid of any evidence collapsed with the appearance of wonderful fossils of living things.





A 50-million fossil lightning bug

Chance could never produce a single one of the thousands of lenses possessed by this firefly, nor even a single protein in the innumerable cells that constitute these lenses. It is Almighty Allah Who sees and observes all beings at all times, Who creates every living things with flawless details, Who creates extraordinary equipment in a tiny insect and Who gives human beings the intelligence and conscience with which to reflect on these.

Mankind! An example has been made, so listen to it carefully. Those whom you call upon besides Allah are not even able to create a single fly, even if they were to join together to do it. And if a fly steals something from them, they cannot get it back. How feeble are both the seeker and the sought! (Qur'an, 22:73)







---

## Mayfly Larva

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 125 million years


Region: China



Throughout history there have been no changes in the transitional stages in the development of living things. For example, mayflies went through the same processes of egg, larva and adulthood as they do today. This 125-million-year-old fossil mayfly refutes evolutionists' claims that life forms went through various and gradual changes to assume their present forms.







---

## Assassin Bug

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 100 million years

Region: Burma

These insects are members of the family *Reduviidae* from the order *Hemiptera*. These insects possess a matchless ability to protect themselves. It has been discovered that these insects make spider webs vibrate, and they then attack the owner of the web. The characteristics of the 100-million-year-old assassin bug shown preserved in Burmese amber are identical to those of present-day assassin bugs.





## Spider Mite (*Acarina*)

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 100 million years

Region: Burma

The spider mite in the picture is identical to specimens living today and has never changed in any way. If life forms evolved, as the evolutionists maintain, then the whole world should be covered in the fossil remains of exceedingly pathological living things. There should be millions of transitional fossils of millions of pathological life forms with legs growing out of their heads, with feet on their stomachs or maybe stomachs on their feet, perhaps with one eye on their foreheads or tails sticking out of their heads. On the contrary, the fact that fossils dating back millions of years are identical to specimens living today proves that they never changed, and that species are not descended from one another by way of evolution.



---

## Snipe Fly

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Poland

The basic claim made by Darwinism is that life forms developed in stages and supposedly advanced by way of evolution. Yet when we look at the concrete evidence we see no evidence to support this fictitious myth of Darwinism's. Darwinism has not been confirmed even once by any scientific finding over the last 150 years or so. On the contrary, it has been refuted by each and every new discovery. One such finding is the 50-million-year-old fossil snipe fly in the picture. Like other species of fly, this fossil proves that snipe flies have never changed; in other words, that they did not evolve.





---

## Assassin Bug

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 100 million years

Region: Burma

The 350 million or so fossils unearthed have revealed that species are not descended from one another and that they never evolved. The assassin bug, as seen in the 100-million-year-old fossil above has come down to us completely unchanged to the present day. The fact that Darwinists still maintain that evolution took place, despite seeing millions of fossils that have never changed, clearly shows they are under a kind of magic spell of belief in this dogma. But the evolutionists' endeavors have all been in vain, and 21<sup>st</sup> century paleontology has spelled the end of the theory of evolution.



## Thrips

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 100 million years

Region: Burma

Thrips are arthropods from the order *Thysanoptera*, meaning 'fringed wings.' They are generally red, black or yellowish in color. Thrips fossilized in amber have been preserved in the ideal environment and show us that they have never altered. The thrip fossil in, the picture, preserved in Burmese amber for 100 million years, proves that thrips, like all living things, never evolved.



---

## Anobium

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Poland

Anobia are small, harmful winged insects from the family *Anobiidae*. They can live for a year without feeding. These sturdy insects are found in many regions of the world. The anobium in the picture was imprisoned in amber in Poland 50 million years ago and has been preserved down to the present day. This specimen also shows that living things have never altered and never evolved. Fossils demonstrate the sublime intellect, artistry and might in Allah's creation and prove what an insoluble dilemma the adherents of evolution find themselves in.





## Crane Fly

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 100 million years

Region: Burma

These flies, from the family *Tipulidae*, have long thin bodies, like those of mosquitoes. They do not spread disease, but they are regarded as pests by people engaged in agriculture. The female of the species lays her eggs among plants. The larvae that hatch settle inside the soil and feed on the plant roots, thus doing great damage to vegetable gardens and planted crops. Crane flies are common in the fall, in humid places and along the sides of rivers. There is no difference between this 100-million-year-old fossil crane fly in the picture and crane flies living today. This is Allah's creative artistry. Our Almighty Lord Allah is One, and there is no other Creator than Him.





---

## Wasp

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Poland

Looking at the life forms that have existed throughout the course of history, we see that they continued unchanged from the moment they were first created. This 50-million-year-old wasp is proof that living things never evolved and had the same characteristics millions of years ago as they do today.





---

## White Fly

---


Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Poland

White flies are not actually classified as flies, though they resemble them, hence the name. They are also regarded as agricultural pests. These tiny animals have had the same features ever since the day they were first created. The 50-million-year-old white fly in the picture proves that these animals never changed. Allah has created all life forms from nothing.

He is the First and the Last, the Outward and the Inward. He has knowledge of all things. It is He Who created the heavens and the Earth in six days, then established Himself firmly on the Throne. He knows what goes into the Earth and what comes out of it... (Qur'an, 57:3-4)



---

## Ant


---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Poland

Recent scientific research into ants has shown that these tiny creatures possess an amazing communications system through some 500,000 nerve cells squeezed into bodies just two to three mm (0.079 inches) in length. There are some 14,000 known species of ant and each has its own unique and astonishing characteristics. Neither these animals' anatomical structures nor their social lives have changed since the day they were created.



---

## Aphid and Termite Wing


---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Poland

Amber provides the perfect setting for small organisms to be fossilized unharmed. The aphid and termite wing in this 50-million-year-old fossil prove that no organ or body part of any life form has ever changed and that every life form has come down fully formed and with the same perfection from the day it was created to the present. That being the case, there is of course no question of any evolution taking place.





---

## Psocid

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Poland

The 50-million-year-old psocid fossil in the picture proves that psocids have existed unchanged for millions of years.







## Fungus Gnat

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 100 million years

Region: Burma

One of the most important features of fossil findings is that life forms never change in the fossil record throughout the periods in which they existed. In other words, a life form remains the same, from the form in which it first appears in the fossil record until the disappearance of that life form, over tens or even hundreds of millions of years. The meaning of this is obvious; evolution does not exist.

One life form that has survived unchanged for millions of years is the fungus gnat. The picture shows a 100-million-year-old fungus gnat specimen.



Fungus Gnat





## Minute Black Scavenger Fly (*Scatopsidae*)

Period: Oligocene

Age: 25 million years

Region: Dominican Republic

The way that the compound eye of a 25-million-year-old minute black scavenger fly preserved in amber has survived completely undamaged is literally a miracle. The presence of thousands of lenses in the eye and a brain system capable of interpreting what it sees reveals an immaculate creation. Life forms with such eyes, whose perfect arrangement make them marvels of engineering, have been around for 390 million years. That same complex compound eye structure can be seen in trilobites that lived in the Cambrian Period, 530 million years ago. The eye, an exceedingly complex organ, is one of the subjects that evolutionists are most reluctant to discuss and that demolishes their theories.

The way that all these lenses combine their images into one single image shows the sublime nature of Allah's creative artistry. For a scavenger fly that lived millions of years ago to possess such a complex organ shows that Allah created it with a wondrous artistry.





---

## Humpbacked Fly

---

Period: Oligocene

Age: 25 million years

Region: Dominican Republic

The humpbacked fly that lived 25 million years ago, shown in the picture, is one of the life forms whose origins evolutionists admit they cannot account for. In claiming that dinosaurs turned into birds, evolutionists maintain that some dinosaurs "...grew wings and took to the air" as they beat their front legs while chasing after insects. This theory, a totally imaginary one with no scientific basis, also contains a facile and circular logic; that is because the example that evolutionists use to explain the origin of flight, the fly, already possessed a perfect flying ability. While no human being can flap his arms even 10 times a second, some flies manage to beat their wings an average of 1,000 times per second. In addition, flies beat their two wings simultaneously. The slightest imbalance in the timing would mean the fly losing its equilibrium. But this never happens. Even a tiny fly fossil is clear evidence that evolution never happened.





---

## Crane Fly

---

Period: Oligocene


Age: 25 million years

Region: Dominican Republic

The theory of evolution consists of an imaginary tale regarding the natural history of living things, but it is also refuted by all scientific discoveries in that sphere. Living fossils show that the effect of the environment is not “evolution,” but rather “non-evolution.” Species did not acquire their present-day anatomies through some random change. They were all brought into being by Allah, in a moment and flawlessly, and all lived exactly as they were first created throughout their time in the world.

The body, antennae and the perfect structure in the compound eyes of this 25-million-year-old crane fly can all clearly be seen. The preservation of its soft tissue in amber for millions of years is powerful evidence that crane flies have never changed.







---

## Gall Midge

---


Period: Cretaceous

Age: 100 million years

Region: Burma

These tiny animals, from the family *Cecidomyiidae*, are known for causing galls in plants. Galls are abnormalities resulting from injury to the plant tissue. The larvae feed on numerous plant tissues in which galls then develop. There has never been the slightest change in either the anatomical structure or lifestyle and ways of feeding of any life forms there have ever been. The 100-million-year-old gall midge in the picture is just one of the proofs of this.





## Short Horned Fly (*Brachycera*)

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 – 45 million years

Region: Baltic amber, Northern Europe

There are some 177 separate families of these flies, members of the sub-order *Brachycera*. Not one single finding has been unearthed to date in the fossil record to show that these species of fly underwent evolution. Allah created all life forms with no imperfections.





---

## Click Beetle

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 100 million years

Region: Burma

This beetle performs a somersault to right itself after being turned over on its back. It is a member of the family *Elateridae* (Coleoptera). When upside down it generally remains still, pretending to be dead. Click beetles vary between 12 and 30 mm (a half to three quarters of an inch) in length.

The 100-million-year-old click beetle in the pictures has exactly the same features as specimens living today, and it lived in the same way as them. It employs the techniques it does, not through evolution, but in the manner inspired by Allah. Allah is He Who creates all life forms with different attributes.



## Thrips


Period: Cretaceous

Age: 100 million years

Region: Burma

Thrips is the common name given to arthropods from the order *Thysanoptera*. They range from 0.5-10 mm (0.020 to 0.40 inches) in length. There is no difference between a 100-million-year-old thrip and thrips living today. This thrips that were living 100 million years in the past had the same body, wings and antennae as present-day specimens. This is one of the proofs that, like other life forms, thrips did not evolve. It is Allah, Who knows how to create in all ways, Who creates thrips and bestows their characteristics on them.





---

## Termite

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 100 million years

Region: Burma

Termites inhabit tropical regions in particular and establish magnificent colonies in towers made from mud that can reach up to four to five meters (13 to 16 feet) in height. The architecture within these miniature cities is constructed so as to ensure constant adaptation to changing climatic conditions. The cities built by termites, which belong to the order *Isoptera*, are flawless, not just in social terms, but in respect of the arrangement in them. The 100-million-year-old fossil termite in the picture is proof that these living things never evolved.




---

## Centipede

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 100 million years

Region: Burma

These creatures, some of which are poisonous, are generally to be found in rural and wooded areas all over the world. They belong to the class *Chilopoda* from the phylum *Myriapoda* and have never altered in any way from the day they were created to the present. The 100-million-year-old fossil centipede in the picture is proof that these animals never evolved.



---

## Lightning Bug

---

Period: Oligocene

Age: 25 million years

Region: Dominican Republic

Lightning bugs are miraculous life forms capable of manufacturing their own light. Light is essential for human life. Human beings experimented with various different means of obtaining light down the ages. The lightning bug is able to produce a maximum level of this cold light in its own body, without the use of any chemical substances from the outside, and with no information or previous experience.

Scientists have for years been researching and seeking to produce light as efficiently as that manufactured by lightning bugs. These animals obtain almost maximum efficiency and lose almost no energy at all in producing light, and these characteristics have been the subject of research for years.

Allah has created such perfect features in living things, and has made them models for human beings. One of the wisest aspects in Almighty Allah's creation of details is that it makes people think. This 25-million-year-old lightning bug fossil proved that life forms have had immaculate characteristics ever since the moment they were first created, that they have remained unchanged for 25 million years and that they never, as Darwinists maintain, evolved.





---

## Sow Bug (*Isopod*)

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 45 million years

Region: Baltic Region, Jantarny, Russia

Like all other life forms, these creatures, members of the sub-order *Oniscoidea*, have come down unchanged to the present day. Had the evolutionary stages that evolutionists claim took place really done so, then the transitional stages that life forms went through would certainly appear in the fossil record. But as can be seen from this 45-million-year-old fossil isopod, no living things ever underwent evolution at all.





## Harvestman (*Opiliones*)

Period: Eocene

Age: 45 million years

Region: Baltic Region, Jantarny, Russia

These arthropods that range between 2 and 22 mm (0.079 to 0.9 inches) in size, have eight legs, just like spiders, but unlike them they possess no venom or silk glands. Harvestmen live by imitating spiders. It is clear from the fossil in the picture that they have never undergone any anatomical changes over the last 45 million years.





---

## Mayfly

Period: Eocene

Age: 45 million years

Region: Baltic Region, Jantarny, Russia

As with the 45-million-year-old fossil in the picture, all the characteristics that mayflies have today can be seen in all the fossil specimens so far discovered and this totally discredits the claims of evolution. The fact revealed by concrete scientific findings is that evolution never happened and that all life forms are created by Allah, He Who knows the creation of all living things.







---

## Humpbacked Fly

---


Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Poland

This 50-million-year-old fossil humpbacked fly exposes the lies of the theory of evolution and shows that creation is a fact. All living things are not, as Darwinists say, descended from a supposed common ancestor and they never went through intermediate stages. They were all created by Allah telling them to "Be!"





## Scelionid Wasp

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Poland

Scelionid wasps generally live beneath fallen leaves. These wasps are known for the damage they do to other species of insect, especially their eggs. There is no difference between the 50-million-year-old fossil Scelionid wasp in the photo and present-day specimens.





---

## Stonefly


---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Poland

Stoneflies, which vary between 5 and 50 mm (0.20 to 2 inches) in length, have two long antennae. Their larvae are used as bait by fishermen. Stoneflies have come down to us unchanged over millions of years. The 50-million-year-old stonefly in the picture is identical to stoneflies living today. They all show that creation is a fact and that evolution is a myth.





---

## Spider

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years


Region: Poland

Nearly 40,000 species of spider have been identified in the world. These tiny animals sometimes appear as construction engineers capable of performing perfect calculations for their nests, sometimes as interior designers producing superb designs, sometimes as chemists manufacturing extraordinarily powerful and flexible silk, deadly venom and solvent acids and sometimes as hunters using the most cunning tactics. Spiders have possessed the features they have now since the moment they were first created. The 50-million-year-old fossil in the picture is one of the proofs of this and shows us that living things never evolved.









---

## Harvestman (*Opiliones*)

---

Period: Eocene Period


Age: 50 million years

Region: Poland

These glorious creatures are part of the *Kustarachnida* class, from the order *Opiliones*. Although they are not themselves actually spiders, they imitate them. In this way, other animals seeing them imagine they have poison sacs and are able to spin webs. This resemblance protects them against predators. These animals, with their interesting appearance, have been living on Earth with the same characteristics ever since they were first created. The 50-million-year-old fossil harvestman in the picture is one of the proofs that refute Darwinist claims.







---

## Spider, Ant

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Poland

Evolutionists, who maintain that living things assumed their present forms by going through minute changes over a long period of time, are unable to produce a single piece of evidence to support this baseless claim. All the fossils found prove that living things have never changed at all. An ant and spider fossilized in the same amber 50 million years ago, as seen in the picture, are proof that Allah creates all living things.







---

## Scorpion

---


Period: Cretaceous


Age: 125 million years

Region: Crato Formation, Araripe Basin, Brazil

There are 1,300 species of this particular animal, which has been living on Earth with the same features for 400 million years. They can survive for two days underwater, and hunt at night. The 125-million-year-old scorpion in the picture has exactly the same characteristics as those living today. Scorpions never evolved; Allah created them, too.







---

## Fungus Gnat

---

Period: Oligocene

Age: 25 million years

Region: Dominican Republic

The way the details of all the features in this 25-million-year-old fungus gnat have been preserved is truly astonishing. The gnat's wing architecture, the fine blood vessels in the wings, the joints in its legs, the fine hairs and the antennae on its head have all come down to us exactly as they were.

The evolutionist idea that living things develop from the primitive to the more advanced totally collapsed with the unearthing of this 350-million-year fossil.

As you can see in this fossil, the gnat possesses a perfect compound eye. By means of the units, known as "*ommatidia*," in the eyes of living things with compound eyes, they enjoy 360 degree vision because every ommatidium faces a different direction. For example, houseflies have 4,000 of these small units, female fireflies 300, mayflies 5,100 and some insects have from 10,000 to 28,000, and even as many as 56,000. Each ommatidium has eight sensory cells, and the compound eye can perceive around 100 images per second.

It is an obvious fact that living things with compound eyes see much better than humans and various other life forms. Therefore, the fact that animals with compound eyes were living 25 million years ago is proof that living things do not evolve from the primitive to the more advanced.



Other living things were also fossilized in amber with the fossil gnat.





---

## Snout Beetle



---

Period: Eocene

Age: 54 - 37 million years

Region: Green River Formation, Utah, USA

Snout beetles are agricultural pests, and also one of the proofs that refute evolution. These creatures have never changed throughout their time on Earth. Allah created snout beetles in a single moment, as He did all other living things, together with all their characteristics. Allah is the Almighty.



## Dragonfly Larva

Period: Miocene

Age: 20 million years

Region: Santa Vittoria d'Alba, Italy

Dragonfly larva, also known as “nymphs,” are born in water. In the same way that their adult form has never changed, we can also see that there has been no difference in their larval stages over millions of years. This 20-million-year-old dragonfly larva is absolutely no different to the dragonfly larvae of today. The stages these creatures go through, from birth to adulthood, are all examples of Allah’s immaculate creation.



Ironclad Beetle

## Ironclad Beetle (*Zopheridae*) and Termite


Period: Miocene

Age: 23 - 5 million years

Region: Rain Forest Basin, Santander, Colombia

Insects that are members of the class *Coleoptera* are the most numerous known class of insect. There are some 350,000 species of *Coleoptera*, ranging from 1 mm to 15 cm (0.039 to 6 inches) in size. This insect, preserved in amber and covered in a hard shell, is one of the most interesting-looking *Coleoptera* and has remained unchanged for between 23 and 5 million years.

Termites, on the other hand, are members of the class *Isoptera* and have a flawless social organization. Although they resemble ants in appearance, they are not ants, and there are some 3,000 known species of termite. The fact that members of both these groups were fossilized in the same amber from 23 to 5 million years ago, and that each is identical to specimens living today, deals a serious blow to evolution.



Termite





---

## Bee (*Halictidae*)

---

Period: Miocene

Age: 23 – 5 million years

Region: Rain Forest Amber Beds, Santander Region, Colombia

These bees, members of the family *Halictidae*, live communally. These tiny bees carve their nests in the form of wells that can be up to 2 meters (6.5 feet) deep. This particular species of bee cannot withstand the cold. The fact that this bee has been fossilized together with all its perfect organs, and has never undergone any anatomical change over millions of years, proves that the transitional stages alleged by evolutionists has never happened.



## Snout Beetle


Period: Eocene

Age: 54 - 37 million years

Region: Green River Formation, Utah, USA

This 54 to 37-million-year-old snout beetle fossil found in Utah reveals that this life form has never changed. The fossil shows that the insect's legs and armor-like body are completely preserved. Fossils are literally like photographs of things that lived millions of years in the past. Therefore, seeing that fossils have never changed, evolutionists are in a totally insoluble dilemma on the subject of insect evolution. The famous French evolutionist Pierre Paul Grassé expresses this impasse facing evolutionists in these words:

"We are in the dark concerning the origin of insects." (Pierre-P Grassé, *Evolution of Living Organisms*, New York: Academic Press, 1977, p. 30)





---

## Hoverfly

---

Period: Oligocene


Age: 30 million years

Region: France

The fossil record has revealed not one single transitional life form specimen of the kind that evolutionists claim once lived in the past. The 30-million-year-old fossil hoverfly in the picture confirms this fact. Millions of fossils have to date been unearthed from all across the world and all these fossils show that evolution never happened, that life forms have come down to the present day unchanged, and that Allah creates them all.







---

## Mosquito

---

Period: Oligocene

Age: 30 million years

Region: France

With their exceedingly complex systems, mosquitoes have characteristics that light the way for science in a number of areas. Evolutionists claim that mosquitoes acquired their astonishing features through small, gradual changes. But when we look at this 30-million-year-old fossil mosquito it is immediately evident that there is no difference between those that lived millions of years ago and those alive today.







---

## Cricket

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 100 million years

Region: Burma

This bright, black species of cricket with a large round head and short wings, a member of the family *Gryllidae* (true crickets), spends the day hiding in cavities which it excavates itself. These animals are known for the noise made by the males as they rub their front legs together, and they have never changed since the moment they were created. A 100-million-year-old fossil cricket reveals this fact.



[Allah is] the Originator of the heavens and earth. When He decides on something, He just says to it, 'Be!' and it is. (Qur'an, 2:117)



## Stone Centipede

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Poland

Darwinists have so far failed to produce a single fossil to support their claims. For that reason, they either misinterpret the fossils that are found or else simply resort to manufacturing hoaxes. On the other hand, they also hide away millions of fossil specimens that refute their theories. But they can now no longer conceal the truth. Fossils are some of the most important evidence that life forms do not change, in other words, that they do not evolve. One such proof is the 50-million-year-old fossil centipede in the picture.





---

## Fairyfly (*Mymaridae*)

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 100 million years

Region: Burma

Fairyflies from the family *Mymaridae* are a group representing the smallest wasps. The 100-million-year-old *Myrmaridae* fairyfly fossil in the picture is the strongest evidence that these animals never underwent evolution. Allah's wondrous creation is revealed as follows in a verse:

... Or are darkness and light the same? Or have they assigned partners to Allah Who create as He creates, so that all creating seems the same to them?' Say: 'Allah is the Creator of everything. He is the One, the All-Conquering.' (Qur'an, 13:16)





---

## Dragonfly

---


Period: Cretaceous

Age: 125 million years

Region: Santana Formation, Araripe Basin, Brazil

These insects from the order *Odonata* have highly resistant bodies. They are generally seen in regions with lakes and rivers. They are known for their large eyes, long, thin bodies, perfectly transparent wings and impressive and iridescent coloring. They have a very good sense of sight and generally fly in sunny weather. The powerful wings of the dragonfly in the 125-million-year-old fossil in the picture are identical to those of dragonflies living today. The dragonfly's flight technique is a source of inspiration for engineers and, like other life forms, the dragonfly appeared instantaneously. In other words, like all living things, Allah also created dragonflies, and they never evolved. ([www.darwinismrefuted.com](http://www.darwinismrefuted.com))







---

## Scale Insect


---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Poland

The 50-million-year-old scale insect in the picture has not, as evolutionists claim, come down to us in the present day through evolution. This life form has remained unchanged for 50 million years, and like other living things, it was flawlessly created. Its body was the same millions of years ago as it is now. Evolutionists cannot explain why not one of all the fossils they have so far unearthed is a transitional form. The fossilized life forms they discover are all exceedingly regular, with no pathologies. All living things, including ants, zebras, rabbits, birds, flies, wasps, scorpions and crickets, have all come down to the present day completely unchanged.





---

## Scorpion

---

Period: Cretaceous Period

Age: 125 million years

Region: Crato Formation, Araripe Basin, Brazil

With their pincers, perfect structure and steel-like armor and the poison in their tails that can neutralize their enemies, scorpions are created with enormous detail.

Looking at the 125-million-year-old fossil in the picture, you can see that all these features are already fully formed in this scorpion. The shape of the pincers emerging from the side of its head, the legs on the side of the body and the upward-pointing tail with its venom sac have all come down to the present day completely unchanged. Allah has created highly detailed and flawless systems in the scorpion body. For example, owing to its superior sensory system, the scorpion uses the vibrations emitted by its prey and Rayleigh waves to locate it. This system, which can be compared to a highly equipped computer, is one of the proofs of Allah's matchless creative artistry. The fact that these features were all fossilized 125 million years ago, and still exist in scorpions today, proves that they never evolved.







## Rove Beetle

Period: Oligocene

Age: 25 million years

Region: Dominican Republic

If a life form has come down to the present with all its characteristics over the course of millions of years, and if it has never changed, then this is powerful enough evidence to totally eliminate the model of gradual evolution. But it is not just one example that proves this, but millions. Life forms are no different today to how they were millions, or even sometimes hundreds of millions of years ago in the past. This, as Niles Eldredge explicitly states, is something that leads paleontologists to now avoid the subject of evolution, which some people still defend:

“No wonder paleontologists shied away from evolution for so long. It seems never to happen. ...”

(<http://members.iinet.net.au/~sejones/fsslrc02.html>)

The stasis in the fossil record is indeed the greatest problem facing the proponents of evolution because evolutionists seek the evidence they need for the fictitious process of evolution from the fossil record; yet the fossil record provides none of the expected examples of transitional forms. Furthermore, it shows that no life form alleged to have evolved over hundreds of millions of years in fact ever evolved at all.





---

## Scorpion

---


Period: Cretaceous

Age: 125 million years

Region: Crato Formation, Araripe Basin, Brazil

Just by looking at a few of the details in scorpions you will immediately see that these animals could not have come into being by chance. For example, sand scorpions have a very poor sense of sight, but can locate their prey at night with no difficulty. The sand scorpion has sensitive receptors in its feet that can sense the vibrations made by a butterfly landing on the sand. Scorpions sometimes transfer their poison, which is powerful enough to kill a human being, into their enemies by means of javelins in the posterior parts of their bodies. The carapace that covers their bodies like armor is sufficiently resistant to protect them even against radiation. The human body can withstand about 600 rads of radiation. However, scorpions can withstand levels 40,000-150,000 times higher. All these details were also fully present in scorpions living 125 million years ago. Scorpions existing for 125 million years with exactly the same properties clearly prove that evolution never happened.







# LIVING

THANK



# FOSSILS

*Plant fossil specimens*



---

## Astronium Flower

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: USA

As can be seen in this plant; if a life form survives unchanged for 50 million years, then it is impossible to speak of that life form “evolving”. When we look at the fossil record we see that all life forms have remained the same for millions of years since they were first created. Evolutionists insist on ignoring this and continue to serve up biased and inaccurate information as if they were unaware of this fact. But the excavations carried out by themselves in person over the last 150 years and the hundreds of millions of fossils unearthed prove beyond doubt that evolution never took place.





Sassafras Leaf



---

## Sassafras Leaf

---

Period: Miocene

Age: 23-5 million years

Region: Spain

The greatest dilemma facing the proponents of the scenario of the evolution of plants is how the first plant cell might have evolved. They also have no answer to the question of how the first plant developed from a single cell, and then the thousands of varieties of plants from that one plant. There exists not one single transitional fossil to point to any such evolution; there are no primitive plant fossils with half-formed organs or systems. Neither is there any evidence that one plant was the ancestor of another. Therefore, the evolutionary charts produced regarding plants are entirely imaginary, and there is nothing scientific about them.





---

## Mountain Ash Leaf (*Sorbus Aucuparia*)

---

Period: Miocene

Age: 10 million years

Region: Hungary

The fossils in our possession show that plants appeared suddenly on Earth, and independently of one another. The Cambridge University evolutionist Prof. Dr. Edred Corner admits this in the words:

“I still think that, to the unprejudiced, the fossil record of plants is in favour of special creation. ... Can you imagine how an orchid, a duckweed, and a palm have come from the same ancestry, and have we any evidence for this assumption? The evolutionist must be prepared with an answer, but I think that most would break down before an inquisition.” (Dr. Eldred Corner, *Evolution in Contemporary Botanical Thought*, Chicago: Quadrangle Books, 1961, p. 97)







---

## Acacia Flower

---

Period: Oligocene

Age: 25 million years

Region: Dominican Republic

All plants have characteristics particular to their own species. Their colors, tastes, shapes and ways of reproducing are all different. Leaves from all over the world have the mechanisms for making photosynthesis. Matchless transport systems exist in leaves everywhere in the world. These irreducibly complex mechanisms cannot, as evolutionists claim, have come about by chance.

This all leads us to one conclusion. Like all living things, leaves were created and all their mechanisms have existed fully formed since the moment they were first created.





---

## Katsura Tree Leaf


---

Period: Paleocene

Age: 58 million years

Region: Montana, USA

All the structures possessed by leaves are of vital importance. Flawlessly functioning systems like those in existence today need to be present all together for a plant to live and reproduce. These structures cannot, therefore, come into being gradually. All the fossil plants that have been found confirm that they have had the same perfect structures since plants first appeared on Earth. One of these is the 85-million-year-old katsura tree leaf, shown in the picture, which has come down to the present day with all its details preserved.





---

## Auracarian Cone

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 125 million years

Region: Santana Formation, Brazil

A huge number of very different species are living on the Earth. These life forms are equipped with ever more complex features that totally do away with Darwinism. Darwinists are totally unable to account for this; flawless fossils of these living things that have survived millions of years down to the present day leave them literally speechless.

Fossils of living things that lived millions of years in the past show that living things never evolved and that the theory of evolution is devoid of any supporting evidence and a totally invalid theory. With their complex equipment and characteristics unique to their own species, living things that lived millions of years ago, and those living today, are all miracles created by Allah.





---

## Conifer Twig

---

Period: Cretaceous

Age: 125 million years

Region: Santana Formation, Brazil

All the details in this 125-million-year-old conifer twig shown top left and overleaf are clear to see, and there is obviously no difference between it and present-day specimens.

Evolutionist works are full of passages and statements that effectively admit the insoluble dilemma confronting Darwinists in the face of the fossil record. One such passage comes from George Gaylord Simpson:

“Where, then, are the intermediate forms which represent the greater part of the history of evolution? They are nowhere to be found. This is the most striking and, to evolutionists, the most perplexing gap in the fossil record.” (*Simpson, G.G., The Meaning of Evolution, Bantam Books, Inc., New York, 1971, pp. 16-19; Axelrod, Daniel I., Science, 128, 4 July 1958, p. 7.*)







---

## Currant Leaf

---

Period: Miocene

Age: 23 - 5 million years

Region: Nevada, USA

No specimen of a semi-developed fossil with features belonging to two different species has ever been found in any excavation. And this is the greatest problem confronting the theory of evolution. Charles Darwin, the founder of the theory, was also aware of this and knew that the theory was built on rotten foundations. Darwin's admission on the subject reads:

"... Why, if species have descended from other species by insensibly fine gradations, do we not everywhere see innumerable transitional forms? Why is not all nature in confusion instead of the species being, as we see them, well defined?... But, as by this theory innumerable transitional forms must have existed, why do we not find them embedded in countless numbers in the crust of the Earth?... Why then is not every geological formation and every stratum full of such intermediate links? Geology assuredly does not reveal any such finely graduated organic chain; and this, perhaps, is the most obvious and gravest objection which can be urged against my theory." (*Charles Darwin, The Origin of Species, s. 172, 280*)





---

## American Sweet Gum Fruit

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 54-37 million years


Region: Green River Formation, USA

Just as Darwin admitted, there is no such thing as a transitional fossil. Darwinists are in a state of shock.

Darwin's prophecy has come true. Scientific advances have revealed that natural selection is not an evolutionary force, that living things have exceedingly complex genetic structures, that no random intervention in these structures is possible and that life can never emerge from inanimate matter.

These are things that Darwin never knew. In addition, after the fossil record, the second greatest dilemma facing Darwinists is how they are unable to give rise to even a single protein. IT IS IMPOSSIBLE for a protein – the fundamental building block of the cell - that cannot be manufactured in the laboratory, TO FORM BY CHANCE. These facts all represent the collapse of Darwinism.





---

## Apricot Leaf


---


Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Kamloops, British Columbia, Canada

Just like those living today, apricot trees living 50 million years ago also blossomed and produced fruit. These fossils, showing that apricot trees have never changed over 50 million years, are some of the clearest evidence that evolution never happened.





---

## Rhus Leaf

---

Period: Oligocene

Age: 30 million years

Region: France

What Darwin said 150 years ago has come true and, as Darwin admitted in the 19th century, it has indeed emerged that no such thing as a transitional fossil exists.

More than 350 million fossils have been discovered in innumerable geological strata yet not a single one is a transitional fossil. More than 350 million fossils reveal that nature is not, as Darwin predicted, in a state of disorder, but on the contrary is full of perfect, complete and fully formed organisms. It has been realized that there are no transitional fossils in any geological structure or stratum pointing to a supposed link between living things. These facts have demolished Darwinism in the 21st century.







---

## Dipterocarpaceae Leaf

---

Period: Miocene

Age: 20 million years

Region: Indonesia

Mark Ridley (Zoologist, Oxford University):

*"In any case, no real evolutionist ... uses the fossil record as evidence in favor of the theory of evolution as opposed to special creation..." (Mark Ridley, "Who Doubts Evolution?," New Scientist, Vol. 90; June 25, 1981, p. 831)*

Darwinists should carefully analyze these statements by scientists who, like them, believe in the theory of evolution, and should abandon such a false theory in the light of millions of scientific findings that utterly refute evolution.







---

## Sumac Leaf

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 45 million years

Region: Green River Formation, USA

Plants have been making photosynthesis in the same way they do today for millions of years. They possessed hydraulic systems powerful enough to crack concrete, pumps that can raise water absorbed from the soil many meters in height and chemical factories that manufacture food for living things. Plants were created hundreds of millions of years ago. Their Creator, Allah, Lord of the Worlds, continues to create them today. Mankind has tried to understand these miracles of creation in plants using the most advanced means made available by modern technology, but it is still impossible to produce even a single species of plant from nothing. Allah reveals this truth in Surat an-Naml:

He Who created the heavens and the earth and sends down water for you from the sky by which We make luxuriant gardens grow – you could never make their trees grow. Is there another god besides Allah? No indeed, but they are people who equate others with Him! (Qur'an, 16:60)





---

## Araucaria Cone

---

Period: Jurassic

Age: 206 - 144 million years

Region: Argentina

Many coniferous trees have leathery leaves which they do not shed. A waxy coating on the leaf surface reduces water loss through evaporation, and this resistance prevents the leaves from falling or the withering of the plant due to water pressure. In addition, most coniferous trees have needle-like leaves that are highly resistant to cold. The resistance of leaves is important, because whenever the weather conditions are suitable the plant immediately starts making photosynthesis and storing nutrients.

The araucaria cone in the pictures also possesses these features and this fossil proves that the plants have come down unchanged to the present day from 206 to 144 million years ago.







---

## Redbud Leaf

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Cache Creek Formation, Kamloops, British Columbia, Canada

In terms of both general structure, and also when examined microbiologically, leaves can be seen to have highly detailed and complex systems planned in every way in order to provide the greatest energy production possible. In order to be able to manufacture energy, the leaf needs to receive heat and carbon dioxide from the external environment. All the structures in leaves are arranged in order that these two elements can easily be obtained. For example, leaves have broad surfaces and this facilitates the exchanges of gas necessary for photosynthesis (processes such as the absorption of carbon dioxide and the emission of oxygen).

All plant leaves have had these features since the moment they first came into being and none has ever acquired them as the result of blind chance. Allah has created them with great wisdom, together with their wondrous properties.







---

## Basswood Leaf

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Kamloops, British Columbia, Canada

Leaves are both plants' power plants that manufacture energy, factories that produce their food and also laboratories that carry out important reactions, and these laboratories have been functioning perfectly for millions of years. The 50-million-year-old fossil basswood leaf that has survived unchanged for 50 million years also has exactly the same features as those living today.





---

## Conifer Cone

---

Period: Oligocene

Age: 28 - 23 million years

Region: Bad Kreunach, Germany

Living fossils are evidence that strikingly refutes the theory of evolution's claim of "gradual development." The reason why such fossils are known as "living fossils" is that despite being millions of years old, they are identical to specimens living today.

One example of this fact is the 28 to 23-million-year-old fossil conifer in the picture. ([www.fossil-museum.com](http://www.fossil-museum.com))





---

## Mahogany Leaf

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Kamloops, British Columbia, Canada

This fossil, which shows that mahogany trees have not changed at all over the last 50 million years, is concrete evidence that evolution never happened. This state has never altered with any of the fossil plants discovered to date, and we now know that plants have been exactly the same for millions of years. The failure to unearth a single fossil to support evolutionists' claims places Darwinists in a state of terrible despair.





## Conifer Cone

Period: Oligocene

Age: 28-23 million years

Region: Germany

Everything in the flawless reproductive systems in conifer cones with their aerodynamic structure is very finely planned and based on the most delicate calculations, in a similar way to how rockets fix onto their targets. Many details are involved, such as the direction of the air current, the cones' differing densities and the shape of the leaves. For example, the symmetrical arrangement of the leaves around the conifer cone help incoming pollen to stick to it. The leaves around pine cones, on the other hand, decelerate the air flow and allows more pollen to land on the cone.

The way that a cone can be fertilized using such detailed information only takes place through the sublime and matchless creation of Omniscient and Almighty Allah.







## Turkey Oak Leaf

Period: Pliocene


Age: 5.1 - 1.8 million years

Region: Bulgaria

Plants are magnificent life forms, with photosynthesis systems that turn light into food and that constantly produce energy and oxygen, with mechanisms that cleanse nature and establish an ecological balance and aesthetic properties that speak to human beings, such as taste, smell and color.

Today only some 10,000 species of plants, which have matchless systems for very beneficial purposes, have been studied; however, that research has shown that every plant possesses the most amazing features of creation.

Evolutionists simply have no logical and scientific response to the question of how plants first appeared. As with other matters, the only account they can come up with for the existence of plants is purely imaginary scenarios. It is Almighty Allah, the Creator of the Earth and sky and all that lies between, Who creates plants.





## Astonium, Leguminosae, Oreopanax Leaves

Period: Eocene

Age: 54 - 37 million years

Region: Green River Formation, Utah, USA

Chester A. Arnold was a professor of botany at the University of Michigan who researches fossil plants. In his book *An Introduction to Paleobotany* he says:

“As yet we have not been able to trace the phylogenetic history of a single group of modern plants from its beginning to the present.” (Chester A. Arnold, *An Introduction to Paleobotany*, New York: Mc Graw-Hill, 1947, p. 7.)

As can be seen from statements by evolutionists, there is not a single piece of scientific evidence that plants appeared as a result of evolution. Plants appear suddenly in the fossil record, with similar characteristics to those of present-day plants. And this shows that, like other life forms, they were created.




Leguminosae leaf



Astonium flower



Oropanax leaf





---

## American Sweet Gum Fruit

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 54-37 million years

Region: Green River Formation, USA

Fossils are essentially bodies containing all the details concerning the relevant plant, its every branch and leaf, the number of those leaves, their shape, what color the bark will be and how thick, the width and number of the tubes carrying nutrients and water, the height of the plant, whether or not it produces fruit and if it does, the tastes, scents, shapes and color of those fruits. And just like the plants they belong to, they have remained unchanged for millions of years.





## Elm, Sequoia and Oak Leaves

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Cache Creek Formation, Kamloops, British Columbia, Canada

Scenarios about the evolution of plants consist of imaginary tales with no supporting scientific evidence. Evolutionists from time to time admit this themselves. For example, the well-known evolutionary botanist Prof. N. Herbert Nilsson admits that all the research intended to prove the myth of plant evolution has collapsed in the face of the fossil record:

“My attempts to demonstrate evolution by an experiment carried on for more than forty years have completely failed. The fossil material is now so complete that it has been possible to construct new classes, and the lack of transitional series cannot be explained as being due to the scarcity of material. The deficiencies are real, they will never be filled.” (*Arthur C. Custance, The Earth Before Man, Part II, Doorway Publications, p. 51*)







Elm tree leaf

Sequoia leaf



Elm



Sequoia



---

## White Cedar Leaf

---


Period: Eocene

Age: 54-37 million years

Region: Cache Creek Formation, British Columbia, Canada

Cedar trees have always existed as cedars. Likewise, oak trees have always existed as oaks, plane trees as plane trees and beech trees as beeches.

None is descended from any other species, and none has ever turned into any other. The 50-million-year-old fossil white cedar leaf in the picture confirms this fact.





---

## Ribes Leaf

---

Period: Miocene

Age: 23-5 million years

Region: Nevada, USA

Ribes that lived some 23 million years in the past were identical to specimens living today. Glorious currants looked the same 23 million years ago as they do now. As can clearly be seen in fossils, these organisms' leaves, fruits, seeds and roots have never changed. They are just one of the millions of obstacles standing in the way of the theory of evolution.





## Palm Leaf

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Green River Formation, USA

Fossil research over the last 150 years has shown that the hopes of Darwin and the evolutionists who followed him were all in vain, and not a single transitional form fossil has ever been found. Today there are some 350 million fossils in thousands of museums and collections. All these fossils belong to species with their own unique characteristics, and that are completely distinct from one another. None of the half-fish, half-amphibian, or half-dinosaur, half-bird, or half-monkey, half-human and similar life forms that evolutionists sought with great expectations have ever been encountered. All the fossils unearthed, such as the palm leaf fossil in the picture, are findings that refute evolution.





---

## Sequoia Branch

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Canada

All plants are equipped with a distribution network so they can absorb the substances they need from the soil. That network transmits the minerals and water obtained from the soil in the shortest possible time to the centers where they are needed, in exactly the appropriate quantities. According to scientists' findings, plants use more than one method of managing that difficult task. The transportation of water and nutrients in plants takes place by means of the structures with very different properties. These are the transport and distribution channels, and this immaculate structure also existed fully formed in plants many millions of years ago. It is Almighty Allah Who creates plants. Allah knows how to create all things.



Sequoia leaf





Sequoia tree



---

## Rock Elm Leaf

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Canada

The reason why Darwinists have so determinedly espoused evolution for many years is their dogmatic devotion to materialism. The evolutionist Jonathan Wells says this on the subject:

“... It’s not evidence that makes Darwinism a “fact”, but materialistic philosophy. In 1997, Harvard geneticist Richard C. Lewontin recounted how he and Carl Sagan had once defended Darwinism in a debate, then he explained: ‘We take the side of science in spite of the patent absurdity of some of its contradictions... because we have a prior commitment, a commitment to materialism.’” (Jonathan Wells, Ph.D., *The Politically Incorrect Guide to Darwinism and Intelligent Design*, Regnery Publishing Inc., Washington, 2006, p. 69)

Anyone looking without prejudice at the evidence revealed by science will see and agree that evolution never happened. Hundreds of millions of fossils head the list of that evidence, including the 50-million-year-old fossil rock elm leaf in the picture.





---

## Poplar Leaf

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Place: Kamloops, Canada

The Swedish embryologist Soren Lovtrup describes the falsehood of Darwinism in these words:

“I believe that one day the Darwinian myth will be ranked as the greatest deceit in the history of science. When this happens many people will pose the question: How did this ever happen?” (S. Lovtrup, *Darwinism: The Refutation of a Myth*, London, Croom Helm, 1987, p. 422 - Nicholas Comminellis, *Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution*, Master Books, 2001, p. 220)

People have today begun experiencing the shock of having been misled by Darwinists. Every day, they are becoming more aware of how they have been part of a worldwide hoax. The whole world will soon see the true face of the pseudo-religion that is Darwinism, and people will be amazed at how they were taken in by it. Once they have been freed from that lie, their minds and consciences that Darwinism had polluted will be cleansed, and they will realize that everything is the product of Allah’s glorious creation.





---

## Katsura Tree Leaf

---

Period: Paleocene

Age: 58 million years

Place: Montana, USA

All fossils, without exception, deny evolution, and Darwinists are well aware of the fact. Ali Demirsoy is just one of the evolutionists who admits the defeat of Darwinism in the face of the fossil record:

“One of the most difficult stages to be explained in evolution is to scientifically explain how complex cells with organelles developed from these [supposedly] primitive creatures. No transitional form has been found between these two forms. One- and multi-celled creatures carry all this complicated structure, and no creature or group has yet been found with organelles of a simpler construction in any way, or which are more primitive. In other words, the organelles carried forward are fully formed. They have no simple and primitive forms.” (Prof. Dr. Ali Demirsoy, *Kalitim ve Evrim* [“Inheritance and Evolution”], p. 79)





---

## Pacific Willow Leaf

---

Period: Eocene


Age: 50 million years

Region: Kamloops, British Columbia, Canada

Because the soil freezes in winter, tree roots soon become unable to absorb water from it. In addition, little rain falls in winter; most precipitation falls in the form of snow. Therefore, trees need to be able to resist the lack of water that occurs in the winter months. That resistance comes from their leaves. For example, the leaves of many coniferous trees are hard and leathery, and do not fall off. The waxy coating on the leaves reduces water loss through evaporation, and this resistance prevents the leaves from falling off or withering due to water pressure. Moreover, coniferous leaves are generally needle-shaped and able to withstand freezing cold. These trees then regain energy when new leaves open up every spring.

Plants did not acquire these features gradually. These amazing details were not bestowed on them by chance. Like all living things, Allah created plants in a single moment, with all the features they possess.







---

## Leguminosae Leaf


---


Period: Miocene

Age: 23-5 million years

Region: Spain

According to Darwin, life began with the chance emergence of a single cell, which gradually developed to give rise to the living world. The most important scientific finding showing that this hypothesis is not true is the fossil record. Hundreds of millions of fossils, like the approximately 23-million-year-old fossil leaf in the picture, have revealed that Darwin's theory is untrue. Thus, living things appeared not through evolution, but through Allah's creation.





---

## Serviceberry Leaf

---

Period: Eocene



Age: 50 million years

Region: Kamloops, British Columbia, Canada

Hundreds of plant species appear suddenly in the fossil record, with all their characteristics. And this is a huge problem for evolutionists, one they can never account for. Indeed, this problem baffled Charles Darwin, the founder of the theory of evolution, who admitted:

“Nothing is more extraordinary in the history of the Vegetable Kingdom, as it seems to me, than the apparently very sudden or abrupt development of the higher plants.” (*Francis Darwin, The Life and Letters of Charles Darwin, 1887, p. 248*)

As can be seen from all these plant fossils, there is no difference in shape or structure between today's plants and plants that lived millions of years ago.





---

## Poplar Leaf


---

Period: Paleocene

Age: 58 million years

Region: Sentinel Butte Formation, Dakota, USA

Poplar is the joint name given to plants constituting the species *Populus* from the willow family (*Salicaceae*). These plants have never changed since the moment they were first created. The 58-million-year-old poplar leaf in the picture is identical to those of poplar trees living today.





---

## Aspen Leaf

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Cache Creek Formation, Kamloops, British Columbia, Canada

The aspen (*Populus tremula*) is a member of the willow (*Salicaceae*) family that can reach up to 25 meters (82 feet) in height. These plants are found in many parts of the world, and it is clear from the fossil in the picture that they have never changed over 50 million years. Trees that have not altered in the slightest over tens of millions of years are concrete proof that evolution does not exist. Allah created aspen trees as He does all living things.





---

## Alder Leaf


---


Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Cache Creek Formation, Kamloops, British Columbia, Canada

Alder is the name given to trees from the species *Alnus*, members of the birch (*Betulaceae*) family. Various subspecies grow in Turkey. This 50-million-year-old fossil alder leaf, found in British Columbia, Canada, is concrete evidence that the gradual development claimed by evolutionists never happened. Allah created alders with the same appearance and features as they have today.







---

## Beech Leaf


---


Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Cache Creek Formation, Kamloops, British Columbia, Canada

The beech, a deciduous forest tree, constitutes the species *Fagus* from the *Fagaceae* family. Darwinists claim that life forms are descended from a common ancestor and differentiated from one another through gradual changes over the course of time. But paleontology refutes this with 350 million pieces of concrete evidence. The 50-million-year-old fossil beech tree leaf in the picture is one of the pieces of evidence that refute evolution.





---

## Serviceberry Leaf

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Cache Creek Formation, Kamloops, British Columbia, Canada

Like all living things, the serviceberry tree has never changed over millions of years. The 50-million-year-old fossil serviceberry leaf in the picture is identical to specimens living today. And this is one of the proofs that evolution never took place and that Almighty Allah creates all living things.





---

## Seaweed

---

Period: Cretaceous


Age: 95 million years

Region: Nammoura, Lebanon

Fossils of life forms that lived millions of years ago have been preserved in the fossil record. But it is striking that not a single fossil belonging to a fictitious “transitional form” of the kind alleged by evolutionists has ever been found. Fossils exist from many species, from ants to bacteria and from birds to flowering plants. They are all proof that evolution never happened. The 95-million-year-old fossil seaweed in the picture is one such.







---

## Magnolia Leaf


---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Cache Creek Formation, British Columbia, Canada

All plants, from the smallest grasses to the tallest trees, have to distribute the minerals and water they absorb from the soil through their roots to everywhere, right to the tips of their leaves. This is of the greatest importance to plants because water and minerals are what plants most need. But how are the water and mineral salts hidden in the depths of the soil taken up by the plant? In addition, how do plants transmit these substances they absorb from the soil through their roots to all the different regions in their bodies? What techniques do they use to perform these difficult processes? These processes are carried out today with various hydrophore (pump and pipeline) systems. The transport and distribution processes in plants are also performed by a kind of hydrophore system. The presence of such a system in plants was discovered some 200 years ago, but plants have had this important feature for millions of years. Evolutionists have no rational explanation for this.





---

## Wild Cherry Leaf

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: British Columbia, Canada



Plants have known how to turn sunlight into food through photosynthesis ever since the moment they were first created. Fruit trees know from the moment of their first creation how to make matchless and delicious fruits using only dry soil, water and air. Trees many meters tall know, from the moment of their first creation, how to send the water they obtain from the soil up as far as the tips of their leaves, operating against the force of gravity. It is Almighty Allah Who creates plants so matchlessly and gives them all their features. There is a wonderful order and co-operation among the living things on Earth. It is impossible for all these things to arise as the work of chance, as evolutionists maintain, and the very idea is illogical and unscientific.

The 50-million-year-old fossil wild cherry leaf in the picture is proof that this plant had the same features then as it does now.





---

## Fern

---

Period: Oligocene


Age: 25 million years

Region: Dominican Republic

One environment in which fossils are best preserved is amber. When amber that flows from trees hardens over a plant or other living thing, it is as if a photograph of that moment is taken that will last for many millions of years. The distinguishing feature of amber fossils is that all the organism's soft tissues are also preserved. The soft tissues of amber-preserved life forms, that can be seen right down to the finest detail, are proof that soft tissues have never changed over millions of years. There is no difference between this 25-million-year-old fossil fern and ferns living today. Evolution never happened.







---

## Walnut

---

Period: Pliocene

Age: 2.5 million years

Region: River Maas Beds, Beers, Holland

There are different types of walnut tree growing almost everywhere in the world. The fossil walnuts you see here have never changed over millions of years, and have remained exactly the same since the moment they were first created.







---

## **Ficus Leaf**

---

Period: Paleocene

Age: 58 million years

Region: Glendive, Montana, USA

Evolutionists would like the fossil record to confirm their claims that life forms gradually evolved from one another. But even though 99% of the fossil record has today been unearthed, they have not one piece of fossil evidence to support their claims of evolution. The 58-million-year-old fossil ficus leaf in the picture is one of the proofs of the fact that evolution never happened.





---

## Birch Leaf

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: British Columbia, USA

The fossils in the layers of the Earth confirm that living things remain the same since the moment they are first flawlessly created. Three hundred and fifty million fossils have to date been discovered, and even evolutionists accept the falsity of Darwin's claim that the reason that no transitional forms had then been found was the insufficient nature of the fossil record. The 50-million-year-old fossil birch leaf shown here is identical to those of birch trees living today. Allah created all living things.







---

## Cassia Senna

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 54-37 million years

Region: Bacchus Marsh, Victoria, Australia

No atom can be given rise to by chance, as Darwinism maintains, to fruits packaged in the finest way with their many different scents and colors, plants and animals with all the features they need to survive, and all their different anatomical structures.

That would never convince even a primary school student. So the way that "adults" all over the world, scientists and even professors, believe in a theory entirely predicated on blind chance can only be described as a kind of "magic spell." The age we are living in will be when this spell is lifted. The fossil record is an important source of information in terms of mankind realizing how gravely it has been deceived.





---

## Magnolia Leaf

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Cache Creek Formation, British Columbia, Canada


Magnolia trees, with green leaves that do not fall in winter and with their delightful scent, have never changed and continue to be one of the Earth's loveliest adornments.


Had evolution really taken place, which it definitely did not, then life forms should appear gradually with small changes and should also continue to change. However, the fossil record shows the exact opposite. Different living classes appeared suddenly in the fossil record, with no ancestors behind them, and have remained in a state of stasis for hundreds of millions of years.

Allah created all living things. Fossil specimens dating back millions of years reveal this fact once again in the most flawless way. Allah is the sublime and almighty Creator of all things.









---

## American Holly Leaf

---

Period: Oligocene

Age: 37 - 23 million years

Region: Millard County, Utah, USA

It is an offense under the Darwinist dictatorship to deny Darwinism. This is literally regarded as treason in those countries in which Darwinism is adopted as a superstitious faith and sought to be imposed. Nobody can say that the scientific evidence available wholly disproves the theory and that it no longer enjoys any validity. It is essential to sign up to Darwinism as an undisputed fact. Professor of Mathematics Wolfgang Smith, himself as evolutionist, sets the position out:

“We are told dogmatically that evolution is an established fact; but we are never told who has established it, and by what means. We are told, often enough, that the doctrine is founded upon evidence, and that indeed this evidence 'is henceforward above all verification, as well as being immune from any subsequent contradiction by experience;' but we are left entirely in the dark on the crucial question wherein, precisely, this evidence consists.” (Wolfgang Smith, *Teilhardism and the New Religion, A Thorough Analysis of the Teachings of Pierre Teilhard de Chardin*, Rockford IL, Tan Books and Publishers, Inc. 1988, p. 8 Nicholas Comninellis, *Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution*, Master Books, 2001, p. 221)

As Wolfgang Smith states, there is no evidence supporting evolution. Hundreds of millions of fossils, such as that in the picture, reveal that creation is a manifest truth.





---

## Hop Tree Leaves

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: British Columbia, Canada



Fossil research over more than 150 years refutes the evolutionist claim that life forms reached their present forms by going through transitional stages. Life forms never went through so-called "intermediate stages". Allah created all living things just as they are today. The fossil hop tree leaf in the picture is just one organism that has never changed and that corroborates creation.





---

## Fern

---

Period: Carboniferous

Age: 354 - 290 million years

Region: Suszec, Poland



Very ancient fern fossils are frequently discovered. Looking at the Carboniferous Period fossil fern in the picture, it can be clearly seen that ferns have come down unchanged for hundreds of millions of years to the present day. Evolutionists have been routed in the face of these facts. Neither ferns, nor any other life form for that matter, have changed throughout the course of history.





---

## Oak Leaf

---

Period: Oligocene

Age: 30 million years

Region: Cereste, France

Examination of the fossils of life forms that are still in existence or have become extinct shows that they all appeared suddenly and remained unchanged for so long as the species survived. Allah created all life forms just as they are today. The 30-million-year-old fossil leaf in the picture also reveals the fact of creation.







---

## Hornbeam Leaf; Sequoia Leaves

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Kamloops, British Columbia, Canada

If Darwinists' claims were true, then there should be a large number of transitional connections between all species, living or extinct. Yet science reveals the exact opposite. All the 350 million fossils unearthed to date belong to fully developed life forms. The 50-million hornbeam and sequoia leaf fossils in the photograph confirm that fact.



Sequoia leaf





---

## Hackberry Leaf

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Kamloops, British Columbia, Canada



According to Darwin's theory of evolution, the invalidity of which has been irrefutably established through scientific findings, life forms descended from a supposed common ancestor and differentiated from one another by way of small changes. Yet according to the facts revealed by the science of paleontology, all life forms appeared in exactly the same forms as they have today. In other words, they were created. The 50-million-year-old fossil hackberry leaf in the picture is one of the proofs of this.





---

## Persea Leaf

---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Green River Formation, Bonanza, Utah, USA

The avocado is the best known member of this plant family of 150 species, whose leaves never fall. This 50-million-year-old fossil persea leaf is exactly the same as those living today. Neither animals nor plants gradually changed. They never evolved. Allah created all living things.







---

## Clubmoss

---

Period: Carboniferous

Age: 330 million years

Region: Crock Hey Mine, Wigan, Lancashire, England

This plant grows around tree trunks on the banks of rivers and draws on the water of the roots of the plant it clings to. All the features of clubmoss were the same hundreds of millions of years in the past as they are today. The 330-million-year-old fossil clubmoss in the picture is identical to clubmoss living today.





---

## Pine

---

Period: Miocene

Age: 23 - 5 million years

Region: Nevada, USA

Pines are coniferous, cone-bearing trees. Evolutionists maintain that life forms descended from a common ancestor and turned into different species by undergoing gradual and minute changes. That claim is devoid of any scientific evidence. The fossil record clearly shows that life forms never changed and survived exactly as they were first created. The Miocene Period fossil pine in the picture is one piece of evidence that proves that. Pines have come down to us today unchanged for millions of years.





---

## Distylium Leaf


---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Green River Formation, Bonanza, Utah, USA

These plants, members of the family *Hamamelidaceae*, comprise 18 different species and are one of the millions of life forms in the fossil record. Looking at the 50-million-year-old fossil distylium leaf in the picture, it is strikingly identical to specimens living today. If evolutionists' claims were true, then these plants should have undergone various changes over the last 50 million years; but this fossil alone is evidence that refutes Darwinism. The layers of the Earth are full of millions of similar fossils that all confirm creation.





---

## Ginkgo Leaf

---

Period: Permian

Age: 290 - 248 million years

Region: Russia

Ginkgo trees are even regarded as living fossils by evolutionists, and fossil remains of them date back 290 to 248 million years. The fossil ginkgo leaf in the picture is identical to present-day ginkgo leaves. No life form has ever changed. The fossil in the picture is one of the scientific proofs of that fact.





---

## Hornbeam Leaf

---

Period: Eocene


Age: 50 million years


Region: Cache Creek Formation, Kamloops, British Columbia, Canada

The leaf of the hornbeam tree, one of the strongest in the world, had the same features and lived in the same way 50 million years ago. It is Allah, Lord of the worlds, Who created it millions of years ago and Who creates it now. Those who follow false theories such as evolution in order to deny the existence of Allah will never be successful because the Earth and sky are full of clear evidence of Allah's existence.









---

## Zelkova (Elm) Leaf


---

Period: Eocene

Age: 46 million years

Region: Green River Formation, Colorado, USA

The greatest dilemma facing the advocates of the scenario of plant evolution is how the first plant cell evolved. Then they have no answer to the question of how the first plant, and the thousands of species of plants alive today, formed from that first cell. Not one single transitional fossil exists to support the existence of such a process; there are no fossils with semi-developed organs or systems, and no evidence that one plant is the ancestor of any other. On the contrary, fossils show that every species of plant emerged suddenly with its own unique characteristics and never changed so long as the species survived. One of these fossils is this 46-million-year-old fossil elm leaf, which is identical to elm leaves today.





---

## Fir Seed

---


Period: Miocene

Age: 23 - 5 million years

Region: Nevada, USA

Firs are the name given to species of trees with needle-shaped leaves, from the genus *Abies* and the family *Pinaceae*. These trees grow naturally in Turkey and have never changed since the day they were first created. This Miocene period fossil fir seed is just one of the 350 million fossils that prove the fact of Allah's creation.





---

## Allophylus Leaf


---

Period: Eocene

Age: 50 million years

Region: Green River Formation, Bonanza, Utah, USA

Many species of these small trees that are found in tropical regions of Asia and America produce small, sweet, edible fruits. Paleontological findings prove that these plants did not appear through evolution but that, on the contrary, they were created. Many scientists today admit that the fossil record supports creation, not evolution, and that transitional forms never existed and only ever lived in evolutionists' imaginations. The 50-million-year-old allophylus leaf in the picture is one of the proofs of that creation.





---

## Mushroom

---


Period: Cretaceous


Age: 100 million years

Region: Burma

This mushroom preserved in amber in the picture was discovered in the Hukawng Valley in Burma, also known as Myanmar. The most important feature of this amber specimen is its age. It is fully 100 million years old, the oldest known fossil mushroom in the world. Like all other fossils, this mushroom amber fossil reveals a very important truth; life forms have never changed over millions of years. In other words, they never evolved. There exists not one single transitional form to document or prove the Darwinists' claims.









---

## Mushroom

---

Period: Cretaceous


Age: 100 million years

Region: Burma

Mushrooms are generally regarded as plants but they do not actually produce their own nutrients, obtaining them instead by breaking down materials in other organisms. They are fungi.

There are thought to be some 1.5 million species of mushroom on Earth, of which only 69,000 have so far been described.

Mushrooms are of great importance since they break down plant and animal structures and release the free elements inside them. Mushrooms emit carbon dioxide and thereby make the soil suitable for the growth of plants. Mushrooms were just as useful 100 million years ago, and mushrooms living today have exactly the same characteristics and uses. Of course, they did not acquire these by way of evolution. They were created with these features from the very first moment.











---

## Sycamore

---

Period: Eocene


Age: 54 - 37 million years

Region: Green River, Colorado, USA

Leaf, insect and fish fossils dating back 100 to 50 million years pose a huge challenge to Darwinism. According to the theory of evolution, these life forms should have gone through a process of evolution and various transitional forms, but they are all identical to their counterparts today. This is proof that living things never underwent evolution in any period of history.







## Pacific Willow Leaf

Period: Eocene

Age: 54 - 37 million years


Region: Green River Formation, Colorado, USA

The fossil record, one of the most important sources of evidence refuting Darwinism, is generally kept carefully hidden away by Darwinists. Fossils belonging to the Cambrian Period, belonging to the first complex life forms in history, in other words, that were amazingly enough kept concealed for 70 years, are the most important examples of this deceptive method. A similar method is generally applied to living fossil discoveries. They try to hide any evidence that refutes evolution. But these endeavors are all in vain. Paleontology has long since demolished evolution with the finest possible examples.

This 54 to 37-million-year-old fossil leaf is just one of the proofs demolishing evolution.







*Darwin's  
Dilemma:  
The Soul*

## INTRODUCTION

**I**n the early 20<sup>th</sup> century, scientists discovered something new: that matter was not as we had imagined it to be. Matter was not solid. Matter had no colors. It gave off no smells, sounds or image. Matter was simply energy. The chair you sit in, the table you lean on, the house you live in, your dogs, the people around you, buildings, space, stars—in short, the whole material world exists as a form of energy.

In the face of this unexpected discovery, all philosophies constructed on the basis of matter therefore suffered a scientific collapse. Science revealed the proof of something inside the human body but not belonging to it, something that perceived the entire physical world, but was not itself physical: the human soul.

The soul could not be explained in any way in terms of materialist claims. Darwinism, which produced countless fictitious tales regarding the imaginary evolution of species, remained silent in the face of the existence of the soul. Because the soul was not matter, it was a metaphysical concept. And metaphysics was something that materialists were completely unable to accept, because metaphysics did away with all the unconscious events, coincidences and random processes that they had deified. Metaphysics submitted evidence of a conscious creation, in other words, of the existence of Allah. That, in any case, was why materialists had been denying the existence of the soul ever since the days of Ancient Greece.

This struggle, which had persisted since Ancient Greece right up to the present, now became meaningless because there is an entity that makes a human being human, that lets you say, “This is me.” That, in other words, is your soul: It exists, and it belongs to Allah. Science definitively proved that the human soul observed all things as they were presented to it and that there could be no reference to any reality beyond these perceived images. To put it another way, it openly declared that the only absolute Entity was Allah.

This proof by science is of importance in convincing minds that deify materialist philosophy. In fact, though, all who possess reflection and intellect are aware that they possess a sublime soul. Anyone who can reason at all will understand that it is the soul that rejoices, thinks, decides, judges, experiences joy and excitement, loves, shows compassion, gets anxious, enjoys the taste of an apple, takes pleasure from listening to music, builds planes, raises skyscrapers and constructs laboratories in which it examines itself.

If human beings are possessed of souls, they cannot have been created haphazardly. There is a purpose behind their presence in this world. All people bear a soul that belongs to Allah and are being tested in this lifetime, after which they will be held responsible for all their thoughts and deeds. There is no randomness or aimlessness in life. There are no chance events, as Darwinists maintain. Everything has been created by the will of Allah to become part of the tests to which we are subjected. In this life, which will end in death, the only thing that will be left behind is the body. The soul, on the other hand, will live for all eternity in the Hereafter, which is its true abode.

These are great glad tidings for anyone who realizes he has a soul and is able to appreciate its Creator. Darwinists, however, will continue to refuse this reality with all their means and maintain that they do not possess a soul. They will continue to refuse to accept that they will one day enter the presence of Almighty Allah, Whose existence they denied throughout the course of their lives. They will continue to regard themselves as randomly formed collections of atoms and will keep on dismissing the miraculous human consciousness that has discovered DNA, investigated the structure of the atom and has been amazed by the innermost workings of the cell.

The human soul is a terrible dilemma for Darwin and the supporters who came after him. It is the basic evidence which they cannot explain, which they cannot refute and cannot resolve. Allah has vanquished them by providing proof, of a scientific kind that they cannot deny: the insubstantiality of matter. In the face of this, any objections to the soul's existence they may come up with are invalid and meaningless.







In His verses in the Qur'an, Allah tells us:

**Who could be further astray than those who call on other things besides Allah, which will not respond to them until the Day of Resurrection and which are unaware of their prayers? When mankind is gathered together, they will be their enemies and will reject their worship. (Qur'an, 46:5-6)**

Darwinists and materialists need to realize that the only absolute Entity is Allah. Confronted by this truth, all hollow, empty deceptions and superstitious faiths fall into an insuperable quandary. Allah has enfolded all things with His Sublime Might. All things belong to Him and are under His control. Denying creation and the existence of the soul cannot alter these facts one iota.

This book considers one of the materialists' biggest errors, the scientific evidence exposing this error, Darwinism's dilemma in the face of this, and the certain existence of the soul. The world that the soul perceives is merely an illusion, a phantom and the sole absolute Entity Who rules the entire universe is Allah, Ruler and Lord of the Earth and sky. Henceforth, those with unclouded minds who understand this fact will look at the world from a different perspective and realize that Allah is their only savior. In order to attain salvation in the Hereafter, their true life, people need to behave in the light of that understanding.

# MATERIALISM HAS COLLAPSED AND DISAPPEARED

## Materialism: The Superstition of an Age

**A**ncient Greek thinkers imagined that all bodies consisted of tiny particles called atoms. They maintained that these atoms shaped the universe and all living things, without intention or direction and without being subjected to any conscious intervention. According to this belief, matter was timeless and eternal, and nothing beyond matter could exist. Supernatural events that intervened in entities' behavior and altered their structures was sheer superstition, unacceptable. All axioms and principles were based on the assumption that matter was an absolute reality.

Since matter was eternal, the universe must be eternal as well, and that idea served as the foundation of atheism. If the entire universe had existed for all time, then according to the perversion of materialist belief, it was impossible for matter and the universe to ever have been created.

According to materialists, the universe was eternal, and therefore, there was no purpose or special creation in it. Materialists imagined that all the balances, equilibrium, harmony and order in the universe were solely the results of chance. They claimed that everything came into being as the result of unconscious atoms assembling at random. And no matter how much complexity, balance and magnificent regularity exhibited by the external world, these were still the result of purposeless coincidences.

Materialist minds had held this preconception or *idée fixe* ever since the days of Ancient Greece. Since materialism rejected the concepts of "purpose" and "creation" to the universe, it also denied the existence of a Creator. To be strictly accurate, materialism was a philosophy which had been formulated to reject Allah. Many movements, ideologies and intellectual systems that rejected belief in Allah were, similarly, rooted in materialism. In other words, materialism was the most influential religion of atheism.



Stanley Sobottka, a professor of physics from Virginia University, describes the perversion of materialism in these terms:

If we believe this way [believe in materialism], we must conclude that everything, including ourselves and all of life, is governed completely by physical law. Physical law is the only law governing our desires, our hopes, our ethics, our goals, and our destinies. Matter and energy must be our primary focus, the object of all of our desires and ambitions. Specifically, this means that our lives must be focused on acquiring material goods (including bodies), or at least rearranging or exchanging them, in order to produce the maximum material satisfaction and pleasure. We must expend all of our energy in this quest, for there can be no other goal. And in all of this, we have no choice, because we are totally governed by physical law. We may feel trapped by these beliefs and desires, but we cannot shake them. They totally dominate us.



Stanley Sobottka

A succinct, personalized, summary statement of materialist philosophy is, "I am a body."<sup>1</sup>

In Ancient Greece, materialists held that religious adherents were illogically opposed to science. For that reason, materialists throughout history have sought to give the impression that belief in Allah and science are incompatible. In fact, however, science has increasingly showed evidence of His existence, and those discoveries worked against the materialist mindset that fought against belief in Allah.

This included Darwinism, of course. The struggle against Darwinism is basically an attack on its materialist origins. Throughout the course of history, materialists claimed that entities consisted merely of assemblages of atoms, and that the human brain was nothing more than a network of neurons. They were unable to account for the human mind, and attempted to explain it as the electro-chemical interaction between its neurons.

Materialists had no qualms about describing themselves as animals or machines. They denied that they had the status of entities with consciousness and claimed that they had come into existence by chance. Yet this was a grave misconception and a lie fabricated in order to deny Allah.

In the words of the quantum particle physicist Stephen M. Barr, of the Bartol Research Institute at the University of Delaware, these people who believed in the absolute reality of matter were almost no different from the pagans of the past. Just like the ancient pagans, materialists describe humans as essentially sub-human. Pagans deified matter; materialists did the same thing by denying the soul and reducing everything to the level of matter. Pagans declared that events were determined by the orbits of the planets and the stars; materialists claimed that they were controlled by the ebb and flow of the hormones in their brains. Pagans prostrated themselves to worship in front of false animal deities; materialists claimed that they were no more than animals themselves.<sup>2</sup>

Amit Goswami, a professor of physics at the *University of Oregon's Institute for Theoretical Science*, describes the fundamental logic with which materialists sought to indoctrinate people:

We are conditioned to believe that we are machines—that all our actions are determined by the stimuli we receive and by our prior conditioning. As exiles, we have no responsibility, no choice; our free will is a mirage.<sup>3</sup>

The fact is, however, that Allah created man. And man is not an entity devoid of purpose and responsibility. Contrary to what materialists claim, man is not an unthinking machine. Man is an entity with a responsibility to Allah and will be held to account for all his deeds in the Hereafter.

The materialist logic that seeks to divert people away from this fact has been evident at all times throughout history, ever since the days of Ancient Greece. Yet it was only in the 19<sup>th</sup> century that this belief spread and became established as a settled intellectual system. In the 19<sup>th</sup> century, the great majority of classical physicists thought that the fundamental components of matter were inanimate and indivisible atoms, just like tiny billiard balls, and that the perfect regularity and complexity in the universe were the result of the random motion and compounds of these atoms. In their view, everything on Earth, life included, came into being by accident through a series of blind, unconscious processes. Atoms es-

tablished unreasoning unions and gave rise to the world we see with all its perfect features—and also to ourselves, with our minds and consciousness.

By setting out these claims, materialists sought to indoctrinate people with the idea that man was not made by a Creator and that apart from matter, nothing existed. The fact is, though, that man was obviously created with perfect systems and mechanisms, through an extraordinary mind and intelligence. There were no unconscious processes on Earth of the kind suggested by materialists, and no unthinking structures and systems arose as a consequence. Everything displays a complexity and sublimity that often exceeds the capacity of human minds to comprehend, and so perfect are these details that they exclude all possibility of chance. The Earth itself reveals proofs of creation.


Despite these facts, however, materialists insisted in their claims that unconscious atoms were the basis of all things. So what, according to materialists, were these atoms, the source of all else that exists?

In one respect, we now know that the atom is an almost complete void, and that is a proven fact. We can explain this as follows: If you imagine the atomic nucleus, comprised of neutrons and protons, as a pinhead just 1 millimeter (0.039 of an inch) in diameter, then an electron revolving around that nucleus does so at a distance of 100 meters (328 feet)! <sup>4</sup>

In this considerable volume between the nucleus and the electrons, the only thing that exists is empty space. This 100-meter void is literally “empty.” That is why in one sense, experts are justified in regarding the atom as an empty vacuum. In the words of the British physicist Sir Arthur Eddington, matter is mostly “ghostly empty space.” <sup>5</sup> To be more precise, it is 99.9999999% empty.

Fred Alan Wolf, a particle physicist at the University of California describes this fact regarding the atom:


If you stop to think about it at all, you might realize that life on planet as we live it is really a surprise, considering just how empty the universe really is. In fact, the universe is more than 99 percent nothing! And considering that the universe is still expanding at an alarming rate, it’s getting to be more nothing than it ever was! So while looking out at it leaves us in awe, when we consider the microworld of subatomic matter, it’s even worse. There, nothing exists in spades, so to speak. <sup>6</sup>



At the beginning of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, it was known that there was a giant empty space inside the atom, which was regarded as the smallest component of all things, and that this space contained a nucleus and electrons revolving around it. However, only the general lines of matter—the atom and its fundamental parts—were understood. So what was there in the atomic nucleus, in a space just  $10^{-18}$  kilometer in size, or one millionth of a millionth of a millionth of a kilometer? That was something unknown to scientists.

In the 1960s, a most significant scientific discovery was made. It was realized that in the depths of the proton, there were particles known as quarks. These extraordinarily minute particles caused protons to have a positive electric charge, and neutrons to have no charge. Research eventually revealed the presence of a gloriously complex world in what comprised just 0.0000001 of the atom.

The more that materialists descended into the depths of the atom and the more extraordinary details they saw in matter's smallest building block, the more they sought some solution by developing their theory in another direction. In order for the entire universe to form unconsciously and haphazardly, they had to explain how not just atoms but also the world inside the atom,—in other words, the motions of sub-atomic particles—had come into being. The idea that matter was the only thing that existed survived in the materialist mind, until the discovery of quantum physics.



## Quantum Physics: the discovery that scientifically demolished materialism

. . . there's enough in the way the physical universe is constructed to indicate the presence of something called soul. Where I begin looking for this soul is in the nature of quantum mechanics, or quantum physics, which says that there may be spiritual underpinnings to the physical world. <sup>7</sup> (Fred Alan Wolf, the well-known particle physicist at University of California)

According to Isaac Newton, light was a flow of a substance known as “corpuscles.” The basis of the traditional Newtonian physics—which was accepted until the discovery of quantum physics—was that light consisted entirely of a collection of particles. However, James Clerk Maxwell, a 19<sup>th</sup>-century physicist, suggested that light demonstrated wave action. Quantum theory reconciled this greatest debate in physics.

In 1905, Albert Einstein claimed that light possessed quanta, or small packets of energy. These energy packets were given the name photons. Although described as particles, photons could be observed to behave in the wave motion proposed by Maxwell in the 1860s. Therefore, light was a transitional phenomenon between wave and particle<sup>8</sup>—a state of affairs that displayed a major contradiction in terms of Newtonian physics.

Immediately after Einstein, Max Planck, a German physicist, investigated light and astonished the entire scientific world by determining that it was *both* a wave and a particle. According to this idea, which he proposed under the name of *quantum theory*, energy was disseminated in the form of interrupted and discrete packets, rather than being straight and constant.

In a quantum event, light exhibited both particle-like and wave-like properties. The particle known as the photon was accompanied by a wave in space. In other words, light moved like a wave through space, but behaved as an active particle when it encountered an obstacle. To express it another way, it adopted the form of energy until encountering an obstacle, at which time it assumed the form of particles, as if it were composed of tiny material bodies reminiscent of grains of sand.



Isaac Newton



Max Planck

After Planck, this theory was further expanded by scientists such as Albert Einstein, Niels Bohr, Louis de Broglie, Erwin Schrödinger, Werner Heisenberg, Paul Adriaan Maurice Dirac and Wolfgang Pauli. Each was awarded the Nobel Prize for his discoveries.

About this new discovery regarding the nature of light, Amit Goswami says this:

When light is seen as a wave, it seems capable of being in two (or more) places at the same time, as when it passes through the slits of an umbrella and produces a diffraction pattern; when we catch it on a photographic film, however, it shows up discretely, spot by spot, like a beam of particles. So light must be both a wave and a particle. Paradoxical, isn't it? At stake is one of the bulwarks of the old physics: unambiguous description in language. Also at stake is the idea of objectivity: Does the nature of light—what light is—depend on how we observe it? <sup>9</sup>

Scientists now no longer believed that matter consists of inanimate, random particles. Quantum physics had no materialist significance, because there were non-material things at the essence of matter. While Einstein, Philipp Lenard and Arthur Holly Compton investigated the particle structure of light, Louis de Broglie began looking at its wave structure.

De Broglie's discovery was an extraordinary one: In his research, he observed that sub-atomic particles also displayed wave-like properties. Particles such as the electron and proton also had wavelengths. In other words, inside the atom—which materialism described as absolute matter—there were non-material energy waves, contrary to materialist belief. Just like light, these minute particles inside the atom behaved like waves at times, and exhibited the properties of particles at others. Contrary to materialist expectations, the "absolute matter" in the atom could be detected at certain times, but disappeared at others.

This major discovery showed that what we imagine to be the real world were in fact shadows. Matter had completely departed from the realm of physics and was headed in the direction of metaphysics.<sup>10</sup>

The physicist Richard Feynman described this interesting fact about sub-atomic particles and light:

Now we know how the electrons and light behave. But what can I call it? If I say they behave like particles I give the wrong impression; also if I say they behave like waves. They behave in their own inimitable way,





which technically could be called a quantum mechanical way. They behave in a way that is like nothing that you have ever seen before. . . . An atom does not behave like a weight hanging on a spring and oscillating. Nor does it behave like a miniature representation of the solar system with little planets going around in orbits. Nor does it appear to be somewhat like a cloud or fog of some sort surrounding the nucleus. It behaves like nothing you have ever seen before.

There is one simplification at least. Electrons behave in this respect in exactly the same way as photons; they are both screwy, but in exactly the same way.

How they behave, therefore, takes a great deal of imagination to appreciate, because we are going to describe something which is different from anything you know about. . . . Nobody knows how it can be like that.<sup>11</sup>

To sum up, quantum physicists say that the objective world is an illusion.<sup>12</sup> Professor Hans-Peter Dürr, head of the Max Planck Institute of Physics, summarizes this fact:

Whatever matter is, it is not made of matter.<sup>13</sup>

All the most celebrated physicists of the 1920s, everyone from Paul Dirac to Niels Bohr, and from Albert Einstein to Werner Heisenberg, sought to explain these results from quantum experiments. Eventually, one group of physicists at the Fifth Solvay Conference on Physics held in Brussels in 1927—Bohr, Max Born, Paul Dirac, Werner Heisenberg and Wolfgang Pauli—reached an agreement known as the Copenhagen Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics. It took this name from the place of work of the leader of the group, Bohr, who suggested that the physical reality proposed by quantum theory was the information we have regarding a system and the estimates we make on the basis of that information. In his view, these “guesses” made in our brains had nothing to do with the “outside” reality.

In short, our “internal world” had nothing to do with the “outside real” world that had been the main subject of interest of physicists from Aristotle to the present day. Physicists abandoned their old ideas regarding this view and agreed that quantum understanding represented only “our knowledge” of the physical system.<sup>14</sup> The material world we can perceive exists solely as information in our brains. In other words, we can never obtain direct experience of matter in the outside world.

Jeffrey M. Schwartz, a neuroscientist and professor of psychiatry from University of California, described this conclusion emerging from the Copenhagen Interpretation:


As John Archibald cracked, “No phenomenon is a phenomenon until it is an observed phenomenon.”<sup>15</sup>

In summary, quantum mechanics’ all conventional interpretations depend on the existence of a “perceiving being.”<sup>16</sup>

Amit Goswami expanded on this insight:

Suppose we ask, Is the moon there when we are not looking at it? To the extent that the moon is ultimately a quantum object (being composed entirely of quantum objects), we must say no—so says physicist David Mermin. . . .

Perhaps the most important, and the most insidious, assumption that we absorb in our childhoods is that of the material world of objects existing out there—independent of subjects, who are the observers. There is circumstantial evidence in favor of such an assumption. Whenever we look at the moon, for example, we find the moon where we expect it along its classically calculated trajectory. Naturally we project that the moon is always there in space-time, even when we are not looking. Quantum physics says no. When we are not looking, the moon’s possibility wave spreads, albeit by a minuscule amount. When we look, the wave collapses instantly; thus the wave could not be in space-time. It makes more sense to adapt an idealist metaphysic assumption: There is no object in space-time without a conscious subject looking at it.<sup>17</sup>



Max Planck proposed “quantum theory” in the early 20th century, announcing that light had both wave-like and particle-like properties.





# *Conversations with Adnan Oktar*



*All human beings watch the televisions inside their brains*


**ADNAN OKTAR:** .... Some people are unable to understand space or time. A great many people cannot. Everyone who looks sees an image inside his brain. **For example, all our brothers watching me on the television are watching the television inside their brains, not the televisions in their rooms.** The televisions in their rooms are pitch black and transparent, because there is no light on the outside, only wavelengths, waves. And there is no color on the outside. So what can people watch on television since it is black and transparent? And the device itself is transparent. Matter is transparent because of its atomic structure, in other words the electrons, neutrons, protons and nuclei being at a distance from one another. For technical reasons. But our brains do not perceive it as transparent, they perceive it as a whole and the wavelengths as colors; red, green, blue, mauve. They are all wavelengths. Sound is the same. There is no sound on the outside, not a sound on the television on the outside. Do you know who says this? All irreligious people say what I say. And all Islamic scholars say it. **It is a fact, a scientific fact.** Nobody can say any different. These are clear facts shown by science.

Scientists say, "Human beings were terrified to learn the bounds of their emotions, the reality of matter." In other words, people learned the truth about the world with progress in modern physics. They encountered an entirely different reality. **They realized that matter was not at all as they had imagined.** Matter is transparent and pitch dark. They imagine the Sun to be very bright. **The Sun is pitch black, and not hot like they say.** They talk about it being so many millions degrees in temperature, but that stems from perception. Someone else may perceive it as ice cold, freezing. It would seem very chilly to them.

**Hot, cold, sweet and bitter, these concepts all stem from perception by the brain.** They are perceptions that come about through Allah's creation. For example, what is bitter for one person will be sour to someone else. Sick people do not taste foods in the same way that we do. Sugar may seem bitter to them, while we perceive it as sweet. That is how their brains perceive it. But very few people know this. They are frightened and unwilling to realize it for some reason.

*Interview dated 03 November 2010  
www.a9.com.tr / en.harunyahya.tv*





According to quantum physics, the existence of matter is dependent on the existence of a "perceiver." For example, when we are looking at the Moon, the possibility wave of the body we perceive as the Moon collapses and the wave no longer exists in space-time. According to quantum physics, the Moon is not in the sky so long as there is no observer!

This, of course, applies to our perceptual world. The existence of the Moon is of course obvious in the outside world. But when we look at it, all we actually encounter is our own perception of the Moon.

Jeffrey M. Schwartz included these lines regarding the fact demonstrated by quantum physics in his book *The Mind and the Brain*:

The role of observation in quantum physics cannot be emphasized too strongly. In classical physics [Newtonian physics], observed systems have an existence independent of the mind that observes and probes them. In quantum physics, however, only through an act of observation does a physical quantity come to have an actual value. <sup>18</sup>

Schwartz also summarized the views of various physicists on the subject:

As Jacob Bronowski wrote in *The Ascent of Man*,

"One aim of the physical sciences has been to give an exact picture of the material world. One achievement of physics in the twentieth century has been to prove that that aim is unattainable." . . . Heisenberg said the concept of objective reality "has thus evaporated." Writing in 1958, he admitted that "the laws of nature which we formulate mathematically in quantum theory deal no longer with the particles themselves but

with our knowledge of the elementary particles.” “It is wrong,” Bohr once said, “to think that the task of physics is to find out how nature is. Physics concerns what we can say about nature.”<sup>19</sup>

Fred Alan Wolf, one of the guest physicists in the documentary film “What the Bleep Do We Know?” described this same fact:

What makes up things are not more things. But what makes up things are ideas, concepts, information...<sup>20</sup>

Following the most fascinating and sensitive experiments that the human mind could devise over the course of 80 years, there are now no views opposed to quantum physics, which has been decisively and scientifically proven. No objections can even be suggested against the conclusions reached by the experiments performed. Quantum theory has been tested in hundreds of possible different ways devised by scientists.<sup>21</sup> It has earned the Nobel Prize for a number of scientists, and is continuing to do so.

Matter, the most fundamental concept of Newtonian physics and once regarded unconditionally as the absolute truth, has been eliminated. Materialists, supporters of the old belief that matter was the sole and definitive building block of existence, were really confused by the fact of “the lack of matter” suggested by quantum physics. They now have to explain all the laws of physics within the sphere of metaphysics.

The shock that this inflicted on materialists in the early 20<sup>th</sup> century was far greater than can be expressed in these lines. But the quantum physicists Bryce DeWitt and Neill Graham describe it:

No development of modern science has had a more profound impact on human thinking than the advent of quantum theory. Wrenched out of centuries-old thought patterns, physicists of a generation ago found themselves compelled to embrace a new metaphysics. The distress which this reorientation caused continues to the present day. Basically physicists have suffered a severe loss; their hold on reality.<sup>22</sup>

## The wave-like properties of the electron and the scientific proof



The most significant experiment revealing this interesting nature of the sub-atomic particles was the double-slit experiment. This was conducted to see how light and electrons both behave like waves, and how they both manifest this surprising feature to the same extent.

In order to gain a better understanding of the subject, assume that this experiment was conducted with grains of sand rather than electrons.

First, bring a source of sand grains, such as a sand-blower, behind a wall. Let there be two slits in the wall. And let there be on the other side of the wall a screen to detect the particles passing through these slits. Each sand grain impelled by the blower travels through one slit and strikes the screen.

Once a large number of grains have passed through the slits and hit the screen, we see that two clusters of points have appeared on the screen; one made up of grains passing through the first slit, and the other of those passing through the second. Events have transpired as we expected.

Now, imagine that we have conducted a similar experiment in a different way. Let us fill the experimental environment between the source and the screen with a pool of water, and use a vibrat-



ing object instead of the source of sand particles. This object sets the water in motion and continuously generates waves, spreading in all directions.

Unlike grains of sand, these waves are not localized in space. They are spread throughout the whole pool. As a result, the waves passing through both slits simultaneously spread out, encounter one another and interfere with each other.


When the crest of one wave combines with the trough of another, they neutralize each other. The wave effect disappears, leaving nothing. This interference is a basic characteristic of waves.

When the experiment was performed with electrons, instead of a cluster of particles striking the screen—as with the sand grains—the electrons were observed to interfere with one another. The expected result failed to occur if the electrons were regarded as particles only. Therefore, since the electrons displayed the wave-like feature of interfering with one another, they cannot be particles. Yet they cannot be waves either—because, just like particles, they struck the screen in discrete groups.

In this instance, the observations suggest that the electrons are localized particles when they leave the source and when they arrive at the screen, but that they act as waves everywhere in between. This is really very counterintuitive.<sup>23</sup>

This experimental evidence did away with materialism, according to which, every particle must possess an objective existence somewhere in space. Again according to materialism, an electron must follow a single course through a space and cannot move through both slits like a wave which is not localized. Yet materialists' expectations did not correspond to experimental reality.

The wave we are referring to here is different from a physical wave that occurs in water. Electron waves do not exist in the three-dimensional space in our physical world.



Fred Alan Wolf describes the wave concept in question:

When quantum physicists determine the probability of an event, they calculate a number. This number arises from the multiplication of two mathematical functions called *quantum wave functions*—or, as I call them, *qwiffs*. Qwiffs are imagined to be real waves moving through space and time. However, they are not real waves; they are purely imaginal. They are not fields like magnetic fields or gravitational fields. They cannot be measured. They have neither mass nor energy. They exist in our minds and imaginations. That is, they do not exist as we observe real material things existing. . . . The dynamic laws governing time loops bring a story into being. In other words, when a time loop is created, the world we commonly and uncommonly experience as “out there” arises both in our minds and in what we believe is objectively shared reality.<sup>24</sup>

According to Wolf, the definite scientific truth regarding electrons cannot possibly be comprehended in terms of known physical or mathematical concepts. In any case, however, we are never in direct contact with the realities in the outside world. It is impossible for us to step beyond our own perceptions.


The double-slit experiment can be repeated with all subatomic particles. The results will always be the same, because quantum mechanics rules the entire universe. True, when billions of atoms combine to give rise to any large object or a human being, the probability of this interference effect ever being observed decrease sharply. But this does not mean that the laws of quantum physics have ceased to apply. This process is now just not observable. Therefore, this fact applies to all of matter.

According to the Washington University mathematician Thomas McFarlane, the large objects we encounter in our daily lives are not objectively existing matter, either. According to him, “the appearance of an objectively existing world independent of observation is an illusion.”<sup>25</sup>

What quantum mechanics has scientifically proven is that the objective world exists in a concentrated wave form. According to physicists, the main problem that misleads people is that the world observed through our perceptions is high in convincing detail, sharpness and clarity. Yet the outside world never actually reaches us. We can never see the external reality, the original of the material world existing “out there.”

Our daily lives present an image highly inconsistent with the external realities. Therefore, the question arises of which one—whether the physical reality or what appears to us so sharp and clear—should be regarded as valid.

Thomas J. McFarlane states that the answer can be found by drawing a comparison.



According to him, we can imagine modern-day scientists going back 3000 years in the past and meeting with people who imagine the Earth is flat. The scientists politely tell them that they are in error on the subject, and that the Earth is actually spherical.

These people then ask the scientists, “how could you have come by such an insane idea?” The scientists will be unable to provide a single piece of evidence to prove their thesis, under the conditions and state of knowledge of that time. They, on the other hand, are quite capable of explaining that the Earth is flat, on the basis of all their experiments and the evidence they’ve gathered. They use the concept of plane geometry to measure out land and chart road maps, and find nothing in this that conflicts with their daily experience. In the same way, when they look at a wide open expanse or the sea, they say that they can see no curvature and so claim that there is no evidence showing that the Earth is round. The idea that “The Earth is round” thus remains a delusion. The scientists return to their time machine and to the present day, without having proved anything. <sup>26</sup>



According to MFarlane, the reason why these time-travelers were unable to convince anyone the Earth is round is that we humans are so very small in comparison to the Earth. Since our experiment is confined to a geographically very small area, the Earth appears to be flat, even though it is not actually so. In other words, the flatness observed on Earth is not a true flatness at all, because the Earth is not flat. This is only an illusory flatness caused by the immense size of the Earth.

In order to prove that the Earth is round, we need to go beyond our day-to-day limitations. For instance, we could fly around the world in a plane, or we could go up into space in a rocket. But when limited to our day-to-day experiences, we have no evidence that the flatness we perceive is an illusion. Similarly, we have no reason not to believe that the Earth is flat.

After citing this example, MFarlane goes on to say:

If people have been so deluded about reality in the past, how can we be so sure that we are not deluded now? As we have seen, just because our present notions of reality are consistent with our ordinary experience, does not make them true. Since our experience certainly has its limits, perhaps our idea of the objective world really is an illusion, just as much an illusion as the idea of a flat Earth.<sup>27</sup>

## **The Idea of Absolute Matter Has Disappeared Alongside Materialism**

The conclusion revealed by quantum mechanics is that matter is not absolute and eternal, as materialists claim it to be. In the same way that matter is not timeless or eternal, the entities we see around us are not simply collections of atoms. According to quantum physics, matter has changed its nature in a way that materialists never dreamed of, and it has been scientifically proven that the basis of matter is simply a form of energy. In the face of the facts revealed by quantum physics, materialism has scientifically collapsed.

Paul Davies and John Gribbin summarize the way in which the new physics has entirely eliminated materialism:

It is fitting that physics—the science that gave rise to materialism—should also signal the demise of materialism. During this century the new physics has blown apart the central tenets of materialist doctrine in a sequence of stunning developments. First came the theory of relativity, which demolished Newton’s assumptions about space and time . . . Then came the quantum theory, which totally transformed our image of matter.<sup>28</sup>

Fred Alan Wolf describes how scientists have now abandoned materialism:

Some of us, including many scientists, don’t agree with the new objective materialism. We believe in our heart of hearts, as did the alchemists that came before us, that something far richer than materialism is responsible for the universe.<sup>29</sup>

What is the result of the collapse of materialism? The stubborn opinion that matter is the only absolute reality is one of the greatest deceptions that prevents people from believing in Allah. Instead of regarding the external world as the composite of their perceptions, they behave as if they had direct experience with everything they perceive. They apply the lack of purpose that materialism ascribes to matter to themselves, imagining that there is no reason for their existence on—or departure from—the Earth. Since they are unable to see and believe in the proofs of the existence of Allah, they expect Him to appear to them as a corporeal entity (surely Allah is beyond that). They believe that entities were never created, for which reason they never want to accept the existence of a Creator.

Using materialism as a pretext, they try to seek to deny the absolute existence of Allah and His creation. The collapse of materialism has eliminated that pretext and revealed full proof of the existence of Allah.


Particle physicist Stephen M. Barr expresses this:

Science has taken us on just such an adventure. Armed not with weapons but with telescopes and particle accelerators, and speaking by the signs and symbols of recondite mathematics, it has brought us to many strange shores and shown us alien and fantastic landscapes. But as we scan the horizon, near the end of the voyage, we have begun to recognize first one and then another of the old familiar landmarks and outlines of our ancestral home. **The search for truth always leads us, in the end, back to God.** <sup>30</sup>

Believing that there can be direct experience of matter as it exists, is itself conjecture. There is no evidence of this in this world, of which we conceive through our perceptions. We can see and touch the world only through our perceptions. It is impossible for us ever to make direct contact with the actual material world outside. The universe is not timeless and eternal, but had a beginning and will have an end. There is no "aimlessness" at any point throughout the universe, as materialists claim. The entire universe and all the entities in it have been brought into being for a purpose.

All this points to a single conclusion: Creation rules at every point in the universe. The works created show the existence of a sublime power, a Creator. That Creator is Almighty Allah, Who enfolds all the worlds.

It is fruitless for materialists to struggle against this truth any longer, because modern physics has produced results that argue totally against them. In verses Allah has told us that:





We did not create heaven and Earth and everything in between them as a game. If We had desired to have some amusement, We would have derived it from Our Presence, but We did not do that. Rather We hurl the truth against falsehood and it cuts right through its brain and it vanishes clean away! Woe without end for you for what you portray! Everyone in the heavens and the Earth belongs to Him. Those in His presence do not consider themselves too great to worship Him and do not grow tired of it. (Qur'an, 21:16-19)





# *Conversations with Adnan Oktar*

*The truth about matter is what Darwinists and  
materialists fear most*

**ADNAN OKTAR:** ... The true nature of matter is one of the strongest proofs against materialism. Lenin was terrified when he heard about it. "Never enter into the subject with creationists. If you do, you will sink without trace. Never argue about this with them." **The truth about matter is what Lenin feared most, what materialists fear most, how matter is perceived in the brain.** Because we see and perceive an infinite world in a space the size of a lentil. Something that Marxism and Leninism can never account for – the soul. Who watches it? Who watches the image in full color and hears the sound? Who experiences the sense of touch and taste? **This is one of the primary causes of suffering and collapse for Leninism and Marxism.** One is the Big Bang theory, two is this, and three is the collapse of Darwinism.

The Big Bang revealed that time and matter had a beginning. That came as a major blow to them. Second, the truth about matter and the soul, because they cannot account for the soul. Because there is someone who sees, who perceives light and color. Light and color form inside the brain, but there are no light and color on the outside. **This cannot be explained scientifically, because it is super-metaphysical.** It is not a subject that can be studied in the laboratory. Because one cannot enter into the brain. Take sound; we hear it far clearer than even the best quality music equipment. There is an image in our brains far superior to the best quality television or cinema screen. This machine consists of just two grams of flesh. You buy the best quality television, but it is still blurred and two-dimensional. They have not yet been able to build three-dimensional ones. If they did, it would still consist of thousands of components, and would take thousands of engineers to make. But they still cannot make one. And it consists solely of an image. Who will see that image? That is a separate question. It is impossible to make the person who will see the image, the soul in other words.

Allah has **created both the person that sees the image, and has made the image three-dimensional and far better quality than even the best television or cinema screen.** It is so high quality that one cannot tell if it is real or an illusion. Who can say that the image on our television screens is an illusion? How far away would you say the TV is? Two meters. But we are unaware that we are watching televisions inside our brains. Some people have a partial understanding of this important truth, some understand it in detail, and others just ignore it. It has a huge impact on those who understand it. It is a glorious thing, a huge phenomenon. People will begin comprehending this secret after 2012. The truth about matter will be known, people will see the truth of matter, insha'Allah.

*Interview dated 29 September 2011  
www.a9.com.tr / en.harunyahya.tv*

*Darwinists and materialists pretend not to understand the scientific facts regarding the true nature of matter*

**ADNAN OKTAR:** ... Darwinists and materialists are unaware who sees and hears. They exclude that from the equation and regard it as unimportant. Now, the electricity heads to the brain, and someone sees it. That is what sees. Someone hears. But without eyes or ears. And there is someone who thinks. That is the true person.

**Darwinists never bother with this subject.** They pretend not to understand the true nature of matter, that the image forms in the brain. **Someone reading on the internet, on computer, is reading a text in his brain. People watching us online now, are watching an image in their brains.** We can never watch the computer itself. The computer is in the outside world, but it is dark and transparent. The person watches the colored computer in his brain. The computer on the outside has no color. Second, it is transparent. Third, it has no light. It is pitch dark. So the computer on the outside is effectively functionless in that sense. The real computer is the one Allah forms in our brains. **Do evolutionists know this? Yes. Do they think about it? No.** Because they think and investigate scientifically. None of them denies any of this. Find me one evolutionist who says "This is not the case." They all admit it. But they are unwilling to think about it.

**For one thing, in order to be an evolutionist one must never think about anything that does not suit one. Evolution is the art of lying. But it is also the art of not thinking. The art of not reflecting and lying is what we know as Darwinism.** Look, not reflecting but lying with great expertise. People should change their dictionaries. Everyone should put this new definition in their dictionaries when asked what Darwinism is. They should write in the two following points; Darwinism is the art of comprehensive lying and the art of comprehensive refusal to reflect. Then they can carry on with their statements. But this is the clear and exact meaning. Everyone knows this is the case. If they ask me what Darwinism lies about, I will cite at least 3000 items. Darwinism is the pagan religion that makes the most use of lies.

Paganism involves three or 5 lies at most. "Our idol did it," they say, and that is all. But **Darwinists' idols need thousands of lies.** Darwinism is an idol that feeds on lies. Lies are its food. They have to keep lying to their idol. It can never get enough lies. The idol that is Darwinism cannot survive without lies. That is why those who enter its temple constantly lie before it. And the idol swallows them all.

*Interview dated 14 August 2010  
www.a9.com.tr / en.harunyahya.tv*





## THE EXTERNAL WORLD BEHIND QUANTUM PHYSICS

### Light: A Form of Energy

**M**ax Planck's discovery shows that light exhibits the properties of both a wave and a particle. Since Planck's day, countless experiments and observations have revealed this as an incontrovertible fact. That being so, in order to better comprehend this definition we can refer to another kind of waves, those that occur in water. Those waves are not made up of water, but are made up of the energy transmitted through the water. If a wave moves from one end of a swimming pool to another, this does not mean that the water in one side of the pool passes to the other. The water remains where it was. Only the wave itself moves, transmitting energy. When you move your hand in a bathtub filled with water you produce a small wave in the form of ripples, because you are imparting energy to the water. That energy manifests in the water in the form of a wave.

All waves are energy travelling and generally are transmitted by the use of a medium—water, in this example.

Light waves, understandably, are rather more complicated than waves in water and do not require a medium in order to travel. They can travel through an empty vacuum.<sup>31</sup> Light is dependent on matter only at the initial stage. Once light has been emitted, it can move independently with no material element. Light energy can be found even where there is no matter at all.

Light and heat are different forms of the energy known as electromagnetic radiation. All the various forms of electromagnetic radiation act in the form of energy waves in space. Again, this can be compared—albeit simplistically—to ripples created when a stone is thrown into a lake. In the same way that those ripples may be of different width and amplitude, so electromagnetic radiation can have different wavelengths.

There are very great differences between the wavelengths of electromagnetic radiation. While some may be kilometers long, other wavelengths are smaller than a trillionth of a centi-

meter. Scientists divide these different wavelengths into named categories, which seem to imply that they are different forms of energy entirely. For example, rays with a wavelength as short as a trillionth of a centimeter are known as “gamma rays.” These transmit very high energy. Rays with wavelengths kilometers long are known as “radio waves” and transmit very weak energy. For that reason, while gamma rays are lethal to us, radio waves have no effect at all as they pass through your body.

The spectrum of wavelengths is extraordinary wide. The shortest length is  $10^{25}$  times smaller than the longest. Numerically, this is expressed by the figure 1 followed by 25 zeroes. In order to better comprehend this number—which may be written as 10,000,000,000,000,000,000,000—let’s provide some comparisons. For example, the number of seconds that have passed during the 4 billion years of the Earth’s existence is only  $10^{17}$ . If we wanted to count to  $10^{25}$ , day and night and non-stop, it would take us 100 million times longer than the age of the Earth! If we tried to place  $10^{25}$  playing cards on top of one another, we would find ourselves far beyond the Milky Way and we would need to travel half the length of the observable universe.

Though the different wavelengths in the universe have been distributed in such a broad spectrum, it is interesting that our Sun's light should have been confined to a very narrow range within that spectrum. Seventy percent of the different wavelengths emitted by the Sun fall within a very narrow range between 0.3 and 1.5 microns (1 micron is one thousandth of a millimeter.)

In that range, there are three kinds of light: visible light, near infrared rays and ultraviolet rays.

But these three types of light represent just one unit in the electromagnetic spectrum! In other terms, all the Sun's light, when put together, represents just one out of the  $10^{25}$  playing cards. That the Sun's rays are restricted to such a narrow range is important, since only these rays can support life on Earth.


The light stimulating the human eye in order to form an image represents a narrow band of this broad range of frequencies—an area less than an octave in width. The wavelengths that stimulate the retina vary between 39 and 75 millionths of a centimeter. According to professor of neuropsychology Richard L. Gregory, "Looked at in this way we are almost blind!"<sup>32</sup>

Bearing this in mind, you can realize how the light you see represents only a very small fraction of the light that you perceive to be out there. Your retina perceives images formed by light consisting of a rather small band. The realm of other frequency bands apart from this one is unknown to us.



A six-mirror, giant gamma-ray telescope on Mount Hopkins

Radyo frekans ve ölçüm cihazı



Radio frequency and measurement device

The light in the narrow range of frequencies we can see conveys all that we can experience in the outside world.

The chief property of light is the effect it has on matter. In general, matter possesses inertia, resisting all the pressures placed on it by pushing or pulling. And whenever we push or pull an object, we feel pushing or pulling forces on ourselves. Newton called this action and reaction. Light also acts on matter, but light particles have no inertial property. We can see light reacting with objects, as when a laser beam cuts through metal or repairs a damaged retina. But we can never perceive the actions and reactions that matter has on light. Physicists refer to light's inability to be pushed or pulled as "its absence of any rest mass."<sup>33</sup>

Rest mass is the mass of a body when at rest, in other words, it is a fixed entity. Yet when it comes to light, it is never at rest: It is in a state of constant movement. Therefore, light is a form of energy that lacks mass and for that reason does not exhibit a basic characteristic of "matter."

Fred Alan Wolf describes this state of affairs:

When we see light, we really don't see light at all: we see an effect appearing as a result of light pushing and pulling on the matter making up our sensory bodies. We see matter moving. Light itself is really out of this world . . .<sup>34</sup>

Light is an energy that behaves in the form of a wave. Light waves resemble waves in water. But unlike the energy in water, this energy here has no need of a medium to travel through. It can move within a total vacuum. Thus light energy can be found where there is no matter.





## Where Is Light, Actually?

Is it light that makes the outside world visible to us, and is the means whereby our brains form images of the outside world? Does light cause all corporeal entities to come into being when we step outside and cause them to disappear for us in a completely darkened room? Were it not for light, would the whole world around us cease to exist?

The idea that the external world we perceive exists only through the help of visible light is actually our own impression. There is actually no light in the outside world, in which a pitch darkness rules. Neither lamps, nor car headlights, nor the Sun emit any light in the sense we know it. Light occurs and illuminates the world we live in solely as a perception in our brains.

The Sun and other sources of light emit electromagnetic particles (photons) at varying wavelengths. These particles spread outward through the universe as dictated by their structures. For example, many radioactive particles pass right through your body. Only a lead shield can halt them. Some of these particles are so heavy and so charged with energy that they generally destroy any molecules they meet and continue on their way without changing course. This is the underlying reason why radiation causes cancer. X-ray machines make use of a weaker form of radiation. Via photosensitive film, these machines convert the effect set up by radio waves into visible light, converting them into a form that our retinas can detect. In other words, light exists as long as it is perceived by the eye and interpreted by the brain. But light and illumination do not exist outside in the terms with which we are familiar.








WORK 023

Radio waves do not damage human tissue as they pass through it. These waves cannot be detected by our senses, but the radios in your home or auto convert them into sound waves that your ears can perceive. The crackling noise you hear between channels or when no radio program is being broadcast is actually the “sound” of the cosmic background radiation that has been emitted by all the stars, including our Sun, since the beginning of the universe. What we refer to as “sound” here is actually our perception of our radios processing these waves and making them audible to our ears—followed by the signals our auditory nerves transmit to our brains.

In other words, the waves themselves do not really exist, since they have no material existence in the physical sense. They must be converted into a form that the ears can hear and the brain can interpret. The same applies to a television set. Various light waves that are invisible to us are converted by the set’s screen into a form we can perceive.

The photons that are the source of the perception we call “light” are light particles and generally bounce off the atom they first collide with. In doing so, they cause little harm to their point of impact. Because of the higher frequencies at which they vibrate, ultraviolet rays carry a greater energy charge that can effect our skin and may sometimes damage our cell’s genetic codes. That is why excessive exposure to sunlight can lead to cancer.

Due to their frequencies, the photons known as infrared leave some of their energy on the molecules with which they collide and increase the rate at which they vibrate—thus raising their temperature.




X-ray machines take photographs by converting the effect of radio waves into visible light on photographic film.

That is why infrared rays are also known as “heat rays.” A hot stove or electric heater gives off large quantities of infrared rays that are perceived as heat on your skin. Yet in fact, nothing “hot” exists outside. What we call “heat” consists of energy generated by the light waves striking



Under normal circumstances, radio waves cannot be detected by any of our senses. Radios convert these into sound waves that can be detected by our ears.

Like sounds, light is made up of octaves. The light octave is determined by the frequency of the light waves. For example, 48<sup>th</sup> octave represents infrared light, 49<sup>th</sup> octave visible light, and 50<sup>th</sup> octave ultraviolet light. Every light wave, from infrasound and ultrasound vibrations to radio waves and microwaves, infrared, visible light, ultraviolet light, gamma rays and solar rays, is a different octave in the electromagnetic spectrum.



matter. It is impossible to claim that anything known as “heat” exists in the absence of a conscious entity that perceives it.

Some photons have frequencies that fall between the ultraviolet and the infrared. When these rays strike the retinal layer at the back of your eyes, they are converted into an electrical signal by the cells there. Thus we perceive photons, which are all in fact physical particles, as “light.” If the cells in our eyes perceived photons as “heat” particles, then we would have no such concepts as light, color, or darkness; and when we looked at physical objects, we would merely feel whether they were “hot” or “cold.” The way the outside world appears to us depends on the way our senses perceive it. There is actually no light or heat there, in objective terms.


We are surrounded by particles of different frequencies and wavelengths. Only the perception centers in our brains make these “visible” and “detectable” for us.

The photons that fall onto the retinal layer are converted into electrical currents by the perception cells there. These currents are then transmitted by the nerves to the visual center in the brain. The visual center then forms an image by interpreting these electrical currents. This property of light is expressed thus in physics textbooks:

The word light was used in a physical or objective sense in reference to electromagnetic waves or photons. The same word is also used in a psychological sense in reference to the sensation that arises when electromagnetic waves and photons strike the retina of the eye. Let us express both the objective and subjective concepts of the word light: Light is a form of energy that manifests itself with the visual effects born from the stimulation of the retina in the human eye. <sup>35</sup>

The bright and vibrant world that we imagine exists outside us does actually have a material existence—but its perception is in fact a kind of phantom produced within us, the original of which we can never see. The seascape you see on a sunny day actually consists entirely of darkness. There is no reflection on the water, sea-blue color, clarity of air or eye-catching white clouds at all. What enables us to perceive this image, so bright and vivid for us, is merely the electrical signals transmitted to our brain.

**Ultraviolet rays, which carry higher energy due to their frequencies, can sometimes cause defects in the genetic code of living cells.**



Apart from effecting a perception in our brains, light exists on the outside solely as a form of energy. For that reason, light—which we may think of as the reason for our perception of matter, is actually nothing but an illusion.

Considering this fact, we arrive at a very striking conclusion: Your eyes actually have no property such as “sight” at all. The eye is merely a subordinate unit that converts photons into electrical signals. It has no ability to perceive. It is not the eye that watches the bright world that we imagine surrounds us. The sensation of light or color does not form in the eye itself—as we’ll explain in detail in the sections regarding vision.



**In essence, there are no heat and light outside. The perception center in the brain converts particles traveling at different frequencies into a visible and perceptible form.**



## Are Colors Only in Our Brains?


What we perceive as light consists solely of signals interpreted in our visual cortex. Therefore, colors, which stem from light and pervade our entire lives, are nothing more than interpretations by the brain.

The names of different colors are assigned to photons of various frequencies. We are able to distinguish colors such as red and yellow according to the degree of photon vibration: Thus different colors have different scales of vibration. Paper and snow appear white because they reflect all frequencies, and the combination of these gives rise to white. Leaves are green, because they reflect only photons at a frequency that gives rise to the appearance of green, while they absorb all the others. Glass is transparent, because photons can pass through it and reach our eyes without encountering any obstruction. A black fabric reflects very little light back because it absorbs almost all the photons that strike it. As a result, few photons reach our eyes, and we perceive the fabric as dark or black.

A mirror copies an image because it has a smooth reflective surface, and the moment that light rays strike it, almost all bounce off and their parallel nature is not distorted.

Color perception begins in the cone cells in the eye's retinal layer. In the retina, there are three main groups of cone cells, each of which react to particular light wavelengths. The first of these three groups is sensitive to red, the second to blue, and the third to green. As a result of these three different groups being stimulated in different proportions, millions of different color shades are perceived. However, it is not enough for light to reach the cone cells in order for us to see colors.

Jeremy Nathans, a researcher from the Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, states how the cone cells in the



retina do not actually give rise to color:

All that a single cone can do is capture light and tell you something about its intensity . . . it tells you nothing about color.<sup>36</sup>

These color data perceived by the cone cells are converted into electrical signals, thanks to the varying pigments they possess. The nerve cells connected to these cells then transmit these signals to a special region in the brain, in which forms the vivid world we see throughout our lives.

Are there any colors in this region?


This special visual center of the brain is completely dark, like all the other parts of the brain. There is no light there, and no colors. There is no red, green or yellow in this part of the brain. There is no white. There is no reflection of bright flower gardens or dazzling sunlight, no blue sky or verdant trees. The inside of the skull is pitch black. We imagine that light enters it directly through our eyes. But in fact, there is not the slightest trace of light anywhere behind the eyes.

The formation of colors stems from objects' light-reflective properties. Since there is no light in the outside world, there can be no question of the existence of any color. Therefore, where is the colorful world we regard as "outside" our eyes? This world cannot reach us directly from the outside, nor does it form inside our brains. The colorful world is something we perceive. It assumes this form because we interpret it as such.

Peter Russell from the Cambridge University Department of Mathematics and Theoretical Physics describes this state of affairs:

To the surprise of many, the world "out there" has turned out to be quite unlike our experience of it. Consider our experience of the color green. In the physical world there is light of a certain frequency, but the light itself is not green. Nor are the electrical impulses that are transmitted from the eye to the brain. No color exists there. The green we see is a quality appearing in the mind in response to this frequency of light. It exists only as a subjective experience in the mind.<sup>37</sup>

**The visible light, the near infrared and ultraviolet rays that reach us from the Sun occupy the space of a single unit on the electromagnetic spectrum. In other words, the light reaching us from the Sun is the equivalent of just one out of  $10^{25}$  playing cards laid one atop the other. It is only these rays that support life on Earth.**



Like light, colors are an interpretation by the brain. The brightness in the image and the world of color are formed solely by types of radiation we perceive in this manner.\* The interpretation is entirely subjective.

Richard L. Gregory, Emeritus Professor of Neuropsychology at the University of Bristol, sums up the position in his book, *Eye and Brain*:

Strictly speaking, light itself is not coloured: it gives rise to sensations of brightness and colour, but only in conjunction with a suitable eye and nervous system.<sup>38</sup>



Any damage or structural alteration that occurs in the eye may cause the same object to be perceived in very different ways, even though the signals generated by the arriving photons and the visual center in the brain still have exactly the same properties. That is why color-blind people and those with normal vision perceive and interpret specific colors so very differently.


The conclusion emerging from this whole account is that what we perceive as “the outside world” is dark. In fact, even the concept of darkness may be misleading. There is no color at all there. The three-dimensional, bright world we see portrayed in vivid colors is entirely deceptive. The reflected photons

\* All light waves consist of electromagnetic radiation. The reason why some are harmful and others not lies in the different wavelengths—and therefore, energy—they possess.



**There is actually no color in the space we perceive as “the outside.” The movements of photons we perceive as light and color are nothing more than perceptual phenomena created in a pitch-black environment.**





The living, vivid world that we imagine exists outside our eyes is actually an illusion arising in the form of perceptions. The seascape we watch on a sunny day is merely an image formed by electrical signals transmitted to the brain. We can never have direct experience of the external original of the images we perceive.

we interpret as light or color are nothing more than physical events taking place in complete darkness. Our entire bodies, including our eyes, and the whole material world we see as a three-dimensional, brightly colored sphere, are actually contained within the brain, which alone interprets what we see in this way. However, the interesting thing is that the eye that perceives all this and the brain that interprets it are also in complete darkness. Light and color do not exist in the brain that interprets them.

Daniel C. Dennett, a professor of philosophy from Tufts University, has conducted countless experiments into consciousness and the brain. He summarizes the position:

The common wisdom is that modern science has removed the color from the physical world, replacing it with colorless electromagnetic radiation of various wavelengths.<sup>39</sup>

In the same book, Dennett quotes from an introductory book on the brain by Ornstein and Thompson:

“Color” as such does not exist in the world; it exists only in the eye and brain of the beholder. Objects reflect many different wavelengths of light, but these light waves themselves have no color.<sup>40</sup>

Since color is concerned with the way in which a person perceives external light, there is no way in which we can know whether the world we perceive is the same for any other person or not. You can never know whether the color that someone else sees as “red” is the same red that you see. For us, the concept of “colorful” may actually express millions of different hues altogether. Yet someone else may see a far more limited variety of colors and yet still interpret this as a full spectrum. We have no way of comparing our perception with that of anyone else looking at the same object.

We imagine that we are looking at the same thing. But perhaps the things that we perceive and what another person sees are actually completely different to one another. Since our perception of the external world is limited to our five senses, we cannot know whether “blue” means the same thing for any other person, or whether coffee tastes the same. Neither can we describe these perceptions.

Color-blindness is one of the significant pieces of evidence that colors are formed solely in the brain. A minor inherited genetic variation arising in the retina is known to cause color-blindness. Many people in this situation are unable to tell the difference between red and green. The only reason for this



Since color is related to the individual's mode of perception, it is impossible for us to know whether or not the world we perceive looks the same to other people. An object we perceive as red may be a completely different shade for someone else. There is no way of comparing their perceptions of “red” with our own.



# *Conversations with Adnan Oktar*



*Everyone Spends His Life in Front of the Screen in  
His Brain, and Nobody Has Ever Stepped outside His Brain*

**ADNAN OKTAR:** ... People do not realize they live inside their brains. They imagine they live on the outside. People do have bodies on the outside, but because of the structure of matter and the atom, matter is transparent. This is something that all scientists, religious or irreligious, all agree on. And there is no light on the outside. Nobody knows that. People speak of a brightly colored, luminous environment, walking around in the sunny air.

**But someone who sees the Sun in the sunny air can never see the real Sun.** Because the real Sun is dark. The Sun emits waves, and the human brain perceives them as light. There is no light on the outside. Ask any scientist, they all know this and that because of the spaces between the nucleus, the neutron and the proton, matter is transparent, it is transparent like glass. **So there is neither light nor color on the outside, just matter of the kind I have described.** The only matter on the outside is transparent. There is no such thing as color on the outside, because the brain perceives wavelengths as color. There are waves on the outside, and when they reach the brain they are interpreted as red or green or blue. But there is no color on the outside, this is most important. **People think they see a green forest, but there is no such thing on the outside.** That is an interpretation by the brain. So all these things occur in a tiny space in the brain no bigger than that (a lentil). In a very small space. Now, someone may say, "I have a wonderful yacht." And off they go, and the yacht is very wonderful. But if you close one eye and gently press the other, from the side, then as you press the yacht will come and go. Why is that? Because we do not have direct experience of the yacht on the outside, only with its image. That is why. It is inside the brain, in other words... There is a yacht on the outside, but that is dark and transparent. The image of the yacht forms in the brain, and that is what we see.

**Everyone lives in front of a screen.** In the same way that people sit in front of computer screens at work and never get up. Or like security guards who sit in front of their screens all day... **People live in their brains in exactly the same way. There is a screen made of electricity, and people live in front of that.** This is not just a belief or a philosophy. It is a scientific fact. A fact that nobody can deny.

*Interview dated 30 December 2009  
[www.a9.com.tr](http://www.a9.com.tr) / [en.harunyahya.tv](http://en.harunyahya.tv)*



is our different ways of perceiving the concept of color. Something we are certain is “green” being perceived as “grey” by another party does not show that either one is mistaken. We can never know who is right and who is wrong, because both individuals have individual perceptions, and we have no means of conducting comparisons and testing the true reality. Green perception and grey perception are both individuals’ personal experiences, the validity of which is again based on those individuals’ interpretation.

We need to realize that all the properties we ascribe to objects and other people actually belong to images in our brains, not to the “originals” in the outside world. Since we can never step outside of our own perceptions and reach the outside reality, we can never perceive the true existence of matter, of colors, much less of the universe as a whole.

The famous 18th-century philosopher Bishop George Berkeley drew attention to this fact:

If the same things can be red and hot for some and the contrary for others, this means that we are under the influence of misconceptions and that "things" only exist in our brains.<sup>41</sup>

Oxford University’s Gerard O’Brien, working at the University of Adelaide in Australia, said this in a radio talk:

Now when we look out into the world, we see objects as coloured. We think those colours are actually attached to all the objects that we see. But now there is a very interesting question as to whether that is the case. . . . It might turn out—and there are a number of philosophers who argue—that the colours that we experience, those colour properties are in fact only features of our internal representation of the world, that there are no corresponding colours in the world itself. And so the world outside our heads, the world independent of



An image of flowers seen through the human eye



Bees see the same flowers like this.



our experience is actually colourless. . . . Is the apple red when you're not looking at it, so to speak? And when we think about it, it's a somewhat chauvinistic view of ours to think that the world actually contains the kinds of colours that we see it as having. Because we now know enough about other animals that we share this planet with, and they have different kinds of colour systems and they make in some cases less discriminations amongst colours than we do. And as a result, there's the view that they actually see the world as differently coloured than us. So we see it having certain colours, other animals perhaps see it as having a different set of colours.

Now, why should we think that our view is the correct one—that the colours that we see are in fact the colours the world actually has? Perhaps these are just two different internal ways of coding the world that is internal to the representations that we and other animals generate.<sup>42</sup>

O'Brien's analysis on this subject is highly important in terms of questioning what "external reality" is like. There is no evidence that other living things see light or perceive color in the same way as we do. It is impossible for us to obtain any scientific evidence to show the truth. That being the case, all we can state regarding the external world is conjecture and guesswork, because our perception of the outside world—in the way we are familiar with it—depends on our five senses.

## The Five Senses That Present the Outside World

If all that we ever know are the sensory images that appear in our minds, how can we be sure there is a physical reality behind our perceptions? Is it not just an assumption? My answer is: Yes, it is an assumption, nevertheless, it seems a most plausible one.<sup>43</sup>

—Peter Russell

What we call "the external world" actually consists of the electron exchanges between minute atoms, the movement of radio waves in the air and the imperceptible oscillations of molecules. But do the sources of energy that transform the atoms and molecules and generate radio waves actually exist? What proves their existence? The material objects that they effect? The bodies we see, smell or feel? Or the radio waves we hear or see? Or is it simply the electrical signals reaching our brains through our five senses?

What would happen if these electrical signals vanished? Would the world outside promptly disappear?

The outside world exists in a concentrated wave form. However, the world we perceive is not the actual world outside. Therefore, if the electrical signals reaching the brain are eliminated, the world out-



**We learn everything about the outside world by means of our sense organs. When the electrical signals reaching us by way of our sense organs are interrupted, the world that exists on the outside will disappear for us alone.**




side will effectively cease to exist for us. That is because we learn everything about the world outside by way of our senses. The information we learn about the outside world only comes in the form that our sensory organs transmit. This information reaching us is converted into electrical signals that are interpreted in the relevant sites in the brain. For that reason, the water we drink, any film we watch or any flower we smell are all the results of interpretations by the brain.


Recall, however, that actually there are neither colors, nor sounds nor images in our brains. All that occurs in our brains is electrical signals. The boundless landscape you imagine you see in front of you, a brightly colored flower in which you take such delight, loud music or a meal that tastes so delicious—all consist solely of electrical signals reaching the brain. This, however, does not mean that the outside world does not exist. It will not come to an end if the electrical signals reaching you from your sense organs are cut off. The outside world will come to an end “for you only,” because for you, the outside world consists only of the interpretation of electrical signals by your brain.

In her book *Mapping the Mind*, the science writer Rita Carter describes how we perceive the world: Each one [of the sense organs] is intricately adapted to deal with its own type of stimulus: molecules, waves or vibrations. But the answer does not lie here, because despite their wonderful variety, each organ does essentially the same job: it translates its particular type of stimulus into electrical pulses. A pulse is a pulse. It is not the colour red, or the first notes of Beethoven’s Fifth—it is a bit of electrical energy. Indeed, rather than discriminating one type of sensory input from another, the sense organs actually make them more alike.

All sensory stimuli, then, enter the brain in more or less undifferentiated form as a stream of electrical pulses created by neurons firing, domino-fashion, along a certain route. This is all that happens. There is no reverse transformer that at some stage turns this electrical activity back into light waves or molecules. What makes one stream into vision and another into smell depends, rather, on which neurons are stimulated.<sup>44</sup>

This is truly astonishing and significant. All the sensations, images, tastes and sounds we receive about the world actually consist of the same material: electrical signals. The regions in the brain affected by these signals turn them into delicious food, a beautiful landscape, or lively music. But the cons-





cious entity that feels or perceives them is something else. The brain and electrical signals themselves cannot enjoy the taste of food or the color and smell of a flower. Materialist scientists fail to realize that it is the soul—as distinct from the brain—that perceives and evaluates.

Jeffrey M. Schwartz describes how perceptions arise independently of the brain:

Every conscious state has a certain feel to it, and possibly a unique one: when you bite into a hamburger, it feels different from the experience of chewing a steak. And any taste sensation feels different from the sound of a Chopin étude, or the sight of a lightning storm . . . Identifying the locus where red is generated, in the visual cortex, is a far cry from explaining our sense of redness, or why seeing red feels different from tasting fettuccine Alfredo or hearing “Für Elise”—especially since all these experiences reflect neuronal firings in one or another sensory cortex. Not even the most detailed fMRI gives us more than the physical basis of perception or awareness; it doesn’t come close to explaining what it feels like from the inside. It doesn’t explain the first-person feeling of red. How do we know that it is the same for different people? And why would studying brain mechanisms, even down to the molecular level, ever provide an answer to those questions? <sup>45</sup>

Peter Russell has described the problem in these terms:

Every time we try to pin down the physical aspect we come away empty-handed. Every idea we have had of the physical has proven to be wrong, and **the notion of materiality seems to be evaporating before our eyes**. But our belief in the material world is so deeply engrained—and so powerfully reinforced by our experience—that we cling to our assumption that there must be some physical essence. Like the medieval as-

tronomers who never questioned their assumption that the Earth was the center of the universe, we never question our assumption that the external world is physical in nature. Indeed it was quite startling to me when I realized that the answer might be staring us straight in the face. Maybe there really is nothing there. No “thing,” that is. No physical aspect. Maybe there is only a mental aspect to everything. <sup>46</sup>

Research into the brain can never answer questions regarding who or what does the perceiving, because what scientists are seeking in the brain is actually something very different from human beings’ physical bodies—something that exists in their own identity.

American author Marilyn Ferguson notes this important search in the world of science and philosophy for who or what it is that performs such perceiving:

Philosophers since the Greeks have speculated about the “ghost in the machine” the “little man inside the little man” and so on. Where is the I—the entity that uses the brain?

Who does the actual knowing? Or, as Saint Francis of Assisi once put it, “What we are looking for is what is looking.” <sup>47</sup>

Consciousness is a property belonging solely to the soul bestowed on human beings by Allah. It is through the soul that man becomes an entity able to think, perceive and decide. The mind and consciousness possessed by human beings are properties bestowed on them by the soul. In one verse Allah tells us that:

**Accordingly, We have revealed to you a Spirit by Our command. You had no idea of what the Book was, nor faith. Nonetheless We have made it a Light by which We guide those of Our servants We will. Truly you are guiding to a Straight Path. (Qur’an, 26:52)**

This subject will be clarified in detail later.





## Who Observes the Visual Images in the Brain?

After the light from an outside object falls onto the retina, signals are transmitted to up to 30 different visual centers in the brain for processing. The light passing through the lens at the front of the eye leaves an upside-down and two-dimensional image on the layer of retinal cells at the back of the eye. Following various chemical processes, the rod and cone cells there convert that image into electrical impulses, which signals are transmitted by the optic nerve to the vision center at the rear of the brain. The brain then assembles these into meaningful three-dimensional images.

In the words of Craig Hamilton:

How that happens is an example of what is known as “the binding problem” and is itself a mystery that no one has yet solved convincingly. For the moment, though, what is important to understand is that each of your eyes is seeing a different part of the picture, and your brain is piercing it together into a unified whole.<sup>48</sup>

These accounts provide a general description of how the eye sees. The eyes represent the first stage in the formation of an image, the original of which, in the world outside, we can never know. The world existing outside us is replicated inside us in a very small area in the brain, thanks to the light passing through our eyes and by way of electrical signals. When we look around us, any images we see, even if it is one of the boundless heavens, actually forms in this tiny area of the brain. We can never know whether or not the original of this boundless image actually corresponds to what we see.

Peter Russell sums up the position:

When I see a tree, it seems as if I am seeing the tree directly. But science tells us something completely different is happening. Light entering the eye triggers chemical reactions in the retina, [and] these produce electro-chemical impulses which travel along nerve fibers to the brain. The brain analyses the data it receives, and then creates its own picture of what is out there. I then have the experience of seeing a tree. But what I am actually experiencing is not the tree itself, only the image that appears in the mind. This is true of everything I experience. Everything we know, perceive, and imagine, every color, sound, sensation, every thought and every feeling, is a form appearing in the mind. It is all an in-forming of consciousness.<sup>49</sup>

All this leads to an important realization, that throughout our lives we imagine that the world lies outside us. The fact is, however, that we actually perceive the world that we imagine to be external to us in a small region inside the brain.

Since we cannot directly see the original of the world outside us, and since everything is a perception arising in the brain, then is it actually the eye that sees?

Throughout our lives, we imagine that we see the world that lies outside us with our eyes. But the scientific description of the visual functions performed by the brain shows that it is not the eye that sees. The eye and its millions of retinal nerve cells serve to transmit the message to the brain in order for vision to take place.



**There is no little man sitting in the brain observing what is going on. Research into the brain can never answer the question of who really does the perceiving. Because it is the “soul” that perceives, independently of any person’s physical identity.**



**Light passing through the lens produces a reversed and upside-down image on retina at the back of the eye. The rod and cone cells there convert that image into electric currents, which are forwarded to the visual center at the rear of the brain. The brain then converts the signal into meaningful, three-dimensional images.**

The retina perceives the photons falling onto it and forwards them to the brain by converting them into electrical signals. In other words, what we are seeing is light waves from the outside falling onto retinal cells consisting of fat, protein and water and the electrical signals they transmit. In the brain, there are no children running in the garden, no sunny sky, no ships cleaving through the waves. The only thing that exists is electrical signals.

Is there some site inside the brain where all these perceptions arise, where images take life, where sounds are heard and where smells form? If we examine the brain very closely, we find neurons interacting with one another and the various chemical and electrical transfers among them. Yet inside the brain, we cannot find colors, shapes, texts or anything belonging to the world outside. There are no waving green leaves, crowds shopping, houses, cars or furniture inside the brain.

Nowhere in the brain is there a friend, our mother or father smiling at us. The image of this book you are reading exists nowhere in the brain. In short, the world we imagine we see around us is neither outside us nor inside the brain. Scientists who claim that the image does exist in the brain have this question to answer: If an image does form in the brain, then who is it who perceives that image?

Vilayanur S. Ramachandran is Director of the Center for Brain and Cognition and professor with the Psychology Department and the Neurosciences Program at the University of California, San Diego. He dramatizes this question in his book *Phantoms in the Brain*:

He glanced down at the glass . . . in his hand. "Well, there is an upside-down image of this glass falling in my eyeball. The play of light and dark images activates photoreceptors on my retina, and the patterns are transmitted pixel by pixel through a cable—my optic nerve—and displayed on a screen in my brain. Isn't that how I see this glass . . . ? Of course, my brain would need to make the image upright again."

Though his knowledge of photoreceptors and optics was impressive, his explanation—that there's a screen somewhere inside the brain where images are displayed—embodies a serious logical fallacy. For if you were to display an image of a . . . glass on an internal neural screen, you'd need another little person inside the brain to see that image. And that won't solve the problem either because you'd then need yet another even tinier person inside his head to view that image, and so on and so forth ad infinitum. You'd end up with an endless regress of eyes, images and little people without really solving the problem of perception . . .<sup>50</sup>

Here, Ramachandran is touching on an exceedingly important point. If we assume that there is an image inside the brain, then the existence of a person watching that image is essential. The progression of little people inside the brain regarding these images, and the even smaller people inside *their* brains looking at those images will carry on forever. (For details, see *The Little Man in the Tower* by Harun Yahya.)

Since no entity is watching the images inside the brain, then to claim that there is an image in the brain is unrealistic and illogical. The inside of the brain is completely dark, without light or sound. In the brain, there are no bright colors, lovely flowers, stoves that give a feeling of warmth or chirping birds.

So what is it that does form inside the brain? Ramachandran's technical explanation runs as follows:

So the first step in understanding perception is to get rid of the idea of images in the brain and to begin thinking about symbolic descriptions of objects and events in the external world. A good example of a symbolic description is a written paragraph like the ones on this page. If you had to convey to a friend in China what your apartment looks like, you wouldn't have to teletransport it to China. All you'd have to do would be to write a letter describing your apartment. Yet the actual squiggles of ink—the words and paragraphs in the letter—bear no physical resemblance to your bedroom. The letter is a symbolic description of your bedroom.




What is meant by a symbolic description in the brain? Not squiggles of ink, of course, but the language of nerve impulses. The human brain contains multiple areas for processing images, each of which is composed of an intricate network of neurons that is specialized for extracting certain types of information from the image. Any object evokes a pattern of activity—unique for each object—among a subset of these areas. For example, when you look at a pencil, a book or a face, a different pattern of nerve activity is elicited in each case, “informing” higher brain centers about what you are looking at. The patterns of activity symbolize or represent visual objects in much the same way that the squiggles of ink on the paper symbolize or represent your bedroom. As scientists trying to understand visual processes, our goal is to decipher the code used by the brain to create these symbolic descriptions, much as a cryptographer tries to crack an alien script.<sup>51</sup>

But the mere existence of this map does not explain seeing, for as I noted earlier, there is no little man inside watching what is displayed on the primary visual cortex.<sup>52</sup>

Richard L. Gregory offers this description:

It is important to avoid the temptation of thinking that eyes produce pictures in the brain which are perceptions of objects. The pictures-in-the-brain notion suggests an internal eye to see them. But this would need a further eye to see its picture—another picture, another eye—and so on forever, without getting anywhere.<sup>53</sup>

Professor Antonio Damasio, head of the Iowa University Neurology Department, says, “Quite candidly, this first problem of consciousness is the problem of how we get a ‘movie-in-the-brain,’”<sup>54</sup> thus openly admitting the predicament in which scientists find themselves on this subject. It is clear that 21<sup>st</sup>-century science leaves unanswered the question “Who is it who is seeing?” Scientists have abandoned the hypothesis that there is an observer in the brain. But for scientists, this has made the concept of the image forming in the brain even worse. A single location in the brain presents us a world with countless distinct and flawless details, and non-stop. This is the technical and scientific explanation. Then, where is the “image”?

A surreal landscape with a child, a yellow car, balloons, and flowers. A young child in a dark shirt and blue pants is running on a green field. In the background, a yellow Volkswagen Beetle is parked. Several balloons (yellow, red, white, orange) are floating in the sky. In the foreground, there are white flowers with orange centers.

**Inside the brain, there are no scampering children,  
blue cloudless sky or ships floating on the sea.  
All there is in the brain is electrical signals.**

The Oxford University psychology writer Susan Blackmore comments:

Crick\* says that he wants to find the correlates of 'the vivid picture of the world we see in front of our eyes' or what Damasio calls the 'movie-in-the-brain.' But if the visual world is a grand illusion, then they will never be able to find what they are looking for because neither the movie-in-the-brain nor the vivid picture exist in the brain. They are both part of the illusion.<sup>55</sup>


According to Blackmore, our feeling of direct experience is simply an illusion. In fact, even the concept of illusion fails to fully clarify the position. An illusion is something that is detected when we compare events occurring in our minds with the physical reality. However, here human beings do not have direct experience of the world outside—in other words, of any physical realities. These are all things produced by the mind; and the mind can never perceive external reality. These are realities belonging to us alone.

That being the case, what is happening is not an illusion, and it would be more accurate to describe it as a phantom.

The world we possess is formed solely in our perceptions. There is nobody else who sees this world as we do, who experiences the same per-




The brain



Neurons

\* The British biophysicist who won the Nobel Prize for his co-discovery of the double helix structure of the DNA molecule

When we look at a book, a pencil or a human being, a different nervous activity goes into operation for each object perceived. The higher brain centers are informed about what we are looking at. However, the countless chemical processes involved here are not by themselves sufficient to account for sight, because there is no little person observing the images in the brain. It is the human soul that observes the external world and draws significance from it.



ceptions, or who witnesses the same world. Neither is what we see any part of our brain. The brain is also within this same phantom image. Our perceptions constitute a world that is shown to and created for us. There is indeed a reality outside, a material world, but human beings can never reach it.

As Erwin Schrödinger, one of the discoverers of quantum physics, stated, “Every man’s world picture is and always remains a construct of his mind, and cannot be proved to have any other existence.”<sup>56</sup>

When we imagine the book in our mind’s eye, we have an experience very similar to when we actually see the book with our physical eyes. This is an important proof that we can obtain an image of an object in the brain only by thinking about it—an object that does not really exist. The Washington University psychologist Michael Posner and neurologist Marcus Raichle say this about the brain’s extraordinary mechanism:

Open your eyes, and a scene fills your view effortlessly, close your eyes and think of that scene, and you can summon an image of it, certainly not as vivid, solid, or complete as the scene you see with your eyes, but still one that captures the scene’s essential characteristics. In both cases, an image of the scene is formed in the mind. The image formed from actual visual experiences is called a “percept” to distinguish it from an imagined image. The percept is formed as the result of light hitting the retina and sending signals that are further processed in the brain. But how are we able to create an image when no light is hitting the retina to send such signals?<sup>57</sup>

What creates an object in our minds, in the absence of the original of that object, is the same mechanism that creates it in our minds when we imagine the original does exist. Therefore, the existence of the images we see as the external world is merely an illusion, a phantom. Everything we see—the bright world in front of us, our friends, the people around us and even our own bodies—are part of this dream. What we imagine to be the source of all these, their originals in the external world, must always remain unknown to us.

This “shadow world” includes our workplaces, homes, the people around us, our cars, the food we eat, the films we watch; in short, everything in our lives. When we return home, we feel that we are entering our real abode. The fact is, however, that we are observing an identical copy of our real home, one that we do not even consider could possibly be an image. Again, everything we encounter in our homes, we observe in our minds. All our lives take place inside a tiny area in the brain.

So far, most neurologists and psychologists who have investigated this subject have easily come to this conclusion. Yet they generally avoid answering the question of “Who does the perceiving?” They look for tiny imaginary figures inside the brain and seek a material entity that perceives all these things. They debate these questions in books, articles and conferences, cite other scientists who have also been unable to resolve the issue and claim that they too have been unable to find a solution. The fact is, however, that all the technical and scientific facts indicate that human beings possess a soul that perceives, sees and feels. What scientists look for in the brain—the “seeing entity” in other words—is the soul. Everything belonging to what we consider to be the “outside world” consists of images displayed to the soul.

This insight totally does away with materialism, in which some scientists have such a strong belief. For materialists, who claim that everything consists of material entities, the existence of the soul is totally unac-

**We are sure that we see the true state of the object we look at in the external world. In fact, however, we can never have direct experience of the original of that object. What we see is simply an illusion, as in the three-dimensional illustration made on the ground in the illustration. It is something produced by the mind. However, we never feel the slightest doubt that we are experiencing the original outside images.**



ceptable. For that reason, the question of “Who does the perceiving?” will always remain unanswerable for materialists.

It is Allah Who gives human beings their souls. It is Allah Who causes that soul to hear, see and feel. It is Almighty Allah Who creates a perfectly clear, flawlessly detailed and extraordinarily vivid world for us solely as an illusion, Who gives the soul the impression that it is really experiencing all these things, and Who creates all things out of nothing.

Allah has told man of the truth of this in verses:

**That is the Knower of the Unseen and the Visible, the Almighty, the Most Merciful. He Who has created all things in the best possible way. He commenced the creation of man from clay; then produced his seed from an extract of base fluid. Then [He] formed him and breathed His Spirit into him and gave you hearing, sight and hearts. What little thanks you show! (Qur'an, 32: 6-9)**



## Sounds Exist Only in Our Brains

The hearing process is similar to seeing. The information reaching us as sound is, just like images, merely electrical signals. The external ear collects the sound waves around us and transmits them to the middle ear. This then reinforces the vibrations and forwards them to the inner ear, which then converts these vibrations into electrical signals, depending on their frequency and concentration, and sends them to the brain.

In the brain, these messages are sent to the hearing center where they are processed and analyzed. And that is how hearing takes place.

However, one very important point here is that just as with images, the sounds we heard are not somewhere outside our brains. Peter Russell, known especially for his work on human consciousness, describes the position:

The same is true of sound. When Bishop Berkeley argued that nothing exists apart from our perceptions, a vigorous debate ensued as to whether a falling tree made a sound if no one was there to hear it. At that time nothing was known of how sound was transmitted through the air, or how the ear and brain functioned. Today we know much more about the processes involved, and the answer is clearly “No.” There is no sound in physical reality, simply pressure waves in the air. Sound exists only as an experience in the mind of a perceiver—whether that perceiver is a human being, a deer, a bird, or an ant.<sup>58</sup>


For us, external sound exists only for so long as we perceive it. However, to repeat a very significant point, sounds, like visual images, are not inside our brains. In our brains, all that exists is electrical signals. All the kinds of sound we regard as “real” are products of these electrical signals in the brain.

When we chat with a friend, we perceive their three-dimensional image in a perfect form in our visual cortex; we also hear the sounds they make in such a way as to confirm the impression of distance. If our friend is far away, we are assured that his voice is also coming from a distance. Yet these sounds are neither close to nor far away from us; they exist only in the form of electrical signals. To put it another way, these sounds are not inside our brains either. There is actually a profound silence inside the brain.





Sounds coming from the outside are converted by the middle and outer ear into fluid waves transmitted to the inner ear. Following a series of processes, these waves are transmitted to the brain in the form of electric signals and are perceived as sounds in the brain. Therefore, sound on the outside exists for us only for so long as we perceive it. Everything we hear is a product of these electric signals inside the brain.



No matter how crowded and noisy the place where we happen to be there is still no sound inside the brain. The impulses transmitted by electrical signals inform us of the existence of a crowded and noisy outside world. In truth, however, we can make no direct contact with that noisy, crowded world outside, and neither can we re-create it in our heads. Sound is something we perceive.

As Peter Russell explains,

I hear the music of a violin, but the sound I hear is a quality appearing in the mind. There is no sound as such in the external world, just vibrating air molecules.<sup>59</sup>

Therefore, in hearing sounds, we make the same error as we do with regard to seeing images. We imagine that sounds come from the world outside. Yet the sounds we perceive are actually a part of the shadow world brought into being for us. Just like the images, tastes, smells and sensations belonging to that illusory world, sounds also represent part of this world of perception. The noise from the crowded environment we imagine exists in the external world, the voice of a friend calling to us, and the music we listen to belong solely to this perceptual world.

We have no way of knowing whether or not these correspond to the reality outside, because we can never step outside our brains and experience the physical world directly.

## Smells and Tastes Arise Solely in Our Brains

You can assume that the delicious smell of a meal cooking actually comes from the food itself. We imagine that other people experience exactly the same aromas as we do, and believe that we all share common sensations. But this is merely conjecture. What reaches us is scent molecules, which are converted into electrical signals. Just as with sight and sound, what we refer to as “smell” is a sophisticated mixture of electrical signals. The scent molecules themselves never reach our brains.

The famous George Berkeley, whom we referred to earlier, described this fact:


At the beginning, it was believed that colours, odours, etc., “really exist,” but subsequently such views were renounced, and it was seen that they only exist in dependence on our sensations.<sup>60</sup>



In dreams, when there are no scent molecules physically present, the perception of scent can be felt just as realistically. In the same way that you can envision exceptionally clear and distinct images and hear the most flawless sounds as you dream, you can also perceive scents in the same manner. Therefore, you can easily see that there is no need for an aroma to have a material existence in order for you to perceive it.

The same applies to the perception of taste. Just as with our other sense organs, the taste receptors on the tongue convert the various arriving stimuli into electrical signals. Therefore, when you eat a delicious piece of cake, you do not experience its actual taste. In the same way that you cannot see its true appearance or smell its true aroma, you also do not enjoy its real flavor. Its perceived "taste" is produced by the electrical signals sent to the brain.

We experience all the chocolate and fruit we enjoy during our lives in our perceptual world. The perceptions formed in our brains by way of our five senses tell us these look lovely, are sweet-smelling and flavorful. But this information belongs exclusively and entirely to ourselves. We are made to perceive these properties in our minds, and have no other experiences of the world outside us.



## The Sense of Touch is No More Than Electrical Signals Transmitted to the Brain

The external world we perceive seems totally realistic. Though it is a scientific fact that we inhabit a world made up of our perceptions, most people are deceived by the perfection of these perceptions. One of the most misleading factors is their sense of touch. People may harbor doubts as to the reality of what they see, smell or taste, yet being able to touch objects may mislead them into assuming they have direct contact with the external world. But in fact, information about the object touched is forwarded to the brain as electrical signals, which entirely eliminates all such preconceptions on the subject. As with all our other perceptions, the sense of touch arises in the brain. Your feeling an object depends on the information you receive regarding it in your brain.


Although you are touching an object, you cannot feel it if your brain does not perceive it, as Peter Russell makes clear:

Our notion of matter as a solid substance is, like the color green, a quality appearing in consciousness. It is a model of what is "out there," but as with almost every other model, quite unlike what is actually out there. <sup>61</sup>

The concept of reality he emphasizes is exceedingly accurate. When you touch an external surface, your relationship with it consists solely of the electrons in your fingers repelling the electrons in the object. In other words, you are actually unable to even touch it. We have no direct contact with outside objects. Notwithstanding, the sensations that arise give the impression we are perceiving its true nature. We may perceive that a tree trunk is hard, and that cotton is soft. We perceive the different natures of both, but the process taking place at the molecular level consists of electrons repelling one another. The sensation of hardness from the top of a desk, the softness of a cat's fur or the rough surface of a brick wall reaches us solely as electrical signals. The physical experience taking place is completely different from the sensation arising within us. Therefore, we can never touch the original of any substance that exists externally. What reaches us is only a perception regarding the outside world, and on the basis of these perceptions, we have no means of knowing what the outside world is really like.

Andrew B. Newberg, an Associate Professor in the Department of Radiology and Psychiatry at the University of Pennsylvania, states:

There were philosophers in the past that said, "Look, if I kick a rock and I hurt my toe, that's real. I feel that. It feels real. It's vivid. And that means it's reality." But it's still an experience and it's still this person's perception of it being real. <sup>62</sup>



The sensation that arises when we touch something reaches us only as an electrical signal. Any sensation of matter we perceive comes into being solely by way of electrical signals. Therefore, we can never touch the original of any object that exists outside. It is impossible, based on the perception forming inside us, to know what a physical object is really like or to know the nature of the external world.




The illustration shows the processes taking place from the fingers to the brain after touching a single button.

For instance, when you touch something hot, if the nerves responsible for transmitting the sensation of pain to your brain are impaired, it is impossible for you to feel that you are being burned. The burning sensation and the consequent feeling of pain are all just interpretations by the brain. Similarly, a feeling of perception may be established by artificial production using electrical signals, even though no outside stimulant is present. So we may feel that our hand is burning, even though there is no fire nearby. This is another proof that the sensations arise solely in our perceptual world. This significant fact was expressed by the famous 20<sup>th</sup>-century thinker Bertrand Russell:

As to the sense of touch when we press the table with our fingers, that is an electric disturbance on the electrons and protons of our finger-tips, produced, according to modern physics, by the proximity of the electrons and protons in the table. If the same disturbance in our finger-tips arose in any other way, we should have the sensations, in spite of there being no table. <sup>63</sup>

For our perceptual world, the essential feature of matter, its solidity, disappears in the scientific sense. In the same way that our seeing a thing provides no evidence about its true physical appearance, so our touching an object provides no clues concerning its real solidity. What we touch consists solely of an entity forming in the brain. Its true nature and appearance on the outside is a dream that we can never know, as the science writer J. R. Minkel sets out in an article in *New Scientist* magazine:

You're holding a magazine. It feels solid; it seems to have some kind of independent existence in space. Ditto the objects around you—perhaps a cup of coffee, a computer. They all seem real and out there somewhere. But it's all an illusion. <sup>64</sup>



When our hand touches something hot, if the nerves responsible for transmitting the sensation of heat to the brain are removed from the equation, it is impossible to feel the sensation of burning, because this feeling arises solely in our perceptual world.

## Distance is Also a Perception Formed Solely in Our Brains

We quickly realize when people approach from a distance. Their appearance, voice and size vary depending on the terrain. On the basis of these factors, we make an analysis and determine the distance between them and us. Yet in fact, there is no distance at all between these others and ourselves. The idea that we are seeing them from some distance is due to a computation we carry out in our brains. Our sensation of distance is just a perception.

The appearance of what we call the external world is so convincing and impressive that one needs to reflect carefully in order to realize that it is all simply perceptions. Such factors as distance, depth, color, shade and light make the images so convincing and credible. These materials have been employed so flawlessly that they assume a three-dimensional, colored and vivid form in the brain. When countless details are added to this image, the result is the world that we inhabit throughout our lives, imagining it to be the original, but which in fact is a mere copy that we really experience only in our minds.

The perception that we refer to as “distance” is a kind of three-dimensional sensation. The factors we call perspective, shade and movement awaken a sense of depth and distance in images. This depth perception, known as space perception in optical science, is provided through highly complex systems. The simplest way of describing the system is to state that the image reaching any one eye is merely a two-dimensional one, with height and width only. The dimensions of the images reaching the retina, and the fact that both eyes see different images, give rise to the sensation of depth and distance. The images falling onto our two eyes differ slightly in terms of angle and illumination, and the brain then combines these two images together into a single picture that gives a sensation of depth and distance.

There is in fact no distance between ourselves and someone we imagine to be approaching from far off. The feeling of distance we perceive is merely an interpretation by the brain. We are in fact in the same spot as a tunnel we imagine extends as far as the horizon. Everything is merely shown to us at one point inside the brain.


“Distance” has been created for us solely as a sensation. As has already pointed out, there is actually no distance between us and someone we think is approaching from further away. The person we behold has been created on a single plane in our brain. Our sensation of distance is merely the brain’s interpretation. So absolute is our belief that this other person is at a distance that we shout in order to make ourselves heard and run to catch up with him. In fact, however, that person is at exactly the same place as ourselves. Every square centimeter we imagine that we run across is actually part of an image existing in our brain. In fact, we do not move; the other person comes no closer to us and draws no further away. Everything is observed solely in a minute point inside the brain.

For example, we imagine a plane flying in the sky to be many kilometers away. But it is actually right alongside us, in our brains.

When we look at a plane we imagine, as a result of the noise it produces and the frequency and wavelengths of the light waves it reflects to reach our eyes, that the plane is many kilometers away. Yet if the brain perceived frequency and dimension as one single unit, the situation would be very different. In that event, we would have no doubt that the plane we imagined to be thousands of kilometers away was actually at a different distance and we would be convinced of this reality.

Human beings see many details within the sense of depth that confronts them. They see a book they are holding fairly close by, the television beyond and the window further away still, and the Sun even further away. Their hands, legs and bodies are all contained within this visual field. Each object has its own particular perspective and a distance to the point from where it’s observed. That is how people perceive things; their sense of depth, perspective, shade within the whole visual field convinces them that they are seeing the actual external world. In fact, however, everything they see, including their own bodies, is the effects of electrical signals inside their brains. There is no distance between the book in front of them and the Sun that they imagine to be 93 million miles away. And there is no distance between them and any other object either. Everything they observe is part of an image arising in the brain.

The formation of a sensation of depth on the two-dimensional retina bears a close resemblance to the technique employed by artists trying to impart a realistic sense of depth on a two-dimensional surface. There are certain recognized techniques for creating a feeling of depth: objects being placed in front of one another, one or more vanishing points, variation in texture, diminishing dimensions and height and movement—the closer an object, the quicker it seems to be moving. The method employed by artists also applies to images arising in the brain. Depth, light and shade are perceived by the two-dimensional

A man in a dark suit and tie is shown from the side, looking upwards towards the sky. In the background, a commercial airplane is flying across a clear blue sky. Below the sky, a cityscape with several tall buildings is visible, including a prominent skyscraper with a pointed top. The foreground consists of a lush green field with numerous white daisies and yellow flowers. The overall scene is bright and clear, suggesting a sunny day.

**We imagine that a plane is several kilometers away in the sky from us. The fact is, though, it is actually right inside our brains. There is no distance between the plane and us.**





“The faculty of consciousness is one thing we all share, but what goes in our consciousness, the forms that consciousness takes on, varies widely. This is our personal reality, the reality we each know and experience. Invariably we mistake this personal reality for physical reality, believing ourselves to be in direct contact with the world ‘out there.’ But the colors and sounds we experience are not really ‘out there’; they are all images in the mind, pictures of reality we have constructed. This one fact leads to a radical rethinking of the relationship between consciousness and reality.”

—Peter Russell,

*From Science to God: A Physicist's Journey into the Mystery of Consciousness*,  
New World Library, 2002, p. 39

retinas in our eyes through the same method. The more accurate the details in this image, the more realistic it seems and the more it misleads us. Thus we act as if the third dimension—with depth and distance—were actually there.

In fact, however, all the images we perceive exist in a single plane, rather like a film on a flat screen. The visual center in the brain is exceedingly small. All seemingly “distant” images such as far-off mountains, stars in the sky, the Moon and Sun, planes flying in the air and birds are all crammed into this minute space. In a technical sense there is no distance between a plane you imagine to be flying many kilometers away and the glass in your hand; both are on a single location in the visual center inside your brain.

This is a glorious proof of creation, sublime artistry and a flawless work. Allah creates these perfect images and details in the mind of every human being, at all moments and without interruption. Nothing is missing to make us harbor any suspicions regarding the existence of the three-dimensional images before us. The world belonging to us is created constantly as a copy of the original world outside. The might, power and creative artistry of Him to Whom all these belong are manifested in every detail. It is Almighty Allah, the Lord and Sovereign of all things, Who creates all the worlds and brings these into being individually for every human being.

In verses, Allah tells us that:

**It is Allah Who created the seven heavens and of the Earth the same number, the Command descending down through all of them, so that you might know that Allah has power over all things and that Allah encompasses all things in His knowledge. (Qur'an, 65:12)**

**Do they not see that Allah, Who created the heavens and Earth, has the power to create the like of them, and has appointed fixed terms for them of which there is no doubt? But the wrongdoers still spurn anything but disbelief. (Qur'an, 17:99)**



**We are so convinced that the other person is at a distance from us that we shout in order to make ourselves heard and run to catch up with him. The fact is, however, that the person we are trying to catch up with is in exactly the same place as us. We do not move, and the other person does not approach us. The whole image and all the distance are created as perceptions inside the brain.**

## What Is “Real” for Us?

We believe in the existence of objects just because we see and touch them, and they are reflected to us by our perceptions. However, our perceptions are only ideas in our mind. Thus, objects we captivate by perceptions are nothing but ideas, and these ideas are essentially in nowhere but our mind... Since all these exist only in the mind, then it means that we are beguiled by deceptions when we imagine the universe and things to have an existence outside the mind. <sup>65</sup>

—George Berkeley

Our seeing any object, hearing the sound it makes or touching it, provides little information about the nature of the material world existing outside. For us, what gives us evidence of anything’s physical existence is our perception of it. Yet there is actually no sound, nor image, nor flavor, nor smell in our perceptual center in the brain where all these things arise. The inside of the brain is pitch dark and utterly soundless. There are no small observers in the brain to detect smells or observe images. Therefore, the idea that sounds and images can form inside the brain is illogical, scientifically impossible. However, we perceive an amazingly flawless, colored, mobile and distinct world in that pitch-black, soundless space. Despite being a world of perception forming solely in the brain, this world is realistic and highly convincing. An image far clearer and more distinct than the most advanced three-dimensional film screens or televisions, of a far higher quality than the world’s most perfect cameras, arises in the brain. Inside the brain form sounds that are much more perfect, much clearer and richer than the most advanced music systems, and which cannot be distinguished from the real thing. The perfume and scent of a rose also forms inside the brain, as do sensations of heat and cold, in the most precise manner. This perfectly clear world is placed at our disposal, without interruption, by the will of Allah. Anyone looking around in a crowded shopping center can see children running around, different people shopping, brightly lit shop windows, trays of foodstuffs, an occasional stray cat, the warm air and the smells emanating from the food court reaching his nose—all at one and the same time. People may be chatting with friends, greeting someone they recognize, as window-shopping. Yet they are actually experiencing images arising in their brains. The crowd a person sees around him, all the details he observes, all form on a phantom screen inside the brain.

He actually watches and feels images shown to him by means of his senses. All of these are part of that person’s experience, yet each is also nothing more than the entirety of perceptions arising in the brain.

Is the original of this world anything like the details that person is made to perceive? We cannot know. It is impossible for us to obtain any knowledge regarding whether there really are a lot of people around, or if the scent of flowers fills the air. What we are shown is the form of the environment as we perceive. For us, the external world is solely the world we are shown. If the electrical signals forwarded to us by our sense organs were eliminated, then our external world would disappear as well, even though there is an actual world outside.

We can only know what is forwarded to, reaches and is shown to us. That is the sum total of what goes on in our minds.

Gerard O’Brien describes the concept of the outside world and that of our perceptions:

There is an issue about whether or not the world that we experience, the world in some sense that is constructed in our heads, whether or not it actually corresponds to the way the world actually is. Because if you accept, as a number of theorists now do, that our experience of the world is constructed by our brains, then there becomes a real issue of the correspondence that exists between our experience of the world and the way the world really is, independent of our experience. And if you think there might be large mismatches between our experience of the world and the way the world really is, then it starts to look as though our visual world, the world of our experience, is in some sense an illusion. <sup>66</sup>

That being the case, what is real for us?

What we refer to as “reality” indicates a world with a material existence outside the brain and the senses. People have a full belief in the existence of that world, whether they happen to be observing it or not. They are certain that they are in their own bedroom when they get up in the morning. They imagine that they are in their offices and that the computers there have their own independent existence, and that everything will still be there when they return the following morning. They assume that their homes will be there when they return in the evening and assume the continued existence of their friends, families, acquaintances and relatives, whether or not they can see them or talk with them. Most of these experiences are repeated every day and permit no room for any doubt. On the contrary, they are of such a quality to be thoroughly convincing.


But all these things are actually in our minds, things that we are led to experience. All we see is an illusory copy of the outside material world of whose existence we are so certain. It is solely our perceptions that give rise to our world.

Susan Blackmore defines this world inside the brain:

The mind feels like a private theatre. Here I am, inside the theatre, located roughly somewhere inside my head and looking out through my eyes. But this is a multi-sensational theatre. So I experience touches, smells, sounds, and emotions as well, and I can use my imagination too—conjuring up sights and sounds to be seen as though on a mental screen by inner eye or heard by my inner ear. All these are the “contents of my consciousness,” and “I” am the audience of one who experiences them.<sup>67</sup>

The world we observe is merely a copy. An amusement park full of lights is only a duplicated image forming in the brain, whose source is simply electrical signals. The voices of the people around us, our relatives and birds are similarly, duplicate sounds arising within the brain, whose source is just electrical signals. The taste and smell of a piece of fruit we eat are duplicate tastes and smells forming in the brain. It is impossible for us to eat the original of the fruit. The source of all the features of the fruit in our brains is, again, electrical signals.

You have never felt the true heat of the Sun, the actual coolness of the sea nor the coldness of an ice cube. Because you can never have direct experience of the Sun, the sea or ice, and the effects they have on you are simply electrical signals.



A glass of water set in front of you is not distant from you at all. It is not standing in front of you, it is in your brain. You perceive an image of it in your brain.

When we imagine we are touching a glass surface, we are not actually touching the original glass. It is not our fingers that do the touching, but the brain. That being so, nobody can ever touch a real glass. They cannot drink water from it. The water they drink consists of a sensation of drinking imparted by perceptions arising inside the brain.

In the documentary film *What the Bleep Do We Know?*, Joe Dispenza, who has a Doctor of Chiropractic Degree from Life University in Atlanta, Georgia, says, "Your brain doesn't know the difference between what's taking place out there, and what's taking place in here." Fred Alan Wolf says, "There is no 'out there' out there, independent of what's going on in here [in the brain]." <sup>68</sup>

The life we lead is a composite of the duplicates in question. The realistic appearance of these perceptions is highly deceptive. We think that the person in front of us sees the same things as we do, and we imagine that we are both in agreement and that we are observing the true state of the world. Yet in fact, the other person, who agrees with us on the things we see and hear, also consists of an image arising in our brain. In addition, we can never know what difference there is between the things he perceives and what we perceive. It is impossible for us to describe what "green" means for us, or what a lemon smells like.

So what is real? In that regard, Joe Dispenza asks the following questions:

Scientific experiments have shown that if we take a person and hook their brains up to certain PET scans or computer technology, and ask them to look at a certain object, and they watch certain areas of the brain light up. And then they've asked them to close their eyes and now imagine that same object. And when they imagine that same object, it produced the same areas of the brain to light up as if they were actually visually looking at it. So it caused scientists to back up and ask this question. So who sees then? Does the brain see? Or do the eyes see? And what is reality? Is reality what we're seeing with our brain or is reality what we're seeing with our eyes? And the truth is that the brain does not know the difference between what it sees in its environment and what it remembers. Because the same specific neural nets are then firing. So then it asks the question: What is reality? <sup>69</sup>

In the documentary *What the Bleep Do We Know?*, J. Z. Knight describes reality:

That we simply are has allowed this reality we call real, from the power of intangibility to pull out of inertness, "action," "chaos," and hold it into its form, and we call it matter. <sup>70</sup>

Each of us lives in a world of perceptions that belongs to us alone. Nobody can share the images in this world and nobody can confirm them, yet we regard these images as reality. That being so, is reality simply an illusion? Does it consist solely of what we are made to experience? Do the body we regard as our own, and the life we consider to be ours, exist solely as phantoms in our minds?

All these are indeed phantoms. We live in a phantom world brought into being in our own brains. We imagine that we are looking at the true world outside, but a whole new world actually exists in our brains, and it is impossible for us to step outside it.

The philosopher Geoff Haselhurst describes how science has no explanation for the realism of the world that forms in the brain:

Further difficulties arise because our senses also deceive us. Philosophers have known for thousands of years that our mind represents our senses, thus the world we see and taste and touch is different (naive real) to the real world which causes our senses. . . .

Likewise, our sense of color is an obvious example of how our mind represents a certain frequency of light.

If we are to describe Reality then it must be founded on real things which exist and cause our senses, not on the naive real representation of our senses. Thus Science, by being empirically founded, is not well suited to describing Reality itself. <sup>71</sup>





# *Conversations with Adnan Oktar*

*We Know Only the State of Matter As It is Shown to Us,  
But Allah Knows the True State of Matter*

**ADNAN OKTAR:** ... Life is short, the test is short. If people behave rationally, we will see the global reign of Islam in this brief life of ours. What matters is the hereafter. Allah has established a most wonderful system. When I was at middle school, for instance, I did not realize that a pen is a sign leading to faith. I thought that human beings made them. But then I realized I see pens in my brain. A power shows them to me in my brain. One asks where the pen is. On the outside. We merely have faith that the pen is on the outside. **Nobody knows the state of the pen on the outside. We merely adopt it as a belief.** As a commandment of the Qur'an, because Allah says it exists. We can only see the form of it in our brains. Information lying in us, we have nothing else. Nothing. Darwinists talk, but say nothing apart from information provided in the brain. They talk about "laboratory" conditions. You ask where that laboratory is. "On the outside," they say. Where? "I see it in my brain," they say. You see the lab in your brain. **Has anyone ever seen the laboratory on the outside? No.** We merely have faith in it, believe in it. We believe in its existence on the outside, that is all.

**Nobody possesses any information at all about the nature of matter on the outside.** We merely believe, from physics, that it is transparent and devoid of light, in appearance, in its existence. We believe it is black but will become transparent if illuminated. It is not colored. We believe that such matter does exist on the outside. But in practical terms, all we experience is the image of this. Allah provides the image, a three-dimensional one. The eye is filled with blood vessels. There is a thick, jelly-like fluid in the eye. But look at the clarity of that image. We cannot obtain such an image with even the best lens or the best cameras. We call what we see inside the brain 'life,' or a house or whatever. There is visual and auditory depth. "The sound is coming from over there," we say. You cannot identify the direction when it is on tape. Yet we can when it forms in our ears.

*Interview dated 13 March 2012  
[www.a9.com.tr](http://www.a9.com.tr) / [www.harunyahya.tv](http://www.harunyahya.tv)*



Peter Russell makes the following statements:

At first, we might find it surprising that the conclusions of modern physics are so far removed from our experience or reality. . . . What would be far more surprising would be to find that the image of reality created in the human mind was indeed a faithful representation of the thing-in-itself.

When we speak of the material world we usually think we are referring to the underlying reality—the world that we are perceiving “out there”. In fact we are only describing our image of reality. The materiality we experience, the solidness we feel, the whole of the “real world” that we know are all aspects of the image created in the mind; they are part of our interpretation of reality. Paradoxical as it may sound, matter is something created in the mind.<sup>72</sup>

That being so, reality for us is not matter, the external original of which we can never directly experience. Since all these things consist of an image formed by electrical signals in the brain, reality cannot be the world inside the brain, either. This world is completely illusory, a phantasm. We are misled by observing that world. “Reality,” therefore, is neither outside nor in the image inside the brain.

Is it difficult to come to terms with this state of affairs? Fred Alan Wolf summarizes the familiarity with the illusory world in which people live and how they seek to avoid the concept of “true realism”:

Yet, we unconsciously strive to keep this secret buried inside ourselves. . . In other words, we unconsciously choose to live under the illusion that everything is as we see it. This is not only a fundamental truth for you and me, it is the deep secret of the universe’s existence . . . and it only works because we agree to believe the trick. If we can stop believing it for one minute, one second, even one millisecond, and allow our consciousness to become aware that we have stopped, we will see the trick revealed.

At some point in our lives, somehow, somewhere, just for an instant, the unveiling of the great mystery comes to pass . . . But, we don’t shout, Wow! No gasps of wonderment fill the theater. Something becomes distinguishable from nothing in a single creative act, but we trick ourselves into not seeing. And so it goes. No applause fills the air. We sit back, watch the show, breathe a sigh of relief, and say unconsciously, “We’ll never figure this one out, might as well just accept it.”

. . . And most of us habitually remain unconscious and cling to the illusion until the last nanosecond of our existence. We watch the boundary between ocean and land, between air, earth, and water. We watch the effervescent crust of sand, water, and air and remember the distinctions. And likewise, we live our lives in the comfortable notion that an invisible membrane separates us from that world “out there”; that “in here,” in our minds, our inner worlds of imagination, we are safe and alone. In no way can any person or thing intrude into our individual mind worlds. Every sense in our bodies continually tells us that this is true, that we are each alone. We ignore any information, any thought, any perception, any imaginative tale, anyone else’s story that confronts our sensory presentation of the separated “out there” and “in here” worlds. We look skeptically at people who tell us a different story, probably dismissing them as misguided fools, or even lunatics.<sup>73</sup>

It’s by no means easy for any materialist to grasp and accept the fact that the world forming in the brain is not real. This has been verified by modern science, but nonetheless, as expressed by Fred Alan Wolf, this great truth is ignored. The fact we live in an illusory world is reflected as an ordinary scientific discovery and as an insoluble problem. The only reason for this is that what is “true” for us is “unacceptable” to the materialist mindset. This “truth,” which materialists cannot admit and which scientists are searching for, belongs to the human soul.

It is the human soul that is absolute in this world and that will live forever in the Hereafter. It is Allah Who bestows this soul on man. The matter outside man, people’s own bodies and the worlds arising in their minds will all one day come to an end and vanish. It is the soul, imparted by Almighty Allah, that is absolute and perpetual.

**Your Lord said to the angels, “I am going to create a human being out of clay. When I have formed him and breathed My Spirit into him, fall down in prostration to him!” (Qur’an, 38:71-72)**

## The Realism in Dreams

We do not actually speak with anyone in our dreams. We see no-one, and our eyes are closed. We neither run, nor walk. No monsters frighten and chase us, no green and spacious lawns spread out before us. There are no skyscrapers we are scared to look down from or crowds of people. In the face of all these images, we are, in fact, alone in bed. The loud noises from the crowds we imagine to be surrounding us, never in fact reach into our silent room.

When we imagine ourselves to be running very fast, we are not in fact moving at all. When we imagine ourselves to be having a heated discussion with someone, we do not in fact even open our mouths. Yet during dreaming, we experience all these things very vividly. The people around us, our surroundings and the things we experience are so realistic that we never imagine that these things are actually part of our dream.

We may even dream of being hit by a car and receive a very clear impression of the pains that result. We truly feel the fear we experience as the car approaches, it speeds toward us, and the moment of impact. We have no doubt as to the reality of these sensations. The temperature of air, people's expressions, the clothes we are wearing and everything are exceedingly realistic. Yet we have actually experienced none of these. No light or sound reaches us. There is no cause of any image, sound or smell. The concept we refer to as the external world has disappeared. This is all experienced solely in our minds. Yet we do not realize that this is the case. Even if we are told—in the dream—that we are actually dreaming, we completely discount the possibility and are utterly convinced of the reality of the dream world we are inhabiting. For us, the things we see, smell, touch and feel in dreams have a definite reality. For that reason, our fears, joys and doubts during dreaming are also real. We have all the same physical experiences as when we are awake. No evidence might require us to suspect that we are, in fact, dreaming.

Dreaming is a powerful example demonstrating that the external world for us is in fact a perception. In the same way that someone dreaming has no doubt that his surroundings are real, so it is very difficult to be convinced that the reality of what we refer to as "the real world" is only in our minds. Yet how we perceive the images we call "real life" is exactly the same as how we experience dreams. Both images form in the mind. We have no doubt as to the rea-



lity of either set of images as we observe them. Yet we do have proof that dreams are not real. When we awaken, we say, "It was all just a dream." So how can we prove that we are not dreaming at this very moment?

Allah imparts this truth in His verses:

**The Trumpet will be blown and at once they will be sliding from their graves towards their Lord. They will say, "Alas for us! Who has raised us from our resting-place? This is what the All-Merciful promised us. The Messengers were telling the truth." (Qur'an, 37:51-52)**

The proof of this at this moment is the scientifically imparted evidence. In this case, the moment that we'll wake up from the dream will be when we depart from this earthly life. So the right thing to do is to regard this world as mere illusion for us, as something we experience in the mind, and behave accordingly.

Peter Russell compares the realism of dreams to that of the world we inhabit:

Our perception of the world has the very convincing appearance of being "out there" around us, but it is no more "out there" than are our nightly dreams. In our dreams we are aware of sights, sounds, and sensations happening around us. We are aware of our bodies. We think and reason. We feel fear, anger, pleasure, and love. We experience other people as separate individuals, speaking and interacting with us. The dream appears to be happening "out there" in the world around us. Only when we awaken do we realize that it was all just a dream—a creation in the mind.

When we say, "It was all just a dream," we are referring to the fact that the experience was not based on physical reality. It was created from memories, hopes, fears and other factors. In the waking state, our image of the world is based on sensory information drawn from our physical surroundings. This gives our waking experience a consistency and sense of reality not found in dreams. But the truth is, our waking reality is as much a creation of our minds as are our dreams.<sup>74</sup>

Réné Descartes described this as well:

I dream of doing this or that, going here or there; but when I awake I realize that I have done nothing, that I have been nowhere, but have been lying quietly in bed. Who can guarantee that I am not dreaming now, or that even my entire life is not a dream?<sup>75</sup>

Never, of course, can we guarantee that the people around us, or even the life we are experiencing at this moment, are not a dream. When we dream, we can touch a piece of ice and perceive its cold wetness and transparency in a perfect form. When we smell a rose, we perceive its unique scent in an equally flawless manner. The reason is that the same processes take place in our brains when we really smell a rose or only dream that we are doing so.

That being so, we can never know when we are experiencing the true image and perfume of a rose. In fact, we never have direct experience of a real rose in either case, and in either event. Neither the image nor the perfume of the rose are anywhere in our brains.

Therefore, neither case represents reality, as Gerald O'Brien describes:

Yes, we're asleep in our beds, our eyes are shut and yet we are having for many people some very vivid visual experiences. We are in our visual experiences situated in a world populated by people, by things happening around us and while we're in the dream state to all the world it appears to us as though we're actu-



**When we dream of smelling a flower, we enjoy a perfect sensation of the unique perfume of that flower. The reason for this is that the same processes take place in the brain when we actually smell a flower or when we only dream we are doing so.**



ally in the world in some sense. Now that's really important because that tells us that our brains are actually capable of constructing our visual experiences in this way in our dreams. And this then suggests, to some philosophers and theorists of the mind in general, that perhaps when we're awake and looking around at the world, our common-sense understanding is wrong. Perhaps indeed that all of our experiences, all of our visual experiences of the world are in some way constructed by the brain and that this commonsense view that we are in direct contact with the world is actually wrong.<sup>76</sup>

If someone is aware that he's dreaming, he will not be frightened by a car approaching, will realize that the goods and money he acquires are transitory, and will harbor no greed for them. He knows that the blessings and beauty he possesses will come to an end when he awakens, and these will inspire no pride in him. Other people's negative attitudes are of no importance in his dreams, because he knows that neither the circumstances nor the people themselves are real. He knows that he will wake up, for which reason he does not chase after worldly things, or become worried by worldly concerns, or devote himself to his own interests as if this life will never end. He knows that there is a real world outside the dream one. Therefore, his surroundings are of no importance or value to anyone who knows he is dreaming.

This also applies to the period we refer to as "real life." For someone who knows that this life is not real, that it is presented merely in the form of perceptions, nothing he experiences in connection with this "real" world is of any importance. Just as with dreaming, he is aware of the false nature of an unreal life, even as he lives it. He now realizes that the people expecting gain from him do not actually exist, and that the deceptive beauty and attractions around him in fact consist of illusions. There is therefore no point in his thirsting for things that exist in this world or expending energy on any personal gains. He lives in a passing, transitory world and knows that his true life will begin after this one.

The writer Remez Sasson has this to say:

It is like a movie show. A person watching a movie gets so involved with the characters and with what happens on the screen. He may become happy or sad with the heroes, gets depressed, shouts or laughs. If at a particular moment he decides to stop watching the screen and manages to withdraw his attention from the movie, he gets snapped out of the illusion the movie creates. The projecting machine will go on projecting images on the screen, but he knows that it is only light projected through the film onto the screen. What is seen on the screen is not real, but yet it is there. He may watch the movie, or he may decide to close his eyes and ears and stop looking at the screen. Have you ever watched a movie, when at some point the reel got stuck or there was a power failure? What happens to you when you watch an interesting, absorbing film on the television and then suddenly there are commercials? You are snapped out of the illusion to the world around you.

When you are sleeping and dreaming, and someone wakes you up, you feel thrown out of one world to a different one. It is the same in the life we call reality. It is possible to wake up from it.<sup>77</sup>



Just as in dreams, the world we inhabit consists of illusory images, illusory smells, tastes and feelings. One may, of course, awake from this dream before this life comes to an end and see the true facts. Awakening from this dream, realizing that this world is not reality, will enable one to understand that the true reality is the Hereafter. Someone who comprehends the Hereafter becomes aware of the transitory nature of this world, knows that he needs to attain Allah's approval in order to achieve salvation in the Hereafter, and begins striving to that end. This is one of the facts that will bestow countless blessings on a person in this world and in the Hereafter.

People who wake on the Day of Resurrection are described in verses:

**The Trumpet will be blown. That is the Day of the Threat. Every self will come together with a driver and a witness: "You were heedless of this, so We have stripped you of your covering, and today your sight is sharp." (Qur'an, 50:20-22)**

## Perceptual Defects in the Brain and a Different External World

When our five senses—which convince us that what we see is the real world—are deprived of the electrical signals that give rise to perceptions, the external world disappears. It is a scientific fact that the senses provide information only by way of electrical signals. If there is plentiful information in the outside world, but the relevant electrical signals fail to register, we will be unaware of it.

Errors of perception in the brain are some of the most important proofs of this. For example, if you look at a room in full daylight and imagine you are seeing it completely, the reality is different. There is one very small point of the room in front of you that you cannot see. And that missing spot remains wherever you look. This is the "blind spot," present in every human being in the center of the retina where the optic nerve departs for the brain.

The cause of this blindness is the fact that the cells of the retina are absent in just that one spot in the retina. Nonetheless, you see the image before you utterly flawlessly. The reason is the compensatory nature of the brain. The area that cannot be seen because of the blind spot becomes "visible" because of the brain's ability to "color in" and "complete" the other details in the background. This is an extraordinary state of affairs. There literally exists no information in that blind spot, and whatever details the brain creates there is entirely illusory. Yet we never know that we "cannot see" that spot. The brain "papers over" the blind spot by making its own best estimate of what ought to be there. But how is that estimate made? That question still represents a puzzle for scientists.

Vilayanur S. Ramachandran describes this secret:

For example, you could try "aiming" your blind spot at the corner of a square. Noticing the other three corners, does your visual system fill in the missing corner? If you try this experiment, you will notice that in fact the corner disappears or looks "bitten off" or smudged. Clearly the neural machinery that allows completion across the blind spot cannot deal with corners; there's a limit to what can and what cannot be filled in.<sup>78</sup>




**You can perform this test in order to better understand the blind spot. Close your right eye and bring this book towards your face from a distance of about 50 centimeters away. Focus your eye on only the cross. As it draws closer, you will see the black spot on the left disappears momentarily. Your brain has filled in the black spot it cannot perceive with a white background.**

But is it possible for us to have any preference in the completion process within the brain? Ramachandran also answers this question:

Perceptual filling in is very different. When you fill in your blind spot with a carpet design, you don't have such choices about what fills that spot; you can't change your mind about it. Perceptual filling in is carried out by visual neurons. Their decisions, once made, are irreversible: Once they signal to higher brain centers "Yes, this is a repetitive texture" or "yes, this is a straight line" what you perceive is irrevocable.<sup>79</sup>

When we look at a table, our visual system first acquires information about the edges of the table. And a representative picture resembling the table's outline forms in our minds. Following this, the system then selects the color and texture of the table. These are some of the essential elements for the process of "completion." After this information has been obtained, the brain makes a general estimate regarding the image before it. The brain does not need to examine every detail of that image and enter into detailed computation. It creates images based on "guesswork."<sup>80</sup>

Therefore, the brain produces an illusion that we believe to exist. The image in the blind spot is not a true image of what is in front of us; yet we are unaware of this. Interestingly, however, we have no evidence that the entire image is true. The image in the blind spot, which does not actually exist, seems as realistic as the other surrounding images. We are unaware of where the blind spot is in our day-to-day lives. That being so, we cannot know whether the images we obtain are all illusions. We may take them to be "realistic," but this is not enough proof for believing that the images shown to us are "real."



Other perceptual defects or errors in the brain also demonstrate this. One such is cortical color blindness. If the area V4 in the brain, which involves processing color, is damaged, sufferers see the world in shades of gray. Everything appears like a black-and-white film. Yet such people have no problems with reading a newspaper, recognizing people's faces or movements and determining direction.<sup>81</sup> If the middle temporal visual area (MT) is damaged, patients can still read and see colors, but cannot tell in which direction and how fast a thing is moving.

Prof. Ramachandran has written this on the subject:

When one or more areas are selectively damaged, you are confronted with paradoxical mental states of the kind seen in a number of neurological patients. One of the most famous examples in neurology is the case of a Swiss woman (whom I shall call Ingrid) who suffered from "motion blindness." Ingrid had bilateral damage to an area of her brain called the middle temporal (MT) area. In most respects, her eyesight was normal; she could name shapes of objects, recognize people and read books with no trouble. But if she looked at a person running or a car moving on the highway, she saw a succession of static, stroboscopic snapshots instead of the smooth impression of continuous motion. She was terrified to cross the street because she couldn't estimate the velocity of oncoming cars, though she could identify the make, color and even the license plate of any vehicle. She said that talking to someone in person felt like talking on the phone, because she couldn't see the changing facial expressions associated with normal conversation. Even pouring a cup of coffee was an ordeal because the liquid would inevitably overflow and spill onto the floor. She never knew when to slow down, changing the angle of the coffeepot, because she couldn't estimate how fast the liquid was rising in the cup. All of these abilities ordinarily seem so effortless to you and me that we take them for granted. It's only when something goes wrong, as when this motion area is damaged, that we begin to realize how sophisticated vision really is.<sup>82</sup>



Hallucinations are another example of perceptual defects. Hallucinations generally stem from brain damage, various febrile diseases, drug use or old age and senility. The sufferer perceives things which do not exist—they see things which are not there and hear non-existent sounds. Such people are wholly awake and conscious when they experience hallucinations, which images are highly convincing.

These syndromes we have cited are just a few of these disorders, as a result of which some people experience vivid events that do not correspond to reality. For some people, external colors seem very different. Our brightly colored world is like a black-and-white film for them. If we really have direct experience of the external world—if the world we inhabit does not consist solely of electrical signals reaching the brain—then why do these people experience different perceptions?

If there is just “one” external world, why do they not perceive the outside world in the same way we do, and why do they not see the same things?

Most of us have no doubt that we have a perfect perception of the outside world and that our perceptions form a seamless whole. Yet the same thing applies to someone who sometimes experiences hallucinations. Such people also think the illusory images they see are real. That being so, we can say nothing about what the external world arising in our brains actually resembles, or whether it seems different to others’ perceptions. This is something that cannot be tested by 21st-century science or determined experimentally. It is impossible for us to know what an individual world, brought into being for each one of us, is like. We have direct experience only of our perceptions within that world. We cannot step out of it or learn any more about it.

The electrical signals transmitted by way of our senses give rise to a copy of the external world for us. Fundamentally, however, there remains an “identity” that perceives the outside world, that draws meaning from what it perceives, harbors doubts, rejoices, experiences sorrow, becomes excited, thinks, recognizes and analyzes. But where in the brain is this entity, which we refer to as “I”? Does the interaction of neurons cause us to think and be happy? Is that what enables us to enjoy music? Is that interaction the source of our enjoying looking at a landscape or eating a delicious meal?

Obviously, no rational person can answer “Yes” to these questions. Our identity lies outside the brain, and is known as the “soul.”

**They will ask you about the Spirit. Say: “The Spirit is my Lord’s concern. You have only been given a little knowledge.” (Qur’an, 17:85)**



# THE BRAIN IS NOT THE SOURCE OF PERSONAL IDENTITY

## The flawlessly equipped human brain

**T**he perceptual world whose details we have been examining is an artificial one, formed by way of electrical signals. But do our brains interpret these signals and convert them into a friend we recognize, a beautiful flower, a boundless landscape, children playing in the street or a loveable kitten?


Technically, it's true that the signals are analyzed in the brain. Materialists go on to claim that we consist simply of our brains' neurons and that the world we inhabit is the result of intercommunication between those nerve cells. They maintain that an entity who thinks, laughs, rejoices, recognizes other people and can analyze is, in the words of the evolutionist physicist and discoverer of DNA Francis Crick, "a pack of neurons."<sup>83</sup>

For a materialist, it is unimportant how human beings think and how they draw significance from their perceptions. It is unimportant because materialists have no explanation for these things. In their view, everything must be investigated in a material sense. The fact is, however, that this is a great falsehood uttered in order to turn people away from faith in Allah.

To clarify this in more detail, it will help to familiarize ourselves with the general outlines of the human brain, one of the most complex structures in the world.

A newborn baby has around 100 billion neurons or nerve cells, the highest number a person can have. The number of neurons in the human brain never increases, and merely declines as time passes.

Neurons are the nervous system's basic structural and functional units. Every neuron establishes from one thousand to ten



thousand connections with other neurons. The places where these neurons contact are known as synapses, the points where information exchanges take place.


According to Professor Ramachandran, “the number of possible permutations and combinations of brain activity, in other words the number of brain states, exceeds the number of elementary particles in the known universe.”<sup>84</sup>

A nerve cell in the brain possesses all the structures necessary to maintain for the cell’s metabolism, for it to digest proteins and perform all the needed functions.

A neuron has branched extensions, known as dendrites. Dendrites’ greatest function is to receive electromagnetic messages from other neurons and to transmit them to the cell body. Dendrites are relatively thick at the point where they leave the cell body, but then progressively divide into tens, or even hundreds of branches that then become thinner and thinner. The number of dendrites varies, depending on the function of the cell.

Another extension departing from the neuron is called the axon, whose job is to carry information to other neurons. This information assumes the form of an electrical current. The brain contains depots for the storage of neurochemicals, vesicles that release these chemicals to carry messages to the next cell in the circuit. Neurons thus carry information along to the next neuron by way of axons. To put it another way, dendrites take the information forwarded from another neuron, and axons forward it on to another neuron. Axons may extend for as long as a meter, or else be just few tenths of a millimeter.

How many different types of neurons are there in the brain? That question has not yet been fully answered, though there are estimated to be around fifty.<sup>85</sup> Despite the differences in their shapes, sizes, types of connection and neurochemical contents, all neurons carry information in almost the same manner. They communicate with one another in an electrochemical “language.” Information emerges from one neuron and is received by another in the form of electrical signals produced by charged atoms or ions, particularly positively charged sodium and potassium ions or negatively charged chloride ions.<sup>86</sup> Each of the 100 billion neurons establishes connections with between a few thousand and one hundred thousand other



neurons. In general terms, an adult brain establishes 100 trillion synapses, or connection points.<sup>87</sup>

Crag Hamilton describes:

What is the most complex network yet developed? If you guessed the world wide web, guess again. The human brain, with its electrochemical matrix of over one hundred billion neurons, makes the Internet look like a fancy spider's web. With each neuron linked to about 50,000 other neurons, that makes for a total of one hundred trillion connections.<sup>88</sup>

Between the space where the axon that transmits the information in a neuron contacts another neuron's dendrite is a gap around one millionth of a centimeter wide.<sup>89</sup> Therefore, axons and dendrites do not touch one another directly. Connections take place in less than one thousandth of a second. Some neurons sprout as just a few dendrites; others have a very large number. Were we to try to count the number of connections arising inside the brain, at a rate of one every second, it would take 3 million years, or 42,000 human generations.<sup>90</sup>

In her book *An Alchemy of Mind*, *The New Yorker* magazine writer Diane Ackerman, of Cornell University, provides numerical details about this complex system:

Impossible as it sounds, we have more brain cell connections than there are stars in the universe. The visible universe, I mean, since 96 percent of the measurable universe is invisible, to us at least. Linger with that thought a moment, picturing the infinities of space—a carbon-paper night struck through with countless stars. Then picture the microscopic hubbub in one brain. A typical brain contains about 100 billion neurons, consumes a quarter of the body's oxygen, and spends most of the body's calories, though it only weighs about three pounds. A ten-watt lightbulb uses the same amount of electrical energy. In a dot of brain no larger than a single grain of sand, 100,000 neurons go about their work at a billion synapses. In the cerebral cortex alone, 30 billion neurons meet at 60 trillion synapses a billionth of an inch wide.<sup>91</sup>


In the words of Nobel Prize winner Gerald M. Edelman, director of the Neurosciences Institute at the Rockefeller University:

If we counted one synapse per second, we would not finish counting for 32 million years. If we considered the number of possible neural circuits, we would be dealing with hyperastronomical numbers: 10 followed by at least a million zeros.<sup>92</sup>

One of the most astonishing facts is that any given human brain, with these extraordinary statistics, is never identical to any other brain. Brains are not the same even in identical twins. This amazingly complex system has been arranged separately and assumes a different form, by the will of Allah, in every human being. Yet it still maintains the same complexity.<sup>93</sup>

Computers are designed by imitating the perfect system in the brain. Kerry Bernstein, an experienced technology expert with IBM, one of the largest firms in the computer field, says that although computers have copied the brain in many respects, the design in the brain is too perfect to be replicated using any existing technology. Bernstein makes the following comment:

**Computers are merely an imitation of the brain's perfect system. One byte of information in the brain can be instantaneously disseminated to 100,000 neurons. Therefore, the brain is hundreds of thousands of times faster than the fastest computer. It is impossible to compare the brain with modern-day technology.**





There is an extraordinary parallel circuit in the brain. A single data byte can reach 100,000 neurons at the same time. This makes the brain hundreds of thousands of times quicker than the fastest known computer. It is impossible for us to carry this out electronically. <sup>94</sup>

Therefore, comparing the brain to a computer is too facile, and does not do full justice to the brain's superior capacity. Gerald M. Edelman offers this statement:

First, the world certainly is not presented to the brain like a piece of computer tape containing an unambiguous series of signals. Nonetheless, the brain . . . mediates learning and memory and simultaneously regulates a host of bodily functions. The ability of the nervous system to carry out perceptual categorization of different signals for sight, sound, and so forth, dividing them into coherent classes without arranged code, is certainly special and is still unmatched by computers. We do not presently understand fully how this categorization is done . . . <sup>95</sup>

The system in the brain is literally perfect; what we are referring to here is the interactions of neurons, with axons and dendrites receiving and transmitting data within a complex system. But what is the source of the "outside world" in the brain and the features that make human beings human? Could neurons and the brain they comprise—the products of the combining of blind and unconscious atoms, be the source of such advanced consciousness?

Professor Vilayanur Ramachandran has this to say:

Even though it is common knowledge, it never ceases to amaze me that all the richness of our mental life—all our feelings, our emotions, our thoughts, our ambitions, our love lives, our religious sentiments and even what each of us regards as his or her own intimate private self—is simply the activity of these little specks of jelly in our heads, in our brains. <sup>96</sup>

This is a baffling state of affairs for materialists, who look somewhere in the brain for all those elements that make human beings human—joys, doubts, beliefs and personal identity. They maintain that emotions such as the happiness when we greet a friend, the excitement when we see a puppy, belief, feeling, deciding, sentimentality, rejoicing and sorrow—all stem from neurons. However, scientists and neurologists who investigate the brain have failed to find the source of any of these. For that reason, they have come up with a new definition, saying that the source of what makes human beings human is "consciousness."

But what is consciousness? And how can materialists account for it?

**Each neuron in the brain makes up to 10,000 contacts with other neurons. The places where they contact are known as synapses, which are the places where information is exchanged. The number of the possible permutations and combinations of this cerebral exchange exceeds that of all the elementary particles in the known universe.**



## The concept of “consciousness” that materialists cannot explain

Who is it who observes and enjoys a brightly colored flower garden in a darkened space with no need of the eye, retina, lens or optical nerves?

Who is the entity that recognizes in electrical signals the voices of its friends without the need for an ear, who recognizes them and rejoices to hear them?

Who is it who smells the scent of cake in the bakery, and takes pleasure from this?

Who is it who delights in seeing a flower, who feels affection when he sees a kitten, who strokes its fur with no need for any arm, finger or muscle?

Can a piece of tissue consisting of nerve cells and weighing just a few hundred grams be the cause of the lives we lead, our sorrows, joys, friendships, loyalty, honesty and excitement?

If the entity that perceives all these things is not the brain, then who is it?

Is it a “little man” inside our brains who perceives the external world?

Or the “observer” to which quantum physics refers?

Is this observer somewhere inside the brain?

If not, then where is it?

Fred Alan Wolf provides this answer:


We know what an observer does from a point of view of quantum physics. But we don't know who or what the observer actually is. It doesn't mean we haven't tried to find an answer. We've looked. We've gone inside of your head. We've gone into every orifice you have to find something called an observer. And there's nobody home. There's nobody in the brain. There's nobody in the cortical regions of the brain. There's nobody in the subcortical regions or the limbic regions of the brain. There's nobody there called an observer. And yet, we all have this experience of *being* something called an observer, observing the world out there.<sup>97</sup>

Scientists now realize that the brain is not the source of perceptions, and that it merely serves as a vehicle. Furthermore, scientists have entirely abandoned the idea that prevailed centuries ago of the “little man inside the brain.” Scientists have clearly seen that the entity they refer to as the “observer” is entirely independent of the brain. They now know that the source of perceptions is human consciousness.

In his book *Closer to Truth: Challenging Current Belief*, Robert Lawrence Kuhn offers this description:

**Within the brain, where do the external world and the features that make human beings human reside? Can neurons consisting of accumulations of blind, unconscious atoms be the source of such a sublime consciousness?**

**Of course not! Its origin lies solely in the human soul.**







# *Conversations with Adnan Oktar*

*All eyes are blind, all ears are deaf, it is the soul that  
sees and hears*

**ADNAN OKTAR:** ... People imagine they see with two eyes, but it is not the eye that sees. The eye just serves as a camera, doing the same thing as a still or video camera. **The eye does not see, it is the visual center in the brain that sees. That is the real eye. The eye in a person has no eye, but it sees.** There needs to be an eye there. But this eye sees the electricity as an image. But there is no eye there. **It is this invisible eye that we call the soul.** It is the same with sound. Sound waves reach the ear and pass through the hammer, anvil and stirrup as vibrations. That vibration turns into electrical energy and it comes to the brain. **There is an ear in the brain that hears that electrical current as sound. But that is not an ear of the kind we know. There is an invisible ear. That invisible ear is the real ear.** Everyone's ears are deaf. The ear is merely a tool, a mechanism for transmitting sound. It does not hear. **Every ear is deaf and unhearing. The brain inside the ear hears...** It is perception. I touch the table, and it is hard. That sense of perception forms in my brain, but I imagine it is in the table. That stems from the image being three-dimensional. Information forms in my brain when I feel it in my fingertips. People imagine they feel it in their fingertips. The phenomenon does not take place in the fingertips, but in the brain. Let us imagine we are eating a melon. "What a delicious melon this is," we say. But we perceive that taste in our brains, not in our mouths. We talk about experiencing a nice taste in our mouths, or sensations of bitter or sour. **But people do not experience tastes in their mouths. They always experience them in their brains.** But they imagine they taste things in their mouths. Or smell, we never experience the scent of anything in our noses. People imagine they smell things with their noses. But that is not so. **The nose in the brain experiences the smell.** Those chemical gasses reach the nerve cells in the nose, then that is converted into electrical energy and goes to the brain. There is a nose in the brain that smells them. There is a nose there that perceives that electricity as smell. **No rose or carnation enters the brain, the rose and carnation are on the outside, but there is a soul inside that smells them.** Look, it smells the rose in the absence of any rose. It perceives that electrical current as a rose, or a carnation. People are unaware of this today. Maybe one person in a million is aware of it.

*Interview dated 30 December 2009  
[www.a9.com.tr](http://www.a9.com.tr) / [en.harunyahya.tv](http://en.harunyahya.tv)*





Why are some physicists suddenly so interested in human mind? Is mind as real as matter? A few have even begun wondering whether mind may be the “real reality” and matter a deceptive illusion. What is it about mental activities that causes such smart people offer such wild speculations? Part of the reason is the weird implications of two fundamental theories that have changed forever our sense of reality: quantum mechanics, which injects uncertainty into the subatomic scale, and relativity, which unifies space and time on the large-scale structure of the universe. But can theories of physics explain mechanisms of the mind? Can the behavior of atoms determine the behavior of people? Can the structure of the universe describe how we think, feel, and know? <sup>98</sup>

A person’s life, perception, love, joy, sorrow, thoughts—in short, all that makes human beings human—is very definitely not the product of the behavior of atoms. What endows human beings with humanity, makes them capable of perceiving the external world, is something independent of the human brain. We need an explanation beyond any material concept to account for someone being able to be aware of something, to analyze it, think and choose, and for all other human characteristics. These words by Thomas Huxley are significant evidence that even a committed materialist can see the true facts, despite his being an evolutionist and even known as “Darwin’s bulldog”: <sup>99</sup>

How it is that anything so remarkable as a state of consciousness comes about as a result of irritating nervous tissue, is just as unaccountable as the appearance of Djinn when Aladdin rubbed his lamp. <sup>100</sup>

It is impossible for a structure consisting of fat, water and protein to give rise to human identity that can perceive, think, and rejoice, an entity capable of feeling pride and excitement. Materialists’ claims have completely collapsed in the face of the fact that perceptions are independent of the brain.

Sir Rudolf Peierls, one of the 20th century’s leading physicists, has said this:

The premise that you can describe in terms of physics the whole function of a human being . . . including its knowledge, and its consciousness, is untenable. There is still something missing. <sup>101</sup>

Peter Russell says that the material world belonging to us is something solely produced by consciousness:

When we realize that everything we know, including the whole material world that we experience “out there” is part of the phenomenon, the image constructed in consciousness, we find the truth is a complete reversal of our everyday view. Matter, as we know it, is a creation of consciousness. . . . Thus the ultimate nature of reality—the reality we experience

that is, not the reality of the noumena, of whose nature we have no knowledge—is consciousness. Space, time, matter, energy—the whole substantial world built up from our sense perceptions—is created within consciousness. The essence of this whole phenomenal world is not matter, but consciousness.<sup>102</sup>

What we attempt to describe as reality is actually based on consciousness. Color, sound, smell, taste, time, matter—in short, everything that we perceive in the world is a form and feature within consciousness. Thanks to our consciousness, we are able to conceive all things in the universe.

But we cannot observe consciousness in the external world. Peter Russell sets out the reason why:

The reason we do not find consciousness in the world we observe is because consciousness is not part of the picture generated in our minds.<sup>103</sup>

As Russell states, the consciousness that perceives the outside world is not inside the external world we observe. Therefore, it is impossible for us to see and analyze it. Russell likens consciousness to light reflected onto a cinema screen. In the story portrayed in the film, there is no evidence that only light rays are being projected onto the screen. Human beings have direct experience with only the image on the screen. The light itself, without which there can be no image at all, goes unnoticed.

In the same way, consciousness possesses no tangible, visible existence since it is not in the material world we observe.

Diane Ackerman has described consciousness in these terms:

The brain is silent, dark, and dumb. It feels nothing. It sees nothing. . . . The brain can hurl itself across mountains or into outer space. The brain can imagine an apple and experience it as real. Indeed, the brain barely knows the difference between an imagined apple and an observed one. . . . The brain is not the mind . . . [The mind is] Like a ghost in a machine, some say.<sup>104</sup>

**Contrary to the idea that materialist scientists seek to impose on us, the subject of consciousness is not inexplicable. The soul bestowed on human beings by Allah is a conscious entity aware of its own existence. No matter how much materialists may wish to deny it, any conscious human beings will indisputably be aware of the souls they bear.**



## The source of consciousness: the human soul

So far, we have proved that the external world we perceive consists of a shadow world that arises within consciousness, and that we can never directly experience material existence. In the light of these conclusions, the concept of “absolute matter” envisaged by materialist philosophy loses all validity. Yet we still face an important difficulty that needs to be explained. Peter Russell summarizes the question:

They are asking how it is that a complex network of neurons can give rise to conscious experience. How does something as immaterial as consciousness arise from something as unconscious as the material world? Is it a result of the complex patterning of data across the neural net? Is it due to quantum coherence effects in microtubules within the neurons? Or is it something else?

. . . When we distinguish between the two realities, the question disappears to be replaced by its opposite: How is it that matter, space, time, color, sound, form, and all the other qualities we experience emerge in consciousness? What is the process of manifestation within the mind?<sup>105</sup>

This really important question should be clarified. What is consciousness made up of? What gives rise to this whole vivid world inside consciousness? This is a question that 21st-century scientists are still seeking to answer, about which they write books and hold conferences and seek to resolve—but which, for some reason, they are reluctant to settle. Hundreds of books and articles and analyses by countless scientists have failed to provide the expected answer to what the source of consciousness is.

The subject of consciousness is regarded as one of the greatest mysteries of the 21st century. Almost all researchers, writers and professors concerned with the topic have begun by stating that the subject is as yet unexplained, and end by again emphasizing that inexplicability.

One such example is these words by Jeffrey M. Schwartz:

. . . although correlating physical brain activity with mental events is an unquestionable scientific triumph, it has left many students of the brain unsatisfied. For neither neuroscientist nor philosopher has adequately explained how the behavior of neurons can give rise to subjectively felt mental states. Rather, the puzzle of how patterns of neuronal activity become transformed into subjective awareness, the neurobiologist Robert Doty argued in 1998, “remains the cardinal mystery of human existence.”<sup>106</sup>

But is this subject really impossible to explain? Or does it suggest a fact that scientists are unwilling to see? Are scientists who defend quantum physics under the influence of the materialism they have for so long regarded as the truth? Or is something else preventing them from seeing the truth?

Consciousness is very definitely not incapable of explanation. The entity that says “I see” the image in the brain, that says “I hear” the sounds in the brain, the entity that is aware of its own existence, is the soul bestowed on mankind by Allah. Materialist minds are unwilling for this to be known. They refrain from this truth being noticed. That is the basic reason why materialist scientists claim that the issue of consciousness “has still not been resolved.” The absolute existence of the soul, and the fact that it is Allah Who bestows the soul on human beings, utterly overturn all their materialist beliefs and claims. No matter how much they attempt to brand the subject as “inexplicable,” it is the soul that is the source of consciousness and that says “I am me.”

In the Qur’an Allah has revealed that He first created the human body and then breathed His spirit into it: **When your Lord said to the angels, “I am creating a human being out of dried clay formed from fetid black mud. When I have formed him and breathed My Spirit into him, fall down in prostration in front of him!” (Qur’an, 15:28-29)**

This is the most essential fact that scientists investigating the subject need to accept and admit. William Tiller, a Stanford University professor of materials science and engineering, is one of those scientists who do make such an admission:

In my modeling, the observer is the spirit inside the four-layer bio-bodysuit. And so, it’s like the ghost in the machine.<sup>107</sup>

It is the human soul that can see without requiring an eye, can hear without needing an ear, and can think without a brain.

# *Conversations with Adnan Oktar*



*It is Allah Who does all things*

**ADNAN OKTAR:** ... Some people blow their own trumpets, saying "I did this, I made that." But Allah shows these things as images in a space the size of a lentil inside the brain. Those who say "I did it, I made it" are in a space the size of a lentil. Allah creates everything. Human beings can do nothing. Of course people say, "I did it," when talking out of appearances. But in fact, Allah does everything. For example, you say, "Come here." Allah creates your saying that. Allah also creates the words of the person who says he has arrived, and Almighty Allah brings him to you. For example, I put this drink down here, then I drink it. But Allah creates the whole episode inside my brain. Allah creates its taste and appearance and smell. He could eliminate the taste altogether, so I would taste nothing. Or the smell, so I would smell nothing. If He eliminated the entire image, that would be it, and I would perceive nothing. And if He eliminated the sense of touch, there would just be an empty void left.

Since Allah produces the sensation of touch inside my brain, I imagine this is in my hand. For example, I am quite convinced I am touching it with my finger now. But I am not. This stems from a sensation in my brain. The sensation of touch goes to my brain from my fingertips, the nerve endings carry it along, for a very, very long way, until it enters my brain and gives rise to a sensation of touch inside my center of consciousness.

**I say, "I have touched" something. But that is not right. The sense of touch does not arise here, but in my brain. Yet I imagine it is in my fingertips.** For instance, we are sitting here now, aren't we? We are sure of that, but all the sensations are arising in our brains. Sound and speech appear to come from a distance, even in three-dimensions, but they

are all created in our brains. Because when the nerves going to our brains are severed, we cannot hear anything. But we will hear the moment they are restored. But people are reluctant to think about that. I talk about it from time to time. In due course, I will describe it in such a way that everyone can understand and they will not avoid the matter any longer.

*Interview dated 03 November 2010  
www.a9.com.tr / en.harunyahya.tv*



## The human soul and disappearing materialism

There are only two ways to live your life: One is as though nothing is a miracle. The other is as if everything is. I believe in the latter. <sup>108</sup>

—Albert Einstein

The existence of the soul scientifically eliminates the principle of atheism, on whose behalf materialists have been struggling for so long. The existence of the soul abolishes materialism and shows the absolute existence of Allah. Knowing that there is a soul, independent of the body, that perceives, sees, hears, understands, feels happiness, takes pleasure from the scent of flowers and enjoys listening to music will require all human beings to live in the knowledge of their responsibilities to Allah.

Acceptance of the fact of the existence of the soul will demolish the theory of evolution, which claims that all living things develop by chance, by evolving from one another, and that human beings and chimpanzees share a common ancestor.

Scientific acceptance of the existence of the soul will therefore totally eradicate the materialist world order, imposed over the years by the use of various forms of propaganda, publishing and brainwashing techniques.

Materialist scientists know that the property that makes human beings human is the soul. Yet for these reasons, they pretend not to know. Fred Alan Wolf expresses this truth:

Today, you will quickly see by perusing the latest books about the overlap of science, God, and the soul, that most if not all of them attempt either to explain away the soul as a material process, missing its essential points (that it is sacred and immortal) and its essential purpose (that it is necessary for consciousness to exist) or never discuss it at all in spite of the promising book titles. <sup>109</sup>

As can be seen from scientists' words, science has become a concept rooted solely in materialism. Rather than accepting the revealed facts, everything done in the name of science assumes a form adapted to materialism. That being so, today we are dealing with a major inconsistency: science rejects the whole of the material world that human beings experience with regard to consciousness, and still ignores it in the name of being so-called scientific.

Fred Alan Wolf describes, as a scientist himself, what the scientific approach should be:



**The materialist world view and order that materialists brought about through years of various propaganda and brainwashing techniques have been overturned by proof of the soul's existence and the scientific acceptance thereof.**





My major concern, coming out of the ranks of science, has been my own arrogance. How arrogant I was, to put down other people's ideas that didn't agree with my scientific view. When I went around the world and spent time with indigenous peoples and tribes, I realized that my arrogance just didn't fit in. Like the man in the story by H. G. Wells, I thought that in the country of scientifically blind, the one-eyed man would be king. In fact, I was the one who was blind. I was intellectually incapacitated. As long as I held on to my scientific view, I couldn't see. I thought I saw everything; I didn't see anything. So I had to give up much of what I previously held as real, in order to see what these people saw. And when I was finally able to attain this new vision, it totally changed my view of science. And I began seeing science as a tool—not the be-all and end-all of the universe, but a tool to help us begin to dig deeper into the nature of what it means to be a human being. I don't think we've arrived at that point yet. I don't think we're quite awake yet. I think we are all still asleep—dreaming, hoping, wishing—mechanically relying on our intellect to lead us out of the morass in which we constantly find ourselves. When we can use our heart and our spirit as well as our brain, that's when science will begin to adapt to a new world order.<sup>110</sup>

Wolf is emphasizing that science is simply a vehicle for understanding the creation that pervades the universe. That sublime creation belongs to Allah alone. Allah, the Lord of all, is the only absolute Entity. Human beings can see what He has created by using their brains, and science can discover them and understand the artistry and sublimity in them. Science is only a means of reaching Allah's works and seeing the details in them.

Another writer to have realized this is Craig Hamilton, editor of the journal *What Is Enlightenment?:*

But as years passed, and my inbred agnosticism gradually gave way to a committed spiritual quest, I soon began to have experiences of a deeper reality, far beyond anything described in my science textbooks. In the face of this unfolding world of meaning, purpose, and mystery, the notion that science held the keys to ultimate truth began to seem increasingly hard to accept . . . .

Yet when I see evolutionary biologists using the unproven dogmas of neo-Darwinian theory to convince our kids that they live in a purposeless universe, my sympathies toward science start to fade once again. <sup>111</sup>

It is important that materialist scientists should be aware of this fact, because the question "Who is it who perceives?" has only one answer, and that answer is no longer a physical one. It is the soul bestowed on man by Allah that perceives. So long as people fail to realize this or behave as if they did not, none of their statements or descriptions regarding consciousness are of any consequence. The evidence so clearly revealed by quantum physics will have been ignored. It is obvious that what makes human beings human goes far beyond any anatomical concept claimed by materialists. To seek a material explanation is to ignore the facts, and is a waste of time. The soul observes the images in the brain. It is the soul that smells and tastes, that feels when one touches someone, that listens to the words of another person. The fact which we have set out with endless proofs and that has been scientifically proved in the present day is that the brain does not perceive. As the well-known French philosopher Henri Bergson has stated: "the world is made up of images, these images only exist in our consciousness; and the brain is one of these images."<sup>112</sup>

That being so, it is only the soul that observes, rejoices, thinks, feels affection, finds food delicious and feels softness. The property that makes human beings human is something independent of the body. It is the human soul that enjoys looking at a landscape, that feels compassion towards a tiny sparrow, that realizes that a meal tastes delicious, that enjoys listening to beautiful music, that can make difficult decisions, that can think and discover the truth, that can investigate its own identity and arrive at conclusions.

The physicist Erwin Schrödinger describes how the material body cannot be the explanation of the perceptual world:

. . . recall the bright, joyful eyes with which your child beams upon you when you bring him a new toy, and then let the physicist tell you that in reality nothing emerges from these eyes; in reality their only objectively detectable function is, continually to be hit by and to receive light quanta. In reality! A strange reality! Something seems to be missing in it.<sup>113</sup>

Is it logical to assume that the ability to make judgments and decisions, and emotions such as joy, excitement and disappointment are the result of the activities of the neurons in the brain? Can unconscious atoms combine to know about rejoicing, sorrow, flavor, friendship and the joys of good conversation? Can unconscious atoms combine to give rise to scientists who investigate the brain, interpret their findings, struggle to understand consciousness and strive to come up with an answer? Is it just the electrical signals traveling through the brain that make human beings human and permit them to perceive the external world?

Which neuron in the brain decides on something, feels longing or sympathy, or is amazed at a sunset's beauty? If consciousness does all these things, then in which neuron in the brain does consciousness lie? Where is it? Which chemical reaction gives rise to consciousness? What chemical reaction decides that a person should like apples, but dislike spinach? If everything is in the brain, which neuron thinks? Which one decides? Where is the neuron that is excited by its decisions?

Materialists have to answer all these questions. If they arrived at the conclusion that "Consciousness is the source of everything," then they must indicate where in the brain consciousness resides. If everything consists of matter, they should be able to do that. If they cannot, it means that human beings do not consist of a collection of neurons and atoms. Consciousness does not reside in some secret region of the brain. Neither is it concealed anywhere in the body. It is something beyond all materialist concepts. Man is metaphysical, and the soul he possesses makes him human. This soul belongs to Allah alone.

The famous Swiss psychologist Carl Jung, a colleague of Sigmund Freud, made the following statement on the subject:

All science however is a function of the soul, in which all knowledge is rooted. The soul is the greatest of all cosmic miracles, it is the *conditio sine qua non* [an essential condition] of the world as an object. It



**Consciousness does not reside anywhere in the body. Man is an entity beyond all materialist concepts. Man is metaphysical, and becomes human through the soul he possesses. That soul belongs to our Lord, Almighty Allah, alone.**

is exceedingly astonishing that the Western world (apart from very rare exceptions) seems to have so little appreciation of this being so.<sup>114</sup>

With the soul he possesses, man is an entity endowed with such concepts as honor, love, respect, friendship, loyalty and honesty, and is able to hold and oppose ideas. In the same way that none of the cells in your fingertip is able to think and make decisions, or grieve or rejoice, the neurons in the brain, which have a similar structure, have no means of possessing metaphysical attributes. This is one fact that everyone can clearly understand, without the need for scientific proof.

Indeed, materialists are also aware of this. But their materialist prejudices and their error of thinking that science consists solely of matter impel them to distort the truth. Yet what they maintain in the name of materialism indicates a serious logical collapse. There is no difference between someone who says "Our thoughts are the product of atoms" and someone else who believes his dreams to be real or who makes up unbelievable stories and then believes them. However, rather than admit the existence of Allah, materialists are prepared to risk this humiliating state of affairs.

The fact is that man is an entity who perceives with the soul bestowed on him by Allah, who thinks with that soul, who speaks with it, and rejoices, feels happiness, takes decisions, rules nations and governs societies with it. Man is an entity with the soul given by Allah, and that soul is eternal. The body is merely a vehicle in this world. Man will leave the body behind when he dies, yet the soul will continue. He will now reside either in Paradise or in Hell.

**He [Allah] is the Raiser of ranks, the Possessor of the Throne, He sends the Spirit by His command to whichever of His servants He wills so that he may warn mankind about the Day of Meeting: the Day when they will issue forth and when not one thing about them will be hidden from Allah. "To whom does the kingdom belong today? To Allah, the One, the Conqueror! Every self will be repaid today for what it earned. Today there will be no injustice. Allah is swift at reckoning." (Qur'an, 40:15-17)**

## The only Absolute Being is our Lord Almighty, Allah

Throughout history, materialists have enthusiastically embraced the deception that “Matter is absolute” in order to deny that our Almighty Lord is the Creator and sovereign of all things. That is why explanations regarding the essence of matter are so important, because this information proves the falseness of this deception which has been maintained for so many years. Understanding that we can have direct experience of only a copy of matter, and that man is not simply a collection of flesh and bone, enables us to grasp the fact that we are also possessed of consciousness and a soul. It is our Almighty Lord Who creates this soul and consciousness in man, who is in turn a servant belonging to Allah. Our Almighty Lord, Allah, is therefore the sole Entity with dominion over the Earth and the heavens.

This fact will awaken enormous amazement in the face of the might and dominion of Allah and the perfection in His creation. Allah creates the boundless universe, with its countless flawless details, both materially on the outside and also separately in the brain of every human being. All the details in the universe are flawlessly and constantly brought into being as phantoms within the human brain. So perfect and flawless is this creation of Allah’s that it appears exceedingly realistic and convincing right down to the tiniest details, even though it is obvious that it actually consists of a dream.

There are no flaws or defects in our Lord’s creation. People who fail to use their reasoning powers are taken in by this flawlessness and imagine that they have direct experience of matter, and never doubt, even for a single moment, that the images they see are illusory.

The soul observes all these things. The billions of people on Earth observe images that are shown to them at every moment. They feel joy, reflect and take decisions in the light of these images. But it is only thanks to the soul that they are able to do this.

Our human soul is a part of our Lord’s Spirit that He breathes into us. This makes it clear that He is the sole absolute Entity, the true Lord of every soul. The might and power of Allah pervade all things and all places. All the entities we perceive and imagine to be material are actually images created by our Lord. And the beings created from His Own Spirit observe those images.

In one verse Allah reveals that:

**Allah, there is no deity but Him, the Living, the Self-Sustaining. He is not subject to drowsiness or sleep. Everything in the heavens and the earth belongs to Him. Who can intercede with Him except by His permission? He knows what is before them and what is behind them but they cannot grasp any of His knowledge save what He wills. His Footstool encompasses the heavens and the Earth and their preservation does not tire Him. He is the Most High, the Magnificent. (Qur’an, 2:255)**



In order for people to grasp the marvelous nature of our Lord's creation and the true essence of the world they perceive, they need to pray to Allah. Because it is He Who creates all these things, and only He can give people an understanding of this, at the time of His choosing.


Peter Russell is one of those scientists who have realized this fact:

I think my reality is the only reality. Sometimes, however, I recognize there could be another way of seeing things, but I don't know what it is. I can't make the shift on my own; I need help. But where do I go for help? Other people are as likely to be caught in this thought system as I am. The place to go for help is deep within, to that level of consciousness that lies beyond the materialistic mindset—to the God within. I have to ask God for help. I have to pray. <sup>115</sup>

Someone who realizes the truth about matter will have definitively understood that no other entity apart from Allah has any power at all. That understanding will lead the individual to adopt Allah as his only Deity and to turn to Him alone. Awareness of the soul's existence invalidates all those materialist claims that prevent people from being His servants. A person will clearly see that there is no other entity apart from Allah that can possibly be adopted as Divine. He will therefore not believe any of the materialist accounts set out before him regarding the life of this world.

**Human beings are entities possessing the spirit of Allah and this spirit is eternal. With death, they will leave their bodies behind in this world, but the soul will continue to live forever in the hereafter.**





That understanding in turn will bring an end to all passionate devotion to this world, to any thirst for material gain, pride and self-interest. He will understand that greed, self-aggrandizement, and the search for material things lose meaning in a world where everything is an illusion. Anyone will then make attaining the approval of Allah and the Paradise, in which he hopes to spend his eternal life, as his sole objectives. The sublime existence of Allah enfolds everywhere and everything.

All details, great or small, that a person experiences in the life of this world are proofs of our Lord's wisdom, might and artistry. However, people who materialist philosophy has deceived into believing that matter is the sole absolute entity look for some other, equally material entity to which they can ascribe all this perfection.

This arises from their failure to realize that they are living inside an illusion.

When matter is revealed as an illusion, we see clearly the existence of the soul. Allah is the only absolute Entity, Who pervades and enfolds all things and is unfettered by space and time. He reveals this in another verse:

**Eyesight cannot perceive Him, but He perceives eyesight. . . . (Qur'an, 6:103)**

Allah pervades our internal and external lives, our sight, our thoughts and all our being. We can do nothing, not even breathe, without His knowledge.

Allah, the one absolute En-

tity, certainly knows everything there is to know about the world that He has created as an illusion, and about man, into whom He has breathed His Own Spirit.

This is a very simple matter for Allah. As we live our lives, and experience those perceptions we imagine to be “the external world,” it is not the illusory objects and other people that are closest to us, but rather our Lord.

In one verse Allah reveals that:

**We created man and We know what his own self whispers to him. We are nearer to him than his jugular vein. (Qur’an, 50:16)**

If someone believes that he has direct experience of the physical world and imagines that his own body is made up of matter alone, he falls into a serious error and fails to grasp this great truth. He imagines that Allah is up in the sky or somewhere else far removed from us (Surely He is beyond any such misconception) and fails to realize that Allah is actually closer to him than even his own body. However, once he realizes that he can never have direct contact with the outside world and must experience everything in his mind alone, then everything—the external world, his car, the Sun and stars he imagines to be so far away from him—will become as a garment that enfolds him, all on the same plane. Allah has completely enfolded him and is infinitely close to him—as He has revealed in the Qur’an:

**If My servants ask you about Me, I am near. . . (Qur’an, 2:186)**

It is essential that people live in this knowledge. Someone who is unaware of it will imagine that this transitory world, to which he has been sent solely for a test, is in fact the true life. He imagines that all his passions, expectations and pleasures need to be directed towards this world. A firm belief that one can experience matter directly may cause one to turn away from belief in Allah and to forget that we will be called into His Presence in the Hereafter. By imagining this world to be absolute and striving to obtain its imaginary delights, one may suffer terrible disappointment in the Hereafter. Allah has warned people of the truth of this:

**What! Are they in doubt about the meeting with their Lord? What! Does He not encompass all things? (Qur’an, 41:54)**



## How does someone live who knows he bears the Spirit of Allah?

The external world is created for us solely as an illusion, and we observe it through the soul, which in turn belongs to Allah. All who come to realize this will see that all created entities belong to Him and will seek to understand the wisdom in our Lord's sublime creation. They will realize that the life of this world consists of a test presented through the images shown to them, and that their true life will be that in the eternal Hereafter. When they realize that this world consists of a transitory dream they will also abandon their devotion to this life, which has no material reality, and to the things of this world. They will direct their true love and devotion to our Almighty Lord, Who has endless power, the only true Lord of all, Whose existence pervades all things. They will see the illogicality of seeking after a mere illusion. They will instead seek to attain the approval of Allah, the true Lord of existence and eternity. They will understand that the love and approval, the mercy and Paradise of Allah are far too valuable to be exchanged for anything created in this world of illusion. Once they comprehend that fact, they will strive to attain the life of Paradise, with its infinite blessings, instead of being caught up in longing for the worthless worldly desires, seeking to gain advantages and resorting to oppression, cruelty and ruthlessness to that end.

They will seek to devote the brief life span allotted to them to exhibiting proper moral values and behaving in the finest manner possible. They will hope to attain the best of all things in the Hereafter and do all they can to have no regrets in that eternal life. The more they appreciate our Lord's might, they will realize that Allah has created infinite blessings in Paradise, but infinite suffering in Hell.

**What we experience during the life of this world is part of the test created for us by Allah. Our responsibility is to display the good manners we hope will be most pleasing to Allah.**





For someone who understands that the whole world consists of shadow entities and that only our Almighty Lord has absolute existence, enjoyment of this world will lose all meaning. In the face of events he imagines to be the most frightening or distressing, that person's entire perspective will change, because everything consists of illusory entities and events and created through our Lord's will.

Just as the troubles, difficulties and woes in our dreams lose all significance when we awaken, the events, sorrows and troubles of this shadow world are similarly trivial. The life of this world is simply a part of the test created for us, and our responsibility is to demonstrate the moral virtues and good manners most pleasing to Allah in the face of these. In the Hereafter, the imaginary images created within this test will lose their meanings and importance. What remains will be the good works performed in order to obtain Allah's approval. Whether or not a person realizes this now, when the life of the Hereafter begins, he will certainly understand that everything in the world consists of an illusion and that the reality is our Lord and the Hereafter created by Him.

This is revealed in a verse:

**The life of this world is nothing but a game and a diversion. The abode of the Hereafter—that is truly Life, if they only knew. (Qur'an, 29:64)**

When one watches a television screen, one is aware that all the characters in it are entirely fictitious. There is no need to feel anger at what they do or sorrow at what happens to them. In the same way, one should not fall into a similar error in the life of this world. That is because, just like a television program, the life of this world consists of images laid out constantly before us. In the same way that someone who is dreaming becomes angry or is saddened by what befalls him in that dream, but realizes when he wakes that all this was completely illusory, the same applies to the life of this world. Sooner or later, either in this world or in the Hereafter, one will realize that one never has direct contact with the outside world, and that all one's worries are completely meaningless.

These images are created solely as a test. What matters is to realize that they are indeed fictitious and to behave in a manner compatible with Allah's approval and to live for that purpose. Allah has revealed in His verses that this world, consisting solely of images for us, has been created merely as a test:

**To mankind, the love of worldly appetites is painted in glowing colors: women and children, and heaped-up mounds of gold and silver, and horses with fine markings, and livestock and fertile farmland. All that is merely the enjoyment of the life of this world. The best homecoming is in the Presence of Allah. (Qur'an, 3:14)**

**Know that the life of this world is merely a game and a diversion and ostentation and a cause of boasting among yourselves and trying to outdo one another in wealth and children: like the plant-growth after rain which delights the cultivators, but then it withers and you see it turning yellow, and then it becomes broken stubble. In the Hereafter, there is terrible punishment but also forgiveness from Allah and His good pleasure. The life of this world is nothing but the enjoyment of delusion. (Qur'an, 57:20)**

The position of those who imagine that the life of this world is the genuine one is revealed in the Qur'an:

**But the actions of those who disbelieve are like a mirage in the desert. A thirsty man thinks it is water but when he reaches it, he finds it to be nothing at all, but he finds Allah there. He will pay him his account in full. Allah is swift at reckoning. (Qur'an, 24:39)**

When people understand that the things they imagine they possess in this world are actually illusory, they will realize that they have harbored sorrows and desires for nothing. They have wasted their time and attached needless importance to material wants and desires. They will realize that the people they imagined to be so important are actually illusory entities and that their pride is meaningless. They will understand that all things must bow their heads to Allah, Who has created them all, and thus they will live happier, more peaceful lives. They will be freed from having to prove themselves to others, worrying about the kind of impression they give, and such negative emotions

as hatred, anger and envy. Knowing that all things are an illusion, they will not compete with illusory entities or harbor hatred and enmity as a result. In an environment in which everyone has submitted to Allah alone, modesty, submission, affection, love and honesty will prevail.

Whether or not someone accepts this fact in this world, he will still see matters very clearly when he dies and is subsequently resurrected in the Hereafter. On that day, “**sight is sharp**” (Qur’an, 50:22), as Allah tells us in one verse. People will become much more aware of all things. If he has spent his life in this world pursuing illusory aims, he will wish he never lived there.

As revealed in another verse, people will have great regrets:

**[He who is given his Book in his left hand will say,] “If only death had really been the end! My wealth has been of no use to me. My power has vanished.” (Qur’an, 69:27-29)**

Those who realize in this world that our Lord is the only absolute Entity will thus be saved from terrible regrets in the Hereafter. They will use the term allotted to them in earthly life to gain Allah’s approval and to live in the manner commanded by our Lord. They will see the meaninglessness of ascribing any importance to this world, and that the path to ease, comfort and happiness lies in living for Allah, without becoming caught up by earthly desires. This is a great blessing and easing of their burden. False desires that wear people down, false expectations and idols adopted in the false belief that they are divine (Surely Allah is beyond that) will all disappear entirely. People will realize that it is our Lord, the One and Only, Who pervades and enfolds all things. They will attain the greatest ease and safety by submitting to Him.

We are informed in one verse of the difference between those who adopt the false deities of this world and those who take Allah as their only Deity:

**Allah has made a metaphor for them of a man owned by several partners in dispute with one another and another man wholly owned by a single man. Are they the same? Praise be to Allah! The fact is that most of them do not know. (Qur’an, 39:29)**

It is of the greatest importance for someone who believes in Allah to know the true facts about matter and to reflect on them in depth. Someone who knows that Allah enfolds all things and all places will behave sincerely towards Him at every moment. He will know that he may face death at any time, that this world will come to an end, and that he will be confronted by the true life of the Hereafter. Knowing this and behaving accordingly is a great advantage that will bring infinite beauty and blessings, by the will of Allah.

## **The Real Nature of Matter and Vanishing Materialism**

For a materialist, realizing that we can never experience the material world directly comes as a terrible disappointment. In a materialist’s distorted world view, it is alarming and worrying to realize that we are created with a soul bestowed on us by Allah and that the material world consists of images shown to that soul. That is because in the false religion of materialism, materialists worship matter (Surely Allah is beyond that) and believe that lack of purpose, lack of consciousness, and coincidences all manifest on Earth. To be able to oppose the fact that they were created, they deny that the universe has any beginning or end. They inexplicably espouse the error that the universe is eternal and timeless. They make the deceptive suggestion that unconscious processes explain the origins of the behavior of human beings, and birds, and worms, claiming that all these are the product of a material world. According to this distorted materialist perspective, in a human being’s internal world, there is no entity that perceives, thinks, and takes decisions. Everything is supposedly the result of the physical materials that constitute human beings, in other words, unconscious cells, organelles and atoms.

In short, there is no room for anything immaterial in the false world of materialism. The main reason for this materialist logic is the attempt to oppose belief in Allah and to avoid believing in Him

and the Hereafter. The most important pretext and supposed evidence that materialists put forward to refute belief in the existence of Allah is the existence of matter. However, everything described throughout the course of this book reinforces the scientifically proven fact that matter, existing externally, is for us merely a copy. All this does away with greatest evidence at materialism's disposal and manifestly eliminates it.

That is why the fundamental truth about matter is so alarming to materialists.

In the past, matter's essential nature was a concept recognized and openly expressed by only a few thinkers and scientists. But it is now an irrefutable truth made this certain for the first time. Now raised with certain scientific proof, this subject is not something that even materialists can deny. In the light of the facts revealed by quantum physics, matter—materialists' sole foundation—has been shown to be an illusion within the perceptual world created for man by Allah. What was formerly imagined to be the concrete basis of our entire existence, has suddenly become an abstract concept. Materialists' greatest evidence, which they thought they could employ in the most powerful manner against belief in Creation, suddenly vanished in the light of these scientific discoveries.

It was not just atoms and molecules that were found to be illusory entities. So were houses, cars, giant ships, the sky, mountains, planets, space and even the human body itself.

The claim regarding matter, which materialists had adopted as their own deity (Surely Allah is beyond that), had finally come to an end.

Materialism was left with no evidence to support it. The existence of matter, from which materialists drew strength and in which they placed their trust in their struggle against religion, has now become inexplicable.

This is a glorious snare that Allah has laid for the deniers. The facts showed those who imagined that they could oppose Him that the false idols they so trusted as being unshakeable were all illusions. The claims of materialism, which they had imagined to be so powerful, are now openly contradicted by the eternal might and power of Allah. No doubt that all the snares that they themselves have set are now doomed to fail.

**They plotted and Allah plotted. But Allah is the best of plotters. (Qur'an, 3:54)**

Sooner or later materialists, who have lost all their foundations in the face of His glorious order, must face the life of the Hereafter that they once denied. And like all others, they will have to account for themselves in the Presence of Allah. In the Hereafter, any who adopted what is visible and tangible in the life of this world will realize that they have awakened from a dream and that they expended all their efforts for the sake of a dream. Yet the regret they feel in the Hereafter will be one from which there is no escape.

Allah tells us in the Qur'an that:

**Arguing in it with one another, they will say, "By Allah, we were plainly misguided when we equated you [the liars] with the Lord of all the worlds. It was only the evildoers who misguided us, and now we have no one to intercede for us; we do not have a single loyal friend. If only we could have another chance, then we would be among the believer!" There is certainly a sign in that, yet most of them are not believers. (Qur'an, 26:96-103)**

So long as he remains in this world, a person still has the opportunity to see the truth and to turn to Allah. Having believed in materialism all one's life does not mean that one must persist in that error until death. Espousing a philosophy that has been outworn, and expending one's life in its pursuit is not behavior in which any rational person of good conscience can engage. The important thing is not to persist in this after seeing the truth and to grasp that truth, which in any case becomes crystal clear with death.



# *Conversations with Adnan Oktar*

*Someone who grasps the true nature of matter will better  
understand the secret of paradise*

**ADNAN OKTAR:** ... The system changes entirely (in paradise). Allah totally alters the laws of physics and chemistry; there is a verse about it saying that **Allah will recreate all new**. This is not the system we are familiar with. In the same way we dream we are eating and we can eat as much as we like and never become full, that is how it is there. This is a secret of Allah's. I talk about this from time to time, one aspect of it. I talk about the nature of matter. Matter does exist on the outside, but it exists as an image. **Matter on the outside is transparent, like glass. Matter exists as concentrated energy on the outside. It consists of concentrated energy and is transparent and black and white. There is no color on the outside. We see things as colored in our brains. In paradise, when we imagine a thing it will appear before us.** For example, when you imagine an orange you can see it in your mind. You can bring it to life in your brain. We imagine a city, and we can see it in our mind's eye. We can produce it in our minds, with roads and buildings, somewhere we have never even seen, in a moment, can't we? You say you are going to imagine a street in Paris, and you can see it right away. In full detail, right down to the windows and shutters. You imagine a nice piece of fruit or a laid table, and the thought has your mouth watering right away. That is how it is in paradise. Allah creates this deliberately in this world, so we can grasp the system. You see a bird in paradise and imagine it roasted in front of you. And it appears straight away. That system already exists in this world, but in a very blurred form. In paradise the system is crystal clear. Then when you imagine that bird fully formed again, the pieces come back together again and it flies off. Matter and everything is mobile there. That is actually their normal state, how they should be. It is their form in this world that is the exception.

The way that dust and dirt form in this world is a miracle. Normally, there should be no such thing. It happens as a miracle. Matter never grows old or dirty or dies or falls ill. Becoming ill is a miracle. A person may dream of catching a disease and becomes ill. Is that logical? He falls ill despite having no virus or whatever. Or he dreams of being hit by a car, his head is injured and he is admitted to hospital. Allah will create a similar system in paradise. Of course, the original of matter always exists on the outside. But it exists as a shadow entity, as intense energy. We can never, ever see the original of it, but Allah sees it. We only see as much as Allah allows us to see. Dawkins says, "I entered the laboratory and did research." He can never enter any laboratory apart from the one Allah shows him in his head. Allah also creates the laboratory on the outside, from concentrated energy. But he can never see that original laboratory, only the image of it shown him by Allah. Allah says, "I created it" on the outside. The entity does exist on the outside.

## *The existence of the soul is the greatest dilemma for evolutionists*

**ADNAN OKTAR:** ... Some people are unaware of the structure of the protein molecule. You need another protein in order for protein to form. Do you know what that means? It means it is impossible. All scientists and all Darwinists know that protein is a very large and complex molecule. It is a very delicate molecule that is damaged when it comes into contact with any chemical substance. It needs to be inside the cell in order to be preserved, and to be produced by other proteins within the cell. But people sit down and talk about it coming into being by chance. What do they say? "Protein came into being by chance in America one day." "Then one appeared in France," they say. The wind picked that protein up and carried it to another one. "A protein also formed in Venezuela," they say. They never talk about them being right- or left-handed. "And the wind picked that one up, as well, and carried it to the others." Look, it is impossible for a protein to form by chance. They say, "Hundreds of thousands of proteins combined together and they suddenly produced a cell." They flew in the air and combined together; from under the ground, another from the air, each one forming by chance."

That is all scientifically impossible. Zero probability. Then all those specks supposedly came together and came to life. Then they gave rise to oranges and fruit trees and scientists and cities. **Then matter suddenly decided to form the soul. It suddenly began to see. Matter suddenly decided to hear and to see. But first, it made eyes and ears. At first, they were of no use, since the eye and ear have no function in the absence of the soul.** "In the beginning, there was no soul, only matter," they say. Then the body made the eye.

**But what use to the body is an eye without a soul? It cannot see, it is of no use. "It made the ear," they say. But why make an ear if that ear cannot hear? "It made the tongue," they say. But why, if that tongue cannot taste? What is the point of touch without someone to perceive it? Why should such a sense exist? Try to grasp the true nature of matter. Look at this colored, three-dimensional image that develops in our brains. Who shows you that image, and who watches it? Think about that. Broaden your horizons. Look at matters honestly and rationally. Allah does exist.**

*Interview dated 12  
March 2012  
www.a9.com.tr  
en.harunyahya.tv*



## DARWIN'S DILEMMA: THE SOUL

### The theory of evolution is silenced by the existence of the human soul

**C**harles Darwin and Alfred Russel Wallace, two biologists in Victorian England, claimed that all living species were descended from one another as a result of chance processes, and that they continued developing by those same changes until human beings finally emerged.

The first study on evolution by way of natural selection was jointly prepared by Darwin and Wallace. Instead of competing with one another on the subject of the theory of evolution, each admitted the other's contribution to this fantastical theory. Wallace even supported Darwin's theory of natural selection in his book entitled *Darwinism*.

When he heard of the book, Darwin's response was, "You should not speak of Darwinism for it can as well be called Wallacism."<sup>116</sup>

However, the two biologists were shortly to take different paths with regard to their illusory theory.

The theory of evolution maintains that living species descended from one another, with all their differing anatomical and physical characteristics, by way of natural selection—a chance and therefore unconscious process. According to this claim, life that began with a bacterium gave rise to the whole variety of more than a million life forms existing today. (For detailed information, see *The Evolution Deceit* by Harun Yahya.)

Darwin believed that the principle of natural selection did not account for only the emergence of morphological features such as toes or the nose, but that it also determined brain structure and therefore, mental capacities. In Darwin's view, natural selection was the force that altered and developed human beings' abilities in music, art and literature and which influenced their ability to think and take rational decisions. However, Wallace did not share that view. He thought that Darwin's principles could account for fingers and toes or simpler features, but believed that it was impossible for superior human abilities such as mathematics and music to be the work of blind coincidence.

The main reason why Wallace opposed the idea that blind coincidence could be the source of a Mozart's abilities was the element that can be described as "potential intelligence." Wallace suggested that we imagine that we have taken a young member of Aborigines unable to read or write. Let us then educate that youngster in a modern state school in Rio, New York or Tokyo. There will of course be no difference between that youngster and children brought up in those cities. As Professor Vilayanur Ramachandran explained: "According to Wallace, this means that the aborigine or Cro-Magnon possesses a potential intelligence that vastly exceeds anything that he might need for coping with his natural environment. This kind of potential intelligence can

be contrasted with kinetic intelligence, which is realized through formal education. But why the devil did this potential intelligence evolve? It couldn't have arisen for learning Latin in English schools. It couldn't have evolved for learning the calculus, even though almost anyone who tries hard enough can master it. What was the selection pressure for the emergence of these latent abilities?"<sup>117</sup>

Since Wallace believed that organisms evolved by being descended from one another via unconscious processes, he wanted to discover how this imaginary theory could account for the development of human intelligence. However, since such a thing never actually happened, he was unable to come up with any logic to back up that claim. In Wallace's words:

... when all modern writers admit the great antiquity of man, most of them maintain the very recent development of intellect, and will hardly contemplate the possibility of men equal in mental capacity to ourselves, having existed in prehistoric times.<sup>118</sup>

Ramachandran provides the following clarification:

Both the Neanderthal and Cro-Magnon cranial capacities were actually larger than ours, and it's not inconceivable that their latent potential intelligence may have been equal to or even greater than that of *Homo sapiens*.<sup>119</sup>

In fact, even Darwin openly admitted that his theory could not explain the development of human intellect, and stated that his theory could be deemed invalid for that very reason:

... as man differs so greatly in his mental power from all other animals, there must be some error in this conclusion [i.e., that man descended from some lower form].<sup>120</sup>

That being so, what was the explanation for this major development that evolution could not account for? Wallace's answer was this: It was done by Allah. According to him, human grace was an earthly expression of "Divine grace."<sup>121</sup>

At this point, there was a parting of the ways between Wallace and Darwin, who insisted that natural selection was the propulsive force of evolution and that even the most mysterious mental characteristics developed without being created by a Sublime Being. Darwin regarded Wallace's claims as a grave threat to his own theory, and said this about natural selection in a letter he wrote to Wallace in 1869: "I hope that you have not murdered too completely your own and my child."<sup>122</sup> This conclusion Wallace reached was of course incompatible with the theory of evolution, launched in order to be able to deny the existence of Allah and which drew its strength from materialism. For that reason, Wallace's ideas were swiftly swept under the carpet. Materialist circles needed to bring to the fore the idea that everything came into being through unconscious processes, and Darwin led the way in that regard.



## The lack of evolutionary evidence and the biological collapse of the theory

Ever since the 19th century, materialists' main focus has been propaganda on behalf of Darwin and Darwinism. In the 19th century, which was far more scientifically backward compared to today, it was easy to claim that natural selection gave rise to the development of all living species. The fossil strata had not been examined in depth, and the principles of genetics had not yet been discovered. It was not hard for Darwin and his supporters to distract people with imaginary scenarios given the relative ignorance, at that time.

Yet even then, people were aware of the fact that human consciousness could not be explained in terms of evolution—as noted by Wallace, despite his being one of the founders of the theory of evolution. No mechanism that operated unconsciously could account for the existence of consciousness. Evolutionists maintained that events taking place by chance, and therefore permitting no room for consciousness, in some way gave rise to consciousness, awareness, and creativity.

For this, there was no logical explanation. The theory of evolution suffered a major surprise in the 20<sup>th</sup> century. First, paleontology declared that the missing intermediate-form fossils that Darwin had been sure would be discovered in the future did not exist in the geologic record. Almost every sedimentary stratum in the world had been excavated, yet none of the intermediate forms expected by Darwin and his supporters had been found.


A second surprise for the theory of evolution was the newly discovered science of genetics, which soon showed that life forms were far too complex and had structures that were far too stable to have come about by way of the natural selection envisaged by Darwin.

Advances in science revealed that the cell was not a fluid-filled balloon, as Darwin had imagined, but an irreducibly complex structure consisting of many highly complex organelles and possessed of intelligent mechanisms.

The discovery of DNA represented perhaps one of the most lethal blows to the theory of evolution. This giant molecule, containing all the genetic information of living things, was far too complex to have developed by chance, and also had too delicate a structure to permit any change to take place within it. According to evolution, living things changed in their descent from one another by acquiring entirely new structures, organs, and features belonging to other life forms. The facts revealed by the science of genetics, however, showed that this could not happen in the manner claimed by Darwin. No scientist could dispute the complexity revealed by genetics.

Accordingly, Darwinists felt the need to concentrate on elements that might lead to alterations in the genetic structure. The only mechanism they could find for that purpose, in their own eyes at least, was mutations. They quickly adapted a new theory, neo-Darwinism, and claimed that the “mechanism” of mutations brought about genetic change.

**Twentieth-century science also put an end to the theory of evolution in the fields of paleontology, biology and genetics. The theory's lack of proof and invalidity has been made clear, and it has been proven that living things were created with all their complex structures.**






However, these people—all of them scientists—interestingly ignored that 99% of mutations are harmful or even fatal to the organisms, while the other 1% have no effect at all. Even under controlled laboratory conditions, it was impossible to bestow new genetic information on organisms by way of mutations, much less to turn them into more advanced life forms. On the contrary, every mutation either deformed an organism or led to its death. It thus became obvious what kind of effect mutations would have in an uncontrolled natural environment.

The conclusions revealed by paleontology and the facts demonstrated by genetics forced evolutionists to make constant new adjustments to their theories. Once genetics eliminated the possibility of evolution by means of natural selection, they put their hopes on mutations. And as paleontology revealed the truth about the fossil record, they resorted to the concept of “punctuated equilibrium.” All the undeniable evidence against evolution revealed by science left the theory of evolution with no explanations to offer and left it totally bankrupt. The new modifications made to the theory never led to the results evolutionists had hoped for, not a single piece of evidence supports evolution.

Every claim made by the theory was discredited. All the claims made by evolutionists were scientifically refuted. Yet evolutionists knew that one subject in particular demolished all their claims right from the outset, and they openly admitted it. This was “consciousness,” which Alfred Wallace described as being impossible to have developed by way of evolution, even as he proposed that very theory.



## Consciousness cannot be explained in terms of any Darwinist claims

. . . In the physical realm, any theory of human evolution must explain how it was that an ape-like ancestor, equipped with powerful jaws and long, dagger-like canine teeth and able to run at speed on four limbs, became transformed into a slow, bipedal animal whose natural means of defense were at best puny. Add to this the powers of intellect, speech and morality, upon which we “stand raised as upon a mountain top” as Huxley put it; and one has the complete challenge to evolutionary theory.<sup>123</sup>

— *Evolutionist science writer Roger Lewin*

After Darwin, evolution’s proponents tried various explanations for the subject of consciousness, something which was utterly inexplicable in Darwin’s terms. They claimed that imaginary primitive humans had encouraged the evolution of the brain by establishing communication with one another and by beginning to hunt and use tools. They then maintained that with the supposed development of the brain, language developed and that the ability to speak gave rise to rational thought—the most important difference between the human and the other animals.

But these claims lacked any scientific foundation. The fossil record provided not a single finding that constituted evidence for any of them. Scientific research, and experiments regarding language and consciousness, eliminated any possibility that such developments could have occurred.

All Darwinists had to offer were claims, which in roughly the same manner as all evolutionist literature, were described in terms of a dynamic scenario, but which referred to no scientific evidence. Why? Because evolution never happened.

Despite being an evolutionist, Henry Gee, editor of the well-known magazine *Nature*, makes the following comments concerning the illogical nature of this evolutionist claim:

. . . the evolution of Man is said to have been driven by improvements in posture, brain size, and the coordination between hand and eye, which led to technological achievements such as fire, the manufacture of tools, and the use of language. But such scenarios are subjective. They can never be tested by experiment, and so they are unscientific. They rely for their currency not on scientific test, but on assertion and the authority of their presentation.<sup>124</sup>

In addition to being unscientific, this claim is logically inconsistent. Evolutionists maintain that the intelligence—which supposedly emerged by way of evolution—developed the use of tools, and that intelligence then developed thanks to the use of those tools!

Evolutionists need to be able to account for the contradiction inherent in this chicken-and-the-egg scenario. This only emphasizes the dichotomy into which Wallace fell as he proposed his theory of evolution, but it still applies to the theory of evolution today.

Phillip Johnson, one of the most influential critics of Darwinism, writes on the subject:

A theory that is the product of a mind can never adequately explain the mind that produced the theory. The story of the great scientific mind that discovers absolute truth is satisfying only so long as we accept the mind itself as a given. Once we try to explain the mind as a product of its own discoveries, we are in a hall of mirrors with no exit.<sup>125</sup>

Robert Jastrow, Chairman of George Marshall Institute, comments:

It is hard to accept the evolution of the human eye as a product of chance; it is even harder to accept the evolution of human intelligence as the product of random disruptions in the brain cells of our ancestors.<sup>126</sup>

Darwinists must have realized that their claims regarding the evolution of human consciousness, based solely upon interpretation, were inadequate, inasmuch as they felt the need to dress the matter up with scientific terminology. They therefore suggested a concept they called “the phenomenon of emergence,” which, they claimed, played a role.

**He Who has created all things in the best possible way. He commenced the creation of man from clay; then produced his seed from an extract of base fluid; then formed him and breathed His Spirit into him and gave you hearing, sight and hearts. What little thanks you show!  
(Qur'an, 32:7-9)**



According to Darwinists, a pure chance phenomenon could lead to the unexpected emergence of something else. They claimed that water was a classic scientific example. On their own, hydrogen and oxygen do not bear any water-like characteristics, but the water molecules that emerge when these chemicals are combined in a specific ratio exhibit properties that could not have been predicted beforehand, from either gas. Evolutionists sought to apply this chemical observation to the subject of human consciousness, claiming that some random change in the chemistry of the brain lay at the root of human consciousness. This hypothesis—completely untestable and for which there is no scientific evidence—was a clear indication of the despairing position in which they found themselves. This exceedingly illogical claim is of course technically impossible. As everyone is perfectly well aware, human consciousness is not a phenomenon linked to physical laws in the same way that water is. The way you can imagine the appearance, smell and taste of a strawberry or the faces and voices of your relatives as if they were present is not, of course, the result of the atoms in your brain producing something that was hitherto unknown. The perception of all these things happens of your volition, and is something you are thinking about at that moment. It is impossible for physical atoms and molecules with their physical natures to combine in different ways to produce the metaphysical concept of “consciousness.”

As the philosopher and writer Christian de Quincey states, “Scientists are in the strange position of being confronted daily by the indisputable fact of their own consciousness, yet with no way of explaining it.”<sup>127</sup>

The evolutionist scientist J. Hawkes says this in an article published in the *New York Times Magazine*:

I find it difficult to believe that the extravagant glories of birds, fish, flowers and other living forms were produced solely by natural selection; I find it incredible that human consciousness was such a product. How can man’s brain, the instrument which created all the riches of civilization, which served Socrates, Shakespeare, Rembrandt, and Einstein, have been brought into being by a struggle for survival . . . ?<sup>128</sup>

This is merely a Darwinist dream, one that they intensely long to be proved true. Consciousness can definitely not be explained in terms of the ridiculous and unproven claims of evolution.

Could an entity who enjoys the rhythm of the music, enjoys a meal or else finds it lacking in flavor, loves and feels affection for another person, who investigates its own identity, who examines its own brain in the laboratory, makes discoveries, solves problems, rejoices in its successes, composes and writes books, have possibly come into being as the result of unconscious coincidences? What random chemical event could teach a human being to behave properly, to be considerate of the welfare of others? As a result of what random phenomenon can a human being possess the ability to learn something, remember it, teach it to others and to rule nations, to rejoice, grieve, become emotional,



surprise, worry or make plans? What unconscious phenomenon could make human beings capable of taking instant and logical decisions in moments of difficulty? Could the unconscious atoms in the brain possibly turn an animal into a human who constructs skyscrapers, makes airplanes, manufactures computers, voyages into space by discovering and solving mathematical formulae and who designs robots resembling himself?

How could a bacterium have developed into human beings who have founded glorious civilizations all over the world and produced such extraordinary technologies?

Evolutionary theoreticians need to answer all these questions, and more. They must explain how coincidences and random, unconscious effects gave rise to consciousness.

They should account for how unconscious events behaved in a manner superior to consciousness itself and exhibited more intent than many a conscious entity. If evolution truly took place, they would first have to come up with supporting scientific evidence and then resolve all these illogicalities. But have evolutionists been able to bring a scientific explanation to bear? Do they have a solution to the dilemma of how unconscious events bring about consciousness? Have any of these questions been explained in the countless evolutionist books that have been written, in countless evolutionist articles and conferences? No!

All evolutionists can do is to list their various claims, adorn these with fine words, avoid producing any evidence, and use long but hollow words to indoctrinate as many others as possible with the idea that man is essentially an animal. In the same way that they cannot produce any scientific evidence, so they are unable to resolve the accompanying logical confusion. Consciousness is one of those proofs that demolish the theory of evolution, a definitive and irrefutable fact that leaves Darwinists in a state of utter despair. The lies that Darwinists have made about matter cannot be applied to the subject of consciousness. This theory, developed on the basis of the existence of solely matter, is refuted in the face of this intangible evidence. This lie, launched in order to deny the existence of Allah, has been demolished by consciousness, one of the supreme works of Allah. In one verse, He tells us that:

**They concocted their plots, but their plots were with Allah, even if they were such as to make the mountains vanish. (Qur'an, 14:46)**



## Are Darwinists aware that they have souls?

Modern science has confirmed that human intelligence does not stem from exchanges between brain cells, as materialists long maintained. To put it another way, there is a presence in each human body that is not the product of that body's functions and has no corporeal nature. The theory of evolution—the product of materialist philosophy that accepts no accounts apart from the absolute existence of matter—is at a total loss in the face of the human soul, which lacks any material existence.

To remind you, not one single evolutionist claim regarding the development of life forms has ever been proven, nor has evidence ever been produced for any of them. The theory of evolution has merely resorted to speculations about natural history, employed false evidence and ignored the scientific and paleontological facts that prove that species never evolved. It has tried to mislead people by portraying fossil specimens as intermediate forms—propaganda whose invalidity has since been realized—and even resorted to hoaxes to that end. (For detailed information see *The Transitional Form Dilemma* by Harun Yahya.)

Evolutionists have countless scenarios and tall tales regarding the supposed evolution of living things. Yet not a single one of these has been scientifically proven, even while science and technology have clearly declared the impossibility of such evolution.

Among these insoluble dilemmas facing the theory of evolution, what makes the subject of consciousness special is the way that evolutionists have been unable to invent any scenarios on the subject, much less explain it in terms of any physical evidence. Advanced scanning devices, the products of modern technology, have dashed materialists' expectations of any region or process in the brain that gives rise to intelligence. No materialist account of human intelligence has been produced.

The reason why materialist mindsets engage in such quests is the failure to understand the true concept of consciousness. Failing to understand that they have a soul, they do not act in the awareness of that fact—which is the sole reason for their espousal of Darwinism. Were they aware of the extraordinary nature of their own consciousness—an entirely metaphysical reality—they would realize that they possess a soul and it would be impossible for them to be Darwinists.

Darwinists maintain that the human eye's irreducible complexity evolved by chance, and that human beings also developed their vision by chance. They regard human beings, who see colors, are able to perceive their surroundings and to interpret them, as the end product of coincidental interactions between cells. They claim that the cells of the eye catching the light outside and the existence of the brain are enough to let us perceive a brightly colored world. Yet they fail to understand that this organ must open and close, detect and perceive images, make conscious decisions of where to look and, in short, behave in accordance with the dictates of the soul.

No Darwinist admits to sensing the consciousness within him. It is impossible to feel it and still remain a Darwinist. It is impossible to claim that this consciousness within consists solely of a collection of cells that reached this level by developing from a bacterium and that everything one possesses and perceives is the product of unconscious coincidences. It is impossible to maintain this with a normal conscience and consciousness. Darwinists are unaware that inside them is an entity that sees, thinks, reasons, interprets, loves, rejoices and grieves. The moment they do become aware of it, they immediately abandon ascribing divine powers to matter.

Our ability to recognize someone approaching and being delighted to see them are no longer matters involving science. They are facts that go beyond physics and cannot be explained in terms of any physical or material structure. It is impossible for anyone aware of the consciousness inside them to claim that matter is the absolute be-all and end-all. For that reason, Darwinists have developed a completely separate conceptual structure, and mode of perception. There is no doubt that it is Allah Who best knows the truth of this.

Allah has told us in the Qur'an that even if they witness miracles, such people will still fail to believe:

**Even if We sent down angels to them, and the dead spoke to them, and We gathered together everything in front of them right before their eyes, they would still not believe unless Allah willed. The truth is that most of them are ignorant. (Qur'an, 6:111)**

For those who think in a normal way, it is a simple matter to acknowledge the "I" that feels and perceives the consciousness within them and to understand that they possess a consciousness that lies outside the brain. But since Darwinists have an entirely different way of thinking, they are unable to see the existence of a soul beyond matter, or the consciousness that belongs to them.

It is easy to observe this in any Darwinist. A special system of thinking that operates in a different way thus makes Darwinists reject any accounts apart from matter. However, anyone with a normal, healthy awareness can easily see that the world is an ensemble of perceptions, and that the "I" who perceives this is different from the light outside, the brain, ear, eye and electrical signals. External light of a certain wavelength may cause the color red that we see, but there must also be an explanation of the "I" that realizes it is red and determines it to be so. A rational person will immediately conclude that all such perceptions belong to the soul, because such a person will be aware of the consciousness he possesses, the awareness he refers to as "me." Such a person can easily see the illogicality and invalidity of all materialist accounts, and will immediately realize the great error that Darwinism is.

Not being deceived by Darwinist propaganda requires that one take none of these claims seriously, because those people who make such claims have a different conception. One convincing proof of this is the way that the same materialist propaganda has persisted without interruption, even though it is known to be unscientific since the beginning of the 20th century—since quantum physics' discovery of matter's true essence. Matter, on which foundation their theories and philosophies were based, has disappeared, but this has still brought no new realization to Darwinist and materialist circles.

This may be a punishment inflicted on them by our Lord in return for their denial of Him. Allah may have withheld their attribute of being entities possessed of a soul for as long as they deny their own existence as eternal souls. No doubt that Allah knows best. In one verse He tells us that:

**Do not be like those who forgot Allah, so He made them forget themselves. Such people are the deviators. (Qur'an, 59:19)**

For that reason, it is a grave error for anyone who has understood the true nature of matter and who has realized the "self" to be deceived by Darwinist fictions or to admit that any hollow materialist claims might be true. Anyone who can see the evidence of the soul they possess and realize the existence of an Almighty and All-Powerful Creator of all things, will become an entity with superior capacities to reason, too elevated to be taken in by Darwinist deceptions. By means of it, such a person appreciates Allah's supreme might and knows that he has an eternal soul created out of nothingness. He is amazed at the vivid, matchless world that his soul is made to perceive—the artistry of Allah Who created it as an intensely amazing illusion. He also knows that this world is not the true home of his eternal soul and that he must strive to attain that true home, as promised by Allah.

The true abode of the soul is the Hereafter, which has been created for all souls, for all the human beings who have ever lived. Humans will be confronted by infinite blessings or suffering in the Hereafter. The life of this world, which consists solely of images, is a place of testing for that eternal life. Whether a person will live amid eternal blessings, or whether he will be exposed to suffering, will be determined by the moral values and manners he displays and the deeds he does in this world. Virtue and good deeds are possible through sincere belief in Allah and adherence to the Qur'an.

**Each and every one of you will return to Him. Allah's promise is true. He brings creation out of nothing and then regenerates it so that He can repay with justice those who believed and did right actions. Those who disbelieved will have a drink of scalding water and a painful punishment because of their disbelief. (Qur'an, 10:4)**

## THE PERCEPTION OF TIME AND THE FACT OF DESTINY

### Our life spans are simply a perception

**F**or the time we spend in this world, we make comparisons, thinking about what we did yesterday and accordingly making plans for the morrow. We think about what happened ten years ago, believe that time has passed and we have grown older. What gives rise to this belief is simply the comparisons we make between those previous moments and the present one.

If you were watching television before opening this book, you compare the time when you were watching television with the time when you are reading and imagine that time has passed between the two events. You refer to when you were watching television as “the past,” imagining there has been a passage of time between the two events. In fact, the time you were watching television is information stored in your memory. You compare “the present,” when you are reading this book, with the information in your memory, and perceive this interval as “time.” The fact is, however, that there is only the present moment in which you are living. When you make no comparison with recollections in your memory, then no concept of time remains.

The well-known physicist John Barbour makes this definition of time:

Time is nothing but a measure of the changing positions of objects. A pendulum swings, the hands on a clock advance. <sup>129</sup>

Time, therefore, consists of a comparison between various perceptions that arise in the brain. A study of people suffering from the memory loss known as anterograde amnesia makes it easier to see that time is nothing more than a human perception. Such people lose all their short-term memory, they





are unable to remember what happened before, and are therefore unaware whether there's been any interval between two events. This is one further proof that time exists solely as a perception.

Since the events occurring in our daily lives are shown to us in a specific sequence, we subdivide time into the past, present and future. But in fact, the idea of a progression from the past to a future is mere conditioning. If we watched the information in our memories in the same way that we watch a film run backwards, then for us the past would be the future, and the future would be the past. This shows that time is not absolute, but forms in line with our perception.

The famous physicist Roger Penrose makes the following comment:

I think there's always something paradoxical about the way we seem to perceive time to pass and the way physics describes time. And partly it's a question of is there a clear temporal order of things in our perceptions, or do we somehow put lots of things together and form pictures of things . . .<sup>130</sup>

The sequencing we perform in our own minds between events that we recall gives rise to what we refer to as past, present and future. This, however, is a decision we make of our own will. François Jacob, French biologist and Nobel laureate, makes this comparison:

Films played backward, make it possible for us to imagine a world in which time flows backwards. A world in which milk separates itself from the coffee and jumps out of the cup to reach the milk-pan; a world in which light rays are emitted from the walls to be collected in a trap (gravity center) instead of gushing out from a light source; a world in which a stone slopes to the palm of a man by the astonishing cooperation of innumerable drops of water making it possible for the stone to jump out of water. Yet, in such a world in which time has such opposite features, the processes of our brain and the way our memory compiles information, would similarly be functioning backwards.<sup>131</sup>

This all goes to show that the concepts of past and future are concerned with how we perceive our memories. The truth is that we have no means of knowing how time passes or does not pass. In the same way that we can never have direct experience of the images we see, so we can never know for sure whether we are exposed to time and, if we are, how it functions, because time is merely a mode of perception.

The fact that time is a perception was confirmed with the general theory of relativity proposed by Albert Einstein. In his book *The Universe and Dr. Einstein*, Lincoln Barnett writes:




Along with absolute space, Einstein discarded the concept of absolute time—of a steady, unvarying inexorable universal time flow, streaming from the infinite past to the infinite future. Much of the obscurity that has surrounded the Theory of Relativity stems from man's reluctance to recognize that a sense of time, like sense of colour, is a form of perception. Just as space is simply a possible order of material objects, so time is simply a possible order of events. The subjectivity of time is best explained in Einstein's own words. "The experiences of an individual," he says, "appear to us arranged in a series of events; in this series the single events which we remember appear to be ordered according to the criterion of 'earlier' and 'later.' There exists, therefore, for the individual, an I-time, or subjective time. This in itself is not measurable." <sup>132</sup>


In Barnett's words, Einstein shows that "space and time are forms of intuition, which can no more be divorced from consciousness than can our concepts of colour, shape, or size." According to the general theory of relativity, "time has no independent existence apart from the order of events by which we measure it." <sup>133</sup>

Since time is a perception, it is also a relative concept that depends on the perceiver. The speed at which time passes varies according to the reference we use to measure it. There is no natural clock in the human body to confirm the passage of time with absolute accuracy. As Lincoln Barnett has stated, "Just as there is no such thing as colour without an eye to discern it, so an instant or an hour or a day is nothing without an event to mark it." <sup>134</sup>

When we are left in a closed room where we cannot know the time and cannot see the rising and setting of the Sun, we can never determine how fast time goes by nor how long we remain there. What makes us think a specific amount of time has gone by is nothing more than the rising and setting of the Sun and the movement of the watches on our wrists. When these are removed, anything we say about the time we imagine has passed must be conjectural and subjective—belonging to ourselves alone. For example, time goes by quickly for someone taking an exam in a limited space of time. Yet the same amount of time seems very long to that person's friend waiting outside.

If time were an absolute reality, then it would not be a variable concept, determined by our perceptions.





According to Einstein's general theory of relativity, the speed of time changes according to the velocity of a body and its distance from the center of gravity. As velocity rises, time contracts and is compressed, in such a way as to run slower and eventually approach the point of stopping altogether.

To use an example cited by Einstein, one of a pair of twins remains on Earth while the other heads out into outer space at a speed near that of light. When the traveling twin returns to Earth, he will find himself much younger than his brother. The reason is that time flows more slowly for the brother traveling at a high velocity.

The same example can also be considered with regard to a father traveling in a rocket moving at roughly 99% of the speed of light and his son who stays on Earth. According to Einstein, if the father was 27 years old when he set out and his son three, when the father comes back to the Earth 30 years later (in Earth time), the son will be 33 years old, but his father will be only 30.<sup>135</sup>

The fact that time is relative affects not only the slowing or acceleration of clocks, but the entire material system, right down to the level of subatomic particles. In an environment in which time is foreshortened, processes such as the heartbeat, cell division and the activities of the brain take place more slowly. A person is thus able to continue going about his daily life without realizing the slowing down of time.

The particle physicist Dr. Jim al-Khalili made the following comments on a radio program:

Both Einstein's Theories of Relativity say that travelling to the future is allowed; in fact, we've proven it experimentally. One way is to travel very fast, so you head off in a rocket, close to the speed of light and come back again. Because you've travelled very fast, your clocks will have run more slowly and so, if you've been away for one year according to your clock, maybe ten years have gone by on Earth. So, in essence you've travelled nine years into the future. Another way to travel to the future is to orbit a massive star. If you do it for a year, again, you may come back to find again that ten years have elapsed on Earth. So either way, time travel to the future is possible.<sup>136</sup>

Al-Khalili explains the concept of time:

This would imply that the past, present and future all exist. There is no present moment to distinguish past from future. All times co-exist, time just is. And so the future is already out there. The only way to understand this was to link the three dimensions of space with the one dimension of time to what became known as four-dimensional space/time.<sup>137</sup>

The passage of time is merely a sensation created for us. Since we perceive it in this way, we think that what we do takes place within a temporal process. The fact is that we always live in the present “moment.” The concept of passing time is illusory.


Mathematical physicist Roger Penrose of the University of Oxford has won countless awards for his work on perception. He gave this reply to a question by the host on a radio program:

**Physicist:** We have this subjective feeling, that time goes by. But physicists would argue this is just an illusion.

**Roger Penrose:** Yes. I think physicists would agree that the feeling of time passing is simply an illusion, something that is not real. It has something to do with our perceptions.<sup>138</sup>

The way that such a seemingly objective factor operates as a perception in our minds and how all times exist within one single time are without doubt beyond our comprehension. We can only understand as much as Allah reveals to us. We can only know as much as He shows us. No doubt that it is an easy matter for Allah to create time as a perception and to give rise to past, present and future within a concept which actually does not exist. That is because Allah is beyond time. He creates time, but is not subject to it. All events we perceive as past or future already exist in the memory of Allah. They are all created in a single moment. Therefore, all events belonging to the future have in fact been created at the same moment, and exist now. But since we are subject to time, we are as yet unable to see them.

All events we perceive as the past—as when you received a report card from school or your first driving lesson—are also contained within the infinite memory of Allah, and even a stone you will trip over in the future as you walk along the road is determined in His memory. That is because Allah has created all events within a single moment.

A glowing clock face is held in cupped hands against a starry night sky. The clock face is white with black numbers and hands, and it is surrounded by a bright, ethereal glow. The hands are cupped around the clock, and the background is a dark, starry sky with some green foliage visible at the bottom.

As the speed of the observer increases, so time decelerates, and space shrinks in the direction of travel. Einstein showed that what we regard separately, as space and time, are components of a space-time continuum. Therefore, space and time have been created as directly dependent on our perception.



Canon David Brown makes this statement:

God is in fact outside time, so there's no "before" for God. He's present with each bit of our temporal story...<sup>139</sup>

Allah sees and knows every circumstance of every entity. It is He Who creates them all. Every meter a person travels, the images he encounters and the time to which he is subject are all known to and controlled by Allah. In one verse, He informs us that:

**You do not engage in any matter or recite any of the Qur'an or do any action without Our witnessing you while you are occupied with it. Not even the smallest speck eludes your Lord, either on Earth or in heaven. Nor is**

**there anything smaller than that, or larger, which is not in a Clear Book. (Surah Yunus, 61)**

## Space, like time, is also a perception

In proposing his theory, Einstein regarded the speed of light as a universal constant. No matter how fast you may go, the speed of light always remains constant. Even if you travel at a speed approaching 99% of that of light, light will still travel at 186,282 miles (299,791 kilometers) per second. It is impossible to match that speed. According to Einstein's calculations, time decelerates as the speed of the observer increases, and space compresses according to the direction of travel. These concepts, which change according to the speed of light, prove that they are not absolute because they vary depending on the individual.

Peter Russell describes:

... however fast you are moving you will always measure the speed of light to be 186,282 miles per second—just as Michelson and Morley had found. Even if you were to travel at 186,281 miles per second, light would not pass by a mere 1 mile per second faster; it would still zoom by at 186,282 miles per second. You would not have caught up with light by even the tiniest amount.

This goes totally against common sense. But in this instance it is common sense that is wrong. Our mental models of reality have been derived from a lifetime's experience of a world where velocities are far below the speed of light. At speeds close to that of light, reality is very different.<sup>140</sup>

Einstein showed that what we regard as space and time are actually part of a space-time whole. Therefore, time and space are directly created as perceptions and become part of a world that is experienced relatively. The perceptions of time and space are necessary to form an image of the world in the mind. Yet when we claim that these represent the true reality, we are mistaken, because we can never have direct experience of the true concept of space outside.

Fred Alan Wolf makes the following comment:

According to Einstein's theory of general relativity, matter cannot exist independent of space and time. If any one of the three—matter, space, or time—is absent, they all are. Space is necessary in order for matter to exist; matter is necessary in order for time to exist; and time is necessary in order for space to exist. They are codependent.

So, if time is just some form of a dream, an illusion, as many philosophers have speculated, then so are space and matter. Yet from the standard or Copenhagen interpretation of quantum physics, we understand that matter cannot exist without an observer of matter.<sup>141</sup>

The fact that matter can be perceived only through our senses and in other words, is a shadow entity, again does away with the concept of space as a material concept. We perceive space as outside us, but it is totally inside the brain when we remember any place. In fact, when looking at and considering somewhere we imagine to lie outside us, the concept of space again arises solely inside the brain. The room we imagine to be standing in is an illusion forming inside our brain, a waking dream.

Peter Russell summarizes this mode of perception:

Imagine a police officer on a motorbike catching up to a speeding car.

In Newton's view, the driver of the car will appear stationary from the police officer's perspective.

If you watch this scene from the pavement, both will appear to be moving very fast.

How can the story of the police officer be so different to yours, as you watched from the pavement?  
The reason is that time slowed down for the officer.

---

Let us now change the car for light rays.

If the police officer moved at a speed close to that of light, you could see him approach at almost light speed. But when you asked him later, he would say that no matter how much he accelerated, the light beam still moved at the usual speed of light.

According to Newton, time is uniform in the entire universe. A second on Mars is the same as one on Earth.

According to Einstein, however, time varies. As you accelerate, so time moves more slowly. A second on Earth is not the same as a second anywhere in space.

Einstein's work also revealed that space and time are not absolutes. They vary according to the motion of the observer. If you are moving rapidly past me, and we both measure the distance and time between two events—a car traveling from one end of a street to another, say—then you will observe the car to have traveled less distance in less time than I observe. Conversely, from your point of view, I am moving rapidly past you, and in your frame of reference, I will observe less space and time than you do. Weird? Yes. And almost impossible for us to conceive of. Yet numerous experiments have shown it to be true. It is our common-sense notions of space and time that are wrong. Once again, they are constructs in the mind, and do not perfectly model what is out there.<sup>142</sup>

Einstein went even further, showing that matter was actually a form of energy. His mathematical formula for this was the famous  $E=mc^2$ .<sup>143</sup> An entity with mass appears solely as a form of energy. Peter Russell has made this statement:

Even the notion of mass is questionable. In his General Theory of Relativity, Albert Einstein showed that mass and acceleration are indistinguishable. A person in an elevator feels lighter when the elevator accelerates downwards, and heavier when it decelerates to a halt. This is no illusion, scales would also show your weight to have changed. What we experience as mass is the resistance of the ground beneath our feet to our otherwise free fall towards the center of the Earth. According to Einstein, we are being continually decelerated, and interpret that as mass. An astronaut in orbit experiences no mass—until, that is, he bumps into the wall of the spacecraft and experiences a temporary deceleration.<sup>144</sup>

## The relativity of time revealed in the Qur'an

The relativity of time, discovered by 20th-century science, was revealed 1,400 years ago in the Qur'an.

For example, Allah emphasizes in several verses that the life of this world is very brief. Our Lord has informed us that the average human life span is as brief as "an hour of a single day":

**On the Day He calls you, you will respond by praising Him and think that you have only tarried a very short time. (Qur'an, 17:52)**

**On the day We gather them together—when it will seem if they had tarried no more than an hour of a single day—they will recognize one another. . . (Qur'an, 10:45)**

In some verses, Allah reveals that time is much shorter than people imagine:

**He will ask, "How many years did you tarry on the Earth?" They will say, "We tarried there for a day or part of a day. Ask those able to count!"**

**He will say, "You tarried there for only a little while, if you did but know!" (Qur'an, 23:112-114)**



In other verses of the Qur'an, it is revealed that time passes at different speeds in different dimensions. For example, it is stated that one day in the Sight of Allah is equal to one thousand human years. (Qur'an, 22:47)

Other verses on this subject read as follows:

**The angels and the Spirit ascend to Him in a day whose length is fifty thousand years. (Surat al-Ma'arij, 4)**

**He directs the whole affair from heaven to Earth. Then it will again ascend to Him on a Day whose length is a thousand years by the way you measure. (Qur'an, 32:5)**

The Companions of the Cave, a group of believers to whom Allah refers in the Qur'an, were kept in a profound slumber for around 300 years. When He later woke them, these people thought that a very short period of time had elapsed, and were unable to estimate for how long they had slept:

**So We sealed their ears with sleep in the cave for a number of years. Then We woke them up again so that we might see which of the two groups would better calculate the time they had stayed there. (Qur'an, 18:11-12)**






That was the situation when we woke them up so they could question one another. One of them asked, “How long have you been here?” They replied, “We have been here for a day or part of a day.” They said, “Your Lord knows best how long you have been here.” . . . (Qur’an, 18:19)

In the following verse, Allah also reveals an important proof of the fact that time is essentially a psychological perception:

Or the one who passed by a town which had fallen into ruin? He asked, “How can Allah restore this to life when it has died?” Allah caused him to die a hundred years then brought him back to life. Then He asked, “How long have you been here?” He replied, “I have been here a day or part of a day.” He said, “Not so! You have been here a hundred years. Look at your food and drink—it has not gone bad—and look at your donkey so We can make you a Sign for all mankind. Look at the bones—how We raise them up and clothe them in flesh.” When it had become clear to him, he said, “Now I know that Allah has power over all things.” (Qur’an, 2:259)

These verses reveal that time is relative rather than absolute. It varies according to perception and the observer, and that fact was revealed 1,400 years ago in the Qur’an.



**Almighty Allah is He Who creates images, sounds, tastes, in short the whole external world and the perception of time for us, and Who knows all the entities He has created, and all their situations. Everything is under His control. Allah’s creation and knowledge of the status of all things reveals to us the fact of destiny.**

## The existence of destiny and the scientific evidence

... Allah's command is a pre-ordained decree. (Qur'an, 33:49)

If all events are created in a single moment and if we observe these only within our perception of time, then we must conclude that there is a Creator Who knows all these events from the very beginning, Who is not subject to time, Who sees these things as we experience them and Who therefore created them.

This Creator, Who creates for us images, sounds and tastes—in short, the external world, as well as the perception of time—must be aware of the circumstances and existence of all that He has created, and must observe them at all moments. This Great Creator, Who causes us to perceive all these things and reveals them to our minds, must keep them under His control at all times. Allah, Lord of the worlds, the Sublime and Almighty, is the Creator of all things. The fact that He knows and creates the condition of all things shows us the fact of destiny.

A period of time lasting billions of years for us is but a “single moment” in the Sight of Allah. Something that for us will take place in the future is already over and done with in the Sight of Allah. We observe the future within the concept of time that we perceive. The fact is, however, that anything we need to wait for already exists in the Sight of Allah. All events that will take place in the future have already done so in the dimension of timelessness.


In the Sight of Allah, everything from the moment of the creation of the universe to the Last Day, when the universe will come to an end, is already over and finished with. One main reason why people are unable to understand this concept properly is that they are unaware of it. The fact is that events “that have not yet taken place” have simply not yet been experienced within our perceptual world.

Allah is unfettered by time and space. He creates time and space out of nothing. He has no need to wait in order to see the result of an event. Its beginning and end all take place in a single moment in His Sight. The past and present are all laid out before Him and develop in the manner determined by Him.

Dr. Jim al-Khalili described this fact during a program broadcast on BBC radio:

If you take this block of 4-D space/time literally, it means you have to abandon free will. It means not only is the future pre-ordained, but it's already there, it's already happened. There's no point in making any decisions, whatever you do has already happened. If I choose to drop this stone into a pond, I think of it being my own free choice. But of course in 4-D space/time, I had no choice in dropping the stone; the splash is already there in the future, and so we lose all free will. <sup>145</sup>

**In the Sight of Allah, everything, from the moment of the creation of the universe right up to the Last Day when the universe will come to an end, has already taken place and is over and done with. Allah is unfettered by space and time. He is the Creator of space and time. All events are but “a single moment” in His Sight. Past and future are always ready formed before Him and take place as determined by Him.**



Roger Penrose, a guest on the same program, drew the following conclusion from the data provided:

So this means that in a sense, the present, past and future are out there, and that also gives us a very deterministic view of the world. We have no control of what happens in the future because it's all laid out.<sup>146</sup>

A human being witnesses the destiny determined for him throughout the course of his life. Every moment in the lives of everyone who has ever lived, and who will ever live in the future are all previously experienced in the Sight of Allah. All events written in the destinies of all things, not just human beings, but the animals, plants, planets and other entities—all exist in His “memory,” constantly and permanently. The workings of destiny is one of the manifestations of Allah’s name of Al-Hafeedh (the Preserver, the Guardian) and of His infinite might and greatness.

Fred Alan Wolf describes how someone’s past and future have been determined long beforehand:

Although a history depends on our observations of both the starting and finishing events, we remember the history as if we were aware of it while it was taking place.

In other words, we seem to “live” the history as it happens. We make it a “living” story. We live in a river of time in which the source of the river (our past) and its final destination ahead of us (our future) already exist.<sup>147</sup>

A person is constantly under the control of Allah, our Creator, and does what He has determined for him. Allah reveals this fact in a verse:

**Nothing occurs, either in the Earth or in yourselves, without its being in a Book before We make it happen. That is something easy for Allah. (Qur’an, 57:22)**

## Submission to destiny

You should be aware that you are in a state of unconditional submission to your own destiny. No power other than Allah can alter this. Everything that you have experienced or will experience in future is set out in the Sight of Allah, and you have no control over your future. This book will leave your hands in a while, wrinkles will appear on your face in a few years’ time, and all the details of a film you will watch 15 years from now are all included in the knowledge of Allah. The people you will meet, how much money you will earn, which illnesses you will suffer, what you will rejoice over and how and where you will die—all this has already taken place in your own destiny.

The only reason why you do not know these things is that they are not yet in your memory.

Grieving over something that happens, therefore, wondering “Why did it happen like that?”, harboring sorrow and regret and starting with the words “If only . . .,” and becoming angry, greedy or impatient—all these actions are needless and meaningless. That is because all events that give rise to sorrow or anger are under the control of Allah. It is Allah Who creates all of these within a person’s destiny, and there can be no question of any other possibility outside a person’s destiny.

If someone has a traffic accident after turning into the wrong street, it is meaningless to complain about his mistake. Even if he could have his time over again, he would still turn into the wrong street and still have that accident. Saying things like, “If only I had my life to live over” are pointless and stem from a failure to understand this fact. Similarly, it is no solution for someone whose wallet is stolen to say, “If only I hadn’t gone into that shop,” or “If only I had kept my money in my pocket.” That person had no alternative but to go into that shop, carry money in his wallet and have it stolen. That person’s destiny has been created to go into a particular place at a particular time and for the money to be stolen. Even if he were to go back in time a thousand times, the money would still be stolen a thousand times.

A happy event or a success achieved are also in the individual’s destiny. Those successes and moments of joy will inevitably be experienced, because they are appointed in destiny.

Some people are reluctant to accept this insight. Roger Penrose describes them:

I think the trouble that people have with this idea is that you think the future is under your control, to some degree. And so, this means that if the future's laid out, then in a sense it's not under your control. <sup>148</sup>

Since most people wish to be in control of their own lives, they reject the fact of destiny. Yet they fall into a serious error by doing so, because whether or not they wish to, whether they admit the fact or not, people live their own destinies. People's very denial is also appointed in their destiny!

It will be useful to recall that living in submission to one's destiny is a great blessing and brings great peace of mind. People experience great panic and distress if they think that events are actually under their own control. They then imagine that every event in the future will be their own responsibility, and they feel the weight of every event on their own shoulders. They feel that they must resolve all difficulties on their own. Unable to see the auspicious side of the functioning of events, they experience great distress in the face of events. They grow proud in the face of the triumphs they achieve, which feeling may result in serious harm in this world and in the Hereafter.

The difficulties they experience, on the other hand, lead to increasing pessimism, emptiness and stress.

But knowing that every event takes place within a destiny determined by Allah and believing that all events are created for good is one of the greatest blessings a person can enjoy. Living in submission to the destiny appointed by Allah means accepting His will and voluntarily submitting to every event determined by Him. People will then be freed from the feeling that events are under their control, will feel rid of troubles, will know that they are living events that are already over and done with, and will enjoy the peace of mind that this imparts. Submission to destiny is a great blessing for anyone who knows that all things are created to be auspicious. Even events that may appear to be troubles or difficulties are in fact positive and eventually result in great good.

When considering the concept of destiny, some people take the fact that everything is predetermined to imagine that there is no need for them to do anything. Yet this is a major distortion of the concept of destiny. True, everything we experience is determined in our destinies—before we experience them, those events have already taken place in the Sight of Allah and all its details are written down in the Lawh al-Mahfuz (the Preserved Tablet) in His Sight.

However, Allah gives every human being the feeling that they are able to alter events and act in accordance with their own decisions and choices. When one is thirsty, for example, one does not sit down and wait, saying, “I will have a drink—if that is in my destiny.” One gets up, takes a glass and drinks. In fact, of course, one drinks the amount of water determined in one’s destiny. But one nevertheless feels that one is doing this in accord with one’s own wish. That feeling is experienced in everything we do throughout our lives. The difference is that someone who has submitted to the destiny created by Allah knows that despite the feeling he does things of his own accord, he actually performs them by the will of Allah. Others who have failed to grasp this fact mistakenly imagine that they do everything with their own intelligence and strength.

For example, a submitted person who learns that he has contracted a disease will be resigned, since he knows that this is his destiny. He will say, “Since Allah has created this in my destiny, there must be an auspicious element to it.” He will not sit back and do nothing saying “If I am destined to recover, I will.” On the contrary, he will take all the requisite precautions. He will go to the doctor, be careful what he eats and take medicine. However, he will not forget that the doctor he visits, the treatment administered, the drugs he takes, and how effective these will be—in short, every single detail—are all in his destiny. He knows that all these events were already in the memory of Allah, long before he ever came into the world.

Allah has revealed this in verses:

**It is He Who created you from clay and then decreed a fixed term, and another fixed term is specified with Him. Yet you still have doubts! (Qur’an, 6:2)**

**... Allah’s command is a pre-ordained decree. (Qur’an, 33:38)**

Not just human beings have a destiny in the Sight of Allah, but the Sun, the Moon, mountains, trees and all things and entities. A centuries-old antique vase that is broken, for example, breaks at the



moment appointed in its destiny. The people who would use this vase, where it would stand in which home, and what other objects would be standing alongside it were all determined at the moment it was manufactured. Every pattern on it and all its colors were determined beforehand in its destiny. The day, hour and minute when it would be broken, and by whom and how, already exist in the memory of Allah. In fact, the moment that the vase was first made, the moment it was placed in the shop window, the moment it was placed in its new home and the moment it was broken—in short, every moment in the life of that vase lasting several hundred years—all exist as a single moment in the Sight of Allah. Although the person who broke the vase was totally unaware of that event even a few seconds beforehand, that moment had already happened and was known in the Sight of Allah. That is why Allah tells us not to be saddened by what befalls us. That is because what happens is part of one's destiny, and human beings have no power to change this. However, people must still learn from destined events and, by seeing the wisdom and goodness in them, turn to our Lord, Who creates their destinies and Who is infinitely Merciful, Affectionate and Just, and Who preserves and protects His servants.

The form people assume while still an embryo, their state when they first learn to read and write and the fitness they display on their 35th birthday and when they retire are already determined in the book in the Sight of Allah. Human beings can neither experience nor do anything that is not appointed in their destiny. People heedless of this major truth spend their lives in a state of anxiety and fear. For example, they constantly worry about their children's futures, which school they will attend, what jobs they will have, their state of health and the kind of lives they will lead. In fact, however, everything from a person's existence as a single cell to the time when they first learn to read and write, from the answers they give in exams to what job they will do in which company, how many times they will sign their names, and how and where they will die—everything is predetermined in the Sight of Allah. All these events lie concealed in the memory of Allah. For example, people's state at this precise moment, as a fetus, in primary school, at university, first day at the office, when they celebrate their 35<sup>th</sup> birthday, when they see the angels at the time of their death, when they are buried by their relatives and the moments when they account for themselves in the Hereafter—all exist as a single moment in His Sight.

Those who sincerely submit to Allah may hope to attain His approval, mercy and Paradise, and will live in peace and happiness in both this world and the Hereafter. For someone who has submitted to Allah and who knows that the destiny created by Him is the most auspicious for them, there is nothing to fear, or regret or sorrow over. Such people will make genuine efforts, but will know that these are all in their destiny, and that they have no power to change what is written in their destiny, no matter what they may do.



A believer will submit to the destiny created by Allah, will embrace, as much as he can, the events he encounters, will take precautionary measures and seek to turn all events in an auspicious direction, but will live in the awareness and ease imparted by knowing that they all take place within his destiny and that Allah has already determined them in the most auspicious form.

In the Qur'an, Allah refers to a precaution taken by the Prophet Yaqub (as) for the security of his children. In order that they should not attract the attention of evilly disposed persons, the Prophet Yaqub (as) recommended that his sons enter the city by separate gates, but also reminded them that this could never alter the destiny appointed by Allah:

**He [Jaquub] said, "My sons! You must not enter through a single gate. Go in through different gates. But I cannot save you from Allah at all, for judgment comes from no one but Allah. In Him I put my trust, and let all those who put their trust, put it in Him alone." (Qur'an, 10:67)**

Allah reveals in another verse that no matter what they may do, people cannot change their destinies:

**Then He sent down to you, after the distress, security, restful sleep overtaking a group of you, whereas another group became prey to anxious thoughts, thinking other than the truth about Allah—thoughts belonging to the Time of Ignorance—saying, "Do we have any say in the affair at all?" Say, "The affair belongs entirely to Allah." They are concealing things inside themselves which they do not disclose to you, saying, "If we had only had a say in the affair, none of us would have been killed here in this place." Say, "Even if you had been inside your homes, those people for whom killing was decreed would have gone out to their place of death." So that Allah might test what is in your breasts and purge what is in your hearts. Allah knows the contents of your hearts. (Qur'an, 3:154)**

As can be seen from this verse, even if people avoid an auspicious, religious observance in order to save their lives, they will still die if that is what is written in their destiny. The methods to which such a person will resort in order to avoid death are also determined in that destiny, and everyone will experience what has been determined for them.

In this verse, Allah also states that the events created in people's destinies are intended to test them and cleanse their hearts. In Surah Fatir, it is revealed that everyone's life span is determined in the Sight of Allah:

**Allah created you from dust and then from a drop of sperm and then made you into pairs. No female becomes pregnant or gives birth except with His knowledge. And no living thing lives long or has its life cut short without that being in a Book. That is easy for Allah. (Qur'an, 35:11)**

The following verses from the Holy Qur'an reveal that everything a person does has been written line by line and relate the events experienced by the people of Paradise as events which have already





**Everyone has surrendered unconditionally to their destiny. Everything a person has and will ever experience is determined in the Sight of Allah, and that individual has no control over his or her own future. For that reason, the best thing to do is to be aware of the destiny appointed for one and to submit to Allah.**

occurred. As has already been stated, the true life in Paradise is the future for us. However, the discourse, experiences and banquets in Paradise are all present in the memory of Allah. The future of all people in this world and in the Hereafter have taken place in a moment in the Sight of Allah before we are even born and are preserved in His memory:

**Everything they did is in the Books. Everything is recorded, big or small. The people who guard against evil are amid Gardens and Rivers, on seats of honor in the presence of an All-Powerful King. (Qur'an, 54:52-55)**

In some verses of the Qur'an, Allah refers to some events which lie in the future for us, but which have already taken place in His Sight. For example, certain verses revealing that people will have to account for themselves to Allah in the Hereafter relate those events as already over and done with:

**The Trumpet is blown, and those in the heavens and those in the Earth all lose consciousness, except those Allah wills. Then it is blown a second time and at once they are standing upright, looking on. And the Earth shines with the Pure Light of its Lord; the Book is put in place; the Prophets and witnesses are brought; it is decided between them with the truth . . . (Qur'an, 39:68-69)**

**Those who disbelieve are driven to Hell in companies . . . (Qur'an, 39:71)**

**And those who have fear of their Lord are driven to the Garden in companies . . . (Qur'an, 39:73)**

Other verses on the same subject read:

**[On that Day,] every self came together with a driver and a witness. (Qur'an, 50:21)**

**And Heaven is split apart, for that Day it is very frail. (Qur'an, 69:16)**

**And [He] rewarded them for their steadfastness with a Garden and with silk. Reclining in it on couches, they experienced there neither burning sun nor bitter cold. (Qur'an, 76:12-13)**

**And the Blazing Fire is displayed for all who can see. (Qur'an, 79:36)**

**So today those who believe laugh at the disbelievers. (Qur'an, 83:34)**

**The evildoers saw the Fire and realized they had to fall into it and found no way of escaping from it. (Qur'an, 18:53)**





## The Essence of Matter and the Fact of Destiny are a Great Blessing for Believers

It is a great blessing for people who believe and have faith in Allah and are capable of seeing that He has created all things to know the true essence of matter. Matters about death, the Hereafter, Paradise and Hell are all resolved for people who grasp this secret. And questions such as “Where is Allah?” “Where are Paradise and Hell?” and “Do Paradise and Hell exist at this moment?” are all easily answered. They realize the system by which Allah created the universe out of nothing and how He constantly creates; so much thanks to this secret, questions such as “When?” and “Where?” become meaningless—because, in fact, neither time nor space exist. Events to be experienced have already taken place. It is illogical and meaningless to worry about, sorrow over or feel regret for them.

Comprehension of these secrets turns the life of this world into a kind of Paradise. All material concerns, doubts, fears and longings that cause distress in this world will vanish. One will see that Almighty Allah, Lord of the worlds, is the sole absolute Being, and that no other entity really exists. One understands that the entire universe has but one Lord; that He alters the material world as He so desires, and that the only thing one must do is turn to Him and take Him as one’s guardian, having submitted to Him.

Understanding this great secret is one of the greatest blessings a person can enjoy in this world.

Allah is very close to us. It is He Who creates man and bestows His own Spirit upon him. The entity that anyone refers to as “I” is thus a manifestation of Allah. Allah knows every action he does, everything he thinks; all of them are created by Allah. It is He Who causes a person to perceive, feel, think, rejoice and be happy. A person lives his every moment because Allah so chooses. Every event one encounters is in the form determined by Allah. That is the true state of affairs. A person has no guardian and no helpmate other than Allah, the one absolute Being. His existence pervades all the worlds and all places. Nothing exists but Almighty Allah, the Great and Exalted, the Only Being, in Whom one must seek shelter, help and recompense.

In the Qur’an, Allah tells us that:

**That is Allah, your Lord. There is no deity but Him, the Creator of everything. So worship Him. He is responsible for everything. Eyesight cannot perceive Him but He perceives eyesight. He is the All-Penetrating, the All-Aware. (Qur’an, 6:102-103)**

## CONCLUSION

**M**aterialists imagine that a world formed by coincidences exists inside a universe they want to believe is eternal and timeless. Yet there can be no doubt that human beings—who use their intelligence, found civilizations, manufacture robots, give rise to the Internet, make use of all these things, think and understand phenomena, feel doubts, engage in altruistic behavior, take pleasure from a landscape, make efforts to establish the truth—are not the work of chance. In the same way that no life form on Earth came into being by chance, human beings—with their billions of cells, the complex organelles inside each cell, glorious brain and extraordinary appearance—cannot be the work of chance, either.


Contrary to what materialists imagine, nothing on Earth is coincidental.

If there is nothing coincidental in people, or in what they do and achieve, that means there must be purposeful consciousness in them. If a person acts with consciousness, then there must be a superior intelligence that created that consciousness, an Intelligence that must be superior to everything that individual does, sees and knows.

That intelligence belongs to Allah, Who created man from nothing and breathed His Spirit into him.

A person will delight in beauty if Allah so chooses. If Allah so chooses, he will make discoveries and invent technologies. If Allah so chooses, he will compose music, play the violin or write books. If Allah so wishes, a person will rejoice, sorrow, take pleasure, worry or feel excitement. Enjoyment of music and beauty are by Allah's leave. Enjoyment of a lovely landscape, fine clothing, proper behavior, flowers, a rabbit, a painting or a cake is all by Allah's leave. No one can experience any of these unless Allah so wills it.





It is not physical matter that achieves these things; it is not the proteins that emerge from the food one eats. Nor is it the cells in the human brain. It is not the human brain for it cannot feel love. The brain has no capacity to enjoy music, cannot feel affection for a squirrel as it watches it frisking around. The brain feels no longing, no loyalty or devotion. The brain feels no nostalgia as it remembers its first day at primary school. The brain is merely an organ made up of fats, water, proteins and various chemicals. It is not brains, but human souls who love, rejoice, and feel shame and affection and longing as they remember. It is the existence of the soul belonging to Allah that makes human beings human.

Whether or not people believe in the existence of the soul, they will still have to abandon their earthly bodies and render account of themselves in the presence of Allah in the Hereafter. The faithful believe that they possess a soul bestowed by Allah. They and all the rest—deniers, materialists, Darwinists, those who opposed the existence of the soul throughout their entire lives, those who say “We consist of a collection of neurons,” and “There is no Hereafter,” those who say “Matter is the only absolute”—without exception, all the souls who have ever lived will have to account for themselves in the Presence of Allah. Each will receive a perfect recompense for their deeds. Each will be judged with justice.

Whether a person admits to a 1% possibility of these facts being true, or whether they “wonder” with regard to the fact of the Hereafter, they must now abandon all their erroneous beliefs and do all they can to prepare themselves for the Hereafter by turning to our Lord, Allah.

It is always possible to redeem one’s errors so long as one is alive in this world. Allah tells us in His verses that:

**When those who believe in Our Signs come to you, say, “Peace be upon you!” Allah has made mercy incumbent on Himself. If anyone among you does evil out of ignorance and then afterwards repents and puts things right, He is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful. (Qur’an, 6:54)**

**But as for those who do evil actions and then subsequently repent and believe, in that case your Lord is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful. (Surat al-A’raf, 153)**

The life of this world is a temporary abode. Everything belonging to this world is transient. Nothing belonging to human beings in this world is real. To imagine that this world is real is like assuming that a dream is real, directing all one’s energies towards it and closing one’s eyes and soul to everything else. Allah is the sole absolute Being, the sole truth. Darwinism has collapsed and materialism is dead.

Acceptance of the existence of Almighty Allah means the end of all false faiths. The aim of this book is to invite everyone to see this. There is no doubt that “. . . It is only people of intelligence who pay heed” (Qur’an, 13:19).

## NOTES :

1. Stanley Sobottka, "A Course in Consciousness," <http://faculty.virginia.edu/consciousness/>
2. Stephen M. Barr, "Retelling the Story of Science," March 2003, <http://www.firstthings.com/ftissues/ft0303/articles/barr.html>
3. Amit Goswami, *The Self-Aware Universe: How Consciousness Creates the Material World*, Tarcher/ Penguin Books, 1995, p. 12.
4. Taskin Tuna, *Ol Dedi Oldu: Big Bang'in Nefes Kesen Öyküsü*, October 2005, Sule Publications, p. 59.
5. Peter Russell, "The Primacy of Consciousness," <http://www.peterussell.com/SP/PrimConsc.html>
6. Fred Alan Wolf, *The Spiritual Universe: One Physicist's Vision of Spirit, Soul, Matter and Self*, Moment Point Press, 1999, p. 99.
7. "Can Science Seek the Soul?," <http://www.closetotruth.com/topics/mindbrain/113/113transcript.html>
8. George Gilder, [http://www.taemag.com/issues/articleid.17078/article\\_detail.asp](http://www.taemag.com/issues/articleid.17078/article_detail.asp)
9. Goswami, *The Self-Aware Universe*, p. 31.
10. David Pratt, <http://www.theosophy-nw.org/theosnw/science/pratmat.htm>
11. Richard Feynman, *The Character of Physical Law*, Modern Library Edition, New York, 1994, pp. 122-123.
12. Thomas J. McFarlane, "The Illusion of Materialism," <http://www.integralscience.org/materialism/materialism.html>
13. Peter Russell, "The Primacy of Consciousness," <http://www.peterussell.com/SP/PrimConsc.html>
14. Jeffrey M. Schwartz, Sharon Begley, *The Mind and The Brain: Neuroplasticity and the Power of Mental Force*, Regan Books, 2003, pp. 272-273.
15. *Ibid.*, p. 274.
16. Roger Penrose, *The Road to Reality*, Alfred A. Knopf, 2006, p. 1031.
17. Goswami, *The Self-Aware Universe*, pp. 59-60.
18. Schwartz & Begley, *The Mind and The Brain*, p. 264.
19. *Ibid.*, p. 274.
20. "What the Bleep Do We Know?," Documentary film directed by: William Arntz and Betsy Chasse, mns: 0.22.19-0.22.28.
21. Nick Herbert, *Elemental Mind: Human Consciousness and the New Physics*.
22. *Ibid.*
23. <http://www.integralscience.org/materialism/materialism.html>
24. Fred Alan Wolf, *Mind into Matter: A New Alchemy of Science and Spirit*, 2001, Moment Point Press, p. 105.
25. <http://www.integralscience.org/materialism/materialism.html>
26. *Ibid.*
27. *Ibid.*
28. Paul Davies and John Gribbin, *The Matter Myth: Dramatic Discoveries That Challenge Our Understanding of Physical Reality*, Touchstone books, 1992, p. 14.
29. Wolf, *Mind into Matter*, pp. 6-7.
30. Stephen M. Barr, "Retelling the Story of Science," <http://www.firstthings.com/ftissues/ft0303/articles/barr.html> (Emphasis added).
31. <http://science.howstuffworks.com/light2.htm>
32. Richard L. Gregory, "The Psychology of Seeing," in *Eye and Brain*, 5th edition, Princeton Science Library, 1997, p. 20.
33. Wolf, *Mind into Matter*, p. 136.
34. *Ibid.*, p. 137
35. M. Ali Yaz, Sait Aksoy, *Fizik 3 (Physics III)*, Istanbul, Sürat Publishing, 1997, p. 3.
36. <http://hhmi.org/senses/b140.html>
37. Peter Russell, "The Primacy of Consciousness," <http://www.peterussell.com/SP/PrimConsc.html> (Emphasis in the original).
38. Gregory, "The Psychology of Seeing," in *Eye and Brain*, p. 84.
39. Daniel C Dennett, *Brainchildren: Essays on Designing Minds*, The MIT Press, Cambridge, 1998, p. 142.
40. *Ibid.*
41. Georges Politzer, *Principes Élémentaires de Philosophie (Elementary Principles of Philosophy)*, Editions Sociales, Paris, 1954, p. 40.
42. Natasha Mitchell, "Is the Visual World a Grand Illusion?," Radio program, 18 January 2004, <http://www.abc.net.au/rn/science/mind/s996555.htm>
43. Peter Russell, *From Science to God: A Physicist's Journey into the Mystery of Consciousness*, New World Library, 2002, p. 47.
44. Rita Carter, *Mapping The Mind*, University of California Press, London, 1999, p. 107.
45. Schwartz & Begley, *The Mind and the Brain*, pp. 26-27.
46. Peter Russell, "The Primacy of Consciousness," <http://www.peterussell.com/SP/PrimConsc.html> (Emphasis added).
47. Marilyn Ferguson, *The Aquarian Conspiracy*, JP Tarcher, New York, 2nd ed., 1987, p. 180.
48. Craig Hamilton, "What is Enlightenment?," No. 29, June-August 2005, p. 70.
49. Peter Russell, "The Primacy of Consciousness," <http://www.peterussell.com/SP/PrimConsc.html>
50. V.S. Ramachandran and Sandra Blakeslee, *Phantoms in the Brain*, New York: William Morrow and Company, Inc., 1998, p. 66.
51. *Ibid.*, pp. 66-68.
52. *Ibid.*, pp. 70, 72.
53. Gregory, "The Psychology of Seeing," in *Eye and Brain*, p. 5.
54. Antonio Damasio, *The Feeling of What Happens: Body and Emotion in the Making of Consciousness*, Vintage Books, 2000, p. 9.
55. Susan Blackmore, *Consciousness: A Very Short Introduction*, Oxford, 2005, p. 64.
56. - Russell, *From Science to God*, p. 42.
57. Michael I. Posner, Marcus E. Raichle, *Images of Mind*, New York: Scientific American Library, 1999, p. 88.
58. Russell, *From Science to God*, p. 50.
59. Peter Russell, "The Primacy of Consciousness," <http://www.peterussell.com/SP/PrimConsc.html>
60. George Berkeley, "A Treatise Concerning the Principles of Human Knowledge," 1710, Works of George Berkeley, Vol. I, ed. A. Fraser, Oxford, 1871.
61. Peter Russell, "The Primacy of Consciousness," <http://www.peterussell.com/SP/PrimConsc.html>
62. "What The Bleep Do We Know?"
63. Bertrand Russell, *ABC of Relativity*, Routledge, London, 6th ed., 2001, p. 145.
64. J. R. Minkel, "The Hollow Universe", *New Scientist*, 27 April 2002, no. 2340, p. 22
65. Politzer, *Principes Élémentaires de Philosophie*, pp. 38-39-44.
66. Natasha Mitchell, "Is the Visual World a Grand Illusion?," Radio program, 18 January 2004, <http://www.abc.net.au/rn/science/mind/s996555.htm> (Emphasis in the original).
67. Blackmore, *Consciousness: A Very Short Introduction*, pp. 13-14.
68. "What the Bleep Do We Know?," mns: 0.14.54 – 0.15.09.
69. *Ibid.*, mns: 0.08.29 - 0.09.31
70. *Ibid.*, mns: 01.37.14 – 01.37.31
71. Geoff Haselhurst, "On Metaphysics and Philosophy of Science," <http://www.spaceandmotion.com/Metaphysics-Principles-Reality.htm>
72. <http://www.peterussell.com/Reality/realityart.html> (Emphasis in the original).
73. Wolf, *Mind into Matter*, pp. 15-16.
74. Russell, *From Science to God*, p. 42.
75. [http://www.cevaplar.org/index.php?khide=visible&sec=1&sec1=22&yazi\\_id=3828](http://www.cevaplar.org/index.php?khide=visible&sec=1&sec1=22&yazi_id=3828)

76. Mitchell, "Is the Visual World a Grand Illusion?", <http://www.abc.net.au/rn/science/mind/s996555.htm>
77. Remez Sasson, "Reality Versus Imagination and Illusion," [http://www.successconsciousness.com/index\\_000014.htm](http://www.successconsciousness.com/index_000014.htm)
78. Ramachandran & Blakeslee, *Phantoms in the Brain*, p. 94.
79. *Ibid.*, p. 103.
80. *Ibid.*
81. *Ibid.*, p. 26.
82. *Ibid.*, p. 72.
83. [http://www.edge.org/3rd\\_culture/ramachandran06/ramachandran06\\_index.html](http://www.edge.org/3rd_culture/ramachandran06/ramachandran06_index.html)
84. V. S. Ramachandran, *A Brief Tour of Human Consciousness*, PI Publishing, 2004, pp. 2-3.
85. Schwartz & Begley, *The Mind and The Brain*, pp. 103-104.
86. *Ibid.*
87. *Ibid.*, pp. 110-111.
88. Hamilton, *What is Enlightenment?*, no. 29, June-August 2005, p. 79.
89. Schwartz & Begley, *The Mind and the Brain*, p. 105.
90. Diane Ackerman, *An Alchemy Of Mind: The Marvel and Mystery of the Brain*, Scribner Books, 2005, pp. 37-38.
91. *Ibid.*, p. 41.
92. Gerald M. Edelman and Giulio Tononi, *A Universe of Consciousness: How Matter Becomes Imagination*, Basic Books, 2000, p. 38.
93. *Ibid.*, p. 47
94. MSNBC, report titled "The Brain Teaches Computers," 6 August 2002.
95. Edelman and Tononi, *A Universe of Consciousness*, pp. 47-48
96. Ramachandran, *A Brief Tour of Human Consciousness*, p. 3
97. "What the Bleep Do We Know?," mns: 0.29.03 - 0.29.39
98. Robert Lawrence Kuhn, *Closer To Truth: Challenging Current Belief*, McGraw-Hill, 2000, p. 35
99. [http://www.age-of-the-sage.org/philosophy/huxley\\_darwins\\_bulldog.html](http://www.age-of-the-sage.org/philosophy/huxley_darwins_bulldog.html), [http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/evolution/library/02/2/1\\_022\\_09.html](http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/evolution/library/02/2/1_022_09.html)
100. Steven Pinker, *How The Mind Works*, Norton Publishing, 1999, p. 132
101. <http://www.firstthings.com/ftissues/ft0303/articles/barr.html>
102. Peter Russell, "The Spirit of Now," <http://www.peterussell.com/Reality/realityart.html>
103. *Ibid.*
104. Ackerman, *An Alchemy Of Mind* , p. 5
105. Peter Russell, "The Primacy of Consciousness," <http://www.peterussell.com/Reality/realityart.php>
106. Schwartz and Begley, *The Mind and The Brain*, Regan Books, 2003, p. 28
107. "What the Bleep Do We Know?," mns: 0.30.00 - 0:30.12
108. <http://www.spiritsite.com/writing/kattar/part6.shtml>
109. Wolf, *The Spiritual Universe*, p. 9.
110. Kuhn, *Closer To Truth: Challenging Current Belief*, p. 58
111. Hamilton, *What is Enlightenment?*, no. 29, June-August 2005, p. 64
112. Henri Bergson, *Matter and Memory*, Zone Books, New York, 1991.
113. E. Schrödinger, *What Is Life? and Mind and Matter*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992, p. 123.
114. *Ibid.*, pp. 119-120.
115. Russell, *From Science to God*, p. 96.
116. Ramachandran and Blakeslee, *Phantoms in the Brain*, p. 189.
117. *Ibid.* , pp. 190-191.
118. *Ibid.*, p. 190.
119. *Ibid.*, p. 191.
120. Charles Darwin, *The Descent of Man*, Penguin Classics, June 2004, p. 65.
121. Ramachandran and Blakeslee, *Phantoms in the Brain*, p. 191.
122. Edelman and Tononi, *A Universe of Consciousness*, p. 81.
123. John Peet, "The True History of Mankind," <http://saturniancosmology.org/files/humans/mankind.txt>
124. Henry Gee, *In Search of Deep Time: Beyond the Fossil Record to a New History of Life*, The Free Press, A Division for Simon & Schuster, Inc., 1999, p. 5.
125. Phillip E. Johnson, *Reason in the Balance: The Case Against Naturalism in Science, Law & Education*, Downers Grove, Illinois: InterVarsity Press, 1995, p. 62.
126. Robert Jastrow, "Evolution: Selection for Perfection," *Science Digest*, December, 1981, p. 87.
127. Russell, *From Science to God*, p. 26.
128. J. Hawkes, "Nine Tantalizing Mysteries of Nature," *New York Times Magazine*, 1957, p. 33.
129. Tim Folger, "From Here to Eternity," *Discover*, Vol. 21 No.12, December 2000.
130. <http://www.fortunecity.com/emachines/e11/86/flowtime.html>
131. François Jacob, *Le Jeu des Possibles*, University of Washington Press, 1982, p. 111.
132. Lincoln Barnett, *The Universe and Dr. Einstein*, New York: William Sloane Associates, 1948, pp. 39-40.
133. *Ibid.*, p. 12
134. *Ibid.*, p. 40.
135. Paul Strathern, *Einstein and Relativity: The Big Idea*, Arrow Books, 1997, p. 57.
136. <http://www.fortunecity.com/emachines/e11/86/flowtime.html#>
137. *Ibid.*
138. *Ibid.*
139. *Ibid.*
140. Russell, *From Science to God*, p. 61 (Emphasis in the original).
141. Wolf, *Mind into Matter*, p. 104 (Emphasis in the original).
142. Peter Russell, "The Primacy of Consciousness," <http://www.peterussell.com/SP/PrimConsc.html>
143. Peter Russell, "Mathematics and Reality," <http://www.peterussell.com/Reality/realityart.html>
144. Peter Russell, "The Primacy of Consciousness," <http://www.peterussell.com/SP/PrimConsc.html>
145. <http://www.fortunecity.com/emachines/e11/86/flowtime.html#>
146. *Ibid.*
147. Wolf, *Mind into Matter*, p. 112.
148. <http://www.fortunecity.com/emachines/e11/86/flowtime.html#>



"The end of 150 years of Darwinist deception"



*How did the  
antichrist die?*



## INTRODUCTION

### THE GLAD TIDINGS OF THE GOLDEN AGE

**F**ourteen hundred years ago, our Prophet (saas) spoke in great detail of events that would take place in the End Times – in other words, the age we are living in now – and described the period quite comprehensively. He said that in the End Times, there would be a time when people would abandon the moral values of the Qur’an and that there would be global degeneracy, corruption, war and disorder. Again as revealed by our Prophet (saas) in the hadiths, in this time Allah will send the Prophet Jesus (pbuh) and Hazrat Mahdi (pbuh), who bears our Lord’s title of the Hadi (the bestower of guidance). In this holy time that will witness the appearance of the Prophet Jesus (pbuh) and Hazrat Mahdi (pbuh), philosophies and ideologies based on disbelief will completely disappear, and the oppression and disorder going on all over the world will come to an end. In the time of the Prophet Jesus (pbuh) and Hazrat Mahdi (pbuh), belief in Allah will be lived by as it was in the time of our Prophet (saas), the moral values of the Qur’an will reign among people, and the entire world will become a place of peace and repose. There will be no war or conflict anywhere, all weapons will disappear and not so much as a single person’s nose will even be made to bleed. The immorality, oppression, persecution, injustices and degeneracy of the past will evaporate in this holy age, and sufferings of all kinds will be replaced by abundance, wealth, beauty, peace and tranquility. There will be tremendous advances in technology and these will be used for the good and benefit of all mankind. This time, in which there will also be excellent advances in art and beauty, will be known, by Allah’s leave, as the “Golden Age.”

As a requirement of the test in this world, Our Lord also creates negative forces that are the exact opposite of all delights. Forces (unbelievers in other words) diametrically opposed to the prophets and believers have emerged at every period of history and these have struggled against faith in Allah



and proper moral virtues. This is a law of Allah. The existence of Pharaoh in the time of the Prophet Moses (pbuh), of Nimrod in the time of the Prophet Abraham (pbuh) and of Abu Lahab and the pagans of Mecca in the time of the Prophet Muhammad (saas) are manifestations of that Divine law. As revealed in the hadiths of our Prophet (saas), the negative force that will appear in the End Times, when the coming of the Prophet Jesus (pbuh) and Hazrat Mahdi (pbuh) is awaited, will be the “dajjal,” or antichrist.

Almost all the portents of the End Times set out in the hadiths of our Prophet (saas) have come true as of Hijri 1400 (1979/1980 in the Gregorian calendar), in other words in just the period foretold by the Prophet (saas). The fact that hundreds of portents have come about proves that the End Times refer to **the age in which we are living** and also shows that the Golden Age is very close. **The corruption of the antichrist has also shown itself** in the End Times in which we are living. **This terrible corruption of the antichrist** that incites masses of people toward irreligion, that brings with it wars and conflict, and that inflicts degeneracy, anarchy, strife and murder on societies, **is Darwinism**. Despite having no scientific basis whatsoever, it has become a dominant ideology over the last 150 years by being imposed on people, who have been deceived by this terrible lie, and a number of societies have been drawn toward wars, slaughter, terror and anarchy through adopting Darwinism as their ideology. The way of the antichrist has permeated the world with the corruption of Darwinism, and despite being devoid of any scientific evidence, it has been placed under official protection by many states with false claims and evidence. Since Darwinist ideology is the worst corruption of the End Times, it quickly achieved a false success and took its place in the pages of history as the worst mass deception there has ever been.

However, like all great corruptions, this corruption of the antichrist **will also be overthrown and vanish**. The Golden Age, the holy period of the End Times, will be when the corruption of the antichrist comes to an end, when war and conflict cease, when the atheist ideologies that have inflicted terrible scourges on mankind are consigned to the dustbin of history and when the world overflows with plenty, abundance and justice. The moral values of Islam will spread across the world in that time and people will turn in droves to belief in Allah. **This holy age is now imminent**. According to the hadiths of our Prophet (saas), **Hazrat Mahdi (pbuh) has already appeared**. The time when he will be recognized by people is very close. Again as can be seen from the hadiths, the coming of the Prophet Jesus (pbuh) will also take place very soon. Darwinism, the worst corruption of the global system of the antichrist, has suffered the greatest defeat in history. **The antichrist is now dead**. By Allah’s leave, the plenty and abundance, the peace and security of the Golden Age, which is now close at hand, will reign over all mankind and enfold the whole world. Our Almighty Lord says in one verse:

**Allah has written, “I will be victorious, I and My messengers.” Allah is Most Strong, Almighty.**  
(Qur’an, 58:21)

## PART 1

# ANTICHRIST: THE GREATEST TRIAL OF THE END TIMES

**T**he life of this world is a transient thing in which good and bad, beauty and ugliness appear, by their actions in which human beings are tested, and in which believers and non-believers are distinguished from one another. Almighty Allah, Who has created all beings and this test, has also created, at all times, an enemy in the form of a denier and wrong-doer to confront believers, as part of the test. This denier, who has confronted prophets, messengers and believers throughout history, will appear in the End Times at a time when the hadiths of the Prophet (saas) tell us the Prophet Jesus (pbuh) is expected to return to Earth and Hazrat Mahdi (pbuh) will appear. In other words, the denier will be the antichrist or the “dajjal.”

*Dajjal* is an Arabic word, derived from the root “*da-jl*.” Dictionaries define *dajjal* as “liar, fraud, a seditious and damned person who confuses the minds, hearts, good and bad, the right and the wrong, who hides the real face of something by gilding it, who wanders everywhere.”

The hadiths of the Prophet (saas) refer to the antichrist as the greatest negative force to appear in the End of Times. The antichrist, who will attempt to impose the system of satan over the entire world, in the End Times when extraordinary

**The corruption of the antichrist in the End Times will be very severe...**

**In the hadiths of our Prophet (saas), the antichrist is described as a great negative force that will appear in the End Times. The sole cause of wars, all aggression, strife and slaughter is the antichrist, the corruptor of the End Times.**



**The corruption of the antichrist can be felt everywhere.  
Lovelessness, conflict and evil are spreading all over the world.**

events take place, by means of enmity towards the Prophet Jesus (pbuh) and Hazrat Mahdi (pbuh), whose appearance we will soon see by Allah's leave, is perhaps one of the worst deniers of all time. Our Prophet (saas) describes the dimension of the corruption of the antichrist as follows:

There would be no creation (creating more trouble) than the antichrist right from the creation of Adam to the Last Hour.<sup>1</sup>

It is narrated in traditions that the antichrist is a deceiver who portrays truth as falsehood and falsehood as truth, and good as bad, and bad as good. As we are told in the hadith *"He [the antichrist] would bring along with him an image of paradise and hell-fire and what he would call as paradise that would be hell-fire,"*<sup>2</sup> everything he calls good, beautiful and right is actually an evil that will inflict disaster on humanity. And the values he depicts as evil are actually beneficial and in the interests of humanity. Yet since some people do not look at events in the light of the moral values of the Qur'an and the Sunnah of the Prophet (saas), they will be misled by the things to which the antichrist invites them and will follow him, while heedlessly turning their backs on the path of Allah, which they should actually follow. They will see what a grave error they have made however, when they witness the climate of oppression the antichrist will establish. It is for these reasons that our Prophet (saas) has warned mankind and told people to act in the knowledge that what the antichrist portrays as evil is in fact good:

The antichrist will appear. And there will be along with him water and fire. What the people will see as water will be burning fire. And what will appear as fire will be water. Any one of you who will see that should plunge in that which he sees as fire for it will be sweet, pure water.<sup>3</sup>

As can be seen from the many various signs in the hadiths, the period we are in is the "End Times." It is clear from the hadiths of the Prophet (saas) that the End Times are taking place now and, by Allah's leave, the return to Earth of the Prophet Jesus (pbuh) and the appearance of Hazrat Mahdi (pbuh) are also to be expected in this period. Just as we are told in the hadiths, the antichrist is making his corruption felt in the End Times we are living in, and lovelessness, rebellion, evil, division, conflicts and degeneration are spreading across the world. Many people have gone along with the corruption of the antichrist, turned their backs on proper moral values and accepted a devilish system based on disbelief.


The greatest corruption of the antichrist in the End Times, in ideological terms, is Darwinism. Darwinist ideology, the worst fallacy of the 19<sup>th</sup> century, was initially criticized. But it spread throughout society during the 20<sup>th</sup> century under the influence of the antichrist, misled vast masses of people into believing in it, gathered a great deal of support, and ultimately found its way into school textbooks and scientific literature. Despite being a terrible lie and devoid of any scientific evidence (even though it claims to be scientific), it has managed to deceive the world under the influence of the antichrist. Again under the antichrist's influence, as foretold in the hadiths, people regarded something non-existent as actual fact and adopted a theory with no scientific merit as "an explanation of the origin of life." Children began learning about Darwin's theory of evolution right from primary school, the most-watched pro-Darwinian television channels emphasized false scenarios of evolution as "scientific research," and university professors espoused this fraud with all their might. This is a false system of belief through and through. Darwinism emerged as a false religion, great effort went into making people blindly believe in it, and those who did not believe in it were excluded or ostracized. There has been no scientific evidence in favor of the theory of evolution, and it is impossible for there to be any; but since Darwinism is a false belief system, false evidence is constantly produced to support the theory, and the real scientific evidence that repudiates evolution is covered up. The scale of this fraud is truly enormous. As a perverted and false belief system based on denial of Allah, Darwinism is a known deception of the antichrist's, and one expected in the End Times according to what is revealed in the hadiths.

**The antichrist will deceive people by portraying good as evil and evil as good, and will prepare the way for all forms of disorder.**





**The corruption of the antichrist of the End Times will also manifest itself as famine, shortages and economic disasters.**





This false belief system, which claims that living things came into being by chance, which maintains that apes are the ancestors of human beings, which seeks to convince human beings they are aimless animals with no responsibilities, which has led to mass slaughter and has initiated wars through the twisted idea that the strong must crush the weak, and which regards human beings as worthless and inferior, is actually Darwinism, one of the antichrist's worst plots. However, having persisted for the last 150 years, it has finally been thwarted in the early 21<sup>st</sup> century. The antichrist's plot is over, and the antichrist is dead. Mass deception has come to an end. This ideology, adopted like a false religion, has been crushed in the face of the true faith of Allah. There is no doubt that Allah will make His faith prevail. Our Lord reveals in one verse:

**Allah confirms the Truth by His words, even though the evildoers hate it.  
(Qur'an, 10:82)**

**Darwinism, the main source of racism and which seeks to convince people that man is merely an uncontrolled animal, is one of the worst snares of the antichrist.**

**Lovelessness, ruthlessness and disrespecting others as human beings are the result of regarding one's own race as superior.**





**Darwinism, which seeks to make war and slaughter a law of nature, is the most perverse and bloodiest ideology there has ever been.**

## **The most perverse system of the antichrist of this century: Darwinism**

One of the greatest forms of corruption opposed to religion today is materialism and the various perverse ideologies and movements derived from materialism. But the idea that pervades all these and represents their supposed starting point and foundation is Darwinism. Ever since it was first launched Darwinism has become the foundation for materialist and anti-religious ideologies. It has literally been turned into a religion by the supporters of those ideologies. This statement by the great Islamic scholar Bediüzzaman Said Nursi, regarding how Darwinism will become the religion of the antichrist in the End Times, is particularly noteworthy:

A tyrannical current born of naturalist and materialist philosophy will gradually become strong and spread at the end of time by means of materialist philosophy, reaching such a degree that it denies Allah.<sup>4</sup> (Surely Allah is beyond that.)

Just as Bediüzzaman says in the words "*A tyrannical current born of naturalist and materialist philosophy,*" Darwinism is a teaching that ascribes an independent power to nature, that claims that all life is the result of blind chance, rather than being created, and seeks to turn people away






from faith in Allah. (Surely Allah is beyond that.) This subject is interpreted as follows in a commentary on *al-Kutub al-Sittah*, in which the hadiths of our Prophet (saas) are collected together:

The most evident and important task of the corruption of the End Times caused by the antichrist is opposition to religion. Various humanist views and values that will appear in the End Times will attempt to replace religion. This new religion will base itself upon disbelief in order to eradicate all forms of Divine hegemony over mankind... It is an irreligious faith whose basic god is matter and man.<sup>5</sup> (Surely Allah is beyond that.)

Darwinists have always tended to point to false evidence for the theory of evolution. They maintain that life formed from inanimate matter and developed by evolving, and that all living species gradually descended from one another. However, Darwinism is a perverse belief system that has been unable to prove any part of this claim, but that is nonetheless heralded as scientific. According to Darwinism, the greatest mechanism of this fictitious development is "chance." Chance is Darwinists' false god. According to Darwinists, this false god has the power to do the impossible. According to Darwinists this false god produced the living cell from a bit of muddy water, turned fish into tigers, and bears into whales, and bestowed lungs on them out of nothing. According to Darwinists, who regard man as descended from monkeys, this false god bestowed attributes such as reason, memory and skills on human beings and created human consciousness in a totally inimitable manner. And while supposedly doing all these things, blind chance is alleged to behave rationally and in a planned and controlled manner, and even to have taken various precautionary measures when required. According to Darwin's theory of evolution, chance is a false creator with its own mind and power to control. (Surely Allah is beyond that.)

This perverse theory that has adopted chance as its idol has managed to dominate the mass of people using all kinds of demagoguery and falsehood with such irrational and illogical claims. The reason for that is the antichrist. It is revealed in the hadiths of our Prophet (saas) that the antichrist is the source of this deception. This subject becomes clearer when the features described with similes in many hadiths that discuss the antichrist are evaluated in terms of the characteristics of these ideologies. In that light, all ideologies and thought systems that emerge with illogical and groundless claims, that incite people toward disbelief, that turn them from religious moral values and that facilitate the appearance of corruption and conflict among people actually represent the an-




**Darwinists attach no value to others as human beings.**

tichrist. Darwinism is the main ideology described in the hadith that complies with this devilish system. Our Almighty Lord describes those people who go along with the perverse system of the antichrist thus in one verse:

**The evildoers are indeed misguided and insane. (Qur'an, 54:47)**

Since Darwinism is an ideology based on deceiving the world and turning people away from faith in Allah, it is essential for the supporters of the antichrist to keep this perverse faith alive. For that reason, some people under the influence of the antichrist have to date resorted to every possible way of keeping the ideology propped up. Despite lacking even one piece of scientific evidence, the theory of evolution was heralded as a scientifically proven one. They pretend that non-existent evidence actually existed, and had no qualms about resorting to fraud to make fossils compatible with their theory. Though not a single transitional fossil existed, they fabricated fictitious ones and produced hoaxes, and had these taught as scientific facts in school books. They ignored the countless pieces of evidence that emerged and repudiated evolution, deliberately kept them hidden away and kept them concealed away from sight. Since there was no evidence to support their theory, they manufactured false fossils and for decades exhibited these in the world's most renowned museums. Although they should have been ashamed when their frauds were exposed, they persisted with their deceptive methods and had no compunctions about producing and espousing new hoaxes. Although it has been scientifically proven that not even a single protein can form by chance, they insisted on maintaining that life first began by chance in some muddy water. (The probability of a functioning protein forming by chance is zero because a sin-



**They worship besides Allah  
something for which no authority  
has come down, something about  
which they have no knowledge...  
(Qur'an, 22:71)**

gle protein cannot come into being in an environment that does not already contain proteins.) They had no hesitation about publishing their false evidence in newspapers and scientific journals and on the television, and saw nothing wrong in teaching it to students for years. Darwinism is a system founded upon fraud, deceptions and lies. Darwinism is not science. Ever since the theory of evolution was first put forward, Darwinism has adopted the role played by the ancient pagans, trying to turn people into idolaters and seeking to turn them away from belief in Allah. It deceived people and tried to convince them of a lie for 150 years. Darwinism is a perverse religion that needs fraud to survive, that tells lies to muster support, and seeks to excommunicate those who state the truth, that Darwinism is a lie.

Allah says in one verse:

**Say: "Shall I tell you of a reward with Allah far worse than that: that of those whom Allah has cursed and with whom He is angry – turning some of them into monkeys and into pigs – and who worshiped false deities? Such people are in a worse situation and further from the right way." (Qur'an, 5:60)**

Under normal conditions, it would certainly be illogical for people to adhere to such a nonsensical ideology. But some people have unconsciously fallen into a trap set by the antichrist and been taken in by that deception, without understanding how it happened; because the antichrist used a very cunning method. As Darwinism was busily misleading the world, the antichrist undertook this cunning and unpleasant task, and influenced people using false and perverse methods. But there is no doubt that, as with all superstitious religions, all the plots against Allah have been thwarted. Allah reveals this in these verses:

**They are hatching a plot. I too am hatching a plot. So bear with the unbelievers – bear with them for a while. (Qur'an, 86:15-17)**

**Say: 'It is He Who scattered you  
about the earth and you will be  
gathered to Him.'  
(Qur'an, 67:24)**

## PART 2

# DARWINISTS HAVE DECEIVED THE WHOLE WORLD WITH FRAUDS

Let us consider a scientific theory, the Big Bang for instance. That theory was first proposed uncertainly and as a hypothesis based on Einstein's concept of relativity. After that, the search began for the evidence needed to show that the Big Bang actually took place. That evidence genuinely existed. The radiation confirming the explosion was discovered, and an isotopic nature (the fact that all space is at  $-270^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) was established. It was also scientifically proved that the universe was constantly expanding. That was another important and definitive piece of evidence corroborating the theory in question. All the claims of the Big Bang theory were thus tested and confirmed, and it so became a proven theory.

Darwin's theory of evolution was put forward as a "hypothesis." (In fact, the reason for the launching of the theory of evolution was entirely ideological, but it was introduced under a scientific guise.) In order for the theory to be regarded as valid, its claims would have to be proved, just as with the Big Bang. To that end, it would have to be seen whether the fictitious

mechanisms of evolution actually made evolution possible. Scientific progress in the 20<sup>th</sup> century proved that “natural selection,” Darwin's fictitious evolutionary mechanism, had no evolutionary role. In the face of the science of genetics, new Darwinists abandoned all hope of natural selection as an evolutionary mechanism and attempted to point to mutations instead, but were again disappointed. Once it had been realized that mutations had no evolutionary effect, either, it was the turn of the fossil record, in which Darwin and Darwinists had placed most of their hopes. The conclusion produced by the fossil record literally stunned Darwinists! The illusory “transitional fossils” that had been sought for so many years existed nowhere on Earth. Deeper research did not alter that conclusion. Not a single transitional fossil has ever been discovered in any of the research conducted to date.

Unwilling to abandon the superstitious religion to which they were so devoted, Darwinists still hoped to find evidence for Darwinism for many years. But the fictitious evidence they anticipated never appeared. Nonetheless, Darwinist lies did not come to an end, and pro-Darwinist demagoguery was stubbornly persevered with. What is interesting is that despite the passage of 150 years, although not a single piece of supporting evidence has ever been found and even though the fossil record and genetics clearly proved the fact of Creation, Darwinists still imagine they could keep this superstitious religion propped up. That, of course, proved to be a grave error. In fact the proponents of the theory are perfectly well aware that evolution never happened anywhere in natural history. They have seen this as a result of countless pieces of scientific evidence.

But since the theory of evolution is an ideology and a superstitious religion that needs to be kept alive by its adherents, Darwinists believe they still have to maintain this supposedly scientific role. That is the reason why Darwinists have resorted to hoaxes, speculation and countless propaganda techniques to keep this false religion alive over the last 150 years. As required by their superstitious faith, Darwinists can find no other way of conditioning people with the idea that their theory is a supposedly “true” one because not a single piece of scientific evidence exists to corroborate the theory. It is for these reasons that Darwinists try to give the impression that “the scientific evidence for their theory exists.”

In his book *Creative Defense*, Nicholas Comninellis, a doctor from the University of Missouri, says this about the future status of this vast deception:

Philosophically, the dogma of evolution is a dream, a theory without a vestige of truth. Within fifty years, children in school will read of extraordinary popular delusions, and this will be mentioned as one of the most absurd. Many a merry jest will be uttered bearing upon the follies of nineteenth century science.<sup>6</sup>

Comninellis's analysis is accurate. The theory of evolution consists of a claim based on deception, and the history of the theory is full of countless instances of fraud. Every claim made by evolutionists and every piece of evidence thus far submitted is the work of fraud. But the true face of every one of these hoaxes has now been revealed. Yet the proponents of the religion of Darwinism have tried to cover this up, or make people forget it. Yet no matter how much they may strive, the whole world has finally begun to see that Darwinist propaganda is based on rotten foundations. As Comninellis also says, future generations will be stunned and amazed at the global impact of this deception, and how this monstrous lie was espoused by world-renowned professors and scientists, and they will laugh at this foolishness for many years.

Using such methods, the antichrist sought to turn people away from belief in Allah. But all his plans have now collapsed. The method he used – Darwinism – has now become the subject of mockery, even for small children. The whole world will soon look back on the nonsense that is Darwinism with a sense of embarrassment. This is without doubt a snare set by our Lord for those who would rebel against Him. As Allah reveals in another verse:

**The evil actions they did assailed them. They were engulfed by what they mocked. (Qur'an, 16:34)**

## **1. The claim that "the first cell emerged from muddy water" is a fraud**

According to Darwin's theory of evolution, there was a mythical first cell that formed when various inanimate substances came together by chance. According to Darwinism, everything began with that "first cell." And according to Darwinism, that fictitious "first cell" represents the origin of all life, butterflies, birds, lions, whales, eagles, rabbits, deer and eventually human beings who produce professors and technologies, found civilizations, journey into space and examine their own cells in laboratories.

According to Darwinism, the source of this mythical first cell is supposedly a bit of muddy water, time and chance! According to the religion of Darwinism, these three "magic" and "intelligent" things somehow came together to produce the cell, with all its organelles and irreducible complexity, with its exceptionally complex and flawless mechanisms, that not even Nobel prize-winning scientists have been able to manufacture in laboratories equipped with 21<sup>st</sup>-century technology, and whose details human

beings have been striving to understand for around half a century!

Moreover, these three "wondrous" forces also combined to give rise to all the glorious life we see on Earth today. The religion of Darwinism seeks to convince people of this nonsense.

cytochrome C



**It is impossible for a single amino-acid chain to form by chance. This fact totally undermines Darwinism.**

But the fact is that this is a terrible fraud and falsehood.

In fact, the fantasy of this first cell emerging from muddy water invented by Darwinists is entirely compatible with the very backward level of science and technology in Darwin's time. Bearing in mind that Darwin thought the cell was just a sack of jelly, this childish account is just what one might expect from the knowledge and scientific understanding of that era. It was easier to deceive people at that time since they had no idea what the cell was like. But the findings that emerged from the science of genetics once again proved that Darwinism is a total deception. In the light of our present-day knowledge, just one of all the countless proteins possessed by the cell is enough to refute the theory of evolution. Proteins are highly complex structures, and it is impossible for them to come into being by chance. So much so that it is impossible to reproduce them even with 21<sup>st</sup>-century technology under conscious and controlled laboratory conditions. To maintain that such a structure came into being by chance in a puddle of muddy water is scientifically ludicrous and even a violation of reason and logic. The American philosopher of science Stephen C. Meyer expresses the impossibility of a single protein forming by chance in these words:

Consider the probabilistic hurdles that must be overcome to construct even one short protein molecule of about 100 amino acids in length. First, all amino acids must form a chemical bond known as a peptide bond so as to join with other amino acids in the protein chain. Yet in nature many other types of chemical bonds are possible between amino acids. **The probability of building a chain of 100 amino acids in which all linkages involve peptide bonds is roughly 1 chance in  $10^{30}$ .**


Second, in nature every amino acid has a distinct mirror image of itself, one left-handed version (or L-form) and one right-handed version (or D-form). These mirror-image forms are called optical isomers. Functioning proteins tolerate only left-handed amino acids, yet the right-handed and left-handed isomers occur in nature with roughly equal frequency. Taking this into consideration compounds the improbability of attaining a biologically functioning protein. **The probability of attaining at random only L-amino acids in a hypothetical peptide chain 100 amino acids long is  $(1/2)^{100}$  or again roughly 1 chance in  $10^{30}$ .**

**Chance, the false god of Darwinists, has no power to create anything. Allah, Lord of the worlds, is the sole Creator.**


Third and most important of all, functioning proteins must have amino acids that link up in a specific sequential arrangement, just like the letters in a meaningful sentence. Because there are 20 biologically occurring amino acids, the probability of getting a specific amino acid at a given site is one in twenty, or five percent. Even if we assume that some sites along the chain will tolerate several amino acids (using the variances determined by biochemist Robert Sauer of MIT), **we find that the probability of achieving a functional sequence of amino acids in several functioning proteins at random is still “vanishingly small,” roughly 1 chance in  $10^{65}$** —an astronomically large number—for a protein only one hundred amino acids in length. (Actually the probability is even lower because there are many nonproteinous amino acids in nature that we have not accounted for in this calculation.)

**If one also factors in the probability of attaining proper bonding and optical isomers, the probability of constructing a rather short, functional protein at random becomes so small as to be effectively zero (no more than 1 chance in  $10^{125}$ ), even given our multi-billion-year-old universe.** Consider further that equally severe probability difficulties attending the random assembly of functional DNA. Moreover, a minimally complex cell requires not one, but at least 100 complex proteins (and many other biomolecular components such as DNA and RNA) all functioning in close coordination. For this reason, quantitative assessments of cellular complexity have simply reinforced an opinion that has prevailed since the mid-1960s within origin-of-life biology: **chance is not an adequate explanation for the origin of biological complexity and specificity.**<sup>7</sup>

Above and beyond all this, the really important point to be concentrated on is that proteins must already exist in order for other proteins to form. Up to 100 proteins have to be at work in order for a single protein to form. All these proteins have to be present inside a fully formed cell at the same time and the same place and with all their organelles. Therefore, proteins, DNA and the cell have to exist before the protein does. This fact by itself demolishes Darwinists' claims. Darwinists are unable to explain how even a single protein came into being.



**There is enough information in one DNA molecule to fill a million encyclopedia pages. It is impossible for such a wondrous work to be the product of chance.**



**The idea that a gloriously complex structure such as DNA came into being by chance is one of the worst falsehoods of Darwinism.**



Even if we were to assume that all these complex structures did come into being in an impossible way (i.e., by chance), Darwinists still have to explain how data enough to fill a million encyclopedia pages inside such a glorious molecule as DNA could come into existence by pure happenstance. But as with all issues regarding the cell and the origin of life, Darwinists have no explanation for this either. According to the perverse Darwinist mindset, the extraordinary information inside a cell that formed by chance in muddy water must also have come about by chance due to external factors. The wondrous information inside DNA was actually created together with it.

Darwinists claim that "the cell formed by chance in muddy water" is an outdated belief from the time of Darwin, who imagined that the cell was a simple sack of jelly. But this nonsense dating back to the 19<sup>th</sup> century has been totally invalidated today, when science and technology have made such great progress. While there are countless structures that all have to be accounted for in a living body, Darwinism cannot even account for how a single protein came into being. But Darwinists behave as if they were unaware of all these impossibilities. This impossible formation in muddy water is still described in evolutionist publications, much in the same way that people tell each other fairy tales. The aim is to be able to deceive the public with this unscientific, illogical and also unproven account. According to the adherents of this superstitious religion, the more people who believe in this nonsense, the more people will fall under the spell of Darwinism.

But people no longer believe in Darwinists' false tales. Everything in creation, the universe and all within it, exhibit the sublime might and power of our Almighty Lord. In the Qur'an, our Almighty Lord speaks of the sublime creation of the cell and man:

**We created man from the purest kind of clay; then made him a drop in a secure receptacle; then formed the drop into a clot and formed the clot into a lump and formed the lump into bones and clothed the bones in flesh; and then brought him into being as another creature. Blessed be Allah, the Best of Creators! (Surat al-Muminun, 12-14)**

No matter how much people who look for explanations outside the Qur'an try to provide facile accounts for the glory on Earth, it is still an absolute and certain fact that these works created by Allah are magnificent and glorious. There is no room for the theory of evolution in the face of this sublime creation. Almighty Allah describes the greatness of the works He creates in this verse:

**The creation of the heavens and earth is far greater than the creation of mankind. But most of mankind do not know it. (Qur'an, 40:57)**


## **2. The claim that "natural selection causes evolution" is a fraudulent one**

Darwin proposed the concept of natural selection as a supposed "evolutionary mechanism" in the 1800s.

The idea of natural selection is based on the idea that the strongest or most powerful life forms, well adapted to their natural surroundings will survive. For example, in a herd of zebra menaced by lions, the zebras that run the fastest will survive.


Natural selection is certainly a mechanism observed among living things in nature. But it does not possess the ability, as imagined by evolutionists, to bestow new features on life forms and thus create new species.

We can clarify this with an example: Let us imagine that in a certain geographical region there are two similar kinds of dogs, one of which has significantly longer fur than the other. If the temperature in this region falls significantly for some ecological reason, then the longer haired dogs will be more resistant than the shorter haired ones. As a result, the longer haired dogs will gradually come to have an advantage, meaning that they will live longer, find food more easily and reproduce more successfully. After a while, the number of shorter haired dogs will decrease considerably, and they will either migrate to warmer climates or else become extinct. In other words, the longer haired dogs will be favored by natural selection and be advantaged over the other kind.



In a herd of deer threatened by predators, those that can run faster will survive, and thus the herd will come to be made up of swift and strong animals. But this mechanism does not cause deer to evolve and cannot turn them into another species.

Natural selection cannot give rise to a new species.



But please note that no new breed of dog emerges during this process. One of the two breeds that were already in existence acquired an advantage through natural selection. It is not the case that as a result of natural selection long haired dogs appeared where there had not been any before. It is in any case absolutely impossible for these dogs to turn into another species.

In short, new species and new characteristics do not appear by way of natural selection, only the probability of survival of an already existing species changes. Since no new species or characteristics form, it is impossible to speak of "evolution" taking place as evolutionists claim. To put it another way, no "evolution" can come about through natural selection. Indeed, Darwin himself admitted as much:

... natural selection is incompetent to account for the incipient stages of useful structures.<sup>8</sup>

The well-known British evolutionist and paleontologist Colin Patterson makes this confession on the subject:

No one has ever produced a species by mechanisms of natural selection. No one has ever got near it and most of the current argument in neo-Darwinism is about this question.<sup>9</sup>

Natural selection is not a mechanism that produces anything new and thus causes species to change, nor does it work miracles such as causing a reptile to gradually turn into a bird. In the words of the well-



chromosome

histone

Every mutation affecting DNA will harm it.

known biologist D'Arcy Wentworth Thompson, "... we are entitled ... to see in natural selection an inexorable force, whose function is not to create but to destroy—to weed, to prune, to cut down and to cast into the fire."<sup>10</sup>

Darwinists are therefore lying when they describe natural selection as an evolutionary mechanism. Even though they know full well that natural selection does not bring about evolution, they still try to openly impose this deception. Desiring to adopt the legacy inherited from Darwin, and not having been able to invent a new mechanism for their imaginary scenario, makes them intensely devoted to this outdated claim and terrible falsehood. There are still Darwinist scientists today who adhere to this terrible lie. Those who have seen that this lie cannot be maintained have come up with another lie: the idea that "mutations cause evolution."

### 3. The idea that "mutations cause evolution" is a falsehood

Mutations are dislocations, breaks and impairments as a result of radiation or chemical effects in the DNA molecule in the nucleus of the living cell which carries all the information about a human being. The information in DNA is set out by 4 separate nucleotides, symbolized by the letters A, T, C and G, laid out in a special and significant sequence. But an error in a single letter in that sequence will damage the entire structure. For example, the leukemia observed in children appears because one of the nucleotide sequences in the DNA is incorrect. The reason for diseases such as cancer appearing or subsequent generations being deformed as a result of the radiation leakage at Chernobyl, or the atom bomb dropped over Hiroshima, is again because of the harmful effects of mutations occurring in people's bodies.

Almost all mutations are harmful, and they are generally lethal to living things. Examples of mutations that are not harmful generally do the organism no good, and are at best neutral. Scientists have concluded that not a single mutation, out of all those that have been studied, has ever had a positive effect on the life of an organism.<sup>11</sup>

But the theory of evolution is based on these fictitious mutations that supposedly produce "new" living things and work miracles. Darwinists maintain that species emerge from one another through structures and organs appearing as a result of countless fictitious and beneficial mutations. This claim, which is a source of terrible shame for Darwinists, is put forward by Darwinist scientists who know that mutations always harm an organism. Furthermore, Darwinists are well aware of these harmful effects of mutations yet they still point to a mutant, four-winged fruit fly. The four-winged fruit fly emerged as a result of being subjected to radiation in the laboratory. Darwinists use this example in support of their claims. Darwinists portrayed the extra pair of wings produced in a fruit fly as a result of laboratory-engineered mutations as the greatest evidence that mutations could lead to evolution. But the two wings in question actually damage the creature rather than benefiting it, leading to its losing the ability to fly. The University of California at Berkeley molecular biologist Jonathan Wells summarizes the position as follows:

In the 1970s, Cal Tech geneticist Edward B. Lewis discovered that by carefully breeding three mutant strains he was able to produce a fruit fly in which the balancers were transformed into a second pair of normal-looking wings.



**Mutations cannot improve living things, they can only harm them.**

**The harmful effects of mutations can clearly be seen in the living creatures illustrated. Mutations either cripple or kill.**



## MUTATIONS ARE THE FOE OF SYMMETRY

The mathematical proportionality in the leaves of a sunflower, the shell of a snail, a pinecone or the length of our fingers is one of the extraordinary beauties and blessings created by Allah. This is the astonishing harmony known to scientists as the "golden ratio." The characteristic of this sequence, discovered by Leonardo Fibonacci, the most eminent mathematician of the Middle Ages, is that each number in it is the sum of the two preceding ones.

The Fibonacci sequence goes; 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, 233, 377, 610, 987, 1597, 2584, ...

Divided by the one before, the numbers in the sequence produce very similar results. Indeed, after the 13th number the figure is always identical. This is the figure known as the "golden ratio," 1.618.

As a miracle of Allah's, this wondrous ratio can be seen in a great many entities in nature. The golden ratio can be found in the DNA helix in our cells, in the shape of galaxies in space, in snow crystals, micro-organisms, in horns and teeth and in snail shells. But the most striking example of all is the human body, in which;

The length of the face – the width of the face,

The space between the lips and where the eyebrows meet – the length of the nose,

The length of the face – the space between the tip of the jaw and where the eyebrows meet,

The length of the mouth – the width of the nose,

The width of the nose – the distance between the nostrils,

The distance between the pupils – the distance between the eyebrows,

The distance between the fingertip and the elbow – the distance between the wrist and the elbow,

The distance from shoulder level to the top of the head – the length of the head,

The distance between the navel and the top of the head – the distance from shoulder level to the top of the head,

The distance between the navel and the knee – the distance between the knee and the tip of the foot,


Are all examples of perfect golden ratios.

Our Lord reveals in the Qur'an that "**Allah has appointed a measure for all things.**" (Qur'an, 65:3). The "Golden Ratio" is thus one of the most sublime proofs of Allah's creation. (For greater detail, see <http://www.harun-yahya.com/presentation/goldenratio/index.html>)

The perfect symmetry and the golden ratio observable in living things by themselves overturn all the Darwinists' claims of evolution. This is because mutations, to which Darwinists ascribe a supposed "evolutionary force," are the enemies of symmetry. According to Darwinists' claims, when haphazard mutations come about there should be no golden ratio in living things. There should be total imbalance and disorder because mutations are disordered phenomena.

Mutations cannot produce an arm that did not exist before, a hand proportionate to it, or fingers again in line with a mathematical ratio. Moreover, they cannot then produce another arm of the same

Mutations cannot produce an arm that did not exist before, a hand proportionate to it, or fingers again in line with a mathematical ratio. Moreover, they cannot then produce another arm of the same




length on the other side in an immaculate symmetry, another hand of the same size and with the same symmetry and other figures matching that same mathematical ratio. Random mutations cannot decide beforehand and produce a perfectly complex eye, and then another seeing eye on the exact opposite side of the face in complete harmony with it, of the same size and color and with exactly the same functions. Random mutations cannot, as Darwinists maintain, produce an ear on the right side of the head and then immediately afterward produce a second ear on the left side, possessing the same symmetry and shape, hearing in the same way, and with all the same characteristics. Likewise, they cannot form delicate structures such as the anvil, hammer and stirrup (the tiny bones located inside the ear) in a perfect manner, and bestow the same functions and symmetry on them.

In order for a living thing to survive, chance mutations would have to give rise to heart valves, the same on both sides and with the same symmetry and functions. Otherwise, that being could not live. And that proportion and symmetry would have to come about in the same way in all organs of the body because all life forms today, and all those in the past, all exhibit the same immaculate symmetry. No life form comes into being with an ear the wrong way round, one lung different, or one eye in its forehead and one on its nose. Since life exhibits no such imbalance, Darwinists are trying to commit a mass deception with their claims that mutations cause evolution.

Very rarely, we do encounter monstrous creatures with one ear the wrong way round or an eye in its forehead. That is the end result of mutations. Mutations are like shooting at an ordered structure with a machine gun. There is no doubt that shooting at a sound body will entirely destroy it. The fact that one mutation may have no effect, or that an existing infection in the body may be destroyed and thus cured by shooting at it with a machine gun changes nothing. The organism will in any case be destroyed by all the other 99 bullets hitting it.

It is mutations that are responsible for the odd-looking life forms in question that occasionally attract the interest of the media and scientific circles. Mutations damage the living organism's genes, do away with its immaculate symmetry and make it unable to survive. But the point that must not be forgotten here is that mutations all take place under the control of Allah. Allah creates such examples in order to demonstrate the perfection in His creation and to reveal His creative artistry.



At first glance, this might seem to provide evidence for Carroll's claim that small developmental changes in regulatory DNA can produce large evolutionary changes in form. But the fruit fly is still a fruit fly. Furthermore, although the second pair of wings looks normal, it has no flight muscles. A four-winged fruit fly is like an airplane with a second pair of wings dangling uselessly from its tail. It has great difficulty flying or mating, so it can survive only in the laboratory. As evidence for evolution, a four-winged fruit fly is no better than a two-headed calf in a circus sideshow.<sup>12</sup>

Jonathan Wells continues:

Disabled fruit flies with extra wings or missing legs have taught us something about developmental genetics, but nothing about evolution. All of the evidence points to one conclusion: no matter what we do to a fruit fly embryo, there are only three possible outcomes – a normal fruit fly, a defective fruit fly, or a dead fruit fly. Not even a horsefly, much less a horse.<sup>13</sup>

As we have seen, the four-winged mutant fruit fly that is the only evidence that Darwinists point to in support of their warped claims is in fact nothing more than a disabled fruit fly. No matter what effect mutations may have on a life form, they do not possess the miraculous property of bestowing a characteristic belonging to another life form onto it. But Darwinists want people to believe the lie that miracles occur in living things by way of mutations.


The interesting thing is that although Darwinist scientists know that the fruit fly in question is defective, attempts are still made to depict it as the greatest evidence for evolution by mutation in school textbooks. Jonathan Wells writes:

According to Peter Raven and George Johnson's 1999 textbook, *Biology*, "all evolution begins with alterations in the genetic message... Genetic change through mutation and recombination [the re-arrangement of existing genes] provides the raw materials for evolution." The same page features a photo of a four-winged fruit fly, which is described as "a mutant because of changes in *Ultrabithorax*, a gene regulating a critical stage of development; it possesses two thoracic segments and thus two sets of wings." ...

Adding to the confusion, textbook accounts typically leave the reader with the impression that the extra wings represent a gain of structures. But four-winged fruit flies have actually lost structures which they need for flying. Their balancers are gone, and instead of being replaced with something new have been replaced with copies of structures already present in another segment. Although pictures of four-winged fruit flies give the impression that mutations have added something new, the exact opposite is closer to the truth.<sup>14</sup>

Even if we assume that the "fictitious first cell" that Darwinists claim represents the beginning of life and that cannot possibly have come into being by chance did actually emerge spontaneously, even the smallest stage of the imaginary evolutionary process that would have to take place to give rise to a human with his complex structure would require an astounding amount of information to be produced and countless mutations to take place. "All" of these many mutations have to be beneficial to the life form or else bring about the appearance of something "new." A single error in this fictitious developing life form will cause the entire system to go wrong and collapse. Ninety-nine percent of mutations are harmful while only one percent are neutral. It flies in the face of both reason and science, therefore, to suggest that every single one of these mutations that would have to take place according to the theory of evolution can be beneficial.


It is therefore impossible for a brand new organ or characteristic that did not exist before to appear by chance as the result of mutations. Mutations have no power to bestow new information on a life form that does not belong to it, or to turn it into a different organism. The idea of mutation represents the greatest manifestation of the falsehood and illogicality of Darwinism because the idea of evolution is based on these illusory "beneficial mutations" that do not in fact exist.



### The infinite amount of time needed for hypothetical beneficial mutations

Even if we hypothesize that beneficial mutations could take place, the idea of mutation is still incompatible with the theory of evolution. In a paper titled "The Inadequacy of Neo-Darwinian Evolution As a Scientific Theory," Professor Murray Eden from the MIT (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) Faculty of Electrical Engineering showed that if it required a mere six mutations to bring about an adaptive change, this would occur by chance only once in a billion years - while, if two dozen genes were involved, it would require 10,000,000,000 years, which is much longer than the age of the Earth.<sup>15</sup> Even if we assume that mutations were effective and beneficial in complex organs, and structures requiring more than one mutation to occur at the same time, mathematicians still say the problem of time is an acute dilemma for Darwinists. Even Professor of Paleontology George G. Simpson, one of the most unrepentant Darwinists, clearly states that it would take an infinite length of time for five mutations to happen at the same time.<sup>16</sup> An infinite amount of time means zero probability. And that is a probability which applies to all the structures and organs possessed by living things. Thus, there is no possibility of the glorious variety of life we see in our daily lives coming about through mutations.

The evolutionist George G. Simpson has performed another calculation regarding the mutation claim in question. He admitted that in a community of 100 million individuals, which could hypothetically produce a new generation every day, a positive outcome from mutations would only take place once every 274 billion years. That number is many times greater than the age of the Earth, estimated to be at 4.5 billion years old.<sup>17</sup> These, of course, are all calculations assuming that mutations have a positive effect on the generations which gave rise to them, and on subsequent generations; but no such assumption applies in the real world.



### Why is the body that is supposedly evolving protected against mutations?

All evolutionist scientists know that the probability of a replication error occurring in a living organism's DNA for no reason is very low. Research has revealed that there are protective elements in the cell that prevent genetic errors from arising. The information in DNA cannot be copied in the absence of particular enzymes that control one another against errors. These include double-filter enzymes for ensuring that the right amino acid binds to the right tRNA. One filter rejects amino acids that are too large, and the other those that are too small. This is a very sensitive and rational system. There are also enzymes that do final checks against the possibility of any error arising in this intelligent system. Scientists have concluded that they could not imagine a better cellular control and protection system aimed at maintaining the integrity of DNA.<sup>18</sup>

Pierre Paul Grassé, who spent 30 years as professor of evolution at the Sorbonne, wrote this on the subject:

The probability of dust carried by the wind reproducing Dürer's "Melancholia" is less infinitesimal than the probability of copy errors in the DNA molecules leading to the formation of the eye.<sup>19</sup>

Darwinists ignore this miraculous system present in DNA and avoid going deeply into the subject and coming up with any explanation of it; yet they construct a scenario of the history of life built on replication errors with an almost zero possibility of happening. This once again reveals the nonsensical nature of Darwinist logic.

Following the realization that Darwin's idea of natural selection most definitely did not constitute an account of evolution and the emergence of the laws of genetics becoming a lethal blow to Darwinism, the claim of the "evolutionary effect of mutations," which had been the main weapon of neo-Darwinism, was seen to be no more than a deception. It is absolutely ridiculous to claim that a mechanism such as a mutation, which damages, destroys and kills the living organism, as well as sometimes harming all subsequent generations, can give rise to entirely new living things. But masses of people were taken in by this lie for years. Darwinist scientists of course know that mutations have no such miraculous power. Even Richard Dawkins, one of the present day's most fervid Darwinists, admits that "*most mutations are deleterious, so some undesirable side effect is pretty likely.*"<sup>20</sup> The reason why Darwinists still propose this discredited claim as a mechanism for evolution is their devotion to the superstitious religion of Darwinism.

#### 4. The "discovery of the transitional form" is a fraud


The absence of transitional fossils did not look like an insuperable one in Darwin's time. Darwin was amazed that not a single intermediate fossil to confirm his theory had been discovered in any of the Earth's strata, but he still believed they would be "found in the future." In the chapter titled "Difficulties on Theory" of his *The Origin of Species* he wrote:

... Why, if species have descended from other species by insensibly fine gradations, do we not everywhere see innumerable transitional forms? Why is not all nature in confusion instead of the species being, as we see them, well defined?... But, as by this theory innumerable transitional forms must have existed, why do we not find them embedded in countless numbers in the crust of the earth?.. Why then is not every geological formation and every stratum full of such intermediate links?<sup>21</sup>

The lack of transitional fossils, which refuted Darwin's expectations, and not a single one of which has been discovered over the last 150 years, has demolished his theory. More than 350 million fossils have to date been unearthed.

These fossils have definitively declared the crushing defeat of Darwinism. They all belong to complete and perfect life forms. A great many are specimens of life forms still living today. But not one of these 350 million is a transitional form.

The lack of transitional fossils means the death of Darwinism, and is something that the adherents of the superstitious faith that is Darwinism cannot accept. For that reason, Darwinists resorted to traditional methods and began manufacturing fictitious transitional fossils. Today, when we look at publications that are Darwinist in origin we see that all the fossils depicted as transitional forms are in fact the product of fraud. This



An original copy of Darwin's *Origin of Species*



false evidence, which may sometimes be based on extinct life forms, sometimes on fictitious illustrations, sometimes on just one single fossil tooth and sometimes on skulls fraudulently manufactured in a laboratory environment, is all important proof that transitional fossils do not exist and that Darwinism is a deception.

Professor of mathematics Wolfgang Smith is one of those scientists who openly admits that transitional fossils do not exist:

On the fundamental level, it becomes a rigorously demonstrable fact that there are no transitional types, and the so-called missing links are indeed non-existent.<sup>22</sup>

The evolutionist paleontologist Stephen Stanley makes this comment on the absence of transitional fossils:

The known fossil record fails to document a single example of phyletic evolution accomplishing a major morphology transition, and hence offers no evidence that gradualistic model can be valid.<sup>23</sup>

The University of Kansas geologist Ronald R. West comments:

If evolution were true, the fossil record should have demonstrated:

1. The oldest rocks that bear evidence of life would contain the most primitive forms of life capable of fossilization.
2. Younger rocks would contain evidence of more complex forms of life.
3. There would be a gradual change in life forms from simple to complex.
4. There would be huge numbers of transitional forms.

However, contrary to what most scientists write, the fossil record does not support the Darwinian theory of evolution.<sup>24</sup>


Darwinists have been unable to put forth a single genuine intermediate form. Not a single transitional fossil has ever been unearthed. There is not a single example of a genuine transitional form on display in museums of evolution. Each one of the 350 million fossils has refuted evolution. They are all fully formed and perfect fossils of life forms, whether still living or extinct.


The lack of transitional forms is such that even Darwinists cannot deny the obvious. Faced by various difficulties, they sometimes are forced to admit it. One such statement comes from the evolutionist paleontologist Colin Patterson:

I fully agree with your comments on the lack of direct illustration of evolutionary transitions in my book [*Evolution*]. If I knew of any, fossil or living, I would have certainly have included them. . . . I will lay it on the line – there is not one single transitional form in the fossil record for which one could make a watertight argument.<sup>25</sup>

**There are more than 380 fossil beds on Earth. More than 350 million fossils have to date been extracted from those fossil beds. But all these fossils prove Creation. Not a single one of these fossils is a transitional form.**

**All fossils prove Creation...**





**Devoid of any transitional forms, Darwinists look for a solution in portraying extinct life forms as transitional. But all these living forms were complete and fully formed.**

The absence of intermediate forms is not something that should be glossed over with sophistry, as by the majority of Darwinists, or else regarded as unimportant. No transitional forms mean no evolution. One or a few fossils are not enough to validate the theory of evolution. There would have to be millions of them. But there exists not one single transitional fossil. This reality – that just about 100% of the fossil record has been unearthed by the first decade of the 21<sup>st</sup> century, and that not one of the millions of transitional fossils that there should theoretically have been has been found – is by itself very powerful and sound evidence that evolution is a lie.

Mark Ridley of the Oxford University Department of Zoology describes how all the fossil record shows that Darwinism is a lie:

In any case, no real evolutionist, whether a gradualist or a punctuationalist, uses the fossil record as evidence in favor of the theory of evolution as opposed to special creation...<sup>26</sup>

The interesting thing is the way that, as we shall be seeing in due course – and in the face of this reality – Darwinists still try to depict fully formed, complete and perfect fossils as transitional forms, or else manufacture their own. The principal reason for their resorting to fraud is without doubt their inability to produce any scientific evidence and their desperation. The main element that makes Darwinism a fraud is that the followers of this superstitious religion have to engage in hoaxes, lies and deception. The adherents of this superstitious faith claim to be acting in the name of science, but the findings of science openly refute the theory of evolution. In Darwinists' eyes, Darwinism can only be propped up by means of countless lies. That is why their "transitional form found" propaganda that constitutes part of this is also a huge fraud.

## **5. Darwinists' efforts to cover up living fossils is a deception**

Darwin was amazed when he found a fossilized ginkgo leaf during his research. An identical counterpart to something living today was found with exactly the same appearance as it has today in strata dating back millions of years. This fossil, which on its own was sufficient to disprove his theory and which caused Darwin enormous concern, was described by Darwin in person as a "living fossil."

If Darwin were alive today, there is no doubt that his alarm on this subject would be even greater because the ginkgo is not the only living fossil to have survived. Some of the more than 350 million fossils that have been unearthed to date belong to complete and fully formed extinct entities, while the ma-

majority represent living fossils. Fossil specimens dating back millions of years from very many species living today have been discovered and put on display. (For details see <http://www.fossil-museum.com/fossils/> and <http://atlasofcreation.com/index.php>) The horses, giraffes, fish, dogs, birds and reptiles alive today have all left traces dating back millions of years with the same states and appearances that they have now. The fossils illustrated in the *Atlas of Creation* volumes and those on show at various exhibitions and on the Fossil Museum website represent just a few of the specimens in question. There are so many of these specimens that nearly every life form alive today is represented by members of the same species dating back millions of years. And these fossils are in complete harmony with the organisms around today. **There is no difference between fossils dating back millions of years and contemporary living things. Life forms have never changed. They are the same now as when they were first created.**

Niles Eldredge, the well-known evolutionist paleontologist and curator at the American Museum of Natural History, summarized their helplessness in the face of the reality that living fossils are one of the greatest dilemmas confronting evolution:

... there seems to have been almost no change in any part we can compare between the living organism and its fossilized progenitors of the remote geological past. Living fossils embody the theme of evolutionary stability to an extreme degree. ....We have not completely solved the riddle of living fossils.<sup>27</sup>

Although Eldredge attempts, in his own eyes, to conceal Darwinists' despair by the use of the term "evolutionary stability" and seeks to give the impression that as if "an evolutionary process really did take place in the past, but then underwent stability" the fact of the matter is this: the fossil record clearly proves that evolution never happened at any time. All living species appeared in a moment with all the characteristics they possess today, and have never changed over the course of tens of millions of years. Fish have always existed as fish, reptiles as reptiles, mammals as mammals and human beings as human beings. The fossil record, Darwin's greatest hope, has proved to be the strongest evidence refuting his theory.

There is no doubt that this state of affairs constitutes a huge rout for the theory of evolution, which is unconfirmed by even a single transitional fossil. That is why Darwinist circles cover up the existence of living fossils and never bring them up, despite their being well aware of the true position. Someone looking at evolutionist references will form the impression that there are only a few living fossils because only a few living fossils are ever brought up by Darwinists. These references also attempt to give the impression that it is miraculous how these few fossils have remained unchanged and that they are an "exception" among all the countless imaginary "proofs" of evolution.

But this is a deception.

**A 50-million-year-old ginkgo leaf is identical to a present-day one.**




# LIVING FOSSILS



**A 54-to-37-million-year-old fossil sassafras leaf**



**A 40-million-year-old fossil diospyros leaf**



**A 125-million-year-old fossil dragonfly**



**A 98-million-year-old fossil turtle**



A 110-million-year-old fossil tarpon



A 150-million-year-old fossil oyster




A 4-million-year-old fossil seahorse



A 65-million-year-old fossil lynx skull

A 51-million-year-old fossil jackal skull



A 45-million-year-old fossil zebra skull



A 3.9-million-year-old fossil camel skull



A 50-million-year-old fossil antelope skull



The American paleontologist S. M. Stanley describes how the Darwinist dictatorship that dominates the scientific world ignores and conceals this fact revealed by the fossil record:

The known fossil record is not, and never has been, in accord with gradualism. What is remarkable is that, through a variety of historical circumstances, even the history of opposition has been obscured... "The majority of paleontologists felt their evidence simply contradicted Darwin's stress on minute, slow, and cumulative changes leading to species transformation."... [but] their story has been suppressed.<sup>28</sup>

But no matter how much they try to keep it hushed up, one fact can no longer be concealed: there are millions of living fossils. Darwin's theory of evolution is currently in a state of total ruin in the face of the facts revealed by the fossil record. Scientific findings have demolished Darwinism. Darwinists cannot conceal these fossils that prove the fact of Creation. The facts are out in the open and the collapse of Darwinism has been revealed by the fossil record, once Darwinism's greatest foundation.

The Darwinist ploy has failed. The fossils that Darwinism expected would confirm the theory have actually, by Allah's leave and will, put an end to it. People have thus seen the truth that Allah creates all living things from nothing. Once they have seen this, no contrary claim can have any value or effect. Almighty Allah has destined the system of the antichrist to collapse. This can be irrefutably seen by one and all. Our Almighty Lord reveals in verses how He has created all things from nothing:

**How can you reject Allah, when you were dead and then He gave you life, then He will make you die and then give you life again, then you will be returned to Him? It is He Who created everything on the Earth for you and then directed His attention up to heaven and arranged it into seven regular heavens. He has knowledge of all things. (Qur'an, 2:28-29)**

## **6. The concealment of Cambrian fossils for 70 years is a deception**

The Cambrian Period is one of the oldest in natural history and took place 543 to 490 million years ago. Prior to that, life consisted of single-celled organisms and a few multi-celled organisms, but during this period, the whole extraordinary variety of life emerged suddenly, in perfect, fully formed states. All of these life forms had extraordinarily complex features, totally unlike previous life forms, and very similar to living things today. This means that the Cambrian Period deals another deadly blow to the theory of evolution.

The fossils of Cambrian Period life forms provide specimens of 55 different phyla, including those in existence today. (Phylum: the largest category after the "world" in the classification of living things according to their basic physical characteristics.) To put it another way, present-day living things and an even wider variety, were in existence with all their perfect basic physical structures 540 million years ago. (The current number of phyla is 35.) Cambrian life forms are identical to present-day living things that exhibit a flawless complexity. This repudiates Darwin's fictitious evolutionary tree, and overturns the false mechanisms which have been proposed for this mythical process. According to Darwin's theory of evolution, following the formation of the first cell, supposedly by chance, single-celled organisms must have ruled the world. After that, the active life that began with simple-structured multi-celled organisms must continue in the form of a single, water dwelling phylum. The number of phyla should increase gradually, and the number of species should grow in proportion. But the reality revealed by the Cambrian findings is very different. Things happened in the exact reverse to Darwin's imaginary evolutionary tree, with a greater diversity than that in existence today appearing right from the beginning of natural history, immediately after single-celled organisms. (For details see *The Cambrian Evidence That Darwin Failed to Comprehend*, Harun Yahya)

It will certainly be devastating for someone utterly devoted to Darwinist ideology to discover this. As one of the most loyal followers of this heretical religion Charles Doolittle Walcott, a paleontologist and also director of the Smithsonian Institute, one of the best-known museums in the United States, was appalled by the diversity in the Cambrian fossils he began discovering in 1909. During his research,

which he continued until 1917, he collected a total of 65,000 fossils. These all belonged to complex Cambrian life forms.


Bearing in mind Darwinist frauds, it should come as no surprise that these fossils, which heralded the destruction of Darwinism, were immediately hidden away by the same Darwinist who found them. Walcott decided to conceal these fossils which so terrified him, as they threatened to demolish the superstitious faith of which he was a member and so contradicted his own beliefs. He locked the photographs he had taken and other documentation away in drawers in the Smithsonian Museum. These special and important fossils would only see the light of day 70 years later.

The Israeli scientist Gerald Schroeder comments:

Had Walcott wanted, he could have hired a phalanx of graduate students to work on the fossils. But he chose not to rock the boat of evolution. Today fossil representatives of the Cambrian era have been found in China, Africa, the British Isles, Sweden, Greenland. The explosion [in the Cambrian Period] was worldwide. But before it became proper to discuss the extraordinary nature of the explosion, the data were simply not reported.<sup>29</sup>

The Cambrian fossils found by Walcott in Burgess Shale were re-examined decades after his death. A team of experts known as the “Cambridge Group” made up of Harry Blackmore Whittington, Derek Briggs and Simon Conway Morris conducted a detailed analysis of the fossils in the 1980s, and they concluded that the fauna was much more diverse and extraordinary than Walcott had determined. They reached the conclusion that some of the fossils could not be classified under the categories of life known today, for which reason they represented different phyla from those currently in existence. Life forms emerged suddenly, in perfect and complex states, in the Cambrian Period of 490 to 543 million years ago.

The conclusion was so unexpected for Darwinists that scientists referred to this sudden activity as an “explosion.” The “Cambrian Explosion” was one of the most incomparable and inexplicable phenomena in the history of science for evolutionist scientists. As a result of these findings confronting evolutionists, who were already at an impasse on every issue, they should have put an end to the tales they had developed for propaganda purposes and returned to the “problem of accounting for the beginning of life.” (Of course, this was a problem for Darwinists, who had no rational and scientific explanation for the origin of life. Anyone thinking logically and scientifically can clearly see that life and the universe are the work of Almighty Allah.) Darwin’s imaginary tree of life had been turned upside down, and the reality was now in total conflict with the fundamental logic of the theory of evolution.



**An astonishing variety of life appeared in the Cambrian Period, in all its complete and perfect states.**



The compound structure of the eye of the trilobite, one of the most ubiquitous Cambrian Period life forms, still exists in identical form in arthropods such as the dragonfly and the bee. This magnificent marvel of art dating back 540 million years is just one of the amazing works of Allah.



Darwinists are still silent on the subject of the Cambrian Explosion that exhibits higher life forms and Allah's magnificent creation. Darwinists have gone very quiet in the face of these extraordinary findings and behave quite literally as if they did not exist. They publish countless deceptive scenarios they have dreamed up about the history of life in scientific journals, but try to avoid reminding people of this huge phenomenon of 540 million years ago and how it totally refutes the theory of evolution. The blatant deception of Charles Doolittle Walcott, who concealed Cambrian fossils, is a perfect example of the lengths that Darwinists will go to in order to conceal the truth and perpetuate a groundless theory.


If Darwinism were really a science, if the proponents of Darwinism really were scientists, then findings of such enormous scientific value, such a huge discovery, would be highlighted and discussed as a matter of urgency on scientific websites and in books and articles. The fact that the opposite applies, with consistent attempts to conceal concrete scientific findings, stems from Darwinism not being a science but rather a false religion that has emerged as a stratagem on the part of the antichrist. Built on a lie, this theory tries to survive through falsehoods. The concealment of the Cambrian fossils was just one of the scientific deceptions perpetrated in the name of Darwinism.

## 7. The claim that *Archaeopteryx* is the ancestor of birds is a deception

The fossil bird discovered in Germany in 1860 and given the name *Archaeopteryx* harbored certain characteristics unlike those of today's birds. Darwinists used the teeth in its jaw, the claws on its wings and its long tail as tools for speculation, and the bird was hastily compared to reptiles and heralded, in the absence of any scientific foundation, as a reptile-bird transitional form.

But this claim was a terrible deception.

Soon after Darwinists had mythologized *Archaeopteryx* as a false transitional form, the fossil bones were examined in detail, and it emerged that this life form was not in fact a "primitive bird" exhibiting an imaginary passage from reptiles to birds, but that its skeleton and feather structure were actually ideally suited to flight, and that the features, unlike those of reptiles, were actually to be found in birds that



Contrary to what Darwinists claim, *Archaeopteryx* is not a transitional form, but a perfect bird whose skeleton and feather structure make it ideally suited to flight.

had lived in the past and even in some that were still alive today. The pro-Darwinist journal *Science* openly stated this fact:

Archaeopteryx probably cannot tell us much about the early origins of feathers and flight in true protobirds because Archaeopteryx was, in a modern sense, a bird.<sup>30</sup>

*Archaeopteryx* is a mosaic life form containing characteristics from different species. Mosaic forms interest scientists with their complex structures and are described as living things harboring features belonging to various species. The deception that Darwinists perpetrated regarding *Archaeopteryx* stems from the fact that the fossil belongs to a mosaic form. The fact is, however, that like all the life forms in existence today, mosaic organisms are complex examples of creation. The French biophysicist Pierre Lecomte du Nouy makes this admission on the subject:

We are not even authorized to consider the exceptional case of the *Archaeopteryx* as a true link. By link, we mean a necessary stage of transition between classes such as reptiles and birds, or between smaller groups. An animal displaying characters belonging to two different groups cannot be treated as a true link as long as the intermediary stages have not been found, and as long as the mechanisms of transition remain unknown.<sup>31</sup>

Many Darwinist circles have actually made that admission. Two of the 20<sup>th</sup> Century's best known Darwinists, Stephen Jay Gould and Niles Eldredge, who were responsible for the punctuated evolution deception, also agree that *Archaeopteryx* cannot be regarded as a transitional form.<sup>32</sup> Jonathan Wells admits the fact that the fossil does not constitute evidence for evolution, and that scientific circles have agreed on this when he said, "The world's most beautiful fossil, the specimen Ernst Mayr called 'the almost perfect link between reptiles and birds,' has been quietly shelved, and the search for missing links continues as though *Archaeopteryx* had never been found."<sup>33</sup> But the interesting thing is that although

Darwinist scientists have made that confession, efforts are still being made to depict the *Archaeopteryx* fossil as a transitional form in Darwinist publications. Jonathan Wells sets out the position:

Some biology textbooks continue to present *Archaeopteryx* as the classic example of a missing link. Mader's 1998 *Biology* calls it "a transitional link between reptiles and birds," and William Schraer and Herbert Stolte's 1999 *Biology: The Study of Life* tells students that "many scientists believe it represents an evolutionary link between reptiles and birds."<sup>34</sup>

There can be no doubt that this stems from a desire to persist in this huge fraud. Because Darwinists are unable to abandon their theories in the absence of any transitional forms, they instead try to produce false ones. That explains the desperate and fraudulent attempts to keep *Archaeopteryx* on the agenda..

## 8. The idea that the "Coelacanth is an example of the transition from sea to land" is a fraud

Even the discovery of a living dinosaur would have been less surprising. Because fossils show that the coelacanth existed 150-200 million years before the appearance of the dinosaurs. The creature put forward by many scientists as the ancestor of land-dwelling vertebrates, believed to have disappeared at least 70 million years ago, had been found!<sup>35</sup>

These words from a Darwinist, in the April 2003 issue of *Focus* magazine, describe the astonishment at the discovery of a living *Coelacanth* (*Latimeria chalumnae*), for years portrayed as a transitional form, in today's waters. Prior to 1938, Darwinists had made countless claims about the fossil *Coelacanth*, interpreting its fins as "legs about to start walking" and a fossilized oil sac as "a primitive lung." With all this speculation about the fossil, they suggested that they had discovered a missing link.

The capture of a living *Coelacanth* at such a time came as a terrible disappointment to Darwinists. This and more than 200 other living *Coelacanth*s, the latest of which was caught in May 2007, totally discredited all the Darwinist conjecture about the fossils. It was realized that the *Coelacanth* was an exceedingly complex and highly developed bottom-dwelling fish, about 150 centimeters in length. In addition, the animals showed no sign of change over 450 million years. To put it another way, its complexity today is the same as it was 450 million years ago.

Following the scientific realization of these facts, the *Coelacanth* assumed its place as an example of a living fossil, and all the claims about it being a transitional form were withdrawn. Darwinists lost yet another fossil they had speculated about so much. Had this animal not been found in today's seas, then,



**The fact that the *Coelacanth* is a perfect bottom-feeding fish still alive today did away with all the Darwinist speculation on the subject.**

just as with *Archaeopteryx*, there would have been no end to the Darwinist speculation regarding it. It would still be depicted as the greatest representative of the passage from water to land, and there would be thousands of conjectures about it being **the finest unquestionable transitional form**. Furthermore, Darwinists would also be making attempts to utterly discredit those saying that the animal is not a transitional form, but rather a perfect marine organism, just as we see happening currently with all the other alleged transitional forms.


But the fact is that those who wish to deceive others with no scientific basis will never achieve their ends. As our Lord says in a verse:

**Yes, indeed! Everyone in the heavens and everyone on the earth belongs to Allah. Those who call on something other than Allah are not really following their partner-deities. They are only following conjecture. They are only guessing. (Qur'an, 10:66)**

## **9. All the fossil skulls depicted as evidence for Darwinism are false**

Since Darwinism is not founded upon any genuine scientific evidence or basis, it seeks to gather support by presenting false evidence. It is therefore enough for Darwinists to have materials on which to speculate in their possession. Darwinists take fossils of extinct life forms that they can speculate about, shape them in various ways and use them as tools for their ideology. The *Coelacanth*, which as it was supposedly extinct, was for years portrayed as the best known example of the imaginary transition from water to land, but a living specimen of which was subsequently caught in today's waters, is the most important evidence of this fraud. Darwinists try to use the same tactic for other life forms and for man. They categorize all the fossils they acquire on the basis of their appearance and attach the label of "transitional form" to whichever take their fancy. But all the fossils they describe as "transitional forms" belong, like the *Coelacanth*, to perfect and flawless living things.

The invalidity of all the fossils heralded as transitional forms has subsequently been proved, and it has been scientifically established that they all belong to complex, extinct life forms. When it comes to the supposed evolution of man, the tools of speculation are generally either extinct types of ape or else certain human peoples who lived at some time in the past. All the "transitional form" claims made to date have been based on this speculative technique. For example, countless skulls that Darwinists have attempted to portray as examples of the fictitious evolution of man, have been definitely and scientifically proven to belong to extinct forms of ape or else human races. And the skulls in question have all been removed from the scientific literature:



**Since Darwinists cannot produce any transitional fossils they try to deceive people with fictitious illustrations instead. But these pictures are all fraudulent.**

- A fossil discovered in 1891 and given the name Java Man, and the fossil known as Peking Man discovered in 1923 were both shown to be false transitional forms in 1939.

- A single fossil tooth, claimed to represent the most significant evidence of a transitional form in 1922 and given the name of Nebraska Man, was realized to belong to a wild pig in 1927.

- A fossil discovered in 1959 and named *Zinjanthropus* was subsequently realized to belong to an ordinary ape and was removed from the scientific literature in 1970.

- *Ramapithecus*, discovered in the 1930s and exhibited as a transitional form for the next 50 year, was eliminated when it was shown to be an ordinary baboon in 1981.

- Lucy, discovered in 1974 in Africa was invalidated by research in 1999-2000, and the fossil was also removed from the scientific literature.

- The skull named the Taung Child discovered in 1924 was invalidated in 1954 when it was shown to belong to a young gorilla.

- It was established that the Turkana Boy fossil discovered in 1984 and attempted to be portrayed as a *Homo Erectus*, was in fact about 12 years old, and would have stood 1.83 meters tall when he became an adult. The erect structure of the skeleton is in no way different from humans today. It was understood that all the speculation about the fossil was false.

In a statement issued in *Nature* magazine, the evolutionist L.B. Halstead from the University of Reading Geology Department admitted that all the fossils depicted to date as evidence for so-called evolution, in fact constituted no evidence for human evolution, and that Darwinists actually had no corroborative finds in the area at all:

This presents the public for the first time with the notion that there are no actual fossils directly ancestral to man. [This is] What the creationists have insisted on for years ...<sup>36</sup>

The biologist Lyall Watson also says that Darwinists have not unearthed a single fossil that might prove the supposed evolution of man:

Modern apes, for instance, seem to have sprung out of nowhere. They have no yesterday, no fossil record. And the true origin of modern humans--of upright, naked, toolmaking, big-brained beings--is, if we are to be honest with ourselves, an equally mysterious matter.<sup>37</sup>

No matter how reluctant Lyall Watson may be to openly state the case, referring to the origin of man as "a mysterious matter," the origins of man are actually abundantly clear: Allah created man like all other living things, and concrete scientific findings confirm this. In addition to all this, Darwinists are always confronted by the dating problem with every new fossil that is unearthed. They constantly have to "set back" the dates they have dreamed up for evolution and to alter their claims as they see how fossils refute evolution. For example, the fact that 200-million-year-old human footprints were found in one stratum alongside human bones in strata dating back to the Cretaceous Period (144 to 65 million years) shows that *human beings go back at least 100 million years*.<sup>38</sup> Given that evolutionists assume a period of 50,000 years since human beings first appeared, we are most definitely looking at a major discrepancy. New fossils reported in August 2007 showed that the life forms called *Homo Erectus* and *Homo Habilis* by Darwinists in fact lived at the same time. The dating problem is yet another factor that leaves Darwinists in a hopeless dilemma when it comes to the so-called evolution of man.

Yet despite all these facts, Darwinists still continue to portray the false fossils in question as evidence and to convert them, in their own eyes, into part of the myth of human evolution. They still deceive people and continue to use these fossils, the invalidity of which has been scientifically proven, in scientific references and school text books. The writer Hank Hanegraaff comments on this deceptive technique:

Speculation about Pithecanthropus Erectus, the ape man that walked erect, is far and away the most famous 'ape-man' fiction still being circulated as fact. While over time he has evolved into a new classification called *Homo Erectus*, millions regard him as a friendly ancestor, not just a fossil, and simply refer to him by the nickname Java Man.

# Darwinists' Fake Skulls



**FALSE Peking Man**



**FALSE The Taung child**



**FALSE Java Man**



**FALSE Zinjanthropus**



**FALSE Nebraska Man**



**FALSE The Turkana Boy**



**FALSE Lucy**

It is generally known that Java Man was initially discovered by a Dutchman named Eugene Dubois on the Dutch East Indian island of Java in 1891. What is not so well known is the fact that Java Man consists of nothing more than a skullcap, a femur, three teeth, and a great deal of imagination. Even more disturbing is the fact that the femur was found 50 feet (15 meters) from the skullcap and a full year later... This trek, commonly referred to as the Selenka Expedition, included nineteen evolutionists bent on demonstrating that the evolutionary conjectures about Java man were true. However, their 342 page scientific report, which, according to [Sir Arthur] Keith [a famous evolutionist] 'commands our unstinted praise,' demonstrates beyond the peradventure of a doubt that Java Man played no part in human evolution."

Despite all the evidence, it is truly amazing that Time magazine printed "How Man Began," an article that shamelessly treated Java Man as though it were a true evolutionary ancestor."<sup>39</sup>

In this way, Darwinist publications become part of the Darwinist deception. Darwinist books written by eminent professors and scientists repeat these false scenarios, which are entirely based on deception, rather than producing genuine scientific evidence. The reason why skulls that have been removed from the scientific literature and whose invalidity has been scientifically established are still kept on the agenda is in order for this deception to be maintained. As the evolutionist zoologist Robert Martin said to *New Scientist* magazine on the subject:

In recent years several authors have written popular books on human origins which were based more on fantasy and subjectivity than on fact and objectivity...<sup>40</sup>

At the present moment Darwinists have not a single skull or a single bone fragment they can point to as evidence for supposed human evolution. The fraudulent method employed by Darwinists, who are unable to bear this state of affairs, is to continue to deceive people by way of such false transitional form fossils.

## 10. The claim that "Australopithecus is the ancestor of man" is fraudulent

The subject of human evolution is of vital importance to Darwinists. The idea they have tried for years to indoctrinate people with is the lie that man is a supposed evolved animal. They strive with all their power to maintain this lie, and attempt to insert the idea that human beings have supposed animal ancestors in even the most unrelated subjects. The deceptions to which they resort on this matter are breathtaking. Even totally unconnected fossil find is presented in such a way as to suggest they are human beings. So much so that Darwinists produced Nebraska Man **from a single fossil tooth**, which would later be proven to belong to a wild pig, and they had no qualms about depicting this entity's entire social life together with its family and community. One of the most significant examples of these astonishing endeavors is their long-time claim that *Australopithecus* is the so-called ape-like ancestor of man.

*Australopithecus* is an extinct species of ape. The name means "Southern ape," and Darwinists seek to depict it as the first ape-like ancestor of human beings. As with all other examples, since this species of ape is extinct, evolutionists have used it as a tool for speculation. But as with all other examples, the scenarios that Darwinists produce regarding *Australopithecus* are again based on deception.

*Australopithecus* is thought to have first appeared in Africa four million years ago and to have survived until one million years ago. The fact that needs to be made absolutely clarified here is that all Australopithecines are extinct apes resembling their modern-day counterparts. They all have brain volumes the same as, or smaller than those of present-day chimpanzees. They walked on four feet. Like present-day apes they had bony protrusions that helped them to climb trees, and their feet were prehensile to allow them to cling onto branches. They were short in stature (maximum 130 centimeters) and, just like present-day apes, the male *Australopithecus* was much larger than the female. Hundreds of details in their skulls, and features such as their



Darwinists went even further and depicted the fictitious entity they named Nebraska Man, on the basis of a single pig tooth, together with his family.



close-set eyes, their sharp molars, their jaw structure and their long arms and short legs are all evidence showing they were no different to present-day apes.


Although the *Australopithecines* were all evidently species of ape, Darwinists describe them as life forms that managed to walk on two legs. This is a blatant deception, because not a single one of the *Australopithecus*-related fossils unearthed to date corroborates this scenario. The evolutionary scenario for these entities is thus based on no scientific evidence.

According to Darwinists, although there were various species in the *Australopithecus* family, only *Australopithecus afarensis* (the species represented by “Lucy”, who was portrayed to the whole world as proof of so-called human evolution when she was discovered in 1974) is regarded as a direct ancestor of man. However even Darwinists have accepted that the creature in question cannot be put forward as the ancestor of humans. The French Darwinist journal *Science et Vie* used the subject as its cover story in its May 1999 issue. Under the

caption “Adieu Lucy,” the magazine discussed Lucy, regarded as the most important fossil specimen from the species *Australopithecus afarensis*, and said that *Australopithecus* apes were not the forerunners of human beings, and that they should be removed from the family tree.<sup>41</sup>

The world famous paleoanthropologist Richard Leakey also says that Lucy has no evolutionary va-

## Australopithecus=Resemblance to a Chimpanzee



The similarity between *Australopithecus* and chimpanzee skulls indicates that *Australopithecus* is a genuine species of ape, rather than an ancestor of man.



lidity: It is overwhelmingly likely that Lucy (*Australopithecus afarensis*) was no more than a variety of pygmy chimpanzee. The evidence for the alleged transformation from ape to man is extremely unconvincing.<sup>42</sup>

In addition, as a result of his researches into *Australopithecines* the evolutionist Lord Zuckerman also concluded that they were an ordinary species of ape and very definitely did not walk upright.<sup>43</sup>

Another evolutionist and anatomist well known for his research into the subject, Charles E. Oxnard, stated that the skeletal structure of *Australopithecines* resembles that of today's orangutans.<sup>44</sup>

In 1994, Fred Spoor and his team from Liverpool University in Britain carried out a comprehensive study in order to arrive at a definitive conclusion regarding the *Australopithecus* skeleton. An organ in the skeleton known as the "cochlea," which determines the position of the body in relation to the ground, was investigated. Spoor's conclusion was that *Australopithecus* did not walk in a bipedal manner similar to that of human beings.<sup>45</sup>

Another study in 2000 by the scientists B.G Richmond and D.S Strait, published in *Nature* magazine, examined the forearms of *Australopithecines*. Their comparative anatomical research showed that the species had the same forearm structure as those of present-day monkeys that walk on four limbs.<sup>46</sup>

All this evidence reveals that *Australopithecines* were nothing more than species of ape. Indeed, the evolutionist anthropologists who discovered Lucy, Donald Johanson and T. D. White, issued the following statement in *Science* magazine:

Fossils of *Australopithecus* have been studied in painstaking detail: their manner of walking, the structure of their ear, pattern of tooth development, their long and powerful forearms, short hind limbs, structure of their feet, small sized brains, and very ape-like skulls, jaws, and faces. These prove that *Australopithecus* was an ape and no way related to man. Donald Johanson himself, the discoverer of Lucy, later concluded that *Australopithecus africanus* (Lucy) was not related to humans at all.<sup>47</sup>

In summary, scientific research has refuted all the claims suggesting that *Australopithecines* were the forerunners of human beings. They were all species of apes, and to equate them with human beings is a scenario utilized by Darwinists for the sake of their fictitious and false theories.

## 11. The claim that "Neanderthals are the ape-Like ancestors of man" is fraudulent

Darwinists employed the same technique they used with *Australopithecus* for the Neanderthals, an extinct human race.

Neanderthal Man entered the scientific literature with the discovery in 1856 of fossils in the Neander Valley near the German city of Düsseldorf. The curvatures in its skull and bones led it to being regarded by evolutionists as a supposed primitive human species.

In 1908, an almost complete skeleton described as belonging to Neanderthal Man was found in the French region of La Chapelle-aux-Saints. The bones were re-assembled by the famous paleontologist and geologist of the time, Marcellin Boule.

The Neanderthal Man that emerged from this re-assembly had a stooped posture and a protruding skull. Its legs were also locked at the joints, meaning it lacked a fully upright posture.

Through this appearance, the impression was formed in the minds of the people that Neanderthal Man was a primitive being. Neanderthals were also depicted as ape-men in fictitious illustrations.



The Darwinist *Science et Vie* magazine used the caption "Adieu Lucy" (Farewell Lucy) in an admission that *Australopithecine* apes could not have been the ancestors of man.

# Neanderthals: Stocky Human Beings



*Homo sapiens*  
*neanderthalensis*,  
Amud 1 skull



Neanderthal remains

Above, The *Homo sapiens neanderthalensis* Amud 1 skull found in Israel. The individual is estimated to have been 1.80 m tall. The cranial capacity is the largest found to date: 1740 ccs. This fossil therefore explicitly refutes the claims to the effect that the Neanderthals were a primitive species. The Kebara 2 (Moshe) fossil to the side is the best preserved Neanderthal remains to date. It is impossible to distinguish the skeletal structure of this 1.70 meter tall fossil from that of modern man. It appears from the remains of tools discovered together with the fossil that the society to which this individual belonged shared the same culture as contemporary *Homo sapiens* communities.

For years, Darwinists depicted the Neanderthals as ape-like ancestors of man. Yet these tools from the time show that the Neanderthals had the same conception of art and beauty as modern man. Neanderthals are simply an extinct race of human beings. The only reason for these efforts to use them as evidence for evolution is that they are extinct.



Sewing needle

Whistle



This false impression of the Neanderthals lasted for 100 years. But analysis of the La Chapelle skeleton in the 1950s determined that the Neanderthal to whom it belonged had a kind of joint infection. Healthy individuals were in fact able to walk just like normal human beings.

In 1985, the same skeleton was examined by the anthropologist Erik Trinkhaus. That examination confirmed that Neanderthals walked upright and revealed another fact that had hitherto remained hidden: Marcellin Boule had deliberately portrayed Neanderthal as being stooped.<sup>48</sup> The joint disorder identified in the 1950s was no obstacle to the individual walking upright. It appeared that the Darwinist Boule was unwilling to admit that the Neanderthal walked like a normal human being.

E. Trinkhaus and W. W. Howells made the following statement in *Scientific American* magazine:

Today most scientists agree that Neanderthal Man stood fully upright and that in the absence of disease, its features are no different than modern humans.<sup>49</sup>

Meanwhile, the size of the Neanderthal skull also forced evolutionists into inconsistency. The reason was that Neanderthals had a skull volume of around 1700 cc; this is 200 cc more than the volume of today's human beings. The fact that Neanderthals, supposedly a "primitive" species, had a greater brain volume than *Homo sapiens* represented a huge contradiction for the theory of evolution.

The Neanderthal expert Erik Trinkhaus admits:

Detailed comparisons of Neanderthal skeletal remains with those of modern humans have shown that there is nothing in Neanderthal anatomy that conclusively indicates locomotor, manipulative, intellectual, or linguistic abilities inferior to those of modern humans.<sup>50</sup>

There is no doubt that because the Neanderthals were a human race they possessed the same features as modern races. Neanderthal Man was a talented maker of tools and a skilled hunter. He even engaged in music and art. They had a cultural and social structure, just like societies today, and had religious beliefs.<sup>51</sup> The civilization established by the Neanderthals was therefore no different to present-day civilizations.

A further issue regarding Neanderthals that represents an insuperable dilemma for Darwinists is the problem of dating. Fossils discovered show that Neanderthals were living at the same time as modern human beings and that in some circumstances **they were even living much later**. Evolutionist biologist Francisco J. Ayala from the University of California admits:

A few years ago, they [Neanderthals] were thought to be ancestral to anatomically modern humans, but now we know that modern humans appeared at least 100,000 years ago, much before the disappearance of the Neanderthals. Moreover, in caves in the Middle East, fossils of modern humans have been found dated 120,000-100,000 years ago, as well as Neanderthals dated at 60,000 and 70,000 years ago, followed again by modern humans dated at 40,000 years ago. It is unclear whether the two forms repeatedly replaced one another by migration from other regions, or whether they coexisted in some areas.<sup>52</sup>

The Neanderthals, proposed as a so-called ape-like ancestor of man, are therefore actually an extinct human race. In the same way that modern-day humans possess different features unique to their own races, so the Neanderthals also had their own unique characteristics. Therefore, it is a huge deception to use them as evidence for evolution. Indeed, the Neanderthal fossil was removed from the scientific literature in 1978. But the Neanderthals still appear in Darwinist references as if they represent some great evidence of evolution.

The aim behind the speculation about Neanderthal Man that is still going on in some evolutionist publications is to influence and mislead people who are ignorant of the true facts about the Neanderthals, and who are unaware that they were a "transitional form" that has been scientifically discredited. It is therefore of the greatest importance to highlight the true facts about *Australopithecus* and the Neanderthals, and to put an end to this Darwinist deception.

## 12. Piltdown Man" was a hoax

In 1912, Charles Dawson, a well-known doctor and also an amateur paleontologist, claimed to have found a jaw bone and skull fragment in a depression near Piltdown, England. Although the jaw bone resembled an ape jaw, the teeth and skull resembled those of a human being. The fossils were given the name "Piltdown Man," dated at 500,000 years old, and put on display in the British Museum as the most significant evidence of so-called human evolution. A great many scientific papers, analyses and illustrations were produced over the next 40 years. Some 500 academics from different universities wrote doctoral theses about Piltdown Man.<sup>53</sup>

On a visit to the British Museum in 1935, the well-known American paleoanthropologist H. F. Osborn said, "... *Nature is full of paradoxes... a discovery of transcendent importance to the prehistory of man.*"<sup>54</sup>

But Piltdown Man was a **huge fraud**, a deliberately manufactured **hoax**.

In 1949, Kenneth Oakley from the British Museum Paleontology Department sought permission to use the newly developed "fluoride test" on a number of ancient fossils. The Piltdown Man fossil was duly tested using the technique. The test revealed that there was no fluoride in the Piltdown Man jaw bone. This meant that the jaw bone had been underground **for no more than a few years**. The skull itself contained a small amount of fluoride and must have been a few thousand years old.

Subsequent chronological research based on the fluoride technique revealed that the skull was no more than a few thousand years in age. It was also realized that the teeth in the jaw bone **had been artificially worn down**, and that the primitive tools found beside the fossils were **replicas** carved out using steel equipment.<sup>55</sup> Oxford professor of physical anthropology Joseph Weiner's detailed analyses definitively confirmed this fraud in 1953. The skull was human, around 500 years old, while the jaw bone



The Fake  
Piltdown Man Skull

The Piltdown Man is an infamous hoax, assembled by adding a human skull to the jaw of a recently deceased orangutan. This hoax fossil was displayed for some 40 years in the British Museum as proof of the supposed evolution of man. When the fraud was exposed, in 1949, it was hurriedly removed from both the museum and the scientific literature. However, this fossil has been immortalized in the history books as a source of enormous shame for Darwinists.



belonged to a recently deceased orangutan! The teeth had been added on and set afterwards to give the impression of being human, and the insertion points had been planed down. All the fragments had then been stained with potassium dichromate in order to give an aged appearance. This staining disappeared when the bones were placed in acid. Le Gros Clark, from the team that exposed the hoax, was unable to conceal his amazement and said: "The evidences of artificial abrasion immediately sprang to the eye. Indeed so obvious did they seem it may well be asked-how was it that they had escaped notice before?"<sup>56</sup>

The science writer Hank Hanegraaff referred to this astonishing state of affairs as follows: "... as Marvin Lubenov explains, 'The file marks on the orangutan teeth of the lower jaw were clearly visible. The molars were misaligned and filed at two different angles. The canine tooth had been filed down so far that the pulp cavity had been exposed and then plugged.'"<sup>57</sup>

The evolutionist biologist Keith Steward Thomson made this comment about the Piltdown Man lie, "The Piltdown man forgery of 1912 was one of most successful and wicked of all scientific frauds."<sup>58</sup>

Following this surprising and, for Darwinists, embarrassing discovery, Piltdown Man was hastily removed from the British Museum where it had been on display for some 40 years. The Darwinist deception was so enormous that a hand-made fossil had fooled the whole scientific world and all mankind for 40 years. This would inevitably go down as one of the blackest marks in the history of evolution. Professor of Anthropology Pat Shipman described the impact of this fraud: "The Piltdown fossils, whose discovery was first announced in 1912, fooled many of the greatest minds in paleoanthropology until 1953, when the remains were revealed as planted, altered – a forgery."<sup>59</sup>

The journalist, writer and philosopher Malcolm Muggeridge described the position that Darwinism, propped up by hoaxes such as Piltdown Man, had placed all humanity in as follows: "I myself am convinced that the theory of evolution, especially the extent to which it's been applied, will be one of the great jokes in the history books in the future. Posterity will marvel that so very flimsy and dubious an hypothesis could be accepted with the incredible credulity that it has."<sup>60</sup>


The Piltdown hoax, committed, at least in the perpetrators' eyes, in order to eliminate belief in creation and to convince people of the lie that human beings came into existence spontaneously and with no responsibilities, is actually a stratagem of the system of the antichrist. But Allah's glorious creation and matchless works are so apparent and manifest that with today's science there is nowhere they cannot be seen. These vain endeavors across the world on the part of the antichrist just humiliate him and his followers, and clearly reveal the failure of the Darwinism lie. Allah, our Almighty Lord, has told us in numerous verses of the Qur'an, how He created human beings, and every piece of evidence in the universe clearly reveals this sublime creation:

**He Who has created all things in the best possible way. He commenced the creation of man from clay; then produced his seed from an extract of base fluid; then formed him and breathed His Spirit into him and gave you hearing, sight and hearts. What little thanks you show! (Qur'an, 32:7-9)**

### 13. Nebraska Man was a fraud

In 1922, American Museum of Natural History director Henry Fairfield Osborn announced that he had discovered a Pliocene molar tooth fossil near Snake Valley in West Nebraska. This tooth, it was claimed, bore common ape and human features. Before long, a profound scientific debate had commenced on the subject. Some interpreted this tooth as coming from *Pithecanthropus erectus* while others said it was more human. This fossil that created such major debates was given the name "Nebraska Man." A "scientific" name followed immediately after: *Hesperopithecus haroldcooki*.

Reconstructions of Nebraska Man's skull and body were produced on the basis of this single tooth. Things went even further as pictures showing Nebraska Man's family in their natural habitat were published. All these scenarios were produced from just one tooth. Evolutionist circles adopted this "ghost



Darwinists produced false pictures of Nebraska Man together with his family, all on the basis of a single pig tooth, and published these in text books. For many years, they had no compunction about deceiving students and other people.

man” so strongly that when a researcher called William Bryan opposed such definitive pronouncements being made on the basis of a single molar, he attracted enormous wrath.

In 1927, however, other parts of the skeleton were found. These showed that the tooth belonged neither to a human being nor to an ape or monkey. It was realized that the tooth belonged to a species of extinct American wild pig called *Prosthennops*. William Gregory titled a paper in *Science* magazine in which he announced this “*Hesperopithecus*: Apparently Not an Ape Nor a Man.”<sup>61</sup>

*Science* writer Hank Hanegraaff explains the developments as follows:

In 1922, A TOOTH WAS DISCOVERED IN NEBRASKA. With a little imagination the tooth was connected to a mythological jawbone, the jawbone was connected to a skull, the skull was connected to a skeleton, and the skeleton was given a face, features, and fur. By the time the story hit a London newspaper, not only was there a picture of “Nebraska Man” but there was also a picture of “Nebraska Mom.” All of that from a single, solitary tooth. Imagine what might have happened if a skeleton had been discovered. Perhaps a year-book would have been published!

Sometime after the initial discovery, an identical tooth was found by geologist Harold Cook. This time the tooth was attached to an actual skull, and the skull was attached to the skeleton of a wild pig. Thus, Nebraska man, known by the “scientific” designation *Hesperopithecus haroldcooki*, has been unmasked as a myth rather than a man in the making.<sup>62</sup>

As a consequence, all pictures of *Hesperopithecus haroldcooki* and its “family” were hastily removed from the literature. Nebraska Man is an important indication of how Darwinists can produce an utterly fantastic scenario of evolution on the basis of a single tooth. Although a tooth provides no information about a living thing’s general anatomy, the way that the drawings of Nebraska Man and his family were produced on the basis of a pig’s tooth, and the fact that this was portrayed as scientific until the truth was revealed, is a huge logical deficiency and an utter humiliation for Darwinism. But Darwinists have refused to abandon frauds, and are consciously continuing with their Darwinist deceptions.

## 14. The peppered moth claim is a deception

Tree bark in the mid-19<sup>th</sup> Century during the early part of the Industrial Revolution in Great Britain was light in color. For that reason, dark colored variants of the moth species (melanic moths) *Biston betularia* could easily be seen and caught by birds. Fifty years later, however, lichens, a kind of algae, had died out around the trees as a result of industrial pollution, and the trees had become darker in color. At this time, it was now the lighter colored moths that were more visible on the trees and that were caught more often by birds. As a result, the number of light colored moths declined, while the darker melanic moths increased in number, since these were less easily caught.

Evolutionists eagerly seized on this state of affairs as significant evidence for their claims of evolution by way of natural selection. Using the same deceptive techniques, as always, they then set about trying to mislead people into thinking that light-colored moths evolved into darker ones. This claim was heralded with the so-called phrase "evolution in action." But the facts were very different, since these moths in fact underwent no evolutionary change at all, the only thing present being a huge Darwinist deception.

In 1953, H.B.D. Kettlewell, a Darwinist doctor of medicine and also an amateur biologist, decided to perform an experiment to observe the phenomenon. He carried out experiments and observations in rural parts of England where these moths were living. As a result of his experiments, Kettlewell determined that dark moths on lighter lichen were caught in larger numbers. He then announced this in an article titled "Darwin's Missing Evidence" in *Scientific American* magazine, as if this were a giant discovery in the name of Darwinism. By 1960, Kettlewell's account had assumed its place in all school text books.

In 1985, certain peculiarities began to be noticed. A young American biology teacher called Craig Holdrege came across an interesting statement in the notes of Sir Cyril Clarke, a close friend of Kettlewell and who took part in his experiments. Clarke said:

All we have observed is where the moths do not spend the day. In 25 years, we have only found two *betularia* on the tree trunks or walls adjacent to our traps...<sup>63</sup>

Holdrege had for a long time been showing his students photographs of moths placed on tree trunks and describing how birds would locate and catch the more visible ones. But now someone who had researched these moths for 25 years was saying he had only seen moths on the tree trunks twice. A fierce scientific debate erupted almost immediately. The debate led to the following conclusions: many studies performed after Kettlewell's experiments showed that the moths landed on only one kind of tree trunk, preferring the undersides of horizontal branches of all other kinds of tree. Since the 1980s, everyone has been in agreement that moths land only very, very rarely on tree trunks. Many scientists, such as Cyril Clarke and Rory Howlett, who conducted a 25-year study on the subject, as well as Michael Majerus, Tony Liebert and Paul Brakefield have declared that "Kettlewell obliged the moths to behave in an unnatural manner in his experiments, for which reason the results of the experiment are scientifically unacceptable."

Researchers investigating Kettlewell's experiment encountered an even more astonishing finding; while there should have been more light-colored moths in unpolluted regions of England there were actually four times as many dark ones. **In other words, contrary to what Kettlewell claimed, and what had been reiterated in every evolutionist reference book, there was no correlation between tree bark and the ratios in the moth population.**

The American lepidopterist (an expert engaged in scientific study of butterflies and moths) Ted Sargent and other researchers noted that the moths in question did not land on the tree trunks but hid beneath the upper branches. In addition, the moths slept in the day and flew about at night; in other words, when the birds were asleep!<sup>64</sup>

The more the matter was investigated, the greater the scale of the scandal: the "moths on tree bark" photographed by Kettlewell were actually **dead**. Since moths settle on the under sides of the branches



As a result of industrial pollution the lichens, a kind of moss, covering tree trunks die and the trunk turn black. Since light-colored moths are more visible on these trunks they are more often eaten by birds. Therefore, as the number of light-colored moths declines, the numbers of dark-colored ones rises, as they are eaten less frequently. But there being large numbers of one kind of moth is most definitely not evolution; yet Darwinists use this to mislead people and try to depict it as supposed evidence for evolution.

rather than on the tree trunk, there was effectively no means of obtaining such an image. For that reason, Kettlewell stuck these dead animals to the trees with glue and then photographed them.<sup>65</sup>

The *New York Times* made this comment when it was realized that the moths that Darwinists had so loudly portrayed as scientific evidence for around a century were in fact fraudulent: "The most famous example of evolution in action must now become the most infamous"<sup>66</sup>

When The University of Chicago evolutionary biologist learned about this fraud in 1998, he wrote of his "shame" due to the Industrial Revolution moths he had been teaching his students about for years being a hoax, and compared his reaction to "the dismay attending my discovery, at age 6, that it was my father and not Santa who brought the presents on Christmas Eve."<sup>67</sup>

With the revelation of all these facts, people realized that the tale of the Industrial revolution moths, depicted as "Darwin's missing evidence," was in fact a giant deception. For decades hundreds of millions of people had been deceived by photographs of a few dead moths glued onto a tree and a hoary old tale concerning them. The real truth of the matter is this: the evidence that Darwin needed does not exist and it is impossible for it ever to be found. Because **living things did not evolve.**

The interesting thing is that the Industrial Revolution moths are still presented in some text books as evidence of evolution. In this way, Darwinists aim to mislead impressionable young minds that are unaware of this fraud. Depicting a fraud as genuine evidence, even though this fraud has already been exposed, is in fact evidence of Darwinism's despair, lack of proof and purely ideological nature. The fact of creation is clear to see. Darwinists trying to avoid this clear fact imagine they can find a solution by resorting to lies and trickery. But Allah will certainly do away with trickery and falsehood and superstitious religions.

Say, "Truth has come and falsehood has vanished. Falsehood is always bound to vanish." (Qur'an, 17:81)

## 15. Haeckel's embryo drawings are fraudulent

In his 1868 book *Natürliche Schöpfungsgeschichte* (The History of Natural Creation) Ernst Haeckel suggested that he had made various comparisons using human, monkey and dog embryos. The drawings he produced consisted of nearly identical embryos. On the basis of these drawings, Haeckel then suggested that the life forms involved had common origins.

But the true state of affairs was very different. Haeckel had produced a drawing of just a single embryo, and then produced human, monkey and dog embryos from this by making very small changes. In other words, it was a hoax.

That was the supposed "scientific work" (!) that Darwin cited as a reference in his book *The Descent*



of Man. In fact, some people realized that Haeckel's illustrations were a distortion even before Darwin wrote his book. Following the exposure of the fraud, Haeckel himself admitted the huge scientific fraud he had perpetrated:


After this compromising confession of 'forgery' I should be obliged to consider myself condemned and annihilated if I had not the consolation of seeing side by side with me in the prisoner's dock hundreds of fellow-culprits, among them many of the most trusted observers and most esteemed biologists. The great majority of all the diagrams in the best biological textbooks, treatises and journals would incur in the same degree the charge of 'forgery,' for all of them are inexact, and are more or less doctored, schematised and constructed.<sup>68</sup>

But according to Darwinists, in order to keep the dogma of Darwinism propped up, there was a need to declare that one of the false pieces of evidence in their possession was actually "proof of evolution." The fraud perpetrated, or other Darwinists being aware of it, was unimportant for them; what mattered in their eyes was for it to be heralded as evidence of evolution, even if it was fraudulent.


That is the reason why, despite the exposure of the fraud, Darwin and the biologists who supported him continued to regard Haeckel's drawings as a reference source. And that further encouraged Haeckel. In the years that followed he produced further series of comparative embryo illustrations. He prepared diagrams showing fish, salamander, turtle, chicken, rabbit and human embryos side by side. The noteworthy aspect of these was how the embryos of these different life forms initially resembled one another very closely and gradually diverged over the course of their development. The similarity between the human and fish embryos in particular was very striking indeed. So much so that fictitious "gills" could be seen in the human embryo drawings, just as in those of the fish. Under the scientific guise he gave these illustrations, Haeckel launched his "theory of recapitulation": Ontology Repeats Phylogeny. The meaning of the slogan was this; according to Haeckel, during the developmental process it undergoes in the egg or the mother's womb, every living thing repeats the supposed "evolutionary history" of its species, right from the very beginning. According to this false theory, the human embryo in the mother's womb first resembles a fish and then, in subsequent weeks, a salamander, a reptile and a mammal, finally "evolving" into a human being.

But this was a huge fraud.

In the 1990s the British embryologist Michael Richardson



Ernst Haeckel and his false embryo drawings.



Above, Haeckel's false drawings  
Below, how the drawings should have looked

examined vertebrate embryos under the microscope and determined no resemblance to Haeckel's drawings. Following their study, Richardson and his team published genuine photographs of embryos in the August 1997 issue of the journal *Anatomy and Embryology*. It appeared that Haeckel had taken various template designs and distorted them in various ways so that the embryos would resemble one another. He added imaginary organs to embryos, removed organs from others, and depicted embryos of very different sizes as being the same in scale. The clefts that Haeckel depicted as "gills" in the human embryo had in fact nothing to do with gills at all. They were actually the middle ear canal and the beginnings of the parathyroid and thymus glands. The embryos did not in fact resemble one another whatsoever. Haeckel had made innumerable distortions in his illustrations.

An article about Haeckel's drawings, for long maintained on the agenda as false evidence of evolution, appeared in the September 5, 1997, issue of *Science* magazine titled "Haeckel's Embryos: Fraud Rediscovered," following which the whole scientific world agreed that there had been a fraud perpetrated. The article contained the following lines:

Not only did Haeckel add or omit features, Richardson and his colleagues report, but he also fudged the scale to exaggerate similarities among species, even when there were 10-fold differences in size. Haeckel further blurred differences by neglecting to name the species in most cases, as if one representative was accurate for an entire group of animals. In reality, Richardson and his colleagues note, even closely related embryos such as those of fish vary quite a bit in their appearance and developmental pathway. "It (Haeckel's drawings) looks like it's turning out to be one of the most famous fakes in biology,"<sup>69</sup>

In March 2000 Harvard University evolutionist and paleontologist Stephen Jay Gould said that he had long been aware of this fraud but he had preferred to remain silent, as required by the system of the antichrist.<sup>70</sup> Once the public had learned that the drawings were fraudulent, Gould stated that it was academic murder for them still to be used and said: "We do, I think, have the right, to be both astonished and ashamed by the century of mindless recycling that has led to the persistence of these drawings in a large number, if not a majority, of modern textbooks."<sup>71</sup>

Haeckel's fraud was so blatant and so great that he was accused of fraud by five different professors and found guilty by the Jena University court.<sup>72</sup>

Sir Gavin de Beer, from Great Britain's Natural History Museum, described this terrible disgrace as follows:

Seldom has an assertion like that of Haeckel's 'theory of recapitulation,' facile, tidy, and plausible, widely accepted without critical examination, done so much harm to science."<sup>73</sup>


These false illustrations of Haeckel's in fact achieved their intended aim on behalf of Darwinists. Although they had been declared to be false, they still had a negative impact as a great many people still imagined them to be genuine, and despite their scientific invalidity they still negatively altered the general views regarding living things of people still undergoing education in schools. Henry M. Morris, founder of the Creation Research Society and the Institute of Creation Research analyzed the state of affairs in these terms:

Ever since Darwin—and especially since Freud—psychologists have assumed that man is merely an evolved animal and have evaluated his behavioral problems on an animalistic basis. Experiments with monkeys or other animals (even with insects) are used for guidance in dealing with human problems...

The bitter fruit of the recapitulation theory (long since discredited scientifically) continued to grow in many areas of society...<sup>74</sup>

Amazingly enough, Haeckel's fraudulent illustrations, described as a scientific disgrace and treated with amazement even by some evolutionists when put forward as evidence, still maintain their place in various text books. This astonishing state of affairs shows the exact scale of the Darwinist deception. University of California molecular biologist Jonathan Wells describes the situation thus:

Many textbooks use slightly redrawn versions of Haeckel's embryos. One example is the 1999 edition of Peter Raven and George Johnson's *Biology* ...



The modern-day biology book to the left engages in false evolutionary propaganda by using Haeckel's fake drawings. This is important evidence of how broadly and shamelessly the Darwinist deception is perpetrated.



Other examples include the 1998 edition of Cecie Starr and Ralph Taggart's *Biology: the Unity and Diversity of Life*, ... the latest edition of James Gould and William Keeton's *Biological Science*, which reports: "One fact of embryology that pushed Darwin toward the idea of evolution is that the early embryos of most vertebrates closely resemble one another;" and Burton Guttman's 1999 textbook, *Biology*, which accompanies its redrawn version of Haeckel's embryos with the following: "An animal's embryonic development holds clues to the forms of its ancestors."<sup>75</sup>

The fact that Haeckel's false illustrations are still used in biology text books, as if they represented proof of evolution, is without doubt no simple error. Despite being forgeries, these illustrations are deliberately included in text books. The main reason for this, without doubt is that they represent significant false evidence for the key point of Darwinism, the falsehood that man is an irresponsible animal. Jonathan Wells makes this comment about this lie deliberately maintained by Darwinist scientists:

Haeckel's embryos seem to provide such powerful evidence for Darwin's theory that some version of them can be found in almost every modern textbook dealing with evolution. Yet biologists have known for over a century that Haeckel **faked** his drawings; vertebrate embryos never look as similar as he made them out to be. Furthermore, the stage Haeckel labeled the "first" is actually midway through development; the similarities he exaggerated are preceded by striking differences in earlier stages of development. Although you might never know it from reading biology textbooks, Darwin's "strongest single class of facts" is a classic example of how evidence can be twisted to fit a theory.<sup>76</sup>

Although Darwinists were delighted in the short term that a lie planned by the antichrist was put forward as false evidence for a heretical theory and had such an impact, it in fact heralded terrible disappointment for them. Through Haeckel's drawings, people saw the scale of the deception to which a senior scientist would resort to in the name of Darwinism. It was thus once again proved how Darwinism was in constant need of a "lie." People clearly saw how evolutionists could turn a blind eye to fraud. Haeckel's fraud was another significant piece of evidence of the destruction of the theory of evolution and the system of the antichrist. This fraud may have been met with silence in the 20<sup>th</sup> Century, but the 21<sup>st</sup> Century has seen this and similar frauds exposed, and the genuine scientific evidence put on display. The more these frauds have been exposed, and the more genuine scientific evidence produced, the more the collapse of Darwinism has become ever more apparent.

## 16. The sequential evolution of the horse is a fraud

In 1879, two well-known evolutionists of the time went even further in their activities intended to constitute evidence for the fictitious evolution of the horse scenario, and set up the equine series that Darwinists would maintain on the agenda for many years to come. The American fossil researcher Othniel Charles Marsh and Thomas Huxley (known as “Darwin’s bulldog”) established a supposed equine evolutionary series by setting out various hoofed fossils, based on the number of nails on the front and rear feet and the structure of their teeth. One small mammal fossil, previously named *Hyracotherium* by Sir Richard Owen in 1841, was renamed in such a way as to echo so-called evolution, being given the name *Eohippus*, meaning “Dawn Horse.” The pair published their claims and diagrams in the *American Journal of Science*, thus laying the foundations for the horses' evolutionary sequence laid out from *Eohippus* to the present day in museums and text books as supposed evidence of evolution. The main stages in this fictitious series were *Eohippus*, *Orohippus*, *Miohippus*, *Hipparion* and the present-day *Equus*.


This fictitious sequence was portrayed as the greatest supposed evidence for the evolution of the horse for the following century. The decrease in the number of toes and the regular increase in size, from smaller to larger, was enough to convince evolutionists.

Shortly afterwards, inconsistencies within the evolutionary sequence began manifesting themselves. New fossils were unearthed, and attempts to insert these into the false sequence presented a problem. The trouble was that characteristics such as the fossils' location, age and toe number formed inconsistencies and impaired the series, which turned into an inconsistent and meaningless mass of fossils in the face of these new specimens.

Many Darwinists were gradually forced to admit that the Darwinist horse evolutionary sequence scenario was not based on any genuine evidence. In November 1980, a 4-day conference was held in the Chicago Museum of Natural History, which was attended by 150 evolutionists, and considered the problems facing the theory of evolution. Boyce Rensberger, who spoke at the conference, described how the

horse series had no basis in the fossil record and that no such gradual process as the evolution of the horse ever happened:

The popularly told example of horse evolution, suggesting a gradual sequence of changes from four-toed fox-sized creatures living nearly 50 million years ago to today's much larger one-toed horse, has long been known to be wrong. Instead of gradual change, fossils of each intermediate species appear fully distinct, persist unchanged, and then become extinct. Transitional forms are unknown.<sup>77</sup>



Another problem in the fictitious evolution of the horse is that of dating. Doctor Nicholas Comminellis comments:

An additional challenge to the proposal of horse evolution is that the timing is inconsistent. The theory of evolution is based on the concept that one species is prone to evolve into another because it is better adapted for survival. This leads to extinction of the first species. In the case of horses, the three-toed must not have been as hearty as the one-toed. Evolution demands millions of years for transition to occur between species—plenty of time for the first species to die out.

However, today we know that the three-toed and one-toed horses lived together in North America. **The fact that varieties of horses co-existed is completely inconsistent with evolution's explanation. Add to this the fact that missing links between Hyracotherium, Miohippus, and Equus have never been identified.** Rather than lending support for evolution, the history of the horse is more consistent with special creation—fully formed beings that were created simultaneously.<sup>78</sup>

Although the invalidity of the evolution of the horse has been brought out into the open day and Darwinists have admitted this state of affairs, this mythical sequence is still used, like other Darwinist frauds, in Darwinist publications and text books. The evolutionary series is depicted as concrete fact and placed on display in museums of natural history curated by world-famous paleontologists and scientists. Dr. Niles Eldredge, an evolutionist paleontologist and the director of the world-renowned American Museum of Natural History, admitted some 20 years ago that evolutionist claims regarding the horse series on display in his own museum were based solely upon their powers of imagination. Eldredge also criticized the way that this speculative series was portrayed as scientific fact to such an extent as to find its way into school books:

I admit that an awful lot of that [imaginary stories] has gotten into the textbooks as though it were true. For instance, the most famous example on horse evolution prepared perhaps fifty years ago. That has been presented as literal truth in textbook after textbook. Now I think that is lamentable, particularly because the people who propose these kinds of stories themselves may be aware of the speculative nature of some of the stuff. But by the time it filters down to the textbooks, we've got science as truth and we've got a problem.<sup>79</sup>

The Darwinist Eldredge's analysis is a most accurate one. Since deliberate distortions represent the essence of the system of the antichrist, those who espouse this system resort to deceptions too. All the examples of Darwinist deception listed above have been exposed, and their false nature has even been admitted to by Darwinist scientists. These examples are sufficient to show the true face of the system of the antichrist. But it will still be useful to issue the following reminder: the Theory of Evolution, Darwinist ideology in other words, is built on a lie intended to deny the existence of Allah. Therefore, all the claims, suggestions and evidence produced by Darwinism are false. All the statements to the effect that "proof of evidence has been discovered," "living things evolved," "or "human beings and chimpanzees are descended from a common ancestor" are lies. Darwinists espouse a lie. Their illogical obedience and devotion to the system of the antichrist is blind devotion to the religion of Darwinism, solely in order to be able to oppose belief in Allah.

The fact is that they espouse a superstition, a terrible error. Almighty Allah, the Lord and Creator of All, says in His verses:

**If anyone desires anything other than Islam as a religion, it will not be accepted from him, and in the Hereafter he will be among the losers. (Qur'an, 3:85)**

**Everyone in the heavens and everyone on the earth belong to Allah. Those who call on something other than Allah are not really following their partner-gods. They are only following conjecture. They are only guessing. (Qur'an, 10:66)**

## DARWINISM: A FALSE RELIGION

Certain very evident facts confront us when we examine the history of Darwinism:

- Darwinism has never been scientifically proved; on the contrary, it has been refuted by the scientific evidence.
- For that reason, efforts have been made to use frauds to keep Darwinism alive.
- Darwinist frauds have been ignored by Darwinist scientists.
- False fossils have even been exhibited in museums as evidence of evolution for years after their exposure as hoaxes.
- Despite being aware of the true nature of frauds, Darwinist scientists have taught them to students as scientific evidence proving evolution.
- Darwinist scientists have sought to ignore the scientific facts that refute Darwinism and prove creation; the greatest proof of this is the way fossils have been hidden away.
- Darwinism has tried to give the impression that human beings are simply a species of animal, and has had no compunctions about using fraudulent embryo drawings for that purpose.
- In order to be able to supposedly refute the idea that a sublime Creator is responsible for all living things, Darwinism has attempted to convince people that chance can work miracles. (Surely Allah is beyond that.)

But what is the reason for these astonishing endeavors, even encompassing fraud? Why should Darwinists have gone down such a difficult path, rather than admitting the truths revealed by the scientific facts and abandoning Darwinism? Why do they go to such lengths to give the impression that the theory has been established, and why do they put so much effort and time into propaganda, fraud and deception, even though it is a manifest fact that the theory of evolution has not been proven scientifically? Why is it that Darwinist scientists have so insistently come up with lies on behalf of the theory of evolution and had these lies taught in schools, even though they know they will be humiliated and their frauds exposed?

There is only one answer: Darwinism is not science. Darwinism is a heretical religion. It is a hollow and superstitious belief system. It is an ideology built upon fraud. That is the reason for all these Darwinist endeavors, their blind devotion to this heretical religion and the way they strive with all their might to keep their superstitious beliefs propped up. In Darwinists' eyes, everything necessary to that end must be done. If this superstitious religion is abandoned, Darwinists will have to accept the fact that Allah creates all things and thus relinquish their false faith, and such a thing is unacceptable to the twisted Darwinist mindset. The fact is, however, that belief in the existence and oneness of Allah, and love of Him, is the cause of enormous joy. Belief in Allah is a blessing that increases one's reason and powers of understanding many times over and bestows a wondrous profundity on the soul. The methods that Darwinists resort to, on the basis of their own base mindsets, in order to deny the manifest existence of Allah merely make them wretched, ruthless, fraudulent and illogical.

**The Earth is full of glorious proofs of Creation.**



This represents the origin of the lies, hoax fossils, hoax drawings, photographs of moths stuck onto trees, hoax embryo diagrams, false reconstructions of no scientific value, Cambrian life forms hidden away for 70 years, hoax skulls displayed for 40 years and other frauds still preserved in various school text books for the sake of Darwinism over the last 150 years. The devotees of the superstitious religion of evolution prefer to use fraud rather than science in order to preserve this false faith. Darwinist scientists are united in preserving this fraud. The French biologist and Darwinist Pierre Paul Grasse admits this in the words:

This deception sometimes takes place unconsciously, but not always. Because some people deliberately ignore the facts for sectarian reasons and deny the insufficiency and erroneous nature of their own beliefs.<sup>80</sup>

This admission by the Darwinist Pierre Paul Grasse is an expression of a secret understanding among Darwinist circles. Since, under the system of the antichrist, Darwinism cannot be denied in any manner, and a blind eye must be turned to fraud, deception and lies.

Darwinists sometimes confess the fact that they have adopted Darwinism as a religion. Some examples of this are as follows (Surely Allah is beyond that):

**"One can have a religious view that is compatible with evolution only if the religious view is indistinguishable from atheism."**<sup>81</sup> Will Provine, atheist and evolutionary biologist and geneticist.


**"Evolution ... helps atheists defend their own existence, and still be consistent with their 'religion' of a godless universe."**<sup>82</sup> Richard Dawkins, evolutionary biologist and atheist.

**"In fact, evolution became, in a sense, a scientific religion; almost all scientists have accepted it and many are prepared to 'bend' their observations to fit with it."**<sup>83</sup> Darwinist and Manchester University Professor of Physics H. S. Lipson.

**"My attempts to demonstrate evolution by an experiment carried on for more than 40 years have completely failed... The idea of an evolution rests on pure belief."**<sup>84</sup> The well known Lund University scientist Heribert Nilsson who spent his whole career trying to create supposed artificial evolution among living things.

**"The law of natural selection is not, I will maintain, science. It is an ideology, and a wicked one, and it has as much interfered with our ability to perceive the history of life with clarity as it has interfered with our ability to see one another with tolerance."**<sup>85</sup> The Darwinist Kenneth Hsü, earth sciences expert at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology.

**"They call me as a witness and a lawyer and said 'Dr. Dawkins has your belief in evolution, has your study of evolution turned you towards atheism?' I would have to say 'YES'... People like me are a bad news for the science lobby, the evolution lobby. By the way I'm being a lot more frank and honest in this interview than many people in this field would be."**<sup>86</sup> Richard Dawkins



These confessions by world-famous Darwinists certainly point to one highly significant truth. Darwinists are united in maintaining this fraud, using a silent language, even though they know that the ideology they espouse is fraudulent. Occasional statements that serve as admissions change nothing in terms of their devotion to the superstitious religion of Darwinism, and the system is continuing just as it always has over the previous 150 years. There is no end to the frauds deliberately perpetrated, nor to the lies that aim to keep the superstitious religion of Darwinism propped up.

The following admission by Colin Patterson, a senior paleontologist at London's Natural History Museum, who died in 1998 and devoted his life to the support of this false theory, is thought provoking in terms of illuminating the deception in which Darwinists find themselves.

I had a sudden realization for over twenty years I had thought I was working on evolution in some way... there was not one thing I knew about it. So for the last few weeks I've tried putting a simple question to various people and groups of people. Question is: Can you tell me anything you know about evolution, any one thing, that is true? I tried that question on the geology staff at the Field Museum of Natural History and the only answer I got was silence. I tried it on the members of the Evolutionary Morphology Seminar in the University of Chicago, a very prestigious body of evolutionists, and all I got there was silence for a long time and eventually one person said, "I do know one thing - it ought not to be taught in high school." <sup>87</sup>


This confession by Colin Patterson clearly reveals that Darwinists see nothing wrong in hiding a fact they know very well throughout their lives, and dedicating themselves to a lie. All scientific circles are in fact well aware that the theory of evolution is a lie. They are all aware that evolution is a deception still taught in schools in order to brainwash students and that all the reports that come out concerning it are deceptive, and that the only purpose behind all the conferences, books that are brought out and countless pro-evolution magazines is to make propaganda. Every single Darwinist knows that the fossils on display in museums of natural history constitute no evidence for evolution at all. They are aware that reports that a "new proof of evolution has been discovered" are false and that the reconstructions of evolution in papers and magazine are fraudulent. But they behave as if they were ignorant of all this. They try to give the appearance of talking academically as they describe these frauds to one another. But they are all in fact aware that they are supporting a fabricated and false ideology.

The reason for all this is that evolution is a belief system, a heretical religion. Evolution has nothing to do with science. Darwinism is supported as a requirement of the war of the antichrist. All those scientists who espouse Darwinism are, albeit unawares, under the control of the antichrist.

The Darwinist professor of philosophy Michael Ruse from the University of Florida describes the superstitious religion that is Darwinism in the words:

Evolution is promoted by its practitioners as more than mere science. Evolution is promulgated as an ideology, a secular religion — a full-fledged alternative to Christianity, with meaning and morality... Evolution is a religion. This was true of evolution in the beginning, and it is true of evolution still today.<sup>88</sup>

The American astronomer, physicist and cosmologist Robert Jastrow says this about the way Darwinists are so blindly devoted to Darwinism:







**There are hundreds of books about evolution, filled with specious facts, under the control of the Darwinist dictatorship on the market today.**

Perhaps the appearance of life on the Earth is a miracle. Scientists are reluctant to accept that view but their choices are limited. Either life was created on the Earth by the will of a being outside the grasp of scientific understanding, or it evolved on our planet spontaneously, through chemical reactions occurring in nonliving matter lying on the surface of the planet. The first theory places the question of the origin of life beyond the reach of scientific inquiry. It is a statement of faith in the power of a supreme being not subject to the laws of science. The second theory is also an act of faith. The act consists in assuming that the scientific view of the origin of life is correct, without having concrete evidence to support that belief.<sup>89</sup>

As Robert Jastrow eloquently states in these lines, Darwinism is taken as a belief system, but the belief in question is a superstitious one, unsupported by any scientific evidence. Materialist thought makes a grave error and utters a terrible lie when it regards the only scientific alternative to Darwinism, the fact of creation, as unscientific. Creation is the reality to which science points. Scientific findings have time and time again confirmed the fact that all of life is the work of a sublime and almighty intelligence, in other words, of Almighty Allah. On the other hand, all the claims made by Darwinism have been repudiated by all the branches of science. It is therefore a serious mistake, incompatible with the facts, to imagine that anyone who believes in science should also espouse Darwinism.

It is most important to realize that Darwinism is not a science, but a superstitious religion. It therefore appears that Darwinism's deception of the world has ideological causes. Every study undertaken on behalf of Darwinism, every step taken in that direction, and every person deceived ever since the theory was first launched is a part of the ideology of Darwinism. The way that Darwinism appears in text books, has spread across just about the entire world, and is the only subject matter in just about all scientific journals, newspapers and the television is no run of the mill matter; this state of affairs is actually exceedingly dangerous. Under the influence of this superstitious religion, people literally regard one another as animals, regard it is legitimate for the strong to crush the weak, and begin to think of man as a chance entity with no responsibility to Allah. The wars, occupations and conflicts that have broken out under the influence of the superstition of Darwinism, perverted ideologies such as communism, fascism

and predatory capitalism, and the anarchy and terror that still persist in many parts of the world all clearly demonstrate the scale of the danger.

It must not be forgotten that the constant propagation of Darwinism, and the efforts being made to ensure it holds the whole world in its sway, are all a struggle being waged against Allah, and that the aim of this heretical ideology is to turn people away from their responsibilities to Allah and make them forget about the Hereafter. It is not surprising that someone who imagines, in his own eyes, that he has no responsibilities toward Allah, and who does not believe in the Hereafter, can ruthlessly oppress others, can regard all kinds of evil as legitimate in his quest for power, can be selfish and incite wars as he regards these as a means to progress, can eliminate vast masses of people or totally annihilate people of different ethnic origins. Young people, many still of high school age, have no hesitation about stating how Darwinist logic poisoned their minds as they took guns to their schools and slaughtered scores of people. They do not conceal the fact that they regard other people as animals, needing to be "weeded out." That is the kind of destructive and savage belief that the superstitious religion that is Darwinism represents.

Therefore, when examining the superstitious religion of Darwinism and its deceit which encompasses the whole world, these facts shouldn't be forgotten.

It should be taken into consideration that the methods which Darwinists use when deceiving the world are not related to science or any scientific theory whatsoever.

It shouldn't be forgotten that the religion of Darwinism has been taken from Malthus's ideas which defend mass starvation, epidemics and wars as a form of population planning; it is the basis of the eugenics ideal, which anticipates to kill all the sick and disabled people; it forms the basic foundation of all the communist and fascist dictatorships which dragged vast masses of people toward death, caused the deaths of tens of millions of people in the First and Second World Wars, and it is the only source which feeds the ongoing scourge of terrorism throughout the whole world .

It must be kept constantly in mind that the starting point of all existing disorder, actions carried out against religious morality by communist and fascist movements, mindless attacks, senseless killings and terrorist incidents in the world today is all one and the same.

Darwinism is not an innocent theory developed by science; it is one of the worst scourges of our age dragging masses into moral and spiritual degeneracy, irreligion, irresponsibility, wars, anger and violence.

Even though the proponents of Darwin's superstitious religion follow a policy of expansion upon duplicity, everyone in the struggle against Almighty Allah, will be discovered and defeated.

Currently Darwinists are witnessing this historic collapse of Darwinism and are watching the situation with great trepidation and regret.

Darwinists may not fully understand that all power belongs to Allah, and all the superstitious traps formed against Allah are always doomed to failure. Our Lord tells the truth in the verse as below:

**And (the unbelievers) plotted and planned, and Allah too planned, and the best of planners is Allah.  
(Qur'an, 3:54)**



Darwinism is the primary source of the pain and suffering people have suffered for years and such perverse ideologies as communism and fascism.



The Darwinist philosophy that has spread oppression across the world for many years is still a threat. The current suffering will only come to an end with the complete elimination of the Darwinist ideological system.

# The Disaster Caused by Darwinist Education: Young Murderers

## THE JOKELA HIGH SCHOOL MASSACRE:

In a message posted on the YouTube web site prior to the massacre, the 18-year-old Pekka-Eric Auvinen, who slaughtered seven students and a teacher on November 1st, 2007, before killing himself, described himself as an antisocial social Darwinist and went on to say: "I am an anarchist who believes only in natural selection. People must again start applying natural selection. Animals live in that way, so why should human beings not? At the end of the day, we are simply animals. We human beings are the worst animals on Earth... That is where there must be [natural selection]. The earlier, the better. ... The law is simply the ideas of the strong... You must therefore take control of your life and become an anarchist...

The weak must die, while the strong survive. That is natural selection, the survival of the fittest. Animals constantly die. You never see a dog crying over having killed another dog. Human beings also die. The reaction must be the same. This is simply a natural thing, not a great or important one. I am not the law and the judge. I have no authority. I am ready to fight and die for my aim. Since I do not regard natural selection as sufficient, I will eliminate the disgraces of mankind, the failures of natural selection... I am different, I have evolved a stage further." (From Pecca-Eric Auvinen's video "My Philosophy")



## THE COLUMBINE HIGH SCHOOL MASSACRE:

On April 20th, 1999, two students at Columbine High School in the U.S. state of Colorado, 18-year-old Eric Harris and 17-year-old Dylan Klebold, entered their school with guns and bombs. After killing 12 students and a teacher in the space of 30 minutes, they then killed themselves. Harris' T-shirt read "Natural Selection." Most of the writings collected from Harris' home in the wake of the attack referred to natural selection and feelings of superiority. In videos previously uploaded onto the internet, Harris and Klebold constantly referred to themselves as "more evolved" and talked about how it felt to be supposedly "superhuman."



Darwinism can easily turn innocent people into monsters. To the left can be seen the former appearances of these child killers, compared to how they looked immediately before the killings.



**[the unbelievers] plotted and  
Allah plotted. But Allah is the best  
of plotters.  
(Qur'an, 3:54)**

## PART 3

# DARWINISTS' METHODS OF DECEPTION OF THE WORLD

**W**e can understand the most explicit definition of Darwinian deception techniques from the words of Joseph Goebbels, Minister of Propaganda for one of the 20<sup>th</sup> Century's most brutal dictators, Adolf Hitler. He was a Darwinist who carried out massacres inspired by Darwinism.

If you tell a lie big enough and keep repeating it, people will eventually come to believe it.<sup>90</sup>

This is the method which Darwinism uses for misleading people. Darwinism's lie has been told with a dogmatic sincerity so loudly and so often that without inquiring or investigating many people in the world thought that the theory of evolution was true.

In addition although deceiving the masses on this issue is made by very clear, very public methods, nobody has been doubtful about those scientists who defend this lie, inclusion of this lie in science journals, and being taught in schools by placing it into the curriculum.

These scientists came up with the lie that "the proteins coincidentally occurred in muddy water", and explained it again and again quite loudly, along with their supporters, almost like a scientific fact.

They repeated these tales insistently to the people, who are unaware that the probability of a single protein occurring by chance is zero, and deceived them by creating almost a spell-like effect.

Darwinist scientists who defend the lie that "there are numerous transitional fossils" gave such a suggestion to the people who don't know the truth of fossil records that for many years, people thought that evolution was supported by a countless number of fossils.

Moreover, under the influence of this strange indoctrination, people believed the lie that they evolved from apes, studied this at schools, and thought that their ancestors were strange-looking, hairy, ape-like creatures.

One of the most basic reasons for this is:

Until the deciphering of Darwinist lies, people generally went along with the misconceptions of "Scientists tell the truth.", "We can not understand the issues of science, it is necessary to believe what scientists say.", and people tended to believe what scientists said, and this ultimately established a distorted belief system.

When the established system is anchored to this false assumption, the invalidity of evolution becomes a position that is no longer open to discussion.

This is an important and a surprising fact: Darwinist scientists have been deceiving people since the time of Darwin.

This system based on the delusion "Scientists tell the truth, what they say is indisputable." has led people to believe a lie, even live their whole lives according to these lies.

Such a massive game was played that scientific facts were hidden from people, lies and the falsehoods used in their place, and, data was distorted to make it fit to the lie of evolution

If the data does not match the lie of evolution, it was either concealed or destroyed.

People have been clearly deceived and in a cruel fashion, people having thought that the information presented by the Darwinist scientists was authentic.

In the origins of Darwinism, there is an unconditional commitment to the materialist philosophy.

As materialism irrationally rejects all explanations about the origin of life and purpose of the existence of the universe except matter, without basing this on any scientific fact, and as the theory of evolution submits a false explanation for the emergence of life, there is thus a deeply-rooted connection between materialism and Darwinism.

Darwinist scientists are at the same time materialists, and all the support which is given for Darwinism, is in fact an effort to keep materialism alive. For this reason, according to Darwinists, the evolution theory is to be defended at any cost. If evolution is not strongly defended, if the lies of evolution are not covered up by using all opportunities, if those who are in opposition to Darwinism are not kept silent by methods of compulsion and intimidation, and if scientific proofs are not carefully concealed, the single and absolute fact of "Allah has created all things" will come to dominate humanity and materialism will disappear. That's the reason why Darwinists have defended the lie of evolution so loudly and insistently for years. Jonathan Wells makes this clear in the explanation below about this subject:

... It's not evidence that makes Darwinism a "fact", but materialistic philosophy. In 1997, Harvard geneticist Richard C. Lewontin recounted how he and Carl Sagan had once defended Darwinism in a debate, then he explained: "We take the side of science in spite of the patent absurdity of some of its contradictions... because we have a prior commitment, a commitment to materialism.."91


If attention is paid to the words of Lewontin, an important Darwinist obsession is revealed once again. Lewontin claims that "they had to defend evolution as they were on the side of science". However, when scientific facts are evaluated with a sincere and unprejudiced point of view, it is impossible for a person to defend Darwinism who claims that he is on the side of science. As usual, Darwinists lie and behave with the dogmatic attitude of "science equals to Darwinism", and thereby engrave this lie in people's subconscious.

Darwinists thought that this deceit would always continue. But the existing situation is not what they had thought or expected. The materialist philosophy is in a state of collapse. The lies, hoaxes, and subterfuge of the Darwinists were revealed one after another. People started to realize how they were forced to believe blindly in a false religion.

Swedish embryologist Soren Lovtrup expressed the lie of Darwinism with these words:


I believe that one day the Darwinian myth will be ranked as the greatest deceit in the history of science. When this happens many people will pose the question: How did this ever happen?92

Indeed today, people have started to feel the shock of having been deceived by Darwinists. With each passing day, they realize that they have been a part of a worldwide delusion. In



People are conditioned to believe in these imaginary entities, as if they were real.

**FALSE**



the near future, the true colors of the superstitious religion of Darwinism will be understood exactly by the whole world, and indeed people will be surprised at having been deceived. After they have recovered from this lie, their mind and conscience will be cleared, and they will comprehend that everything is the magnificent creation of Allah. The ideology of the antichrist will have been utterly destroyed. Allah informs us in the Qur'an that:

**To Allah belongs the dominion of the heavens and the earth; Behold! in the creation of the heavens and the earth, and the alternation of night and day,- there are indeed Signs for men of understanding. (Qur'an, 3:189-190)**

Then what are the sly methods of Darwinism, the subterfuge of the antichrist system, which has deceived people for a century and a half? How did Darwinists insidiously, unanimously reach out for so many people with a faked system? How is the antichrist enchantment so effective on people? Here are some of the Darwinist tactics which makes this enchantment effective:

## 1. Darwinism and materialism try to show that all of life is "simple"


Darwinism's "enchantment" is predicated on getting people to avoid thinking. For this reason, Darwinists intensely distract the people whom they address with visual and aural inculcation. They are determined to distract people from reality by forming an enchantment effect. Many people give up thinking, examining, researching when confronted with these calculated indoctrination, and surrender to these supposedly scientific explanations which have been submitted to them.

But the interesting thing is that this spectacle of indoctrination which distracts people and keeps them from thinking, in fact, basically harbors an irrational logic. Darwinist publications may explain the generation of a cell over hundreds of pages by using scientific and technological terms when what they want to say can be summed up quite briefly: Muddy water + coincidences + time = Life! Sophisticated words, obtuse Latin definitions, technical and complex terminology is typically included in these publications to maximize the Darwinist indoctrination effect, . However, there is absolutely no complex, subtle logic or explanation behind the Darwinist expressions.

A part from the "The Miracles of Life" documentary of the PBS TV show 'Nova' serves as a good example in this regard:

Four and a half billion years ago, the young planet Earth was a mass of cosmic dust and particles. It was almost completely engulfed by the shallow primordial seas. Powerful winds gathered **random molecules** from the atmosphere. Some were deposited in the seas. **Tides and currents swept the molecules together. And somewhere in this ancient ocean the miracle of life began...** The first organized form of primitive life was a tiny protozoan. Millions of protozoa populated the ancient seas. **These early organisms were completely self-sufficient in their seawater world. They moved about their aquatic environment feeding on bacteria and other organisms...** From these one-celled organisms evolved all life on earth.<sup>93</sup>

Many people who watch this explanation may certainly not know the possibility of single cell occurring by coincidence is 1 in  $10^{950}$ , in other words, impossible. These people do not know that on the magnificently balanced planet we live, the probability of occurrence of changes, or a formation by coincidence is more than  $10^{1000}$ , and occurrence of such a change is impossible.<sup>94</sup> The same audience probably doesn't know greater possibilities should have taken place for the "miracle of life"







**+** natural events

**-** supposition **ALL LIFE**

and this includes even more statistical impossibilities. For this reason, to watch and believe this imaginary scenario is not so hard for people who do not have information in regard to the matter.

In this PBS documentary, the formation of the Earth, the imaginary story of the formation of the very first cell, and the diversity which this very first cell started, is presented as a simple matter that it is sufficient to convince a person who doesn't want to think about the matter much. Ready-made information is presented to this person. After all, this person doesn't know that;


- a single protein can not have occurred by coincidence,
- after the impossibility of the occurrence of the very first protein, the second, third, fourth and finally the one millionth, the same impossible coincidental occurrences are equally impossible.
- although it is impossible, even if we assume that it occurred by coincidence, it is impossible to unite the first protein which occurred in one end of the world with the protein which occurred in the other end of the world

Up to this point, the person who thinks that everything occurred very simply would find it reasonable that;

- the occurrence of cell organelles by coincidence, which is impossible according to the calculus of probabilities,
- all of these organelles staying together without being dissolved until the cell has come into being,
- the fairy tale of the emergence of the cell by coincidence in muddy water, which is even impossible to create under the control of scientists in laboratories, and is defined as "more complex than a galaxy" by scientists with its magnificent semi-permeable membrane.

This is an extremely simple answer for someone and it doesn't require the necessity of research, or deep thought on the matter. Furthermore, the person doing the explaining is quite often a well-known and respected scientist. There is no need for the passive individual to consider, or make careful observation of the miraculous nature that we find in the protein structure. The person watching the documentary is left with the idea that a cell is nothing more than a bubble. The viewer is left with the impression that "it's very simple for a cell to have formed in a puddle of muddy water", as in the way mold

forms in a glass of fruit juice left out for too long; the difference here, of course, is that molds, mildew, and fungi forms as a result of cellular bacteria. These are single-celled beings that form as the result of outside forces; they do not occur spontaneously, or form of their own volition, nor do they form by coincidence. Additionally, Darwinists don't bother to explain things such as the formation of the Earth, or how the early atmosphere of Earth would have killed the imaginary first cell, how protozoa turned into metazoa, and they gloss over the fact that bacteria had already existed; therefore, how could protozoa be the first life form on Earth? Indeed, each of these is a point that cannot be explained with Darwinist pseudo-science, and can only



**Darwinists frequently use the press for their propaganda and seek to deceive readers with visual conditioning.**

be answered by “the origin of life” (in other words, Almighty Allah) which has brought Darwinism to its end. Darwinists can only tell fairy tales about how various species originated, and how the imaginary anthropoid creatures they conjure up were somehow the distant ancestors of all mankind.

There are many people in the world who simply accept what they hear, read, or see without critical evaluation of the facts. Darwinists use this weak point, and fully exploit this tendency. Most people who fall into the Darwinist trap have these tendencies, and thus accept Darwinism, even if they don't see any scientific proof or reasonableness in the theory. For this reason, simple though illogical explanations on the part of the Darwinists over the last 150 years have deceived innumerable people, and brought a good part of the world under the sway of the system of the antichrist.

A good example on this subject is a book for children entitled, *The Wonderful Egg*. A story with a highly illogical outcome is told in this book. A mother dinosaur leaves her nest of eggs unattended for a brief while, and interestingly, the “first bird” hatches out of one the eggs. The “bird” born from a mother dinosaur grows up over the course of the story, and miraculously has wings and feathers; in time, it starts to fly to the branches of a tree. However, the most interesting (and perhaps tragic) part of this book is not in the story itself. Rather, it is that this book, which proposes a stunning absurdity, was supported and recommended by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, the American Council on Education, and the Association for Childhood Education International.<sup>95</sup>

That any scientist could come up with such an outlandish idea boggles the imagination. Every schoolchild knows that birds have an anatomical structure which supports flight, with appropriate organs, feathers, wings, a specialized respiratory system, and that birds absolutely did not come out of reptile eggs. Yet the adherents of the Darwinist ideology will put forward even the most laughable theories, and they know that when these theories are disproven, they will have to debase themselves. Nonetheless, for the Darwinists, all that matters is the unconditional defense of Darwinism.

Scientific editor Gordon Rattray Taylor's confession regarding this topic truly explains the error simple logic to which Darwinism submits:

Evolutionary history is a mass of such modifications; one could almost say it consists of them. Scales become feathers. Legs become wings. Stomachs become swim bladders. Even at the level of biochemical processes, substitutions and elaborations occur. All Darwinism has to say about such miracles is that they are due to chance.<sup>96</sup>

The following is from a newspaper article which doctor Nicholas Comninellis from Missouri University gave a place to in his book;

Evolution is a fairy tale for grown-ups. This theory has helped nothing in the progress of science. It is useless.<sup>97</sup>

## **Darwinist scientists who stay silent about fraud**

A secret agreement between Darwinist scientists has been developed in order to enhance the enchantment of Darwinism and to maximize its efficiency as a propaganda tool. With a handful of exceptions, almost everyone stays silent in regard to the illogical, inconsistent, and childish so-called explanations which have been developed in order to defend the Darwinian theory.

Occasionally these explanations become a source of considerable embarrassment to the Darwinists, who might be expected to object to these outlandish claims, but typically abstain from comment out of fear of negative reaction from the rest of their peers. For example, though Charles Darwin claimed that the North American black bear turned into a whale as the result of opening its mouth wide in order to catch insects in the river, or that fish who rose up and turned with the help of their fins became perfectly formed creatures with wings that flew through the air, these bizarre speculations are nowadays disavowed by the vast majority of Darwinists, though a handful of the more traditional Darwinists occasionally espouse them from time to time.<sup>98</sup> Finally, in 2009, the fossil known as “Ida” was correctly identified as belonging not to some imaginary human ancestor, but to a lemur. This farce was in the head-



**The Ida hoax: The way that Darwinists tried to depict a fossil of a perfect, extinct lemur as evidence for the supposed evolution of man is a major indication of their hopelessness. Darwinists have been forced to apologize in the wake of the embarrassing furore over Ida.**

lines for several weeks, as Ida had been heavily promoted as “the biggest evidence of (imaginary) human evolution”, and the “missing link” by Darwinists (who also promoted “Ardi”, another so-called ancestor, which turned out to be the fossil remains of a common Bonobo chimpanzee). Some of the Darwinists referred to the Ida fiasco as “antics” or “a circus”, and some of them clearly stated they were embarrassed by the whole affair, and that Ida wasn't even close to being a “distant ancestor”, much less a (false) missing link.<sup>99</sup>

Ardi, which was briefly mentioned above, was produced by putting together the fossilized remains of a Bonobo chimpanzee in a fictitious way and made to resemble a human being; this obvious state of affairs evoked almost immediate criticism from some Darwinists. It was admitted in even the most staunchly Darwinist publications that all the claims about Ardi walking upright or being an early ancestor of man were wholly fictitious, and that Ardi bore more resemblance to an ordinary Bonobo chimpanzee, an endangered species which is still found in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. The Darwinists had to withdraw yet another of their preposterous claims.<sup>100</sup>

Generally, the reactions of Darwinists to these frauds are quite feeble. According to their ideology, the simply must defend Darwinism, and its erroneous view that all life is “simple” no matter what; even though it is most obviously patently absurd. According to Darwin, evolution generated such things as black bears turning into whales, a claim which has no validity in any of the sciences. Nonetheless, the followers of Darwin will defend these false ideas to the very end.

D. T. Rosevear from the Inorganic Chemistry Department at Bristol University expresses the simple logic of Darwinism and the scientists who openly engage in this deceptive game;

(Evolution) seems to me a hypothesis based on no evidence and irreconcilable with the facts. These classical evolutionary theories are a gross over-simplification of an immensely complex and intricate mass of facts, and it amazes me they are swallowed so uncritically and readily, and for such a long time, by so many scientists without a murmur of protest.<sup>101</sup>

Although Darwinists try to ascribe “simplicity” to all the life forms they want, a simple observation of the perfect and amazing complexity of life is a clear reality which they cannot simply ignore or wish away. There are quite literally millions of different species of plants and animals alive today in the world, and even the smallest structures in these plants and animals present this most wondrous complexity imaginable. Today, when scientists can “understand” just the smallest fraction of the complexity of these structures and functions, or discover how they function it is deemed a great achievement. Intelligent human beings didn't even know what their own cells looked like as little as a century ago; and in any event, try though he might, no matter how long a scientist labors over his petri dishes and microscopes, he will never create anything of the like, not even so much one of the hundreds of thousands of proteins. Bacteria, which is typically described by Darwinists as the “simplest” form of life, is in truth an extraordinary model of complexity with its organelles, its DNA, its cell membrane, and its amazing resistance to antibiotics.<sup>102</sup> According to Sir James Gray, a bacteria actually does more work than a modern-day laboratory; he says as follows:

## THE LATEST DECEPTION BEHIND WHICH DARWINISTS HIDE THEIR DESPAIR: ARDI

Darwinists produced a creature identical to the present-day Bonobo chimpanzee and gave it the name "Ardi." And then they said; "All right, it may be a chimpanzee, but it stands upright!" This is one rather primitive example of the Darwinist deception we know so well.

Discovered in 1994, the fossil skeleton was in a fragmented form when first found. The pelvic bone in particular was in tiny pieces and was exceedingly brittle the moment paleontologists picked it up. Darwinists took these minute pieces with no clear shape and reconstructed a pelvic bone just as they desired.

Darwinists carefully chose this fossil they produced only 15 years later. It was easy to speculate about a "fragmented" fossil, even though they had no transitional forms in their possession. The Darwinist William Jungers, head of the anatomical sciences department at the Long Island, Stony Brook University Medical Center, says this on the subject:

**"It's very difficult not to make them look like something you have in your mind if there's any chance of play... Ardi, requires a lot of guesswork."**

The inward-facing big toe on Ardi's feet and a foot bone absent from human beings are the most distinctive proofs that the creature did not walk upright. After examining the fossil, Jungers said, "there is no way that they could belong to 'an animal that wasn't often walking on its hind legs' unless the data 'were deliberately ignored or if we had made them up'."

Tim White, a Darwinist from the University of California, who suggested that Ardi might represent the missing link in human evolution, also had to make the following admission in the face of the facts that emerged regarding the fossil:

**"There are no apparent features sufficiently unique to warrant the exclusion of *Ar. ramidus* (Ardi) as being ancestral to *Australopithecus*."**

Darwinists' claims regarding Ardi are in fact significant evidence of the despairing situation in which they find themselves. Even if they fall into a hugely embarrassing situation, Darwinists have to repeat these feeble claims for the sake of their perverse Darwinist ideology.

**Source:** Katherine Harmon, *How Humanlike Was "Ardi"?*, *Scientific American*, 19 November 2009



Although bacteria are tiny, they display biochemical, structural, and behavioral complexities that outstrip scientific description. In keeping with the current microelectronics revolution, it would make more sense to equate their size with sophistication rather than simplicity. Without bacteria, life on Earth could not exist in its present form.<sup>103</sup>

In this context, it is impossible to talk about "simplicity" in regard to life. Living organisms all demonstrate the existence and superiority of an outstanding Creator and a Power that is above and inspires all living things. This Creator is Allah, Who gives life to all things, Who knows the condition of everything which He has created, Who has created all things from nothing, the most Glorious. Every unique creation of Allah comes to fruition when He merely commands, "Be!" For Allah, creating the most magnificent creatures whenever and however He wants is undoubtedly very easy. He is the possessor of everything, and He knows and judges all things; He is omniscient and omnipresent. Allah informs us in the Qur'an;

**To Allah belongs the dominion of the heavens and the Earth; it is He Who gives life and death; and He has power over all things. He is the First and the Last, the Evident and the Immanent; and He has full knowledge of all things. He it is Who created the heavens and the Earth in six days, and is moreover firmly established on the Throne (of Authority). He knows what enters within the Earth, and what comes forth out of it, what comes down from heaven, and what mounts up to it. And He is with you wheresoever ye may be. And Allah sees all that ye do. To Allah belongs the dominion of the heavens and the Earth; and all affairs are referred back to Allah. (Qur'an, 57:2-5)**



## **2. Darwinists try to portray chance as a false god that works miracles (surely Allah is beyond that)**

Chance is the Darwinists' so-called god, that does all things and can even work miracles. According to the simplistic Darwinist world view, time and chance together can bring about all things, in an imaginary manner. On the basis of this irrational belief, chance achieves what human beings cannot, and can in some way possess greater knowledge, abilities and technical means than laboratories and scientists. According to Darwinism, chance is an expert that produced everything, flawlessly and with a glorious complexity and that possesses foresight and takes appropriate precautions. Blind and unconscious chance has become the mythical hero behind all Darwinist accounts of life.

For Darwinists there are various ways of imposing this on people. Of course, under normal circumstances no rational person will believe that a creature with reptilian anatomy completely changed so as to assume the immaculate appearance of a soaring bird. In order to make people believe in such a violation of logic, they need to develop a kind of spellbinding system. That is why Darwinists indoctrinate people in the form of a myth of the supposed capacities of chance dressed up with scientific terminology. According to this tale, every stage in the appearance of all life forms is the work of blind chance, and chance performed this by working miracles. If you ask a Darwinist, "How did the first cell appear?" the answer you will receive will be "It appeared spontaneously in a bit of mud." If you ask how the eye came into being, the answer you receive will be "By a miracle." If you enquire, "How did wings and the perfect feathers in birds come into being?" you will be told something along the lines of, "It happened miraculously one day." There is no consciousness or intelligence directing the fictitious process in these irrational and unscientific Darwinist accounts. And yet the universe is built on

the most delicate balances. There is a flawless regularity on Earth. The slightest deviation from that order would mean the complete elimination of the whole system. Every form of life possesses exceedingly complex structures and amazing characteristics. Every one of these details is the work of a sublime and mighty intelligence, in other words of Almighty Allah.

But this perfect equilibrium and amazing complexity are ignored in Darwinist stories. All these nonsensical elements in the fairy tale of evolu-

**It is Almighty Allah Who creates the immaculate structure in the wings.**

tion, that is made incomprehensible by the use of scientific terminology, is carefully and constantly employed just like a magic spell, and most people fail even to notice.

The theologian R. C. Sproul says this on the subject:

When scientists attribute instrumental power to chance, they have left the domain of physics and resorted to magic. Chance is their magic wand to make not only rabbits but entire universes appear out of nothing.<sup>104</sup>

No matter how much Darwinists try to maintain this indoctrination, the miracle they in fact refer to is blind, mindless and unconscious chance. Coincidences are events that take place at random, with no conscious agent behind them, and with no intelligent power to shape or design anything. It is of course impossible for an event that takes place at random to find the correct method by trial and error, to predict future events and take the requisite precautions, to design beforehand what an organ will resemble, to be altruistic or to display love and loyalty. It flies in the face of reason, logic, science and common sense to maintain that a fictitious power unable to produce even a pin could give rise to all the glorious variety of life on Earth.

The expression “unable to produce even a pin” is used here as a response to Darwinist claims, and to emphasize their impossibility. In reality, of course, it is an error of logic and lack of sound reasoning to suggest that chance can “produce” anything at all. But Darwinists have been able to use that flawed logic to deceive the entire world. According to this peculiar Darwinist logic, there is nothing that chance cannot do, given sufficient time.

The following passage from the Nobel prize-winning evolutionist and biologist George Wald is an explicit expression of the formula chance + time in which Darwinists believe as if it were a magic spell:

One has only to wait: time itself performs the miracles. Given so much time, the ‘impossible’ becomes possible, the possible probable, and the probable virtually.<sup>105</sup>

The aim behind all these illogical ideas, of course, is for Darwinists to be able to bring some other explanation than creation to bear, at least in their own eyes. Darwinists are certainly very well aware that it is inconceivable for chance and time to combine together to produce flowers, cats, fish, birds, reptiles and human beings from a collection of mud. But instead of signing up to the truth, they are still trying to depict the illogical as logical.

As we have seen, all Darwinist accounts are based on deception. Take away all the Darwinists flowery language, Latin terminology and incomprehensible scientific words and all that remains is the deception of “all life came into being as the result of random events, through a combination of blind coincidences, and with no control process, precautionary measures or intelligent intervention.” And this is the deception that Darwinists are really trying to get people to believe.

This extract from the atheist and evolutionary biologist Richard Dawkins, one of the most passionate contemporary Darwinists, is enough to see the dead-end facing Darwinist logic:

**Chance can never give rise to life, no matter what Darwinists claim. It is entirely the artistry of Allah.**

... The jet engine analogy suggested that animals ought to be risible monstrosities of lashed-up improvisation, top-heavy with grotesque relics of patched-over antiquity. How can we reconcile this reasonable expectation with the formidable grace of the hunting cheetah, the aerodynamic beauty of the swift, the scrupulous attention to deceptive detail of the leaf insect?<sup>106</sup>

Allah has so ensnared the antichrist that the supporters of the antichrist have had to resort to the myth of "chance," in order to oppose Allah, in their own foolish eyes, an idea which is so illogical and irrational that even primary school children would find it ludicrous. Chance is such a perverse and mindless idea that it would be more logical to suggest that insects used their lofty intellectual abilities to produce highly sophisticated technological equipment. But the spell of the antichrist has convinced some people of this nonsense and made it something that is even taught in schools. But as people have seen how chance has been supposedly deified and endowed with a supposed creative power (surely Allah is beyond that), Darwinism, the worst trickery of the antichrist in the End Times, has become truly pitiable.

Allah reveals in verses:

**Ask them for a ruling: is it they who are stronger in structure or other things We have created? We created them from sticky clay. No wonder you are surprised as they laugh with scorn! When they are reminded they do not pay heed. When they see a Sign they only laugh with scorn. They say, 'This is just downright magic. When we are dead and turned to dust and bones will we then be raised up again alive? And our earlier ancestors as well?' Say: 'Yes, and you will be in a despicable state.'** (Qur'an, 37:11-18)

### **3. Darwinists ignore the fundamental quandaries of the theory of evolution**

There is one very important fact that needs to be known about the lie that is Darwinism: Darwinism has to date offered no rational scientific answer to the question of how the first living thing appeared. It is unclear how that fictitious "first cell," which Darwinists regard as the origin of all, or even the first protein inside it could have emerged. The theory of evolution has totally collapsed in the face of a single protein whose origin it is unable to account for.

This fact is well known to all Darwinists. That is why they try to gloss it over or make you forget about it in every Darwinist report or program you read or watch. It is impossible to learn from anywhere that engages in Darwinist propaganda that there is zero possibility of a protein forming by chance, that the countless experiments performed over the history of science show that have been unable to produce a single cell protein or a single organelle, and that the structure of the cell is too flawless and irreducibly complex to be able to come into being by chance because these facts demolish the simplistic and unscientific Darwinist account of the origin of life. Bearing in mind that chance cannot even account for the amino acids inside a protein, the theory of evolution's fictitious formula "time + chance + muddy water" is reminiscent of the titles of books of fairy tales written for primary schools.

Scientific writer Hank Hanegraaff summarizes the probability calculations regarding a single protein molecule as follows:



The probability of a single protein molecule being arranged by chance is 1 in  $10^{107}$ , using all atoms on earth and allowing all the time since the world began... for a minimum set of the required 239 protein molecules for the smallest theoretical life the probability is 1 in  $10^{119,879}$ . It would take  $10^{119,841}$  years on the average to get a set of such proteins. That is  $10^{119,831}$  times the assumed age of the earth and is a figure with 119,831 zeroes.<sup>133</sup>

Hanegraaff goes on:

If however, a protein molecule is eventually formed by chance forming the second one would be infinitely more difficult. As you can see the signs of the statistical probability demonstrates conclusively that forming a protein molecule by random processes is not only improbable; it is impossible.<sup>108</sup>

As we have already set out, other proteins need to be in existence for a protein to form. So Darwinists are trapped in a terrible vicious circle and at a complete dead-end. In order to account for the existence of a single protein they would have to account for up to 100 different proteins, DNA and even the cell as a whole. Darwinism is in a state of absolute defeat on this subject.

Yet even assuming that all these things actually happened, that the Darwinist magical formula really works and that the fictitious first cell actually formed (which is scientifically impossible), Darwinists are still in an insoluble predicament. Darwinists have to account for each one of the tasks undertaken by the organelles in a cell, the work done in the body by an enzyme, the emergence and functioning of such complex organs as the liver, spleen, stomach, lungs and brain and, even more importantly, how all these came into being in an immaculate and flawless way through a transition from other living things. But, inevitably, Darwinists never address such matters, let alone explain them.

Because it is impossible to account for the wondrous complexity in all organisms, from the smallest to the very largest, in terms of false Darwinist mechanisms. In fact, all Darwinists are well aware that there is a manifest miracle in the Earth and sky and in the creation of man and all living things. Darwinists themselves know perfectly well that using their own deceptive methods, they can never account for a fertilized cell dividing and producing a human body consisting of 100 trillion cells, of 30 trillion of these specializing in such a way as to constitute the human brain, of the way 12 billion brain



**The glorious planet we live on, the Sun, the Moon and the stars are all manifestations of Allah's sublime might and magnificent creation.**

cells begin working in a perfect manner by constructing 120 connections between them and, as a result of all this, the emergence of an immaculate living thing.

Science writer and journalist Fred Heeren sets out this reality before Darwinists' very eyes as follows:

This self-evident truth is the simple, rational deduction that all we see is an effect which demands a very great, supernatural Cause. The Sun and the stars, the Moon and this Earth could not have come from nothing. That's irrational- not just to the western mind but to the human mind. Every phenomenon in the universe can be explained in terms of something else that caused it. But the phenomenon in question is the existence of the universe itself, there is nothing in the universe to explain it. No natural explanation.<sup>109</sup>

There is no doubt that it is Almighty Allah Who created and rules over the entire universe, the Earth and sky and all living things. The order that Allah has created within a single cell is so complex that it cannot even be explained in terms of human ability, capacity and intelligence, let alone by chance.

This fact represents one of greatest quandaries facing Darwinism. Since Darwinists have no account to offer on this subject, they generally adopt a policy of "glossing over it." They list the false mechanisms of the theory of evolution, but have nothing to say as to how these supposedly produced evolution. The theory of evolution has suffocated in its own descriptions, but they try to keep that fact from their readers. Yet as can be seen from the following admissions by the evolutionary biologist and atheist Richard Dawkins, Darwinists are perfectly well aware of the insuperable fundamental problems facing evolution:

Nature does not have the foresight to put together a sequence of mutations which, for all that they may entail temporary disadvantage, set a lineage on the road to ultimate global superiority.<sup>110</sup>

A lineage that moves from a terrestrial to an aquatic existence is likely to need changes to its locomotory system and its respiratory system, and there is no obvious reason to expect any intrinsic connection between them. Why should trends that convert walking limbs to flippers be intrinsically correlated with trends to boost the efficiency of the lungs in extracting oxygen? Of course it is possible that two such co-adapted trends might be correlated as an incidental consequence of embryological mechanisms, but the correlation is no more likely to be positive than negative.<sup>111</sup>

Even Dawkins, one of today's best known atheists, has to admit that no mechanism of the evolution he so fervently espouses actually represents so-called evolution. By these words, Dawkins has had to say that mutations cannot produce any advantageous result and that the profound changes necessary for a life form to move from water to dry land are impossible. These admissions by Dawkins clearly show how the perverse religion that is Darwinism is so blindly revered despite being bereft of any scientific evidence.

In his book, Dawkins also included a quote on the subject from the Nobel prize-winning immunologist Professor Sir Peter Medawar:

'... We have therefore no convincing account of evolutionary progress...'<sup>112</sup>

Ignoring fundamental dilemmas may of course have had an effect people with no training on the subject. But the more people learn about the theory of evolution the more they will start to ask questions about certain glossed over facts, details that have been deliberately glossed over and fundamental subjects that have been covered up. That is the current state of affairs in the schools of various countries, in certain states in the USA and in Europe. Students are rejecting blind instruction and have no hesitation over arguing about these fundamental dilemmas with their teachers. The age of the antichrist's deception of people is finished. The fundamental dilemmas of Darwinism are attracting people's notice, and they are waiting for an explanation of them all. Darwinists' lengthy silence on this issue has even encouraged proponents of Darwinism to doubt it, and people have begun searching for an answer outside Darwinism. Naturally, everyone who is freed from the spell of Darwinism can clearly see that all the wondrously complex life that exhibits intelligence and consciousness was, in fact, created.

Darwinism is at an impasse, because living beings were created, rather than having evolved. People now clearly see this; they accept that fact in their hearts and minds. Allah describes how He is mighty enough to do all things, and that the false gods of Darwinism have no power in His verses:

**Mankind! An example has been made, so listen to it carefully. Those whom you call upon besides Allah are not even able to create a single fly, even if they were to join together to do it. And if a fly steals something from them, they cannot get it back. How feeble are both the seeker and the sought!**

**They do not measure Allah with His true measure. Allah is All-Strong, Almighty. (Qur'an, 22:73-74)**

#### **4. Darwinists give the false impression that transitional fossils actually exist**

For Darwinists, who for 150 years sought to depict a lie as the truth, who portrayed the countless frauds of the theory of evolution as true and who had that lie taught in school curricula, it is not at all difficult to convince people of the lie that Darwinism is corroborated by transitional fossils. This deception, which has persisted since Darwin's day, began with Darwin's own promise that transitional forms would be discovered some time in the future. In fact, Darwin made a very significant prediction 150 years ago, one that has since come true.

... Why, if species have descended from other species by insensibly fine gradations, do we not everywhere see innumerable transitional forms? Why is not all nature in confusion instead of the species being, as we see them, well defined?.. But, as by this theory innumerable transitional forms must have existed, why do we not find them embedded in countless numbers in the crust of the earth?.. Why then is not every geological formation and every stratum full of such intermediate links? Geology assuredly does not reveal any such finely graduated organic chain; and this, perhaps, is the most obvious and gravest objection which can be urged against my theory.<sup>113</sup>

Darwin's prophecy has come true. The transitional fossils that failed to be found in his own day have not been discovered subsequently, either, and that fact has totally demolished Darwinism. Since that time, Darwinists have tried to convince people that the transitional fossils that did not exist 150 years ago would one day be found. When advances in technology and science made the existence of transitional fossils essential, they found a solution in fraud. All the biology text books in which the theory of evolution is taught today, all the Darwinist publications and the pro-Darwin press carry these false proofs as they discuss the transitional fossil myth, which is of such vital importance to the theory of evolution. These publications still refer as evidence to *Archaeopteryx* and *Coelacanth*, to Haeckel's false drawings of embryos, Industrial Revolution moths glued onto the trunks of trees, Piltdown Man, an orangutan jaw attached to a human skull, Nebraska Man, depicted together with his entire family on the basis of a single wild pig molar, Neanderthal Man, which was actually a human race despite being portrayed as a cave-man, and Lucy, which has been scientifically proved to be an ape and to skulls which were subsequently and hurriedly removed from the literature when their fraudulent nature was exposed. That is the pitiful position of Darwinism in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century.

You will generally find the following expression in Darwinist publications: "There are so many fossils proving evolution that..." But that is a terrible lie. It is merely intended to indoctrinate the people



**All the fossils, drawings and models that Darwinists depict as transitional forms are false. The truth is that not a single transitional fossil exists.**

reading it. To put it another way, the classic Darwinist method is being applied, and a lie is being repeated very loud and over and over again in order to make it convincing.

The fact is that there exists **not a single fossil to prove the theory of evolution.** Darwinist scientists are, of course, very well aware of this manifest truth shown by science. But because of the absence of these fossils, they are also well aware of the impasse facing the theory of evolution. But their dogmatic perspective obliges Darwinists to keep the theory of evolution propped up, no matter what the cost.


Indeed, a call was recently made to Darwinists, asking them to put just one single transitional fossil in their possession on display in some of the world's best known arenas. But as always, the only response was a profound silence. Yet if their claims were true, if living species really did evolve from one another, very gradually over millions of years and in random stages, then Darwinists should have not just one but millions, or even trillions, of transitional fossils in their possession. But Darwinists cannot even respond to the challenge to produce "just one transitional fossil." This is because they do not have a single transitional fossil. And that is why Darwinists are unable to cheerfully step up and produce a single intermediate fossil.

The evolutionary paleontologist Mark Czarnecki makes this confession on the subject:

A major problem in proving the theory has been the fossil record; the imprints of vanished species preserved in the Earth's geological formations. This record has never revealed traces of Darwin's hypothetical intermediate variants – instead species appear and disappear abruptly, and this anomaly has fueled the creationist argument that each species was created by God.<sup>114</sup>

And the evolutionary geologist Carlton E. Brett admits that:

Did life on Earth change steadily and gradually through time? The fossil record emphatically says "no."<sup>115</sup>



**A fern leaf dating back 58 million years is identical to present-day ferns. Harun Yahya's Atlas of Creation contains thousands of such fossils.**

The Darwinist spell has been so systematically imposed on people over the last 150 years that they have come to believe in something non-existent. Nobody has ever asked, "There should be millions of transitional fossils, so where are they?" Nobody has ever said, "All the 350 million fossils produced from excavations belong to life forms with a perfect appearance, but not one is a transitional fossil." Nobody has ever investigated why the same few life forms are always depicted as transitional forms, why even the most eminent scientists have nothing else to point to apart from these fabricated fossils, and why even books prepared as doctoral theses only deal with these few subjects. Similarly, nobody is aware that these few fossils, heralded as transitional forms, actually possess no transitional characteristics at all, and that this is a scientifically proven fact. To summarize, nobody who has fallen under the spell of Darwinism is aware that there exists not a single piece of evidence supporting Darwinism because Darwinists, the architects of that spell, have cleverly and cunningly covered it up.

But no matter how long and how persistently the lie that "transitional fossils do exist" is repeated, if people are made aware of the existence of genuine fossils, if they realize these are no different to entities living today, then there will be no more point in reiterating that lie. That is the current position of Darwinism, the stratagem of the antichrist. While Darwinists are desperately trying to convince people of the existence of transitional fossils, people are being shown fossils of life forms with a perfect appearance dating back millions of years and fossil exhibitions are being shown by means of the internet and the *Atlas of Creation*. People believe what they see with their own eyes and are now highly suspicious of the Darwinist conditioning they have been exposed to for years. There is no longer any point in Darwinists insisting that "transitional fossils do exist." The whole world is now perfectly well aware that Darwinists taken in by the antichrist have been deceiving them. It is impossible for that deception to go on any longer. As our Almighty Lord says in one verse:

**Rather We hurl the truth against falsehood and it cuts right through it and it vanishes clean away! Woe without end for you for what you portray! (Qur'an, 21:18)**

## **5. Darwinists portray the theory of evolution as "undeniable"**

A scientific theory is generally formulated and then scientifically confirmed or refuted. It is scientifically agreed to or else rejected. The Big Bang theory is a scientific one, and has been confirmed. The invalidity of ideas put forward in the face of the evidence corroborating the theory is evident. Nobody admits the possibility of any diametrically opposed ideas being corroborated because there is concrete scientific proof. And concrete evidence has confirmed this scientific theory against the other possibilities.

The theory of evolution has also been proposed as a scientific thesis, and countless pieces of evidence have proved that it is utter nonsense. Not a single piece of evidence exists to support it. All scientific findings prove again and again, with every passing day, that the theory was proposed as a hypothesis and possesses no validity whatsoever. Under normal circumstances this theory should long since have been discredited and consigned to the waste bin. But for some reason that has not happened. On the contrary, the theory of evolution is now espoused, as if were a genuinely scientific one, with false evidence in text books, on TV channels and in publishing organs. The Council of Europe has determinedly sought to defend the theory, and reacted with horror to the release of evidence proving that the theory was not scientific at all. Courts in various countries have strongly rejected proposals in opposition to the theory of evolution and its place in school curricula. Recently, a U.S. federal judge went so far as to state that criticism of Darwinism was a violation of the Constitution.<sup>116</sup> The idea of the inclusion in the curriculum of the "difficulties of evolution" that Darwin himself referred to has appalled Darwinist circles. In short, Darwinism is a supposedly scientific theory protected by all states and generally propped up with official state backing. These circles make enormous efforts to prevent the fact of Creation being taught in schools, as this would expose the nonsensical nature of evolution. According

to the laws of this superstitious religion, Darwinism is “undeniable.” In the same way, Darwinism is under the protection of a fascist Darwinist dictatorship right across the world.

The writer Ann Coulter sets this fact out as follows:

To avoid discussing the theory of evolution, Darwinists keep slipping irrelevant little facts into the debate like spare parts, leaving the impression that to deny evolution is to deny that the sun rises in the east.<sup>117</sup>

According to the Darwinist dictatorship, it is literally a crime to deny Darwinism. This is literally regarded as treason in countries that have adopted Darwinism as a superstitious religion and are trying to impose it. Nobody can explicitly state that the scientific evidence has discredited the theory, and that it no longer possesses any validity. The acceptance of Darwinism as an indisputable fact is literally obligatory. Professor of Mathematics Wolfgang Smith sets this out as an evolutionist himself:


We are told dogmatically that evolution is an established fact; but we are never told who has established it, and by what means. We are told, often enough, that the doctrine is founded upon evidence, and that indeed this evidence 'is henceforward above all verification, as well as being immune from any subsequent contradiction by experience;' but we are left entirely in the dark on the crucial question wherein, precisely, this evidence consists."<sup>118</sup>

Rejection of Darwinism is forestalled, because otherwise the adherents of the false religion that is Darwinism would have no means of keeping the theory propped up. Lies are told in loud voices, but to claim that these are lies is regarded as an offense. A theory which began as ostensibly scientific has been turned into a superstitious, dogmatic system of belief far removed from science that cannot be questioned or denied. The really noteworthy point here is that this was done in plain sight, and with no compunctions.

This has reached such a scale that even the Pope has had to stage conferences supporting Darwinism in his own home, The Vatican. Churches have had to apologize to Darwin. University professors have lost their jobs, and been excluded from their professions, because of publications questioning evolution. This imposition has been hugely successful in many countries, and evolution has become unquestionable, especially at senior levels.

Darwinists may resort to all means to ensure that Darwinism remains undeniable. They cover up evidence that refutes the theory of evolution, distort proofs of its invalidity, and deceive people into thinking that there is actually evidence for evolution, in the same way that Charles Doolittle Walcott kept the Cambrian fossils hidden away for 70 years.

Darwinists preconceptions and conditioning obliges them to defend the most illogical things and to express those sophistries from time to time. In the passage above an evolutionary biologist openly states that he will never doubt the veracity of evolution, even if it lacks any scientific and logical evidence. This once again goes to show that the theory of evolution is not espoused because of scientific data and findings. That being the case, there is an evident truth here that has to be accepted; evolution is a perverse, false and deceptive faith.



**It is Almighty Allah Who creates the earth, the sky and all that lies between. Allah's creation is magnificent and matchless.**

The mathematician and philosopher William A. Dembski sets out how Darwinists portray evolution as irrefutable thus:

"Doubting Darwinian orthodoxy is comparable to opposing the party line of a Stalinist regime. What would you do if you were in Stalin's Russia and wanted to argue that Lysenko was wrong? You might point to paradoxes and tensions in Lysenko's theory of genetics, but you could not say that Lysenko was fundamentally wrong or offer an alternative that clearly contradicted Lysenko. That's the situation we're in."<sup>119</sup>

The impossibility of denying Darwinism, under the pressure of the Darwinist dictatorship, has given some people the impression that it is an accurate and scientifically accepted theory, because the great majority of people mistakenly think that television and the press could never support a deception. They imagine that if it were a deception, someone would have by now exposed the fact. Yet every voice that is raised is silenced in one of various ways.

The dictatorial tradition that persisted with pressure and imposition during the 20<sup>th</sup> Century is still continuing today. Many scientists are still unable to stand up against the theory of evolution out of fear of losing their jobs, and families are subjected to pressure and threats in the event they and their children oppose the teaching of evolution. But no matter how much the theory of evolution is taught in schools, no matter how much scientists who speak out against evolution are silenced, no Darwinist pressure will be unable to stop the enlightenment taking place in the minds of those seeing that evolution is a lie. The Council of Europe has today made the teaching of evolution compulsory in schools. Non-evolutionary interpretations are banned from the curriculum in many states of the USA. The theory of evolution has become an inseparable part of the curriculum in China, Russia, almost all Asian countries, and even in some Muslim ones.

It needs to be made clear that what is being described and criticized here is the oppressive and prohibitory Darwinist mindset. Students could be told about evolution in schools as part of their history classes, but the scientific and rational thing to do is also for them to be taught the hundreds of pieces of evidence that prove the theory of evolution is false. Young people must not be forced to undergo an oppressive and biased education. The scientific facts should be set out before them in an impartial manner, and they must enjoy the right to see and learn the truth through their own intelligence and conscience.

It will also be of use to remember this; no matter how great a victory Darwinists might imagine this state of affairs to represent, it is in fact an expression of terror and panic. This is the first time that a body such as the European Council, with its Darwinist mindset, has been so alarmed at Darwinism's collapse. Works about Creation and discussing the invalidity of the theory of evolution have been published in Europe for years now, conferences have been held, and various other activities organized. But none has had such a significant impact as Harun Yahya's giant *Atlas of Creation* did when it reached Europe. The reason for that impact is that the scientific findings contained in the *Atlas* reveal the falsity of evolution in a way that cannot be disputed. All students in Europe now know that the theory of evolution has been demolished by more than 350 million fossils. From a single, easily accessible internet page they can see pictures of countless living fossils dating back millions of years, and thus conclude for themselves that living things never evolved. They have learned, through countless works and articles, about Darwinist frauds and that there is not a single piece of scientific evidence to corroborate the theory of evolution. They can easily access the true facts about Darwinism. The measures and approaches currently being adopted on behalf of Darwinism now have no effect at all. Darwinists are resorting to the same rotten tactics in the hope they will have the same impact they did one hundred years ago. But they forget that the true face of the trickery of the antichrist has finally been exposed. Almighty Allah reveals in one verse:

**Or do those who do bad actions imagine they can outstrip Us? How bad their judgment is! (Qur'an, 29:4)**

## **6. Darwinists try to silence the opponents of evolution**

One undeniable fact about Darwinism is that Darwinists always seek to silence every opponent of evolution that might have an influence on people. The superstitious religion that is Darwinism, which has settled across the world, and its adherents implement such an oppressive policy that even suggesting doubts about evolution leads to that person experiencing very grave difficulties. According to this fascist imposition, harboring doubts about evolution is a crime. Expressing them is a crime. Even suggesting that evolution is an unproven theory, or that it is *only* a theory, is also a crime. It is impossible for a scientist opposed to Darwinism to teach in the biology department of any university. It is impossible to find a picture of a fossil refuting evolution in any pro-Darwinist newspaper. It is a huge mistake for a high school biology teacher to express any doubts about the theory, even in error. In all likelihood, that teacher's employment will soon come to an end.

For example, in the documentary *Expelled: "No Intelligence Allowed,"* Professor of Biology Caroline Crocker describes how she was removed from George Mason University for questioning evolution:

My supervisor invited me to his office and he said I am going to have to discipline you for teaching creationism... At the end of the semester I lost my job.<sup>120</sup>

In a statement to the *Washington Post* on February 5<sup>th</sup>, 2006, Crocker said:

"I lost my job at George Mason University for teaching the problems with evolution. Lots of scientists question evolution, but they would lose their jobs if they spoke out."<sup>121</sup>

Caroline Crocker was blacklisted after losing her job, and has not been permitted to find employment anywhere by the Darwinist dictatorship.<sup>122</sup>

Dr. Richard von Steinberg lost his job at the National History Museum for questioning evolution and issuing statements opposed to it. Steinberg's statements on the subject read:

(When the subject of Creationism is brought up) People were so upset about it, they were so upset that you can see their, they had physical and emotional reaction.. The way that chair of the department has put it, is that I was viewed as an intellectual terrorist.<sup>123</sup>

Brain surgeon Michael Egnor describes the reaction his saying that people have no need to learn about the theory of evolution in order to be doctors as follows:



A lot of people on a lot of blogs called me unprintable names and a lot of very nasty comments. All the people suggested the people called the university I work at and suggested me to retire. I realized when I kind of what public with my doubts about the ... theory... criticism what has amazed me was the viciousness and sort of baseness.<sup>124</sup>

In the same way, Professor Robert Marks' research site at Baylor University was closed because he questioned Darwinism and the funding given to him for his research demanded back.

The documentary concerned considers the experiences of a great many anti-Darwinist scientists, whether they were willing to divulge their names or not, and these people described in their own words how their academic careers were totally ended because of their rejection of Darwinism.

The journalist Larry Witham summarizes the essence of Darwinism and the methods employed by the Darwinist dictatorship:

Once you're thick in Science, you can question the paradigm. But if you want to get grants, if you want to be elected to high positions, if you want to get awards as a promoter of public education of Science, you can't question the paradigm.

I interviewed dozens and dozens of scientists and, when they're amongst each other or talking to a journalist who they trust, they'll speak about 'It's incredibly complex' or 'Molecular Biology is in a crisis', but, publicly, they can't say that.<sup>125</sup>

Pamela Winnick, another journalist, describes how her career was put to an end after writing a piece on the subject of Creation and says:

If you give any credence to it [Creationism] -- whatsoever -- which means 'just writing about it', you are just finished as a journalist.<sup>126</sup>

When the philosopher Stephen Meyer published his opinion that the information in DNA cannot be explained in terms of chance and that it is rather the work of a superior intelligence, Darwinists attempted to wreck the career of the journal's editor.<sup>127</sup> The American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) brought legal charges because of stickers carrying warnings about evaluating evolution "with an open mind in a careful and critical manner" placed on text books in the town of Cobb in the U.S. state of Georgia. Families representing the organization brought charges against schools simply for suggesting teaching on the subject of evolution and Creation. A small group in Dover, Pennsylvania, supported by the ACLU and Americans United for Separation of Church and State, filed a legal suit in order to prevent the discussion of Creation in ninth year biology classes. The judge ruled in their favor, and the school has been told to pay compensation to the plaintiff. That sum is likely to EXCEED ONE MILLION DOLLARS.<sup>128</sup>

The writer Ann Coulter offers this analysis;

After Dover, no school district will dare breathe a word about "creationism", unless they want to risk being bankrupted by ACLU lawsuits. The Darwinists have saved the secular sanctity of their temples: the public schools. They didn't win on science, persuasion, or the evidence. They won the way liberals always win: by finding a court to hand them everything they want on a silver platter.

This isn't science...<sup>129</sup>

These repressive methods employed by Darwinist have a high deterrent effect on some people. Faced with a \$1 million fine, a school will be hugely intimidated. A teacher who refuses to back down risks losing his or her job. As William A. Dembski says, this system resembles a dictatorial regime. Nobody can even open his mouth to breathe a word against it.

As a scientist, the evolutionist and professor of physics H. S. Lipson says that science points to Creation, and describes how hard it is to sign up to that fact because of the pressure from the Darwinist dictatorship:



If living matter is not, then, caused by the interplay of atoms, natural forces, and radiation, how has it come into being? . . . I think, however, that we must go further than this and admit that the only acceptable explanation is Creation. I know that this is anathema to physicists, as indeed it is to me, but we must not reject a theory that we do not like if the experimental evidence supports it.<sup>130</sup>

As Lipson says, many scientists, academics and teachers frequently face the threat of Darwinist excommunication. When the principal of a high school in Detroit wished to place various books critical of Darwinism in the library in 1999, the NCSE fiercely opposed the move, using all kinds of intimidation.<sup>131</sup>

Jonathan Wells describes this policy of intimidation thus:

The NCSE tells school boards that “evolution isn’t scientifically controversial,” so “arguments against evolution” are “code words for attempt to bring non-scientific, religious views into the science curriculum.” Since U.S. courts have declared it unconstitutional to teach religion in public schools, this amounts to a warning that the school board is contemplating something illegal. If the warning doesn’t work, the NCSE calls on the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) for backup, and the ACLU sends a letter to the school board threatening an expensive lawsuit. Since every school district in the country is already struggling to make ends meet, this bullying by the NCSE and ACLU has been quite successful in blocking overt criticism of Darwinian evolution in public school classrooms.<sup>132</sup>

Following moves aimed at putting an end to the teaching of evolutionary biology in the state of Kansas, where there has been a huge campaign for Creation to be taught in schools, the education system in the state came in for widespread intimidation and pressure. In a piece sent to *Science* magazine, Herbert Lin, president of the National Research Council, announced that American colleges and universities should not regard the biology classes taught in Kansas schools as academic. The following month, *Scientific American* magazine editor John Rennie asked university admission committees to tell the Kansas schools administration that they would “examine the qualifications of students applying to them from the state of Kansas with the greatest care” and asked them to issue “an open letter to families in Kansas declaring that this bad decision would have severe consequences for their children’s futures.”<sup>133</sup> The meaning of the threat was clear. It is a crime to oppose the theory of evolution, and those committing such an offense must be annihilated at once.

In one recent case, a researcher from the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institute by the name of Nathaniel Abraham announced that he had lost his job for denying the theory of evolution. A letter written to Abraham in 2004 by a Woods Hole scientist said he had been removed from his post because Abraham said he did not accept the “evolutionary aspects” of the National Institutes of Health grant,

even though the project clearly required scientists to use the principles of evolution in their analyses and writing.<sup>134</sup> In other words, a scientist was officially excommunicated for denying the theory of evolution. The fact that the subject achieved prominence as the result of a suit brought by Nathaniel Abraham in 2007 definitely stems from people finding the courage to stand up to the Darwinist dictatorship.

Phillip Johnson relates the story that in 1981, the British Museum of Natural History celebrated its centennial with an exhibition on Darwin's theory of evolution.

A sign at the entrance read: "Have you ever wondered why there are so many different kinds of living things? One idea is that all the living things we see today have evolved from a distant ancestor by a process of gradual change. How could evolution have occurred? How could one species change into another? The exhibition in this hall looks at one possible explanation – the explanation of Charles Darwin."

An adjacent sign read: "Another view is that God created all living things perfect and unchanging." An accompanying brochure admitted, "the concept of evolution by natural selection is not, strictly speaking, scientific."

The response from the scientific community was outrage. An editorial in the journal, *Nature*, asked rhetorically: "Can it be that the managers of the museum, which is the nearest thing to a citadel of Darwinism, have lost their nerve, not to mention their good sense? ... Nobody disputes that, in the public presentation of science, it is proper whenever appropriate to say that disputed matters are in doubt. But is the theory of evolution still an open question among serious biologists? And if not, what purpose, except general confusion, can be served by these weasel words?"<sup>135</sup>

That is the technique of the Darwinist dictatorship. Words written against the theory of evolution are heralded as "aggression," and the appropriate measures are immediately taken under the influence of Darwinist scientist and globally respected journals. Under pressure from the Darwinist dictatorship all anti-Darwinist statements are hurriedly silenced.

This despotism of course serves one single purpose. It is essential for Darwinists to portray the theory of evolution as a proven one and thus spread it across the world. They imagine that they can do this by keeping the fact of Creation from people, by never mentioning the fact that Allah created all things and by indoctrinating people with evolution alone. But there is another fact that Darwinists have never considered. There is no need for people to be educated in order to see and realize that living things are created. Anyone who uses his reason just a little can clearly see that there is something extraordinary, a perfection, intelligence, consciousness, artistry and glory in life. And he will also conclude that all these could never come into being as the result of unconscious, unthinking and unaware coincidences bereft of any ability to think, reason, reflect, make judgment or distinguish between right and wrong. It is not hard for someone who thinks for such a few seconds to realize all this. Allah reveals in verses that the miracles He creates are obvious to people who think:


**In the heavens and earth there are certainly Signs for the believers. And in your creation and all the creatures He has spread about there are Signs for people with certainty. And in the alternation of night and day and the provision Allah sends down from the sky, bringing the earth to life by it after it has died, and the varying direction of the winds, there are Signs for people who use their intellect. (Qur'an, 45:3-5)**

Darwinists have actually begun to realize that the measures they have taken are all in vain. The conditioning they used to maintain with oppressive methods and false evidence will have no effect on the more aware people around today.

Jonathan Wells says that an increasing number of scientists have begun doubting Darwinism and have set about making their voices heard:

The truth is that a surprising number of biologists quietly doubt or reject some of the grander claims of Darwinian evolution. But – at least in America – they must keep their mouths shut or risk condemnation, marginalization, and eventual expulsion from the scientific community. This happens infrequently, but often enough to remind everyone that the risk is real. Even so, there is a growing underground of biologists

**Allah created the heavens and the earth with truth. There is certainly a Sign in that for the believers.  
(Qur'an, 29:44)**



who are disenchanted with the Darwinists' censorship of opposing viewpoints. When isolated dissidents begin to realize how many of their colleagues feel the same way, more and more of them will begin to speak out.<sup>136</sup>

## 7. Darwinists use science and put on a scientific show

Science is Darwinists' most important disguise. Darwinism first emerged under the guise of "science." Darwinists also appeared saying, "We are scientists." Every reaction against Darwinism has been misrepresented as "an attack on science." All forms of doubt regarding the theory of evolution are depicted as a kind of "backwardness or assault on science." Darwinists have misrepresented all kinds of false evidence as if it were a scientific finding. To put it another way, Darwinism has misused science for the last 150 years.

So is Darwinism really science?

Darwinism is a deception. There is nothing scientific about it. Darwinism is simply a false endeavor aimed at getting people to believe a lie. Science is Darwinism's last refuge. Darwinism makes itself immune by the use of science. It defends frauds that it calls science. It describes Darwinists, who produce false evidence and tell fairy tales, as scientists. But it accuses the people who realize that Darwinism is a deception, and strive against it, of a betrayal of science. Science is Darwinism's greatest weapon. It has deceived countless people to date by wearing a scientific mask. And it is still using science to perpetuate that deception. That is why Darwinist scientific journals, Darwinist documentary channels and Darwinist scientists use it.

The British paleontologist and evolutionist Derek V. Ager says this on the subject:


We all know that many apparent evolutionary bursts are nothing more than brainstorms on the part of particular paleontologists. One splitter in a library can do far more than millions of years of genetic mutation...<sup>137</sup>

This is so very true. Evolutionist myths such as the supposed primordial Earth, the living species that emerged through mutations and natural selection, the mythical common ancestors and the strange-looking creatures that had not yet completed their development that appear in school text books and in newspapers, journals and on television are all the product of Darwinist scientists' own imaginations. They have never arrived at any assumed end over the years by way of natural selection. As Derek Ager says, genetic mutations also failed to produce that result. But they still imagine that to deny these scientific facts and continue to propagate the same false claims will increase the likelihood of the deception that is Darwinism spreading across the world. That is why Darwinists use scientific words, in order to make the Darwinist deception look more scientific. There are no scientific experiments or evidence that have been proven and corroborate evolution. All we have are frequently used scientific terms and formulae. One can often encounter such interesting terminology or chemical formulae in books by any Darwinist author. When someone ignorant of the subject looks at such books, he may really imagine that it contains a scientist's scientific knowledge. But appearances are deceptive. The only thing described in such a book is the myth that living things emerged and assumed their present forms as the result of blind chance. No Darwinist author has anything new to say, nor any scientific evidence to present. The chemical terminology and formulae are merely in order to complete the Darwinist deception and, in their eyes, give it a realistic air.

The evolutionary paleontologist S. M. Stanley provides an example of Darwinist methods of misusing science:

Evolution happens rapidly in small, localized populations, so we're not likely to see it in the fossil record.<sup>138</sup>

**This is Allah's creation.  
Show me then what those besides  
Him have created! The wrongdoers  
are clearly misguided.  
(Qur'an, 31:11)**



These words are misleading. The extract refers to evolution taking place in local populations, but there is no evidence. It is even admitted that no evidence will be found, but even this is given a scientific gloss. The fact of the matter is this; no evolution can be seen in the fossil record, because no evolution ever happened.

National Center of Science Education (NCSE) director Eugene C. Scott made the following statement on the subject:

Many high school (and even, unfortunately, some college) textbooks describe theories as tested hypotheses, as if a hypothesis that is confirmed is somehow promoted to a theory, and a really, really good theory gets crowned as law. Unfortunately, this is not how scientists use these terms, but most people are not scientists and scientists have not done a very good job of communicating the meanings of these terms to students and the general public.<sup>139</sup>

Under these conditions, someone whose experience is limited to what is shown and told to him may well form the impression that Darwinism is valid, if he has no power to think and reflect in an unprejudiced manner because with its conditioning technique, Darwinism somehow responds to the reader's expectations. It offers a simplistic account of life, in its own foolish eyes, and says this is "scientific." It says, "There is no need to question it, as it is true and proven." "Scientists confirm it," it says. "This is the only scientific explanation for life, and no other accounts are scientific," it says. "The whole world agrees," it says. And through these lies, one of the worst deceptions in history has been built up. And that is all it takes to convince someone who knows nothing about Darwinism, or what a gross deception it is, and whose entire experience is limited to these accounts.

The fact is however, that such people are misled at every stage of this conditioning. Darwinists kept the more than 350 million fossils that definitely refute Darwinism and prove the fact of Creation carefully hidden away; the fact that it is mathematically impossible for a single protein, the building blocks of life, to form by chance was carefully kept hidden away. So it has also been impossible to make out the scale of the deception. What people who have been deceived in this way do not know is this: Darwinism is neither science, nor scientific. Darwinism has been questioned, and it has been proved that it is untrue and unsupported by any scientific evidence. The scientists who espouse Darwinism are people who are dogmatically and fanatically devoted to this superstitious faith. The only explanation that science provides for life is Creation. All scientific findings show that living things were created in a single moment in all their perfect states. It is again this false conditioning and indoctrination that lies behind the manner in which Darwinism enjoys acceptance across the world. Therefore, the subjects that convince such people are no more than sleight of hand. Even scientists may sometimes be taken in by this deception. The British paleontologist and evolutionist Colin Patterson makes an interesting confession on this subject:

Then I woke up and realized that all my life I had been duped into taking evolution as revealed truth in some way. I feel that the effects of hypotheses of common ancestry in systematics has not been merely boring, not just a lack of knowledge, I think it has been positively anti-knowledge"<sup>140</sup>

Brian Leith, a documentary film producer, gives another example of gradual awareness of the subject:

In the past ten years there has emerged a new breed of biologists who are considered scientifically respectable, but who have their doubts about Darwinism.<sup>141</sup>

Another important piece of evidence confirming the accuracy of the American economist Jeremy Rifkin's words that "Evolutionary theory has been enshrined as the center-piece of our educational system, and elaborate walls have been erected around it to protect it from unnecessary abuse."<sup>142</sup> is the

way that they turn a blind eye to these frauds by Darwinist scientists and are not even embarrassed or offended when the true facts emerge. The best-known scientists in the world deliberately maintain this deception in order to be able to mislead people.

Jonathan Wells cites an example:

What about Stephen Jay Gould, a historian of science who has known for decades about Haeckel's faked embryo drawings? All that time, students passing through Gould's classes were learning biology from textbooks that probably used Haeckel's embryos as evidence for evolution. Yet Gould did nothing to correct the situation until another biologist complained about it in 1999. Even then, Gould blamed textbook-writers for the mistake, and dismissed the whistle-blower (a Lehigh University biochemist) [Michael J. Behe] as a creationist. Who bears the greatest responsibility here- textbook-writers who mindlessly recycle faked drawings, people who complain about them, or the world-famous expert who watches smugly from the sidelines while his colleagues unwittingly become accessories to what he himself calls the 'academic equivalent of murder'?

The revelation that the peppered moth story is flawed came only recently compared to the truth about Haeckel's embryos, so perhaps some textbook-writers can be excused for continuing to use it. Yet every biologist who works on peppered moths has known for over a decade that moths don't rest on tree trunks and the textbook photographs have been staged. Many biology textbooks carry photographs of light and dark peppered moths on tree trunks to illustrate this famous story. Yet biologists have known for over a decade that the story has problems.<sup>143</sup>

The way that Darwinists misappropriate science and, furthermore, give the impression they are maintaining and preserving it, is in and of itself a huge deception. In fact, contrary to what it claims, Darwinism acts in complete violation of science. It tries to cover up the scientific evidence. It makes claims that contradict the results of laboratory experiments. Even though the fossil record proves that transitional forms never existed, they still propagate the lie that living things evolved in such a way as to leave countless intermediate forms behind them. Scientific fraud is a concept born with Darwinism. Darwinists misuse science museums and scientific publications and deliberately present frauds to people. They use scientific books and journals to spread the myth of evolutionary history, something that never happened. They draw a picture of a life form that never existed and try to give the impression that "this is our past." This religion, diametrically opposed to science, tells one of the worst lies ever under a scientific mask.

The reason why Darwinists support such a terrible lie is without doubt their blind devotion to the way of the antichrist. The system of the antichrist is a perverse one that leads people to untruth.

## **8. Darwinists misuse the written and visual media**

The written and visual media are the most important tools of the conditioning that works on so many people. In the same way that they believe everything that scientists tell them, some people also attach the same credence to authors in scientific journals and reports on TV. Any pro-Darwinist piece in any well-known Darwinist journal is sufficient evidence for the majority of readers. People consider that a scientific journal that published the false evidence of Darwinism must necessarily be telling the truth. The majority of people are unaware that the journal in question is one of the devoted adherents of the false religion that is Darwinism. They are also unaware that when the false religion that is Darwinism is espoused, there can be no reason, logic, truth or scientific method.

Under the influence of the Darwinist dictatorship, many scientific journals today are pro-Darwinist. It is unacceptable for any publication opposed to Darwinism to appear and enjoy general acceptance. That is why the majority of readers or viewers immediately sign up to the reports that Darwinists issue in the publications they support.

But this is a grave error.

Darwinists' sole aim is propaganda. They act out of the idea that the majority of readers will harbor no doubts about a deceptive report regarding evolution in *New Scientist* or *National Geographic*. That is also the position of people who never even consider the possibility that a scientific journal such as *Nature* would play host to a lie and never properly think about what they learn. But that state of affairs creates such fertile ground in terms of Darwinist propaganda that they are even able to convince people



Deceptive publications and broadcasts are no longer enough to prop Darwinism up. The scientific evidence had definitely discredited this false theory.




of the veracity of such an unbelievably ludicrous idea as the Darwinist myth of “the first cell appearing spontaneously in muddy water.” All it takes is for this story to appear in such a pro-Darwinist publication as *Nature*, written by a scientist and with plenty of complicated terminology.

A person or body possessed of a materialist mindset may do anything in order to keep materialism propped up. That is what pro-materialist publications do. They will do anything to keep Darwinism on the agenda, and blatantly use science as a mask for their activities. *Nature* makes its materialist mindset crystal clear:

Even if all the data point to an intelligent designer, such a hypothesis is excluded from science because it is not naturalistic.<sup>144</sup>

As we have seen, materialists have conditioned themselves to reject it, even if all the concrete scientific evidence confirms Creation. It is impossible to speak of anything scientific here. Materialists’ devotion to Darwinism is dogmatic, not scientific.



Darwinists’ fictitious and fanciful diagrams are simply a sign of their despair. Allah's creative artistry is clear for all to see, with all its proofs and magnificence.



ic. Darwinism is still defended despite having been scientifically discredited. Meanwhile, these people ignorantly deny Creation, even though it is corroborated by countless pieces of scientific evidence. Because according to materialism, no account outside matter is acceptable. That is why these journals that appear to be scientific so insistently defend an idea such as evolution that is of no scientific worth whatsoever. For these publications, evidence showing the fact that living things were created is unacceptable at any price. That is why Darwinists have no compunctions about depicting a deception they have imposed on the whole world through false methods as true and valid instead.

Indeed, when one looks at the attitude toward Allah of the various scientific centers that support these publications, the position becomes perfectly clear. The result of a recent investigation in *Nature* magazine concerning the views about religion of members of the U.S. National Academy of Science (NAS) was this: according to responses from 517 NAS members from departments of biology and physics, 72.2% are atheists, 20.8% are agnostics, while only 7.0% believe in Allah.<sup>145</sup> The following admission by the neurobiologist and evolutionist Robert Provine makes this perfectly clear: "Very few truly religious biologists remain. Most are atheists and many have been driven there by their understanding of the evolutionary process and other sciences."<sup>146</sup> In the light of these facts, it is not hard to see why any attempt to show the truth of the fact of Creation is met with intense rage by atheist scientists. And that is the reason why Darwinists make such use of the written and visual media.

Henry M. Morris gives the following account of the false conditioning carried out under Darwinism:

The common belief today is that Charles Darwin discovered the law of evolution; as a result he is widely acclaimed as one of the greatest scientists of all time. The fact is...that [Darwin] really only served as the catalyst for a revival of ancient paganism, coming at just the right time in history to bring to fruition a revolt against God [Surely God is beyond that] for which many in Western Europe had been preparing for over a century".<sup>147</sup>

The misconceptions that "scientists don't lie" and "scientific journals will never lie" have deceived people for years. The distorted system of faith that such people have constructed among themselves has led Darwinists to take advantage of, and misuse, science. But this is an absolute certainty: A materialist scientist **will happily deceive people** if the facts do not serve his own interests and do not corroborate materialism. The great majority of scientists in the world today are blatantly misleading people by espousing Darwinism. They tell tall tales that even children would never believe, even though people know they are scientifically impossible. This state of affairs is a system currently in operation and applied by all the world's Darwinist scientists. To put it another way, even though a Darwinist scientist spends his whole life in close contact with science, he can still comfortably deceive people in the name of science and devote his whole life to a lie.

Francis Crick, the professor of physics and biology who won the Nobel prize for his discovery of DNA, who spent his whole life espousing evolution and who attracted strong criticism for saying that "human beings are collections of neurons" in 2004, and who died denying the existence of the soul, is the best example of this. Despite, as an atheist physicist, molecular biologist and neurobiologist, seeing countless proofs of Allah's sublime creative artistry and even discovering these in person, he still strove throughout the course of his life to hide the fact that these proofs are all miraculous in nature and indicate a very special creation. For the sake of denying the existence of Allah (surely Allah is beyond that) he went so far as to claim that life had been brought to Earth by space creatures.<sup>148</sup> The conclusion to which a naturalist, Darwinist perspective leads a man of science is a humiliating illogicality.

Another example of this is the Darwinist and Harvard University paleontologist Stephen Jay Gould, who spent his whole life as an atheist and naturalist, despite personally witnessing and examining all the proofs of creation displayed by paleontology. Just like Crick, Gould worked in science his entire

adult life and had no qualms about espousing such an illogical and unproven theory as “punctuated evolution” throughout his life, simple in order to be able to deny the existence of Allah (surely Allah is beyond that), at least in his own foolish eyes.

That being the case, it is foolish and a preconception gravely lacking in awareness, to believe that everything Darwinist scientists say and do is true. Yet there is one fact that these people who spend their whole lives in a state of unbelief neither know nor grasp: Almighty Allah, the Lord of the Worlds, says in verses that He will neutralize all the endeavors of those who spend their lives seeking to spread the way of the antichrist:


**Say: ‘Shall I inform you of the greatest losers in their actions?’**

**People whose efforts in the life of this world are misguided while they suppose that they are doing good.’ (Qur’an, 18:103-104)**

The supporters of the antichrist imagine that their endeavors against belief in Allah will be of some worth in the life of this world and that they will emerge victorious from it. But this is a system that is rotten right from the very beginning. All systems opposed to the true faith are condemned, by Allah’s promise, to defeat. Almighty Allah is certainly powerful enough to do all things. In one verses He reveals:

**As for those who make Allah their friend, and His Messenger and those who have faith: it is the party of Allah who are victorious! (Qur’an, 5:56)**

One of the classic tactics that Darwinists employ in using science for their own ideology is a very certain way of speaking. In speaking of evolution as a theory whose validity has supposedly been established, and about which there is no need for any prolonged debate, they declare that Darwin was literally the most important scientist in the world. The fact is, however, that as many historians of science and authors have explicitly stated, Darwin did not discover anything new and made no new findings, and also launched no new theory based on reason and logic or else on observation. He set out on a marine voyage with a group of drunken sailors, cast aside many of the species he collected on that trip without ever examining them, and resuscitated the lie of evolution which he had learned from his grandfather and which had been around since ancient Egypt and Sumer. But Darwinists never mention that, of course. Indeed, they carefully conceal it and strive to depict Darwin as a great man of science in order to be able to deceive people. Alister McGrath, a molecular physicist and at the same time a professor of theology, describes the position thus:



**Darwin conducted no scientific research on his travels, merely spending time with drunken sailors.**

Robert Green Ingersoll (1833-99), the railroad lawyer who became one of America's most prominent nineteenth-century atheist writers, ... [wrote] in 1884 ...: *This century will be called Darwin's century ... His doctrine of evolution, his doctrine of the survival of the fittest, his doctrine of the origin of species, has removed in every thinking mind the last vestige of orthodox Christianity*"<sup>149</sup>

This state of affairs also applies to the journals in which articles by these scientists appear. Since only Darwinist propaganda appears in the world's best-known scientific journals, since only pieces by Darwinist scientists are allowed to appear in them, and since these scientists are ideologically obliged to maintain and espouse the lie of evolution, it will be equally irrational to believe that written pieces adorned with scientific terminology are necessarily true. Darwinists have for years made use of this superstitious belief system. But the time has now come for this to be eradicated and for the scientific facts to be accepted. Once the written and visual media, Darwinism's most important propaganda tool, can no longer deceive people, there will be no room for Darwinist propaganda anywhere else.

## 9. Darwinists seek to impose the false idea that opposition to evolution is a violation of logic

Aiming accusations at the other side is perhaps the best-known tactic of psychological warfare. In a struggle waged by imputing one's own flaws and deficiencies, or things one is accused of doing to the other side, the party that implements this tactic imagines that whoever wages this kind of propaganda more powerfully will win. In other words, if the side that is guilty and in the wrong makes good use of this propaganda, then it will appear to be in the right and the aggrieved party and thus, it imagines, will win the struggle.

This familiar tactic has been blatantly applied by Darwinists in recent times. Even though it is Darwinists who engage in fraud, espouse a deception, who are irrational and illogical and who deceive other people, they try to convince people that it is those who deny evolution who are espousing illogical ideas. Darwinists imagine that if they can make people believe this lie, if they can mislead people thoroughly and effectively enough, then they will achieve success. They think this devilish tactic will work out best for them and that it will cover up all the frauds they perpetrate. But they are forgetting one very important thing; superstition is never victorious. This is the law of Allah. The justice of our Almighty Lord Allah will definitely be done. Almighty Allah knows the truth and who shelters behind deception, and He will eliminate superstition and strengthen the. Darwinist tactics are tricks of satan and Allah, Who created the antichrist as well as all other living things, will confound all his schemes. Allah states in one verse that:


**That is your reward. Allah always confounds the schemes of the unbelievers. (Qur'an, 8:18)**

Over the years, when false tactics developed against the fact of creation seemed to be very effective, Darwinists have launched various false accusations and imputations against those who speak of Creation. In fact, Darwinists' false accusations of believers have been made throughout history and are things foretold as happening in the Qur'an. Almighty Allah, Lord of the Earth and Sky, describes the position of those who make false accusations in the words:

**When they are told, 'Have faith in the way that the people have faith,' they say, 'What! Are we to have faith in the way that fools have faith?' No indeed! They are the fools, but they do not know it. (Qur'an, 2:13)**

The reason why Darwinists make these accusations is no doubt because they are aware that creation is an absolute truth. The reason behind their foolish mockery is that they know their theories are not corroborated by any scientific findings or evidence, and they therefore try to dazzle people with verbiage instead. They imagine this can produce success for the way of the antichrist. Berkeley University Professor of Law Phillip E. Johnson says this about the tactic:

... the students would also need to learn about such things as the defects in the peppered moth story, the



fraud in the Haeckel embryo drawings, the mystery of Cambrian explosion and what the leading Darwinists really believe about the implications of Darwinism for religion. Before this education went very far, the authorities would have a mutiny on their hands. The Darwinists cannot change their tactics because any true education in evolution would cast the clear light of analysis on assumptions that cannot survive it.<sup>150</sup>

By any realistic definition naturalism is a religion, and an extremely dogmatic one. It rests on a basic conviction about ultimate reality that is held by a kind of faith, and it incorporates its own definitions of "knowledge" and "reason." It says that knowledge comes ultimately from our senses and that the more complex forms of knowledge come from scientific investigation. By naturalistic definition there can be no such thing as knowledge of the supernatural. Statements about God are either non-rational or irrational (if they purport to make objective factual claims). (Surely Allah is beyond that.)

But it is actually naturalism and materialism that are totally irrational. The followers of these perverse beliefs ascribe the power to create to chance, coincidence and unconscious phenomena (surely Allah is beyond that) and are devoid of any power of rational judgment. What the adherents of a perverse religion that ascribes divinity to chance refer to as "knowledge" is based on the idea that chance created everything out of nothing. So what we are talking about here consists of a perverse belief system with no room for reason and logic. For that reason, it will not be hard to see that it is impossible to refer to such concepts as reason or information when dealing with naturalism, materialism and Darwinism.

Darwinists are terrified of the widespread discussion of the fact of Creation and of people being convinced of it. They know that there are countless pieces of evidence corroborating the fact of creation, and that nobody seeing these will ever be taken in again by the deception that is evolution. On the other



hand, there exists not one piece of evidence to support Darwinism. That is why people who espouse this terrible deception foolishly criticize belief in creation. The University of New York professor of chemistry and evolutionist Robert Shapiro makes the irrational statement that he will support Darwinism to the bitter end, even if the results do not corroborate the theory of evolution:

Some future day may yet arrive when all reasonable chemical experiments run to discover a probable origin for life have failed unequivocally. Further, new geological evidence may indicate a sudden appearance of life on the earth. Finally, we may have explored the universe and found no trace of life, or process leading to life, elsewhere. In such case, some scientists might choose to turn to religion for an answer. Others, however, myself included, would attempt to sort out the surviving less probable scientific explanations in hope of selecting one that is still more likely than the remainder.<sup>151</sup>

These words are more than adequate to see the sinister system of the antichrist.

## 10. Darwinists try to use the similarities between monkeys and humans

We have already mentioned that Darwinism is based on a most simplistic logic and tends to account for life in a most superficial and facile way. As a result, one of Darwinists' most important propaganda tools are monkeys. Due to various aspects of their anatomical characteristics, monkeys really are created to be similar to be humans. These similarities are something that deceive most Darwinists, and thus lead them to mislead others.

In order to be able to make use of the similarity between humans and monkeys, Darwinists look at a monkey and emphasize various aspects of its behavior, such as use of tools or ability to learn. The aim is to supposedly legitimize the famous Darwinist deception that humans are descended from apes and cause people to form that impression. Once some people have been exposed to such conditioning, and go to the zoo or watch a documentary about monkeys, they develop a specific opinion along those lines. So influenced do they become by this Darwinist deception that they are easily able to believe that a living thing they see could easily turn into human being "if it grew a bit, shaved off its fur and ate better food." A few technical similarities laid the foundation for successful Darwinist conditioning. Programs about monkeys that have learned various primitive forms of behavior similar to those in human beings appear again and again on Darwinist TV stations and internet sites. Some viewers who see what a monkey can do when trained, and who have little knowledge of Darwinism, come to possess a completely erroneous perspective because of the Darwinist conditioning they are subjected to. Intelligent behavior exhibited by monkeys is shown over and over again


**Darwinists try to mislead people by using the similarities between human beings and apes. But what makes human beings human is that they have a soul.**

in order to strengthen the propaganda regarding these creatures being man's supposed earliest forebears.

Yet this is just another Darwinist deception.

It is true that monkeys do have some characteristics that resemble those in humans. But this does not alter the fact that humans and monkeys are two completely different entities. By Allah's leave, so long as there are monkeys in this world they will never be anything other than monkeys. No matter how much they are trained they will never turn into humans with characteristics such as the ability to think, perceive, interpret, foresee, behave intelligently, make judgments, act in a planned and conscious manner or speak. No matter how much they repeat their efforts, monkeys will never be able to design airplanes, build skyscrapers, write poems or study human beings in the laboratory. No matter how much training they receive, they will never be able to design a project, or produce a superior civilization through reflection and planning. This is because a monkey is an entity with the anatomical features specially bestowed on it by Allah, but bereft of the ability to speak and, most importantly, bereft of the human consciousness, of mind and soul. The fact it has a few abilities is definitely not proof of the claim that it is the ancestor of man.

In making these claims, Darwinists try to make forget the main difference between humans and monkeys. Man is an entity capable of saying "I am," who is aware of his own existence and why he is created, who is capable of reflecting on the reason for his existence and of making judgments. For that reason, man is a totally different entity to other life forms. Compared with this, anatomical similarities and abilities have only a minor differential capacity. A conscious entity, aware of its own existence and capable of saying "this is me," cannot be accounted for in any materialist terms. No materialist account can explain a supposed transition from a life form unconscious and unaware of its own existence in-



**Apes and monkeys can never produce a work of art or compose music, even if given millions of years to do so because these animals have no souls.**




to one with a soul and aware of its existence. Darwinists can produce as much false evidence for this unscientific account as they wish (and all the fossils so far produced have been fraud), but they will never be able to account for the human soul and consciousness. That is what Darwinists do not wish to speak of and that inflicts total despair on them as they speak of the co-called evolution of man and try to impose a whole series of false skulls as evidence for this.

In addition to all this, there are other living things that display far more intelligent characteristics than monkeys, whose abilities Darwinists imagine are the greatest form of evidence. Crows, bees, beavers and termites sometimes behave far more intelligently than monkeys, and behave in a way that requires far more ability. For that reason, this claim based on ability and skills is totally misleading.

First and foremost, Darwinists need to account for what makes human beings human; how they are affected by what they see and hear, how they think about these, use reason, possess feelings such as respect, love and loyalty, and possess a soul that enables them to make decisions and judgments. This mindset, that believes in the absolute existence of matter and that regards human consciousness as simply a collection of neurons, is totally speechless in the face of the existence of the soul. For a long time now, Darwinists have been working on the origin of consciousness and on imaginary evolution; or, to put it another way, they have been producing propaganda methods on the subject.

But since it is impossible for them to account for matter with a concept that has no material existence, or even to produce an alternative interpretation, they make no claims on that subject at all. Indeed, the origin of consciousness, the soul that makes human beings human, belongs to Allah. Allah has bestowed this as a blessing from Himself, and in this way has made humans different to other life forms. The Darwinist deception is speechless and baffled on this matter.

**Darwinists are unable to account for the existence of the soul, the true source of love, affection, compassion, friendship and loyalty.**



# AN INTELLIGENT CROW

Crows from the species *Corvus moneduloides* living on New Caledonia in the Pacific Islands are far superior to chimpanzees when it comes to making tools. Researchers investigating crows in their natural habitat on the island of New Caledonia in 2003 concluded that the knowledge of tools these animals employed revealed a “technological progress” handed down between generations and individuals. The final example of this miraculous behavior is the behavior of a crow called Betty studied in a laboratory in Oxford University.

Betty turned a metal rod into a tool by bending it, with no outside intervention. When her beak was unable to reach food at the bottom of a deep bowl, she found a metal rod for herself in the laboratory and bent the end, turning it into a hook. She was then easily able to extract the food. There is one very important point here that really amazed scientists; Betty was able to realize how the length and flexibility of a material she had never encountered before would be of use to her. She managed to bend that flexible material in a manner entirely appropriate to her needs. When scientists wishing to establish whether this achievement of Betty's was the work of coincidence tested the crow, she achieved the same success 9 times out of 10. The scientists stated that despite tiny Betty's brain she exhibited a level of intelligence far higher than that of chimpanzees. The BBC, which maintains its Darwinist prejudices, commented that “Betty has put our closest relatives to shame.”

Betty is just one of many birds capable of “intelligent” behavior. Much research into this is going on in the scientific world. (For details see, *The Miracle of Talking Birds* by Harun Yahya) These all reveal that evolutionist claims about chimpanzee behavior are largely for propaganda purposes. All comments based on the intelligence of chimpanzees, and claims of a family link between human beings and chimpanzees, are obviously false.



Allah reveals in the Qur'an that He creates man from His own Spirit:

**He Who has created all things in the best possible way. He commenced the creation of man from clay; then produced his seed from an extract of base fluid; then formed him and breathed His Spirit into him and gave you hearing, sight and hearts. What little thanks you show! (Qur'an, 32:7-9)**

## 11. Darwinists try to spread their visual conditioning and bewitchment

You have probably seen this in all Darwinist publications. For years, they attempted to portray Nebraska Man as the most important evidence for evolution. A familiar picture accompanies the articles written about this fictitious creature. An ape-man with a slight stoop, with his wife, habitat, the weapons he used for hunting etc. etc. So detailed is the picture that people have developed a sufficient mental image of this mythical entity. The Darwinist aim has been achieved for readers with little opportunity to do their own research and with little knowledge of the subject. Readers unaware of the Darwinist deception have easily been convinced of the existence of an ape-man living with his family in a primitive environment.

But this is not true.

The fictitious entity known as Nebraska Man was based on a single tooth. As we have already described in detail, the tooth in question belonged to a wild pig. In other words, a conviction formed by conditioning people with visual stimuli was produced on the basis of a molar tooth belonging to a wild pig. Images of the mythical family of Nebraska Man were then produced in some way from this pig tooth. To put it another way, enormous cheating went on, and people were blatantly lied to.

This method of conditioning is still going on. Pictures of fish starting to move from the sea onto dry land and whose fins are gradually turning into legs are total figments of the imagination. Darwinists use the same methods in order to make people believe in such a passage, which is devoid of any evidence. Placing a series of monkeys gradually becoming more and more erect and turning into humans alongside such reports in scientific journals or web sites carrying this deception makes it possible for the issue to be equated with evolution. Shown this report and accompanying visual indoctrination, any reader may perceive this as part of, or even evidence for evolution. Most of the time they do not even read the words in the reports, but these pictures reminiscent of evolution remain in their memories. The same people are subjected to the same conditioning from pictures of evolution placed alongside reports about cloning or the Human Genome Project, but which actually have nothing to do with it. The impression is given that any scientific progress in the Human Genome Project is actually scientific proof of evolution.

This fraudulent visual conditioning is a typical element of Darwinist propaganda. It is one of the most frequently employed psychological conditioning techniques. The fact remains, however, that elaborate reconstructions based on a fossil skull are just works of art that if nothing else, certainly reflect the imaginative powers of different artists. Just as in the example of Nebraska Man.

This had absolutely nothing to do with science. Not anything to do with evolution. All that is happening is fraudulent indoctrination rather than the production of any scientific evidence.

Jonathan Wells summarized this Darwinist fraud:

Roger Lewin recounts an occasion when paleoanthropologists Alan Walker, Michael Day, and Richard Leakey were studying the two sections of "skull 1470." According to Lewin, Walder said: "You could hold the [upper jaw] forward, and give it a long face, or you could tuck it in, making the face short" How you held it really depended on your preconceptions. It was very interesting watching what people did with it." Lewin reports that Leakey recalled the incident too: "Yes. If you held it one way, it looked like one thing; if you held it another, it looked like something else

Just recently, National Geographic magazine commissioned four artists to reconstruct a female figure from casts of seven fossil bones thought to be from the 1470 skull. One artist drew a creature whose forehead is missing and whose jaws look vaguely like those of a beaked dinosaur. The other artist drew a rather good-looking modern African-American woman with unusually long arms. A third drew a somewhat scrawny female with arms like a gorilla and a face like a Hollywood werewolf. And a fourth drew a figure covered with body hair and climbing a tree, with beady eyes that glare out from under a heavy gorilla-like brow.<sup>152</sup>

This remarkable set of drawings shows clearly how a single set of fossil bones can be reconstructed in a variety of ways. Someone looking for an intermediate form to plug into ape-to-human sequence could pick whichever drawing seems to fit best.

The reason why Darwinists can perpetrate such fraud so easily is that they can take fossils of extinct life forms, shape them as they wish, and give them whatever appear-

**Cloning has nothing to do with evolution at all. In cloning, DNA taken from one living thing created by Allah is transferred into another gene. In addition, this process is carried out in highly specialized laboratories equipped with the most advanced technology, in controlled conditions and under rigid observation.**




ance they so choose. Darwinists use these reconstructions they manufacture so intensively that a book about evolution many volumes in size could be filled with these false pictures alone. Indeed, these reconstructions may be the only materials in the possession of a museum of natural history. They show people these false pictures and models over many years and give the impressions that the subject really once existed. Of course, many people who visit such museums may not admit to the possibility that everything exhibited in a museum of natural history, with official backing and supervised by professors and scientists, is actually a lie and a fraud. They may be affected in this way by what they see and form the opinion that such a mythical life form, with a tiny head, a huge jaw, a stooped gait and a body covered in hair must indeed once have existed. Many people there will not know, and will never investigate, that the subjects of these false statues and pictures never lived at all, that they are completely fraudulent, that not a single fossil remain exists to corroborate them and that, on the contrary, the fossil record totally refutes them. The conditioning they are subjected to is so powerful, and the people supporting it so eminent, that even questioning its veracity may seem utterly illogical to them. But in this way, they are constantly deceived, and a mendacious environment is created.

When people go to museums of natural history, they are generally shown such false models or drawings rather than the fossils themselves. Darwinists cannot produce fossils, because all fossils belong to perfectly formed entities. All fossils show that life forms millions of years ago were perfectly and fully formed. Moreover, fossils also show that present-day life forms were around millions of years ago, and have never changed since. This is why fossils, which should be a paleontology museums' sole reference material, are left out of the equation. Since all fossils prove the fact of Creation, Darwinists kept all the 300 million-plus fossils that had been found hidden away. They never put them on show or displayed them for people.

Darwinists also use various scientific magazines as tools for visual conditioning. Since Darwinist journals cannot provide any scientific evidence, they concentrate on propaganda instead. As a requirement of this propaganda, they regard it as sufficient to use striking cover designs, the colors used on the cover and inside pages, the photographs chosen and the language employed in order to send the desired message to the reader. The spell concealed under this mask ensures that the person reading the magazine will be influenced by its visual appearance and the photos within it, and hugely impressed by the strange, almost mystical Latin terminology employed in it.

Every opportunity, from advertising to movies, from music clips to song lyrics, cartoons, books and newspaper and magazine articles are used to prevent this spell from being broken. The aim is for people to memorize certain words and clichéd sentences and be familiarized with certain images. Every moment of people's lives are filled with the fictitious transitional stages between monkeys and man, mendacious



images of transitional fossils, and fraudulent reconstructions of primitive humans. There is huge concentration on this in newspapers and magazines, great or small, in the form of a single word of much more comprehension. The aim is to prevent the effect of the Darwinist spell on people being broken.

The language deliberately developed against Creation, and what they foolishly imagine to be a mocking attitude, are also intended to complete the effect of this spell. The reason for all the jokes, articles, talk and cartoons about belief in Allah (surely Allah is beyond that) is to familiarize people with Darwinist indoctrination. This unpleasant spectacle, intended to eliminate any tendency in people towards belief in Allah and to lay the foundation for Darwinism in their minds, is part of the antichrist's stratagem.


But this spell has at last been broken. The supporters of the antichrist fail to understand the position in which they find themselves. There can be no question of people continuing to believe in the theory of evolution once they have been told, just one time, of all the evidence that disproves Darwinism. That is why, as in all their propaganda techniques, Darwinists resort to complicated verbiage, Latin terminology and visual conditioning. But all it takes is to tell the true facts just one time, using a very simple form of language, to reveal the evidence that demonstrates the invalidity of Darwinism. Even someone who has been exposed to a lifetime of Darwinist conditioning can never continue to believe in the lie that is Darwinism in the face of these irrefutable facts, because he now knows the truth. All it takes is for someone in a pitch dark room to see the Sun behind the drapes for just a second. No matter how dark the room he is in, it will no longer be possible to convince that person that it is nighttime outside. The current state of affairs is no different. The Darwinist conditioning imagined to be so successful over the years has now been eliminated and lost all its influence by the exposition of the facts, one time, and with full supporting scientific evidence.

Our Lord describes this position of the followers of the antichrist in a verse thus:

**Those are the people who have lost their own selves. What they invented has abandoned them. (Qur'an, 11:21)**

## **12. Darwinists condition people with the idea of "irresponsibility"**

The greatest aim of materialism throughout the course of history has been to turn people away from belief in the existence of a Creator and to indoctrinate them with the lie that there is nothing else but matter. The aim here is to condition people to the idea of irresponsibility and convince them they are nothing more than a highly evolved animal. Since Darwinism is an extension of materialist thinking, such conditioning draws people closer to Darwinism because feeling oneself to be irresponsible may be very attractive to people in whose hearts there is no fully established faith in Allah. With the idea of irresponsibility, people fall into the error that it will be easier for them to live by their earthly desires. Throughout their lives, they behave as if they were unaware of the responsibilities they have to fulfill toward Allah. They believe that they can turn away from responsibilities imposed by the Qur'an, such as worshiping Allah, living with the idea of the Hereafter and being virtuous and eschewing evils that belong to earthly passions, and they foolishly imagine that they can thus live at ease. But the fact is that this system, which they imagine to be a very easy one, is in fact a source of enormous trouble. Someone



**Darwinism is a highly dangerous pagan religion that seeks to give young minds the idea that anarchy, conflict, slaughter, murder, ruthlessness and selfishness are justified.**

who thinks he can be comfortable by rejecting moral virtues lives in a constantly troubled state, the spiritual emptiness resulting from not living virtuously. Someone who thinks that by being selfish they can be strong, and that behaving unjustly can benefit him, will constantly suffer the pains of these unpleasant misconceptions. The moral vice he exhibits rebounds against him. The physical and psychological damage inflicted by living in an environment where nobody loves anybody else, where nobody makes sacrifices for anyone else, where nobody protects or watches over anybody else and where there is no justice, love or compassion wears his life away. In short, the Darwinist inculcation of irresponsibility is not, as some people imagine, something that makes their lives easier, but rather and on the contrary makes their lives ugly and unpleasant and inflicts material and spiritual suffering. This can be better realized when one considers the social collapse going on in many Western countries.

Believing in Darwinism may seem rather more acceptable for some people who prefer not to think about all this. Because some people may have a predisposition to take things easy, imagining this will “simplify” their lives. Most people see nothing wrong in thinking of themselves as irresponsible beings descended from animals. And that is what Darwinism does, literally equating human beings with flies or insects.

The evolutionist and paleontologist Stephen Jay Gould summarizes this way of thinking:

Humans represent just one tiny, largely, fortuitous, and late- arising twig on the enormously arborescent bush of life.<sup>153</sup>

The bus posters supported by the atheist Richard Dawkins in various countries are a clear and explicit instance of the ugly propaganda in question. The posters backed by Dawkins indoctrinate people with atheism and thus foolishly advise people to “enjoy life free of any responsibilities.” This call may seem quite realistic to someone who does not think too deeply, and he will quickly receive the Darwinist conditioning in question. Everything is set out so simplistically that such a person will be unaware of the error he has fallen into and how he has been deceived.

The fact is that these posters constitute a great fraud and a grave error. Even if one does not believe, one still sees the proofs of Allah's sublime existence all the time and one still lives the destiny appointed by Allah, whether one wishes to or not. The proofs of faith are everywhere – for people who can truly see and think. People can only achieve happiness and enjoy what they experience through faith and love of Allah. Of course Allah, Who creates the delights of this world as a blessing, will bestow most of the beauty and joy of these blessings on those who love Him most and who are closest to Him. Since unbelievers forget that it is Allah Who bestows blessings and pleasures, they imagine they can attain happiness by living irresponsibly and without restraint. But the fact is that living heedless of Allah, in an unrestrained and irresponsible manner, always inflicts stress, troubles and sorrows on them. Blessings have always turned into afflictions and pleasures to be enjoyed have always ended in disappointment. Since these people are unable to reflect on the beauty of being close to, and believing in, Allah and since they fail to comprehend that Allah bestows all blessings, they imagine they can succeed by the use of such false propaganda techniques. But the fact is that they cannot live pleasant and peaceful lives, and neither can they influence the people around them. (The bus posters espoused by Dawkins attracted a great deal of criticism in many countries. Bus drivers in European countries such as Finland boycotted them because of the extreme reactions they attracted, bus companies decided not to use the posters, and they were also torn up by members of the public.) As a result, the posters in question were banned in many places.<sup>154</sup>)


Another place where this conditioning is carried out on an intensive basis is schools. *Biology*, a university text book, teaches students that in learning about the "nature of life" they must always bear in mind that "Evolution is random and not designed".<sup>155</sup> Students reading the university text book *Life: The Science of Biology* encounter the following passage: "Darwinian world view "means accepting not only the processes of evolution, but also the view that... evolutionary change is not directed toward a final goal or state."<sup>156</sup> There can be no doubt that an education system that teaches the lie that man came into being by chance, as the result of blind and unconscious coincidences means regarding the poisoning of young minds by ideas that encourage anarchy, conflict, slaughter and selfishness as legitimate, and people receiving such indoctrination can be directed as desired. For example, the error taught to students in Douglas Futuyma's text book *Evolutionary Biology* is this:

The entire tradition of philosophical explanation by the purpose of things...was made completely superfluous by Darwin's theory of natural selection... The profound, and deeply unsettling, implication of this purely mechanical, material explanation for the existence and characteristics of diverse organisms is that we need not invoke, nor can we find any evidence for, any design, goal, or purpose anywhere in the world, except for human behavior

Futuyma's unscientific statement continues:

**Every self will taste death. There's no escape from this reality.**





It was Darwin's theory of evolution, followed by Marx's materialistic (even if inadequate or wrong) theory of history and society and Freud's attribution of human behavior to influences over which we have little control, that provided a crucial plank to the platform of mechanism and materialism" that has since been "the stage of most Western thought".<sup>157</sup>

But this is all a deception.

Human beings are not freed from their responsibilities by regarding everything as simple and unconscious. And it is impossible for a feeling of avoiding one's responsibilities to make people happy. Once people cast off this feeling, they will not suddenly enjoy earthly pleasures or at once be freed from all their worries. There is a very important truth that atheists and Darwinists either do not know, or refuse to admit: **Allah creates all things. It is also Allah Who creates blessings and pleasures in this world. And it is therefore Allah Who bestows the sensation of enjoying earthly pleasures and a pleasant life.**

If Allah so wishes He can make a person suffer the worst unhappiness and torment in the midst of all blessings and in great plenty and abundance. No matter how much a person may strive to have unlimited enjoyment of all delights, it is still Allah Who bestows pleasure and happiness on him. One of atheists' and Darwinists' gravest errors is that they ignore this reality.

Everyone who thinks he has no responsibilities is also searching for love, comfort and security, just like everyone else. But he will possess none of these in a society made up of individuals like himself. Throughout his life, the irresponsible person tries to forget that he will one day die. But he is in fact constantly in the shadow of death. He sees the deaths of people around him and constantly witnesses sickness and accidents. Even the death of an insect will remind him of the death he is trying to get away from. No matter how much he tries to deny the fact of death, the fear of it will eventually enfold his entire body. He grieves for people around him who die and, according to his own beliefs, just cease to exist. He begins to find it impossible to deny that his own body cannot withstand the ravages of time, and that death is drawing closer all the time. Far from comforting him, denying the fact of death will bring with it fear, stress and worry.



Living irresponsibly in fact opens the door to all troubles and difficulties. A person who fails to properly appreciate that everything is under Allah's control will be terrified of the future. A person who fails to appreciate that all profit and loss lies with Allah will greedily pursue his own profit throughout his life, thus ruining almost that entire life. Someone who does not know that Allah has created a matchless life in the Hereafter in which a person can enjoy all delights will expect to see true love, friendship and loyalty in the system in which he believes. But the fact is he will only possess true love in this world if Allah so ordains. That expectation will never be met as long as he does not live for Allah. He will realize that his life is passing swiftly by without his attaining true love and devotion. But there can be no going back. He waits in terror for what lies in the future.

In his own eyes, he only has a few decades in this world. Since he has no belief in the Hereafter, he thinks he will "cease to exist" in a few decades' time. How can spending a few decades in this world believing that one will then cease to exist impart any happiness, pleasure or peace? It cannot, of course. That is why no atheists enjoy life in the way they claim to, and spend their time worrying about and fearing what the future will bring.

When they come to the end of their lives, such people will realize they have spent it all in empty and pointless pursuits and expectations. That is what irresponsibility brings with it. If someone has no hope of the Hereafter and wants to live unfettered and devoid of any values, then sooner or later he must face the consequences of that. Suicide, fighting, murder, slaughter, theft, rape and corruption are all consequences of an irresponsible life.

Yet as Allah reveals in the verse, "**Does man reckon he will be left to go on unchecked?**" (Qur'an, 75:36), human beings are not created without responsibilities. It is only fear and love of Allah that can bestow peace and that leads a person to live a true and pleasant life with no fear and troubles. Someone who fears Allah knows he will have to account for himself to Him and cannot live in violation of his conscience. Nobody who fears Allah can harm anyone else, display poor moral values, or regard human beings as random entities. Someone who trusts Allah knows that it is Allah Who creates him, preserves him and gives him his daily bread, health and strength and knows he can ask these things from Him alone. Someone who fears Allah knows that he is subject to an auspicious destiny created by Allah, that he is solely tested in this world, and that his real life will be the one appointed for him by Allah in the Hereafter. For that reason, they constantly strive in this world. They know that are responsible to Allah, that they must render account to Him, and that Allah does not create them to be unfettered and irresponsible.

For them, blessings are means for rejoicing, and it is only believers who can properly appreciate and enjoy blessings. People imagine that they will achieve satisfaction when they enjoy unlimited pleasures. But this lack of restraint leads people to become tired of, and even disgusted with, blessings. The delights they expected to enjoy suddenly turn into afflictions and burdens. But the state of mind that loves blessings from Allah and enjoys them because Allah created them is very different. Someone who lives in that way enjoys extraordinary delight. And by Allah's leave, the reward for living in that awareness is a pleasant life in this world and the Hereafter. Allah reveals in verses that:

**Yes, the friends of Allah will feel no fear and will know no sorrow: those who believe and show piety, there is good news for them in the life of this world and in the Hereafter. There is no changing the words of Allah. That is the great victory! (Qur'an, 10:62-64)**


### 13. Darwinists inculcate the lie that “Darwinism does not conflict with belief in Allah”

Materialism represents the basis of Darwinism. According to the error of materialism, matter is eternal and there is no power greater than matter. To put it another way, materialism denies the existence of a sublime Creator above matter who rules the entire universe and keeps it under His constant control. (Surely Allah is beyond that.) The sole reason for the endeavor to prop up Darwinism, put forth with the assumption that matter is the only absolute reality, and for all the deceptions and frauds perpetrated for that purpose, is to try to prevent acceptance of the fact that an almighty and sublime Creator brought all things into being. Darwinists have pursued that objective for the last 150 years and struggle hard to retain it. And that is the only reason for the adoption of Darwinism as a false religion.

But this fact is generally kept concealed, because for people to know it would prevent large masses of people from feeling any sympathy for Darwinism. In particular, it will have a negative impact on people who believe in and respect Allah. The fact that Darwinism is a theory opposed to belief in Allah attracted criticism from religious circles in Darwin’s time, and the theory was not easily adopted by people of the day. It began being adopted as a result of Darwinist conditioning and propaganda. People who are currently taught in schools that human beings are descended from apes, and that dinosaurs grew wings and took to the air, generally imagine the theory of evolution to be a harmless one. They are unaware of the true face of the theory. They do not know that it is this perverse theory, devoid of any scientific foundation, that lies at the root of irreligion, dictatorial regimes, degeneration, terror and the martyrdom of so many troops in Turkey.

Darwinists also try to conceal the true face of the theory they espouse. That is why they propagate the lie that Darwinism is not incompatible with religion, in order to mislead the masses of people who believe in Allah, to supposedly portray Darwinism as harmless and to gain support from those people, as well. They believe that by these means they can win supporters and weaken the intellectual struggle against the theory of evolution. Despite being exceedingly illogical and inconsistent, the lie of “evolutionary creation” they propagate to that end is secretly supported and raised by Darwinists at every available opportunity. To that end they even resort to the deception that Darwin was himself a devout religious believer. The atheist and Darwinist Richard Dawkins admits the existence of a Darwinist lobby serving that very purpose as follows:

**Darwinist education is solely responsible for the savagery on Earth. The sole condition for the world to become an abode of peace is the total elimination of Darwinist conditioning.**



There is a kind of evolution defense lobby in particular. They are mostly atheists. But they are desperately wanting to be friendly to mainstream sensible religious people. And the way you do that is to tell them that there is no incompatibility between evolution and religion.<sup>158</sup>

But the fact is that evolution is in no way compatible with religion. Even though Darwinists do not themselves believe in Allah, and make a god out of chance (surely Allah is beyond that), are totally opposed to the fact of creation and are engaged in a struggle against it, they suddenly become the greatest exponents of the false concept that Allah created the universe through evolution. Yet because of their blind devotion to materialism, Darwinists never accept belief in Allah. Being a Darwinist means rejecting belief in Allah. The only reason why someone supports this pagan religion, devoid of any scientific evidence, that defies chance, is to be able to deny the existence of Allah (surely Allah is beyond that). And there is nothing that Darwinists will not do to turn people away from belief in Allah.

**That is why people must always be on their guard against this deception!**

In his documentary *Expelled: "No Intelligence Allowed,"* which explains how Darwinism is a false religion based on indoctrination, the journalist Larry Witham makes the following statement:

Implicit in most evolutionary theories is that either there is no God or God can't have anything, any role in it (God is beyond that). So naturally as many evolutionists say **it is the strongest engine for atheism.**<sup>159</sup>

So even though Darwinists definitively oppose belief in Allah, they still play this unpleasant trick on people. But the people who believe in this nasty deception fail to consider the fact that Almighty Allah is certainly powerful enough to create all things by a variety of means. Had He wished, our Lord could have created living things by way of evolution. But He did not. All living things came into being on *Earth* in a single moment, created from nothing.

Not a single verse of the Qur'an points to evolution. According to the Qur'an, the universe and all living things were created by Allah commanding them to "Be!" Allah has revealed, with all the Divine religions He has sent to man, that He created the entire universe, that He created it with a single command and that he creates in the form He wishes. Indeed, when we look at the evidence on Earth, we see that creation took place just as described in the Qur'an. The fossils of all life forms are perfect. They emerged suddenly, with perfect appearances, and never changed thereafter. Living things never changed over millions of years. There is no chance of life emerging from inanimate matter. It is impossible for even a single protein to form spontaneously. The idea of extraordinarily complex life forms turning into one another is totally unscientific. There is no mechanism that can endow a life form with new, beneficial information. Science has revealed with incontrovertible and certain evidence that all living things possess a glorious complexity, right down to their proteins and even atoms, that they appeared in a single moment and that they never turned into one another. Therefore, the idea

that Allah created through evolution is a monstrous lie, and part of the Darwinist deception.

(For detailed information on the subject, see, Harun Yahya, *Why Darwinism is Incompatible with the Qur'an*)

Everything created is evidence of Allah's infinite might. Allah tells us of this sublime creation in many verses of the Qur'an:

**[Allah is] the Originator of the heavens and earth. When He decides on something, He just says to it, 'Be!' and it is. (Qur'an, 2:117)**

**The likeness of 'Isa in Allah's Sight is the same as Adam. He created him from earth and then He said to him, 'Be!' and he was. (Qur'an, 3:59)**

**It is He Who created the heavens and the earth with truth. The day He says 'Be!' it is. His speech is Truth. The Kingdom will be His on the Day the Trumpet is blown, the Knower of the Unseen and the Visible. He is the All-Wise, the All-Aware. (Qur'an, 6:73)**

**Our Word to a thing when We desire it is just to say to it 'Be!' and it is. (Qur'an, 16:40)**

**Does He Who created the heavens and earth not have the power to create the same again? Yes indeed! He is the Creator, the All-Knowing.**

**His command when He desires a thing is just to say to it, 'Be!' and it is. (Qur'an, 37:81-82)**

Modern-day science shows the invalidity of the materialist-evolutionist claim. Contrary to what the theory of evolution maintains, the evidence of every piece of creation that surrounds us proves that there is no room for chance in the universe. If any evidence were found on Earth that Allah uses evolution as His instrument in creating, and if Allah had revealed such a thing in the Qur'an, then people would of course at once sign up to and espouse the idea that "Our Lord created through evolution." But Allah reveals in the Qur'an that He creates with the command "Be!" and there are no verses suggestive of creation through evolution. Moreover, there is most definitely not a single piece of scientific evidence for evolution. The facts are therefore clear. Every detail emerging from an investigation of the Earth and sky, and all living things, is proof of the great might and power of Allah. All living things came into being from nothing at our Almighty Lord Allah's command. Allah certainly has no need of natural causes in order to create. (Surely Allah is beyond that.) This is the most important point that Darwinists need to grasp. Allah reveals in one verse that:


**What about the one who argued with Abraham about his Lord, on the basis that Allah had given him sovereignty? Abraham said, 'My Lord is He Who gives life and causes to die.' He said, 'I too give life and cause to die.' Abraham said, 'Allah makes the sun come from the East. Make it come from the West.' And the one who was an unbeliever was dumbfounded. Allah does not guide wrongdoing people. (Qur'an, 2:258)**

Those who seek to suggest that Allah creates through evolution also have to account for how the djinn and angels were created. These people are silent when the issue of the creation of the djinn and angels is raised. Allah reveals the creation of djinn and angels in verses:

**We created mankind out of dried clay formed from fetid black mud. We created the jinn before out of the fire of a searing wind. ( Qur'an, 15:26-27)**

**Praise be to Allah, the Bringer into Being of the heavens and earth, He Who made the angels messengers, with wings – two, three or four. He adds to creation in any way He wills. Allah has power over all things. ( Qur'an, 35:1)**

Those who maintain that man and living things came into being through evolution are of course unable to account for angels, created from light, and djinn, created from fire, in terms of evolution. For people who fail to appreciate the might of Allah, who do not realize that Allah is unfettered by any natural causes, and who deny that our Lord creates all things by telling them to "Be!" the



existence of angels and djinn does away with all their false claims. Because the existence of djinn and angels reveals this reality; since djinn and angels were not created through evolution, neither was man.

Those people who blindly espouse Darwinism can never account for the staff of the Prophet Moses (pbuh) turning into a snake, as described in the Qur'an, or a bird fashioned from a piece of clay by the Prophet Jesus (pbuh) coming to life. Almighty Allah describes how the Prophet Moses' (pbuh) staff turned into a snake in these verses:

**He said, 'It is my staff. I lean on it and beat down leaves for my sheep with it and have other uses for it.' He said, 'Throw it down, Moses.' He threw it down and suddenly it was a slithering snake. ( Qur'an, 20:18-20)**

**We revealed to Moses, 'Throw down your staff.' And it immediately swallowed up what they had forged. (Qur'an, 7:117)**

At Allah's command, the wooden staff the Prophet Moses (pbuh) used to lean on suddenly turned into a living, multiplying, feeding and fully formed snake. By Allah's choosing, a piece of wood instantaneously turned into a snake. This totally eradicates the claim that the Qur'an contains evidence for evolution. Our Lord reveals in this verse how, by His choosing, the Prophet Jesus (pbuh) turned a bird he fashioned from a piece of clay into a living bird:

**Remember when Allah said, "Jesus, son of Mary, remember My blessing to you and to your mother when I reinforced you with the Purest Spirit so that you could speak to people in the cradle and when you were fully grown; and when I taught you the Book and Wisdom, and the Torah and the Gospel; and when you created a bird-shape out of clay by My permission, and then breathed into it and it became a bird by My permission; and healed the blind and the leper by My permission; and when you brought forth the dead by My permission; and when I held back the tribe of Israel from you..." (Qur'an, 6:110)**

The bird made from clay by the Prophet Jesus (pbuh) suddenly, by Allah's leave and at His choosing, turned into a living, fully formed and flawless bird with wings, capable of flying, reproducing and feeding. This creation from nothing is a great miracle that Darwinists can never account. And it is also proof that all living things on Earth are created.

All this reveals a manifest reality. Darwinists, who claim that evolution does not conflict with belief in Allah, are trying to inflict a huge deception on devout believers and are seeking to take improper advantage of their faith. Darwinism is one of the greatest dangers to, and opponents of, belief in Allah today. Darwinism is the most wide-ranging and effective snare of the antichrist, who is engaged in a direct campaign against faith in Allah. True believers in Allah must realize this danger and engage in intensive intellectual activities against it. Supporting this danger by regarding it as harmless merely strengthens it and supports a perilous movement engaged in a struggle against belief in Allah. It must not be forgotten that Darwinism is a false and perverse pagan religion whose sole aim is to lead people to irreligion.



## PART 4

# THE DARWINIST DECEPTION HAS FINALLY COME TO AN END

**F**or years now, materialism has been waging a psychological campaign against belief in Allah, one that it in fact lost right from the outset. Unable to comprehend that Allah will definitely thwart superstition, materialists are still foolishly persisting in this struggle with all their might. It has been proved that the universe came into being from zero volume with the Big Bang, in other words that it was created from nothing, and is constantly expanding, as described in Allah's verses, yet materialists still engaged in this campaign against the truth are making illogical claims in order to indoctrinate people with the nonsense that matter is timeless and eternal. Quantum physics has shown that matter consists solely of light waves, yet since materialists espouse the absolute existence of matter, and reject anything outside matter, they have constantly sought ways of denying that fact. Countless scientific advances and particularly findings made in the field of paleontology have scientifically proved the invalidity of the theory of evolution, yet materialists still persist in their campaigns, which were defeated right from the outset, intending to indoctrinate people with the idea that living things actually evolved. The more blows science has dealt to materialism, the more the question of the materialist counter-offensive has been raised. Materialism responded to the scientific evidence with a psychological campaign. That is what it is trying to do by means of the Darwinist deception.

**Almighty Allah is the Creator of all entities in the world. A world freed from the Darwinist deception will finally become aware of that fact.**

This has brought together Nobel prize-winning professors, scientists, paleontologists, microbiologists, zoologists and scientific writers to shamelessly and unhesitatingly espouse the irrational deception that “molecules existed in water; the cell came into being through the effects of mud, chance and time.” These people have cast such a spell that millions of other people have begun to believe, under the influence of this psychological campaign, that drops of fat falling into water assumed a cellular form, that a fish walked out of the water, that the first-ever bird hatched out of a dinosaur egg and began flying, and that monkeys gradually and by chance turned into human beings that make discoveries, create civilizations, develop technologies, write computer programs and send rockets into space.

A scientist is regarded as worthy of the Nobel Prize because he discovers “just one part” of the extraordinary structure of the cell that nobody had ever discovered before. But after winning this prestigious prize, that same scientist has no hesitation about teaching his students the myth that that same cell came into being purely by chance from a bit of mud. Despite having put his name to countless pieces of research, that same scientist will have no qualms about telling his students about Piltdown Man, Haeckel’s fraudulent embryo illustrations and the Industrial Revolution moths, which are all deceptions, as if they really constituted evidence for evolution.

This is the pathetic state to which the atheist spell, the system of the antichrist, brings Darwinists. Through Darwinism, the antichrist has entered just about everyone’s brain, has deceived them over a very long period of time, and has lied to and defrauded them. A great many people have blindly and unconsciously gone along with this lie, having for years regarded themselves literally as descended from animals, have for that reason become wild and uncaring, and have abandoned their responsibilities to Allah. The antichrist, atheism in other words, has spread one of the worst deceptions in history to the four corners of the Earth by using Darwinism. Under the influence of the antichrist, Darwinism has become the greatest ever mass deception.

But this success on the part of the atheists who disseminate the system of the antichrist is a false one. **The Darwinist deception has finally come to an end.**

All the frauds of Darwinism have finally been exposed in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century in which we are living. People have realized that all the specimens Darwinists have alleged to be transitional forms over the last 150 years are false. Just about everywhere in the world has been excavated, and more than 350 million fossils have been discovered, but it is clear that not a single one is a transitional form. It has been realized that all these fossils represent perfect life forms, and that a great many belong to forms still alive today. With this evidence, people have realized that living things emerged with the same appearance they have today and never changed at all. And through that fact, they have come to see why Darwinists kept fossils hidden away in drawers and tried to conceal the scientific evidence. Findings from such sciences as microbiology, paleontology, molecular biology and genetics have definitely proved that the theory of evolution is one that **could not possibly have happened.**



**The deception that is Darwinism came to a complete end in the face of 300 million fossils all proving Creation.**

In addition to all this, people have also seen that the reason why Darwinists are opposed to belief in Allah and the fact of creation is their adoption of Darwinism, a perverse religion. It has thus been realized that Darwinists have resorted to all kinds of fraud and deception in order to mislead people. There is a great deal of evidence before people's eyes refuting evolution. People have now realized that evolution is a deception. They now know that the theory of evolution is supported by not one single piece of evidence. By the will of Allah, it is now impossible for them to come under the influence of the Darwinist deception.

People have visited exhibitions displaying evidence of the invalidity of the theory of evolution and have examined living fossils. They have touched the fossils with their own hands and seen them with their own eyes. They have been amazed to learn that living things have remained unchanged for millions of years, in other words, that they never underwent evolution. They have carefully inspected every page of the *Atlas of Creation* and concluded that life forms around today were also around, in exactly the same form, many millions of years ago. They have now understood the fact that the Earth is being studied and researched all the time, and that it keeps on producing evidence corroborating the fact of creation. They have seen and been amazed that the challenge issued to Darwinists, in the world's best-known arenas, for them to "produce just one transitional fossil" has been met with a profound silence. Darwinist propaganda has been exposed, and people have been told, by means of books, DVD's, internet publications, conferences and television interviews, that they must not support this deception.

People across the world who have seen the evidence of this deception have experienced a great intellectual enlightenment and have been justified in reacting against the fact they have been deceived for so many years, protested against their children being taught Darwinist nonsense in schools, objected to planned exhibitions and conferences on the subject of evolution, Darwinist propaganda in other words, and openly challenged Darwinism. Students have started challenging pro-Darwinist teachers in biology classes concerning the lack of supporting evidence and illogicality of the theory of evolution. People deceived for years because they were unaware of the true face of the theory of evolution have now been made aware of and seen the reality of Darwinism, have been amazed to realize how they have been fooled for so many years and have protested loudly against it. Darwinists, too, now know that Darwinism is dead throughout the world and that, by Allah's leave, it will never again be able to rise up and deceive people using the same methods. One of the main signs of this great, global intellectual enlightenment is the surveys conducted by various media organizations and web sites. For example, according to the results of a national poll by the Associated Press Agency and the American TV network NBC, 86% of Americans now wish to see the fact of creation taught in schools.<sup>160</sup> According to the results of another poll on the German *Süddeutsche Zeitung* newspaper web site on July 8th, 2007, 87% of people surveyed reject evolution. A poll conducted on the German *Die Welt* newspaper web site on April 17th, 2008, put the figure at 86%. According to a survey on the Swiss *Blick* newspaper web site on May 4th, 2007, the level of people believing in the fact of creation stands at 85%. A poll on the French *Science Actualités* web site on February 16th, 2007, revealed that 92% of respondents do not believe in evolution. According to a report in the *Guardian* newspaper dated February 2nd, 2009, a survey in Britain showed that only 25% of Britons believe in Darwin's theory. A report published on the joint French *Nouvel Observateur* and *Science et Avenir* web site on July 6th, 2009, identified a considerable decline in the number of students believing in the theory of evolution in French schools, and attributed this to the impact of the *Atlas of Creation* in France. Darwinist professors explicitly described this huge blow inflicted on Darwinism as "severe," "distressing" and "a humiliation" for Darwinism.<sup>161</sup>

The Swedish embryologist Soren Lovtrup makes the following analysis of the current position of the Darwinist deception:

I suppose that nobody will deny that it is a great misfortune if an entire branch of science becomes addicted to a false theory. But this is what has happened in biology: for a long time now people discuss evolutionary



# THE NUMBER OF BELIEVERS IS RISING FAST




1- 93% of people answered "yes" to the question "Should subjects such as Creationism and Intelligent Design be taught alongside the theory of evolution in public schools?"



2- The French Science Actualités web site held an open poll in the wake of the huge impact that followed the distribution of the Atlas of Creation. The results of the survey showed that Darwinism in France has been obliterated. According to the poll, headed "Your Thoughts on the Subject of Evolution," 92% of the public do not believe in evolution.



3- According to the results of a poll published on the web site of Ekstra Bladet, a high circulation Danish daily, "Danes no longer believe in evolution." Asked "Do you think that human beings are descended from apes? 88% of the Danish public responded "No."



4- Die Welt, one of Germany's leading publications, held a poll on the subject of creation on its web site; 86% of participants answered the question "How do you think life formed?" by saying "Allah created it."



6- According to a poll on the subject of whether evolution ever happened, published by Süddeutsche Zeitung, one of Germany's largest dailies, on its web site showed that 87% of people believe that human beings have a Creator.



5- A survey published on the web site of the widely read Swiss daily Blick revealed that 85% of people believe in creation.



7- The Evening Standard, a daily published in London, held a poll on its web site on the subject of "Should Creation be taught in schools?" in October 2008. According to the findings, 73% of the British public answered "Yes."

problems in a peculiar “Darwinian” vocabulary- “adaption.” “selection pressure,” “natural selection.” Etc. – thereby believing that they contribute to the explanation of natural events. They do not...

I believe that one day the Darwinian myth will be ranked the greatest deceit in the history of science.<sup>162</sup>

The fact that Darwinism is the worst deception in history is currently spreading rapidly right across the world. Obstacles put in place against the fact of Creation by official institutions such as the European Council reveal the terrible panic that Darwinists are in. Never before in history has an assembly representing nation states lined up behind a deception and taken an official decision to support a fraud. That is the state to which Darwinist panic has brought the supporters of Darwinism in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century. Darwinists imagine that they can keep this deception propped up by means of repressive governmental decisions, making evolution an obligatory part of classes, seeking to conceal the fact of Creation from students by making one-sided use of the judiciary and engaging in a one-sided imposition. The fact is, however, that the honest conclusion reached by millions of people in the face of Darwinist deception is far superior to all the oppressive and imposed measures and the results therefrom.

No Darwinist can alter people’s definitive opinions through any repressive law. No Darwinist can convince people of, and impose on them, a theory that has been refuted by countless proofs. That is impossible. The Darwinist ruse is now at an end. In the 21<sup>st</sup> Century, people can access whatever facts they wish from whatever sources they choose. Therefore, oppression and hindrance will never attain the results that Darwinists want, and the reaction against the Darwinist deception will continue to grow apace.

Almighty Allah, Lord of the Worlds, is the Ruler of all things. The stratagems of the antichrist cannot conceal that fact. Allah says in one verse that:

**They desire to extinguish Allah’s Light with their mouths but Allah will perfect His Light, though the unbelievers hate it. (Qur’an, 61:8)**



## The traps set by the antichrist are also under Allah's control

This fact must never be forgotten: the antichrist, the source of the corruption of Darwinism that has misled people for a century and a half, is an entity under the control of Allah. The way of the antichrist, the corruption of atheism in other words, is vast enough to take almost everyone in, honest believers excepted. But no matter how enormous the snare set by atheism, the fact that needs to be borne in mind is that it is Almighty Allah Who will produce the finest response to all these traps. Allah creates the activities of satan on Earth, and thus the way of the antichrist, within a given destiny in such a way that it is condemned to be defeated, in order to test people and so that devout Muslims can emerge. Therefore, by Allah's leave, the snares of the unbelievers will never be successful. Allah reveals this secret in another verse:

**They concocted their plots, but their plots were with Allah, even if they were such as to make the mountains vanish. (Qur'an, 14:46)**

Throughout the course of history, unbelievers and those wishing to lead people into denial have set various traps. However, all these traps have been thwarted as a requirement of the Law of Allah and have rebounded back against their originators. This is a Law of Allah. Our Lord reveals in these verses that:

**... if a warner came to them they would be better guided than any other community. But then when a warner did come to them, it only increased their aversion, shown by their arrogance in the land and evil plotting. But evil plotting envelops only those who do it. Do they expect anything but the pattern of previous peoples? You will not find any changing in the pattern of Allah. You will not find any alteration in the pattern of Allah. (Qur'an, 35:42-43)**

The same fate awaits the snares set by the system of the antichrist, and its intellectual system. Darwinism is a trap set in order to turn people from Allah's path. But no matter how great these traps may be, no matter how comprehensive and effective the plan set up, they are still all under Allah's control. The antichrist and his perverse order only exist because Allah so chooses. As revealed in the verse **"But you will not will unless Allah wills."** (Qur'an, 76:30) nobody can wish for anything unless Allah so wishes it; nobody has the power to set a trap or put it into motion.



Darwinism is now in the same position. This trap set by the antichrist has been thwarted as Allah promised. Since Darwinists are unaware that their possible plan has been thwarted right from the very outset, they rejoiced enormously to see their propaganda being effective and imagined they would eventually achieve success. They never even guessed that Allah would inevitably rout them, and that from dominating the whole world they would end up as figures of jest. They have no conception of the Law created by Allah, that truth will inevitably be victorious. When one trap fails they start casting around for new ones, but they fail to realize that these, too, have been created already defeated. But the fact is that the whole perverse system of the antichrist will inevitably be defeated, as it is now.

Allah reveals in the Qur'an how unbelievers' traps will rebound against them:

**Or do they desire to dupe you? But the duped ones are those who are unbelievers. (Qur'an, 52:42)**

It is today a source of terrible shame to be an adherent of Darwinism. The efforts made by Darwinist publications to constantly keep new, false reports in the public eye, the way Darwinists are at a loss to find people to hold conferences, the way they resort to exhibitions of evolution using cardboard posters and Darwinist scientists' criticisms of exhibitions of living fossils and the Atlas of Creation are all in vain. It is now far too late. Darwinism has suffered the worst defeat in the history of science. By Allah's will, this snare has been smashed. Allah will strengthen the truth and cause it to rule the world. Allah tells us in one verse that:

**... Allah wipes out the false and confirms the truth. He knows what the heart contains. (Qur'an, 26:24)**



**Satan's false order is weak, and the system of the antichrist has been vanquished. The love and friendship required by Qur'anic moral values will rule the world.**


## Another psychological technique resorted to as the deception has ended: the philosophy of “it doesn’t hurt a bit!”

The theory of evolution never expected over the last 150 years that Darwinism would be openly called a lie, and that the evidence refuting the theory would be revealed in all its detail. Even though a great many people realized it was a false religion that violated their beliefs, they remained silent in the face of Darwinism and were forced to accept this perverse system. Teachers were obliged to teach evolution to their students, even though this violated reason, logic, scientific evidence and their own beliefs because these people were exposed to intensive deterrent propaganda. Darwinism could now only be kept alive through pressure, violence and intimidation.

But the position has now changed. The invalidity of Darwinism has been revealed, irrefutably and with scientific evidence. It has been clearly proven that Darwinism is a huge lie kept propped up through fakes and fraud. The great work, the *Atlas of Creation*, has entered the homes of scientists, university professors and people in charge of running countries, and everyone with an interest in the subject, including students, can easily access that work over the internet. This state of affairs has been met by Darwinists with astonishment, panic, fear and alarm; they have attempted, in their own foolish way, but failed to prevent the *Atlas of Creation* being read. They themselves have begun to see that their theory is at a dead-end.

A profound silence now reigns in Darwinist circles in the face of these facts. Some fanatical Darwinists have adopted the mentality of “it doesn’t hurt a bit,” like a child who has had a toy taken away, even though they have seen the vast quantities of scientific evidence that undermines the theory of evolution, and the huge interest people have shown in it, and even though they are well aware that Darwinism has in fact collapsed. They foolishly try not to let on, however, by saying, “I believe in Darwinism no matter what, and shall continue to espouse it.” The fact is, however, that this is just another Darwinist trick that will get them nowhere.

As Allah reveals in the verse, “... **Satan’s scheming is always feeble**” (Qur’an, 4:76) Darwinism, the system of the antichrist, collapses very rapidly. So much so that it is finished merely by the fact that proteins cannot appear spontaneously, or that transitional fossils do not exist. A Darwinist cannot remain a Darwinist in the wake of that information. All he can say, with a mindless obstinacy, is “I believe and intend to go on believing.” But that is not what he really thinks. It is no longer possible for him to believe in Darwinism. Some adherents of Darwinism are persisting in the same obstinacy as a child who



**Fat particles cannot fall into water,  
turn into protein and produce life...**

claims that the soap his mouth has been washed out with is not at all unpleasant. But whether the child claims the soap does not taste unpleasant is irrelevant. What matters at the end of the day is that he experiences the unpleasant taste caused by the soap. Therefore, in all likelihood there is not a single Darwinist left in the wake of all the scientific evidence proving the invalidity of Darwinism who is unaware that Darwinism is a fraud.

Darwinists are now aware that it is of no use to try to deceive people in order to shield the theory. The Darwinism that they created with imaginary scenarios and various frauds over 150 years ago, and that they kept propped up by force has finally come to an end. It is impossible for any Darwinist to persist in this perverse belief once he has learned that this whole fantastical tale is untrue. Darwinists have been waiting for a supposed savior all this time. They imagined a scientist would appear and produce the mythical fossils they had been anticipating all these years. But they have seen with their own eyes that this will never happen, and are now convinced of it in their own minds. Notre Dame University Professor of Philosophy Peter van Inwagen speaks of a most valuable truth:

If Darwinians respond to this important book by ignoring it, misrepresenting it, or ridiculing it, that will be evidence in favor of the widespread suspicion that Darwinism today functions more as an ideology than as a scientific theory.<sup>163</sup>

No matter how much Darwinists may say, "it doesn't hurt a bit," it is clear from their reactions, the measures they take, and the intense state of panic going on, that this defeat has come as a stunning shock. That may of course be very difficult for a scientist who has believed in the lie of Darwinism for many years and realized he has been deceived only later in life. People are generally unwilling to admit they have been deceived and that they have blindly supported that deceiver. That is why the first way out is to say, "I don't believe it" when faced with evidence against Darwinism. But in fact that person believes in the evidence placed before him 100%. When asked again six months later his anger will have subsided and he will start answering. In all likelihood he will say, "Darwinism may or may not be true." He will try to quibble and look for middle ground such as "new evidence may appear in the future." But the fact is he is well aware that this perverse ideology is a deception bereft of any evidence, yet he will find it difficult to admit that right away. But nevertheless, Darwinism is finished for that person.

He will find it hard to believe (and laughable) that he ever espoused the idea that drops of oil fell into water and turned into protein. He will wonder how he ever failed to understand that not a single transitional form fossil exists; he will be astonished at himself and be stunned at how he ever espoused such non-

sense for maybe as long as 50 years. He will be ashamed of having fallen for the Darwinist spell and supported a lie. Even the most fanatical Darwinists feel that today. One can see this from various statements and sense their skepticism. Some of them even admit that explicitly. But it is never too late to abandon a false belief and accept the truth. Admitting the truth and abandoning one's errors is a great virtue. The correct thing to do after seeing the scientific evidence is to openly admit that Darwinism is utter nonsense.

**Darwinists wish to avoid the elimination of the spell of Darwinism by constantly raising young people indoctrinated with the idea of evolution. The reason why bodies such as the European Parliament want to ban the teaching of the *Atlas of Creation* in schools is in order to keep the Darwinism indoctrination going.**



## Acceptance after denial

The admission that Darwinists fail to hide behind their language of denial is expressed as follows by various scientists and Darwinists themselves.

Biologist William Fix:

Scientists at the forefront of inquiry have put the knife to classical Darwinism. They have not gone public with this news, but have kept it in their technical papers and inner counsels.<sup>164</sup>

Darwinist anthropologist Robert A. Martin:

In 1972 Niles Eldredge of the American Museum of Natural History and Steven Jay Gould of Harvard University published a paper introducing the concept of "punctuated equilibrium." If evolution progresses in a slow, methodical, and gradual fashion, they asked, where are all the fossil intermediates between species? Perhaps they are difficult to find because they do not exist.<sup>165</sup>

Darwinist T. H. Frazzetta from the University of Illinois Ecology Department:

With each passing year the once rather simplistic views on evolution continue to crumble.<sup>166</sup>

French Darwinist Jean Pierre Lehman:

Darwinism in its ancient and classical form has broken down.<sup>167</sup>

The Darwinist and Science editor Gordon Rattray Taylor:

And be that as it may, the evidence is accumulating that chance is insufficient to explain the appearance of marvelously coordinated structures and perfectly adapted behavior which biologists are uncovering. As two American biologists have recently declared: 'The main puzzle, the problem of the mechanism of evolution, is far from being solved.'<sup>168</sup>

In short, the dogma which has dominated much biological thinking for more than a century is collapsing.

Darwinist and Professor of Physics H. S. Lipson:

And be that as it may, the evidence is accumulating that chance is insufficient to explain the appearance of marvelously coordinated structures and perfectly adapted behavior which biologists are uncovering. As two American biologists have recently declared: 'The main puzzle, the problem of the mechanism of evolution, is far from being solved.'<sup>169</sup>

In short, the dogma which has dominated much biological thinking for more than a century is collapsing.

Professor of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology and Darwinist E. O. Wiley:


(Norman) MacBeth suggests that we try to look at evolution with new eyes, that we admit to the public, and, if needed, to ourselves, that we have misgivings about Darwinism, and the synthetic theory, that we open debate. I think these are excellent suggestions.<sup>170</sup>

Journalist Larry Witham:

I interviewed dozens and dozens of scientists and, when they're amongst each other or talking to a journalist who they trust, they'll speak about 'It's incredibly complex' or 'Molecular Biology is in a crisis', but, publicly, they can't say that.<sup>171</sup>

That is where Darwinism is now. Darwinists now doubt the theory they espoused so fanatically for many years. The global deception that is Darwinism has now come to an end.

The passionate adherents of Darwinism perhaps never imagined until now that they would lose this war they declared against belief in Allah (surely Allah is beyond that). The means at their disposal, the circles they influenced, and the support they received from various states led them to regard themselves



as, superficially at least, very powerful. The teaching of the theory of evolution in schools led to new generations being brought up with the idea of evolution. The world's leading newspapers, magazines and television stations constantly engaged in, and, more importantly, people at the highest levels in national administrations also supported and promoted Darwinism. As in recent times, forces such as the European Council, representing countries, were easily able to take and implement pro-Darwinist decisions.

But these methods no longer do Darwinists any good.

The difference between today and the past is that these decisions taken at international assemblies used to have an effect on people and it was easier to achieve the aim of drawing people under the spell of Darwinism closer into its orbit. The current state of affairs, however, is that with the debut of the *Atlas of Creation* at the European Council platform and the following debate on how the book should be opposed, the prevailing anger at the defeat of Darwinism has made itself all too clearly felt.

These things are all definitely signs of defeat. They show how effective the scientific and rationally intellectual campaign against Darwinism has been. In a statement in *Science* magazine, the evolutionist Professor Ümit Sayın summarizes the current state of affairs arrived at under the influence of the works of Harun Yahya:

"There is no fight against the creationists now. They have won the war ... In 1998, I was able to motivate six members of the Turkish Academy of Sciences to speak out against the creationist movement. Today, it's impossible to motivate anyone."<sup>172</sup>

Nobody of course will attempt to propagate Darwinism on the conference floor in the full knowledge that it is untrue and has been exposed. The Darwinists have no scientific evidence and no scientific statement they can produce against the fact of Creation.

The lifting of the Darwinist spell has, without doubt, done away with Darwinism altogether because they attempted to keep this perverse religion propped up by maintaining the Darwinist spell. When that spell was lifted, the theory was left with nothing to cling to since it had no scientific foundations. No method that the system of the antichrist might use to keep Darwinism propped up will serve any purpose at all. Darwinism will seem as ludicrous to future generations as the stories that people told, with utter conviction, in the distant past about the Earth resting on the back of a giant tortoise. People will be unable to comprehend how Nobel Prize-winning professors, university teachers and scientists believed in such a farce and were led to encourage such nonsense. The 20<sup>th</sup> Century will go down as one of shame for all Darwinist scientific circles.



## **The system of the antichrist was specially created for the coming of the Prophet Jesus (pbuh) and Hazrat Mahdi (pbuh)**

Our Almighty Lord will send a holy personage in an age when the end of the life of this world is imminent, the End Times, when all manner of tribulations, wars, terror, degeneracy and fear prevail. Through that holy individual He will bring all forms of trouble and injustice to an end. That holy personage is Hazrat Mahdi (pbuh). Following the appearance of Hazrat Mahdi (pbuh), the Prophet Jesus (pbuh) will return to Earth, and together with Hazrat Mahdi (pbuh) he will cause the moral values of Islam to rule the entire world.

We are told that before the coming of the prophet Jesus (pbuh) and Hazrat Mahdi (pbuh) there will be terrible disorder on Earth and that the way of the antichrist will appear, that will spread irreligion, moral collapse, oppression, injustice and bloodshed across the world. Allah creates diametrically opposed ideas together, as part of His Law. When Allah created the Prophet Moses (pbuh) He also created his opposite, Pharaoh. He Who created the Prophet Abraham (pbuh) also created Nimrod who would oppose him. In this time that we call the End Times and that, according to accounts, we are now living in, Allah has created the way of the antichrist, under atheist management, and Darwinism, one of the major misleading ruses of the way of the antichrist. While the Prophet Jesus (pbuh) and Hazrat Mahdi (pbuh) will call on people to have moral virtues and faith and to enjoy peace and security in the End Times, the way of the antichrist will espouse conflict and fighting, encourage selfishness and ruthlessness, and inflicts destruction and devastation, using Darwinism to that end.

In his *Emirdağ Addendum* the great Islamic scholar Bediüzzaman Said Nursi says that the first duty of Hazrat Mahdi (pbuh) is to totally eliminate the influence of Darwinism and materialism over people and intellectually eradicate these movements of the antichrist. Bediüzzaman describes how Hazrat Mahdi (pbuh) will enjoy definitive success against Darwinism and materialism, and be instrumental in people turning to faith:

And he [Hazrat Mahdi (pbuh)] will have three major duties; First: Under the effect of science and philosophy, and the contagious plague known as materialism and naturalism, and its SPREAD AMONG PEOPLE, HE WILL FIRST OF ALL SAVE THE FAITH IN SUCH A WAY AS TO SILENCE PHILOSOPHY AND MATERIALISM... <sup>173</sup>



Therefore, one of Hazrat Mahdi's (pbuh) main tasks will be to intellectually eliminate materialist and Darwinist philosophy, and cause belief in Allah to rule the world. The perverse religion, disseminated in the End Times will, by Allah's leave, be intellectually obliterated by Hazrat Mahdi (pbuh).

Darwinists are taken in by the fact that they managed to deceive the whole world and that the Darwinist dictatorship succeeded in establishing a global hegemony. Since Darwinists were unaware of the secret that Allah created that success as a test, they imagined it was a genuine triumph and that they would never be overthrown. The fact is, however, that such false successes take place in order for the truth to appear and emerge victorious. As a result, it is always the idea that Allah desires and that elevates Him that wins in the end.

That is the situation Darwinism is in now. The global hegemony they established through deception is heading toward a huge rout. Because that is Darwinism's destiny. Darwin launched Darwinism because that is what Allah desired. Darwinism ruled the world because that is what Allah desired. And that perverse religion is now being comprehensively defeated because the time for its defeat as ordained by Allah and appointed in destiny has come. People went along with the corruption of Darwinism as part of the destiny ordained by Allah. And now they will follow Hazrat Mahdi (pbuh) within the destiny appointed by Allah, and as Hazrat Mahdi (pbuh) intellectually annihilates Darwinism and materialism, in short the whole system of the antichrist, the world will enjoy the peace of being freed from this vile corruption.

All the systems of the antichrist have been created already condemned to be defeated and annihilated. That goes for Darwinism, too, and by Allah's leave it is also in a state of rout and ruin. Darwinism, the worst deception in history, is now undergoing the worst defeat in history. The history of Darwinism will be remembered in amazement by future generations as a time of shame.

Almighty Allah is He to Whom all entities bow their heads, and He maintains them under His will and control. Darwinists, who imagine they can establish a perverse religion outside what is ordained by Allah, and who foolishly strive to that end, also live in a state of submission to Allah's commandments, whether or not they wish to do so. Allah reveals in a verse:

**Is it other than the religion of Allah that you desire, when everything in the heavens and earth, willingly or unwillingly, submits to Him and to Him you will be returned? (Qur'an, 3:83)**

All entities, including Darwinists who fall for the antichrist's trickery, will one day be called together in the Presence of Allah. They will be called to account in the Presence of our Lord and asked about what they did and thought. The Day of Reckoning is a definite fact. That is why the best way to achieve happiness is for all Darwinists, who have fallen under the influence of atheists, to abandon their proud and stubborn ways in this time when they have clearly seen the true facts, heed their consciences and follow the true path. Allah's commandments are the truth. What He says *will* happen and no order whatsoever can prevent that, unless Allah so wishes. Everyone who insists on remaining devoted to the deception that is Darwinism, who follows that lie and continues to mislead people, must never forget that fact.

**So remind them! You are only a reminder. You are not in control of them. But as for anyone who turns away and disbelieves, Allah will punish him with the Greatest Punishment. Certainly it is to Us they will return. Then their Reckoning is Our concern. (Qur'an, 88:21-26)**



## CONCLUSION

### ALMIGHTY ALLAH IS THE CREATOR OF LIFE


**D**arwinism is the most illogical and unbelievable superstitious religion there has ever been. Despite the perfect order and intelligence in every detail of life, Darwinism still interprets these as the work of supposedly unconscious and random processes. Protein is an exceedingly complex molecule that cannot even be produced by itself in laboratories, and it is amazing to examine such a complex life form under an electron microscope. But Darwinism claims that this glorious work of creation “came into being by chance.” Living things were created with very different characteristics to one another, and it has emerged, in an irrefutable and explicit manner, that their organs and structures cannot possibly turn into one another. But Darwinism maintains that all the glorious variety of life on Earth is descended from a single bacterium. There is not a single piece of evidence to corroborate the claims of Darwinism that living things are descended from one another. Yet Darwinist magazines still publish a new false fossil every week. There is a manifest order and splendor on Earth. But Darwinism maintains that all these things supposedly emerged spontaneously, out of chaos, irregularity and disorder, as the result of blind chance. When these facts are borne in mind, it is impossible for Darwinism to attract worldwide support or for any rational person to find any logic or evidence in it.

But this deception achieved its aim. The hadiths tell us that the corruption of the antichrist will cover the whole world. And indeed, the whole world did sign up to a superstitious system, under the influence of atheism, the system of the antichrist, in a blind and unaware manner. Many people from all nations and beliefs came to abide by this superstitious system. People never imagined the dead-end that following such a superstitious religion would lead to. Many imagined that the theory really was scien-



tific, regarded it as just another theory and failed to grasp the threat it represented to their own futures. They did not behave with foresight. They failed to predict the damaging effect on people, societies and states that a lie that humans were descended from animals would have. They failed to realize that they were surrounded by a perverse system that had a negative effect on their children, countries and the environment they lived in. They failed to grasp that this perverse intellectual system represented the main cause of the aggression, slaughter, murder, theft, immorality, degeneracy, anarchy, wars and terror going on around them, and that it was making things worse every day. They never imagined that teaching their children Darwinism would make them immoral, rebellious and degenerate and turn them away from human qualities. The system of the antichrist, approached so cunningly and wreaked such trickery that the majority of people were made captive by it, without ever realizing it, or what would become of their futures. The current state of affairs is the result of this heedlessness in the face of the scourge of Darwinism.

The way that a great many people knowingly or unknowingly adopted the way of life espoused by the antichrist and did what the antichrist said has certainly had highly damaging consequences. Following the superstitious religion of the antichrist means abandoning the moral values that are pleasing to Allah and our Lord's approval. That is the main reason why a great many people in the world live lives that are incompatible with the moral values of the Qur'an. In the Qur'an, Allah advises people to command what is good and forbid what is evil. But people in many parts of the world do not fulfill that responsibility. On the contrary, they encourage each other to do what is wrong. Allah has warned people against selfishness, parsimony, ingratitude, disloyalty, self-interest, sin, envy, back-biting and anger. Many societies currently live by the exact opposite of that morality. Muslims who abide by the Qur'an must put others' desires above their own in all matters. But many people today observe only their own



interests and even stoop to the most shameful behavior to that end. According to the Qur'an, it is a sin to kill for no good reason. Yet there is an unbelievable number of murders going on today. According to the Qur'an, everyone has a responsibility to observe the rights of others. But the system currently in place in many countries of the world is founded on depriving others of their rights and deceiving them. According to the Qur'an, one must give any surplus possessions to the poor. But the current system in many countries of the world oppresses the poor still more, and even attempts to eliminate them, while the rich thirst for ever greater wealth.

Living by the exact opposite of the moral values revealed by Allah in the Qur'an is a consequence of the system set up by the antichrist. The great majority of people are unable to live at ease or be happy because they abide by the system of the antichrist, and live in scarcity when they should enjoy an abundance of blessings. The system of the antichrist is a terrible scourge on mankind.

But the way of the antichrist is now intellectually dead. All the trickery of atheism has been exposed and obliterated. The way of the antichrist has no more straws to cling to. It can no longer deceive people or make them believe in the deception of Darwinism.

And by Allah's leave it will be unable to do so in the future. Because according to Allah's promise, superstition is condemned to be annihilated. Allah created this state of affairs so that human beings could properly appreciate His might and see the truth. Otherwise, there is no doubt that Allah would just have to say "Be!" in order to eliminate the system of the antichrist and impose the moral values of the Qur'an on the whole world. The antichrist imagined himself to be very great and powerful. But he has been routed by Allah's snare. Allah, the Lord of the World, says in His verses:

**If only the people of the cities had believed and had piety, We would have opened up to them blessings from heaven and earth. But they denied the truth so We seized them for what they earned.**

Do the people of the cities feel secure against Our violent force coming down on them in the night while they are asleep?

Or do the people of the cities feel secure against Our violent force coming down on them in the day while they are playing games?

Do they feel secure against Allah's devising? No one feels secure against Allah's devising except for those who are lost. (Qur'an, 7:96-99)

The responsibility of every rational person of good conscience is to abide by the truths shown by reason, logic, conscience and science and to accept that Allah has created all things. Our Almighty Lord certainly has no need of human beings' praise, submission, appreciation or worship. All He needs is the person himself. Arrogance against Allah will lead to nothing more than regret in this world and the Hereafter. But submitting to Allah is a means whereby one will enjoy a pleasant life in this world and incomparably greater eternal blessings in the Hereafter than those of this world. Above all, the greatest reward a person can enjoy will be Allah's mercy.

The rout of the antichrist will persist for all eternity. The collapse of Darwinism is the most important indication of this. The important things are from now on to follow the path indicated by one's conscience and not to spend one's life as a prisoner of the antichrist. That is what a rational and conscious person should do.

Allah, Lord and Ruler of all things, says in verses:

**Turn to your Lord and submit to Him before punishment comes upon you, for then you cannot be helped. Follow the best that has been sent down to you from your Lord before the punishment comes upon you suddenly when you are not expecting it; (Qur'an,39: 54-55)**

**They said, 'Glory be to You!  
We have no knowledge except what  
You have taught us. You are the  
All-Knowing, the All-Wise.'  
(Qur'an, 2:32)**

## NOTES

1. Sahih Muslim, Book 41, Number 7037
2. Sahih Muslim, Book 041, Number 7014
3. Sahih Muslim, Book 041, Number 7012
4. İsmail Mutlu, Bediüzzaman'ın Yorumları Işığında Kıyamet Alametleri [Signs of the Last Day in the Light of Bediuzzaman's Analyses], Mutlu Publishing, Istanbul, 1996, p.117
5. Kütüb-i Sitte Muhtasarı, Tercüme ve Şerhi [al-Kutub as-Sitta, Abridgment, Translation and Commentary], Prof. Dr. İbrahim Canan, Vol. 13, Ankara, 1992, pp.457-458
6. Nicholas Comninellis, Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution, Master Books, 2001, p. 254
7. "Word Games: DNA, Design, and Intelligence," Stephen C. Meyer, William A. Dembski, James M. Kushiner, Signs of Intelligence: Understanding Intelligent Design, ed. William A. Dembski, James M. Kushiner, Brazos Press, 2001, p. 109-110
8. Charles Darwin, The Origin of Species, 6th edition, 1859 (London: J.M. Dent and Sons Ltd., 1971) - Nicholas Comninellis, Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution, Master Books, 2001, p. 84
9. Colin Patterson, "Cladistics", Interview by Brian Leek, Peter Franz, 4 March 1982, BBC
10. Lee M. Spetner, Not By Chance, Shattering the Modern Theory of Evolution, The Judaica Press Inc., 1997, p. 175
11. Nicholas Comninellis, Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution, Master Books, 2001, pp. 74-75
12. Jonathan Wells, Ph.D., The Politically Incorrect Guide to Darwinism and Intelligent Design, Regnery Publishing Inc., Washington, 2006, p. 34
13. Ibid., p. 36
14. Jonathan Wells, The Icons of Evolution, January 2003, pp. 172-173
15. Gordon Rattray Taylor, The Great Evolution Mystery, Sphere Books Ltd., 1984, p. 4
16. Ibid. p. 230
17. Nicholas Comninellis, Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution, Master Books, 2001, p. 81
18. Ibid., pp. 74-75
19. Ibid., p. 81
20. Richard Dawkins, The Extended Phenotype, Oxford University Press, 1999, p. 141
21. Charles Darwin, The Origin of Species, p. 172, 280
22. Wolfgang Smith, Teilhardism and the New Religion, A Thorough Analysis of the Teachings of Pierre Teilhard de Chardin, Rockford IL, Tan Books and Publishers, Inc. 1988, p. 8 - Nicholas Comninellis, Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution, Master Books, 2001, p. 181
23. Stephen Stanley, Macroevolution: Pattern and Process, San Francisco CA, W. H. Freeman, 1979, p. 39 - Nicholas Comninellis, Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution, Master Books, 2001, p. 153
24. Nicholas Comninellis, Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution, Master Books, 2001, p. 153
25. Ibid., p. 152
26. Mark Ridley, "Who Doubts Evolution?", New Scientist, vol. 90, 1981, p. 831 - Nicholas Comninellis, Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution, Master Books, 2001, p. 152
27. <http://www.nwcreation.net/fossilsliving.html>
28. S. M. Stanley, The New Evolutionary Timetable: Fossils, Genes, and the Origin of Species, Basic Books Inc. Publishers, N.Y., 1981, p.71
29. Gerald Schroeder, "Evolution: Rationality vs. Randomness," <http://www.geraldschroeder.com/evolution.htm>
30. Hank Hanegraaff, Fatal Flaws "What Evolutionists Don't Want You To Know", W Publishing Group, 2003, p. 19
31. Ibid., p. 22
32. Ibid.
33. Jonathan Wells, Icons of Evolution, Regnery Publishing, Inc., p. 135
34. Jonathan Wells, Icons of Evolution, Regnery Publishing, Inc., p. 134
35. Focus, April 2003
36. L. B. Halstead "Museum of Errors", Nature, 20 November 1980, p. 280 - Nicholas Comninellis, Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution, Master Books, 2001, p. 180
37. Lyall Watson, "The Water People", Science Digest, vol. 90, no. 5, May 1982, p. 44 - Nicholas Comninellis, Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution, Master Books, 2001, p. 181
38. F. A. Barnes, Desert Magazine, 38: 36-39, February 1975 - Nicholas Comninellis, Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution, Master Books, 2001, p. 182
39. Hank Hanegraaff, Fatal Flaws "What Evolutionists Don't Want You to Know", W Publishing Group, 2003 p. 32-33
40. Robert Martin, "Man Is Not An Onion", New Scientist, 4 August 1977, p. 283 and 285 - Nicholas Comninellis, Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution, Master Books, 2001, p. 181
41. Isabelle Bourdial, "Adieu Lucy", Science et Vie, May 1999, no. 980, pp. 52-62
42. Richard Leakey, "Lucy - Evolution's Solitary Claim For an Ape/Man: Her Position is Splitting Away" Creation Research Society Quarterly, vol. 22, no. 3, December 1985, pp. 144-145 - Nicholas Comninellis, Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution, Master Books, 2001, p. 188
43. Solly Zuckerman, Beyond The Ivory Tower, New York: Toplinger Publications, 1970, pp. 75-94
44. Charles E. Oxnard, "The Place of Australopithecines in Human Evolution: Grounds for Doubt", Nature, Vol. 258, p. 389
45. Fred Spoor, Bernard Wood, Frans Zonneveld, "Implication of Early Hominid Labryntine Morphology for Evolution of Human Bipedal Locomotion", Nature, Vol. 369, 23 June 1994, pp. 645-648
46. Richmond, B.G. and Strait, D.S., Evidence that humans evolved from a knuckle-walking ancestor, Nature 404(6776):382, 2000.)
47. D. Johanson - T. D. White, Science, 203:321, 1979, 207:1104, 1980 - Nicholas Comninellis, Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution, Master Books, 2001, pp. 187-188
48. Trinkhaus, Erik (1985) Pathology and the posture of the La Chappelle-aux-Saints Neanderthal. American Journal of Physical Anthropology Vol. 67 pp. 19-41.
49. E. Trunkaus - W. W. Howells, Scientific American, 241(6):118 (1979) - Nicholas Comninellis, Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution, Master Books, 2001, p. 195
50. Erik Trinkaus, "Hard Times Among the Neanderthals", Natural History, Vol. 87, December 1978, p. 10.
51. Nicholas Comninellis, Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution, Master Books, 2001, p. 194
52. Francisco J. Ayala, Darwin and Intelligent Design, Fortress Press, Minneapolis, 2006, p.45
53. Malcolm Muggeridge, The End of Christendom, Grand Rapids, Eerdmans, 1980, p. 59
54. Stephen Jay Gould, "Smith Woodward's Folly", New Scientist, April 5, 1979, p. 44
55. Kenneth Oakley, William Le Gros Clark & J. S. "Piltdown", Meydan Larousse, Vol. 10, p. 133.
56. Stephen Jay Gould, "Smith Woodward's Folly", New Scientist, April 5, 1979, p. 44



57. Hank Hanegraaff, *Fatal Flaws "What Evolutionists Don't Want You To Know"*, W Publishing Group, 2003 p. 34
58. Keith Steward Thomson, "Piltdown Man: The Great English Mystery Story", *American Scientist*, vol. 79, 1991, p. 194 - Nicholas Comninellis, *Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution*, Master Books, 2001, p. 197
59. Pat Shipman, "On the Trail of the Piltdown Freudsters" *New Scientist*, vol. 128, 1990, p. 52 - Nicholas Comninellis, *Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution*, Master Books, 2001, p. 197
60. Malcolm Muggeridge, *The End of Christendom*, Grand Rapids MI, Eerdmans, 1980, p. 59 - Nicholas Comninellis, *Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution*, Master Books, 2001, p. 253-254
61. W. K. Gregory, "Hesperopithecus Apparently Not An Ape Nor A Man", *Science*, vol. 66, December 1927, p. 579
62. Hank Hanegraaff, *Fatal Flaws "What Evolutionists Don't Want You To Know"*, W Publishing Group, 2003 p. 31-32
63. Judith Hooper, *Of Moths and Men*, W.W. Norton & Company, Inc., New York, 2002, p. xvii
64. Ann Coulter, *Godless The Church of Liberalism*, Crown Forum Publishing, 2006, p. 236-237
65. Judith Hooper, *Of Moths and Men*, p. xviii
66. Ann Coulter, *Godless The Church of Liberalism*, Crown Forum Publishing, 2006, p. 237
67. [http://www.arn.org/docs/richards/jr\\_sciedreport.htm](http://www.arn.org/docs/richards/jr_sciedreport.htm) - Jonathan Wells, *The Icons of Evolution*, January 2003, p. 150
68. Francis Hitching, *The Neck of the Giraffe: Where Darwin Went Wrong*, New York: Ticknor and Fields 1982, p. 204
69. *Science*, 5 September 1997, Elizabeth Pennisi
70. Ann Coulter, *Godless The Church of Liberalism*, Crown Forum Publishing, 2006, p. 240
71. [http://www.arn.org/docs/richards/jr\\_sciedreport.htm](http://www.arn.org/docs/richards/jr_sciedreport.htm)
72. Hank Hanegraaff, *Fatal Flaws "What Evolutionists Don't Want You To Know"*, W Publishing Group, 2003, p. 70
73. Hank Hanegraaff, *Fatal Flaws "What Evolutionists Don't Want You To Know"*, W Publishing Group, 2003, p. 70
74. Henry M. Morris, *The Long War Against God*, Master Books, 2000, p. 32
75. Jonathan Wells, *Icons of Evolution*, Regnery Publishing, Inc., p. 103
76. Jonathan Wells, *Icons of Evolution*, Regnery Publishing, Inc., pp. 82, 83
77. Boyce Rensberger, *Houston Chronicle*, 5 November 1980, Part 4, p. 15
78. Nicholas Comninellis, *Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution*, Master Books, 2001, p. 168
79. Colin Patterson, *Harper's*, February 1984, p. 60
80. Pierre Paul Grasse, *Evolution of Living Organisms*, New York NY, Academic Press, p. 8 - Nicholas Comninellis, *Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution*, Master Books, 2001, p. 228
81. Will Provine. "No Free Will," in *Catching Up with the Vision*, ed. by Margaret W. Rossiter (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1999), p. S123 - [http://www.icr.org/home/resources/resources\\_tracts\\_scientificcaseagainstevolution/](http://www.icr.org/home/resources/resources_tracts_scientificcaseagainstevolution/)
82. Richard Dawkins, *The Blind Watchmaker: Why The Evidence of Evolution Reveals a Universe Without Design*, New York, NY, W. W. Norton, 1986, p. 6 - Nicholas Comninellis, *Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution*, Master Books, 2001, p. 228
83. H. S. Lipson, "A Physicist Looks at Evolution", *Physics Bulletin*, vol. 31, 1980, p. 138 - Nicholas Comninellis, *Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution*, Master Books, 2001, p. 229
84. Nicholas Comninellis, *Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution*, Master Books, 2001, p. 230
85. Kenneth Hsü, "Is Darwinism Science?" *Earthwatch*, Mart 1989, p. 15 - Nicholas Comninellis, *Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution*, Master Books, 2001, p. 244
86. "Expelled: No Intelligence Allowed" documentary film, Ben Stein, director Nathan Frankowski, 2008.
87. Hank Hanegraaff, *Fatal Flaws "What Evolutionists Don't Want You To Know"*, W Publishing Group, 2003, pp. 29-30
88. Ruse, Michael, "Saving Darwinism from the Darwinians," *National Post*, May 13, 2000), p. B-3 - [http://www.icr.org/home/resources/resources\\_tracts\\_scientificcaseagainstevolution/](http://www.icr.org/home/resources/resources_tracts_scientificcaseagainstevolution/)
89. Robert Jastrow, "God's Creation", *Science Digest*, Spring Edition, 1980, p. 68 - Nicholas Comninellis, *Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution*, Master Books, 2001, p. 201
90. <http://siyasaritah.azbuz.com/bolum/Unlu-kisilerin-sozleri/catID=2890071>
91. Jonathan Wells, Ph.D., *The Politically Incorrect Guide to Darwinism and Intelligent Design*, Regnery Publishing Inc., Washington, 2006, p. 69
92. S. Lovtrup, *Darwinism: The Refutation of a Myth*, London, Croom Helmm, 1987, p. 422 - Nicholas Comninellis, *Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution*, Master Books, 2001, p. 220
93. Hank Hanegraaff, *Fatal Flaws "What Evolutionists Don't Want You To Know"*, W Publishing Group, 2003, p. 50-51 (emphasis added)
94. Nicholas Comninellis, *Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution*, Master Books, 2001, p. 212
95. Hank Hanegraaff, *Fatal Flaws "What Evolutionists Don't Want You To Know"*, W Publishing Group, 2003, p. 26
96. Gordon Rattray Taylor, *The Great Evolution Mystery*, Sphere Books Ltd., 1984, p. 10
97. Nicholas Comninellis, *Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution*, Master Books, 2001, p. 218
98. Steve Jones, *Darwin's Ghost: The Origin of Species Updated*, Random House Publisher, 2000, p. xvii, xviii
99. Hank Hanegraaff, *Fatal Flaws "What Evolutionists Don't Want You To Know"*, W Publishing Group, 2003, p. 26
100. Katherine Harmon, *How Humanlike Was "Ardi"?*, *Scientific American*, 19 November 2009, <http://www.scientificamerican.com/article.cfm?id=how-humanlike-was-ardi>
101. D. T. Rosevear, "Scientist Critical of Evolution", *Evolution Protest Movement Pamphlet*, no. 224, 1980, p. 4 - Nicholas Comninellis, *Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution*, Master Books, 2001, p. 225
102. Sir James Gray, *The Science of Life*, chapter in *Science Today*, 1961, p. 21
103. James A. Shapiro, *Bacteria as Multicellular Organisms*, *Scientific American*, Vol. 258, June 1998, p. 82
104. R. C. Sproul, *Not A Chance: The Myth of Chance in Modern Science and Cosmology*, Baker Books, November 1997, p. 9
105. *Ibid.*, p. 14
106. Richard Dawkins, *The Extended Phenotype*, Oxford University Press, 1999, p. 40
107. Hank Hanegraaff, *Fatal Flaws "What Evolutionists Don't Want You To Know"*, W Publishing Group, 2003, p. 52
108. Hank Hanegraaff, *Fatal Flaws "What Evolutionists Don't Want You To Know"*, W Publishing Group, 2003, p. 54
109. Hank Hanegraaff, *Fatal Flaws "What Evolutionists Don't Want You To Know"*, W Publishing Group, 2003, pp. 59-60 (emphasis in original)
110. Richard Dawkins, *The Extended Phenotype*, Oxford University

- Press, 1999, p. 46
111. *Ibid.*, p. 107
112. *Ibid.* p. 165
113. Charles Darwin, *The Origin of Species*, pp. 172, 280.
114. Mark Czarnecki, "The Revival of the Creationist Crusade", *MacLean's*, 19 January 1981, p. 56
115. Carlton E. Brett, "Statis: Life in the Balance", *Geotimes*, vol. 40 (March 1995), p.18
- 116 . Jonathan Wells, Ph.D., *The Politically Incorrect: Guide to Darwinism and Intelligent Design*, Regnery Publishing Inc., Washington, 2006, p. 181
117. Ann Coulter, *Godless The Church of Liberalism*, Crown Forum Publishing, 2006, p. 201
118. Wolfgang Smith, *Teilhardism and the New Religion, A Thorough Analysis of the Teachings of Pierre Teilhard de Chardin*, Rockford IL, Tan Books and Publishers, Inc. 1988, p. 8
- Nicholas Comninellis, *Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution*, Master Books, 2001, p. 221
119. Jonathan Wells, Ph.D., *The Politically Incorrect: Guide to Darwinism and Intelligent Design*, Regnery Publishing Inc., Washington, 2006, p. 182
120. Ben Stein, *Expelled "No Intelligence Allowed"*, 2008
121. Jonathan Wells, Ph.D., *The Politically Incorrect Guide to Darwinism and Intelligent Design*, Regnery Publishing Inc., Washington, 2006, p.192
122. Ben Stein, *Expelled "No Intelligence Allowed"*, 2008
123. *Ibid.*
124. *Ibid.*
125. *Ibid.*
126. *Ibid.*
127. Jonathan Wells, Ph.D., *The Politically Incorrect Guide to Darwinism and Intelligent Design*, Regnery Publishing Inc., Washington, 2006, p. 95
128. Ann Coulter, *Godless The Church of Liberalism*, Crown Forum Publishing, 2006, p. 200
129. *Ibid.*
130. H. S. Lipson, "A Physicist Looks at Evolution", *Physics Bulletin*, vol. 31, 1980, p. 138 - Nicholas Comninellis, *Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution*, Master Books, 2001, p. 201 (emphasis added.)
131. Jonathan Wells, *The Icons of Evolution*, January 2003, p. 218
132. *Ibid.*
133. *Ibid.*, p. 220
134. [http://www.boston.com/news/local/articles/2007/12/07/biologist\\_fired\\_for\\_beliefs\\_suit\\_says/?page=2](http://www.boston.com/news/local/articles/2007/12/07/biologist_fired_for_beliefs_suit_says/?page=2)
135. <http://butler-harris.org/archives/21>
136. Jonathan Wells, *The Icons of Evolution*, January 2003, p. 220
137. Nicholas Comninellis, *Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution*, Master Books, 2001, p. 158
138. Henry M. Morris, *The Long War Against God*, Master Books, 2000, p. 27
139. Eugenie C. Scott, *Evolution vs. Creationism*, University of California Press, London, 2005, p. 14
140. Colin Patterson, "Evolution and Creationism", Speech at the Museum of Natural History, New York, November 1981, p. 2 - Nicholas Comninellis, *Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution*, Master Books, 2001, p. 224
141. Brian Leith, *The Descent of Darwin: A Handbook of Doubts about Darwinism*, Collins, 1982, p. 11 - Nicholas Comninellis, *Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution*, Master Books, 2001, p. 229
142. Jeremy Rifkin, *Algeny*, New York, Viking Press, 1983, p. 112 - Nicholas Comninellis, *Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution*, Master Books, 2001, p. 224
143. Jonathan Wells, *The Icons of Evolution*, January 2003, pp. 213-214
144. Jonathan Wells, Ph.D., *The Politically Incorrect Guide to Darwinism and Intelligent Design*, Regnery Publishing Inc., Washington, 2006, pp.198-199
145. Nicholas Comninellis, *Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution*, Master Books, 2001, p. 229
146. Robert Provine, *Progression in Evolution*, p. 68 - Henry M. Morris, John D. Morris, *The Modern Creation Trilogy: Society and Creation*, Volume 3, Master Books, 1996, p. 15
147. Henry M. Morris, *The Long War Against God*, Master Books, 2000, p. 51
148. [http://faithfacts.gospelcom.net/ev\\_origins\\_d.html](http://faithfacts.gospelcom.net/ev_origins_d.html)
149. Alister McGrath, *Dawkins' God: Genes, Memes and the Meaning of Life*, Blackwell Publishing Ltd, USA, 2005, p. 49
150. Phillip E. Johnson, *Evolution Trial*, 2003, p. 125
151. Michael J. Behe, *Darwin's Black Box: The Biochemical Challenge to Evolution*, Free Press, p. 233
152. Jonathan Wells, *The Icons of Evolution*, January 2003, p. 202
153. *Ibid.*, p. 209
154. <http://www.haberturk.com/haber.asp?id=155211&cat=180&dt=2009/06/26>
155. Miller and Levine, *Biology*
156. Pirves, Orians, Heller and Sadava, *Life: The Science of Biology*
157. Jonathan Wells, *The Icons of Evolution*, January 2003, pp. 190-191
158. Ben Stein, *Expelled "No Intelligence Allowed"*, 2008
159. *Ibid.*
160. Nicholas Comninellis, *Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution*, Master Books, 2001, p. 252
161. [http://tempsreel.nouvelobs.com/actualites/sciences/vivant/20090703.OBS2955/les\\_etudiants\\_et\\_la\\_connaissance\\_de\\_levolution\\_les\\_reac.html](http://tempsreel.nouvelobs.com/actualites/sciences/vivant/20090703.OBS2955/les_etudiants_et_la_connaissance_de_levolution_les_reac.html)
162. S. Lovtrup, *Darwinism: The Refutation of a Myth*, London, Croom Helmm, 1987, p. 422 - Nicholas Comninellis, *Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution*, Master Books, 2001, p. 253
163. Michael J. Behe, *Darwin's Black Box: The Biochemical Challenge to Evolution*, Free Press, back cover
164. William Fix, *The Bone Peddlers*, New York, Mcmillan, 1984, pp. 179-180 - Nicholas Comninellis, *Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution*, Master Books, 2001, p. 219
165. Robert A. Martin, *Missing Links*, Jones and Barlett Publishers, UK, 2004, p. 55
166. Gordon Rattray Taylor, *The Great Evolution Mystery*, Sphere Books Ltd., 1984, p. 11
167. *Ibid.*
168. *Ibid.* p. 15
169. H. S. Lipson, "A Physicist Looks at Evolution", *Physics Bulletin*, vol. 31, 1980, p. 138 - Nicholas Comninellis, *Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution*, Master Books, 2001, p. 220
170. E. O. Wiley, "Review of Darwin Retried by MacBeth", *Systematic Zoology*, vol. 24, 1975, p. 270 - Nicholas Comninellis, *Creative Defense, Evidence Against Evolution*, Master Books, 2001, p. 225
171. Ben Stein, *Expelled "No Intelligence Allowed"*, 2008
172. Robert Koeing, *Creationism Takes Root Where Europe, Asia Meet*, *Science*, 18 May 2001, Vol. 292. no. 5520, pp. 1286 - 1287
173. Bediuzzaman Said Nursi, *Emirdag Addendum*, p. 259